Summary for Numerical Methods for Computational Science and Engineering

Contents

Computing with Matrices and Vectors	2
Machine Arithmetic	2
Cancellation	2
Increasing Speed of Algorithms	2
Direct Methods for Square Linear Systems of Equations	2
	2
Low-Rank Modifications	3
Sparse Matrices	3
Storage Formats	
Direct Methods Linear Least Square Problems	4
•	4
Solution Concepts	4
Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse	
Orthogononal Transformation Methods	
OR-Decomposition	
Householder	
Data Interpolation and Data Fitting in 1D	5
	5
v i	6
Cubic Hermite Interpolation	
Splines	
•	7
Least Squares Data Fitting	
Approximation of Functions in 1D	8
Approximation by Global Polynomials	8
Chebychev Interpolation	
Approximation by Piecewise Polynomials	
Piecewise Lagrange Interpolation	
Numerical Quadrature	8

Computing with Matrices and Vectors

Machine Arithmetic

As machines can't compute in real numbers but compute with machine numbers, there are some constraints. Over- and underflow exist, the amount of numbers is finite. Thus, instead of checking for equality, we check if the difference of two numbers is smaller than some maximal relative error.

Cancellation

Cancellation occurs when Substrachting we attempt to:

- Substract numbers of the same size.
- Divide by a small number close to zero.

To avoid cancellation, we simply avoid such kinds of substractions and divisions by recastion expressions or use tricks like taylor approximations.

Increasing Speed of Algorithms

For the following algorithms and formulas described, take into consideration that in many cases, the execution speed of a program can be increased significantly by simply precomputing things that would be computed multiple times, for example in a for-loop.

Direct Methods for Square Linear Systems of Equations

Gaussian Elimination

A is invertible or regular if and only if there exists an unique solution x for Ax = b, that is $x = A^{-1}b$.

In Eigen, we use the following code to solve Ax = b, and remember to exploit structure by using the appropriate function:

```
MatrixXd A;
VectorXd b, x;
// A has no special form
x = A.lu().solve(b);
// A is lower triangular
x = A.triangularView<Eigen::Lower>().solve(b);
// A is upper triangular
x = A.triangularView<Eigen::Upper>().solve(b);
// A is hermitian and positive definite
x = A.selfadjointView<Eigen::Upper>().llt().solve(b);
// A is hermition and positive or negative definite
x = A.selfadjointView<Eigen::Upper>().ldlt().solve(b);
// Solving based on householder transformation
x = A.HouseholderQR<MatrixXd>().solve(b);
// Solving based on singular value decomposition
x = A.jacobiSvd<MatrixXd>().solve(b);
```

The generic asymptotic complexity is $O(n^3)$, but triangular linear systems can be solved in $O(n^2)$.

Solving Ax = b by computing A^{-1} and calculating $x = A^{-1}b$ is unstable. Instead we use gaussian elimination, which is stable and not affected by roundoff in practice.

Low-Rank Modifications

We assume a generic rank-one midification is applied to A:

$$\tilde{A} = A + uv^H$$

By using block elimination, we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & u \\ v^H & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From this follows that:

$$A\tilde{x} + u\zeta = b$$

$$\tilde{x} = A^{-1}(b - u\zeta)$$
...
$$(1 + v^{H}A^{-1}u)\zeta = v^{H}A^{-1}b$$
...
$$A\tilde{x} = b - \frac{uv^{H}A^{-1}}{1 + v^{H}A^{-1}u}b$$

Sparse Matrices

A matrix A is called sparse, if the number of non-zero entries nnz(A) is much smaller than the size of A.

Storage Formats

Sparse matrices should be solved with x = A.SparseLU<SparseMatrixType>().solve(b) to further reduce the asymptotic complexity.

Also note that the product of sparse matrices isn't necessarily sparse.

Triplet/Coordinate List (COO) Format Stores triplets with row indices, column indices and the associated values.

Compressed Row-Storage (CRS) Format Stores the values, the corresponding column indices and row pointers that indicate the start of a new row.

SparseMatrix<double, RowMajor> A(rows, cols);

Compressed Column-Storage (CCS) Format Same as CRS, but with column pointers and row indices instead.

SparseMatrix<double, ColMajor> A(rows, cols);

Direct Methods Linear Least Square Problems

Example: Linear Parameter Estimation

Assume we take m measurements and find the pairs (x_i, y_i) . We can express these measurements in the following overdetermined linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_m & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution Concepts

For given A, b, the vector x is a least squares solution of Ax = b, if:

$$x \in argmin_y ||Ay - b||_2^2$$

For a least squares solution x, the vector Ax is the unique orthogonal projection of b onto the image of Image(A). From this follows that:

$$b - Ax \perp Image(A)$$
$$A^{T}(b - Ax) = 0$$
$$A^{T}Ax = A^{T}b$$

If $m \ge n$ and Kernel(A) = 0, then the linear system of equations Ax = b has a unique least squares solution $x = (A^TA)^{-1}A^Tb$.

We want to solve the normal equation $A^TAx = A^Tb$. To do this, we use the following procedure:

- 1. Compute the regular matrix $C = A^T A$.
- 2. Compute the right hand side vector $c = A^T b$.
- 3. Solve the symmetric positive definite linear system of equations Cx = c.

```
MatrixXd C = A.transpose() * A;
VectorXd c = A.transpose() * b;
VectorXd x = C.llt().solve(c);
```

The asymptotic complexity is $O(n^2m + n^3)$.

Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse

As there are many potential least square solutions, we define the *generalized solution* x^+ of a linear system of equations as:

$$x^+ = argmin\{||x||_2, x \in lsq(A, b)\}$$

The generalized solution x^+ of the linear systems of equations Ax = b is given by:

$$x^{+} = V(V^{T}A^{T}AV)^{-1}(V^{T}A^{T}b)$$

Where the matrix $V(V^TA^TAV)^{-1}V^T$ is called the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of A.

Orthogononal Transformation Methods

Transform Ax = b to $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ such that $lsq(A, b) = lsq(\tilde{A}, \tilde{b})$ and $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{B}$ is easy to solve.

OR-Decomposition

Householder

Data Interpolation and Data Fitting in 1D

Given some data points (t_i, y_i) , i = 0, ..., n, find a interpolant function f satisfying $f(t_i) = y_i$ and belonging to a set V of specific functions.

Global Polynomial Interpolation

The set of functions is $V = \mathcal{P}_k = f \mid f(t) = \alpha_k t^k + ... + \alpha_0 t^0, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Polynomials are usefull because ...

- ... they span a finite-dimensional vector space.
- ... they are smooth.
- ... they are easy to evaluate, differentiate and integrate.

Notice that $dim\mathcal{P}_k = k+1$. For n data points, we construct a n+1-dimensional Polynomial from \mathcal{P}_n . The polynomial will be unique.

We introduce the Lagrange polynomials as a helpful tool:

$$L_i(t) = \frac{(t - t_0)...(t - t_{i-1})(t - t_{i+1})...(t - t_n)}{(t_i - t_0)...(t_i - t_{i-1})(t_i - t_{i+1})...(t_i - t_n)}$$

Note that:

$$L_i(t_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & , j = i \\ 0 & , \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The polynomial is built by summing up the parts:

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} y_j L_j(x)$$

We can use the Aitken-Neville algorithm for increased efficiency, where l is the first and k is the last point included in the interpolation, such that $p_{0,n} = p$.

$$p_{k,k}(x) = y_k$$

$$p_{k,l}(x) = \frac{(x - t_k)p_{k+1,l}(x) - (x - t_l)p_{k,l-1}(x)}{t_l - t_k}$$

Another advantage is the update-friendliness of this algorithm, because there is no need to recompute the whole formula if another point is added.

Because adding another point affects all Lagrange polynomials, we introduce the new *Newton basis* with the purpose of being update-friendlier.

$$N_0(t) = 1, N_1(t) = (t - t_0), ..., N_n(t) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (t - t_i)$$

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{i \le n} a_i N_i$$

To find a_i , we have to solve the following equations:

$$p(t_i) = y_i$$

Which leads us a triangular linear system to solve:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & (t_1 - t_0) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 0 \\ 1 & (t_n - t_0) & \dots & \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (t_n - t_i) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We observe a similar recursion like before, and we can define analogous to $p_{l,m}$:

$$a_{l,m} = \frac{a_{l+1,m} - a_{l,m-1}}{t_m - t_l}$$

Instead of solving the triangular linear system, we use the divided differences algoritm.

$$y[t_i] = y_i$$

$$y[t_i, ..., t_{i+k}] = \frac{y[t_{i+1}, ..., t_{i+k}] - y[t_i, ..., t_{i+k-1}]}{t_{i+k} - t_i}$$

$$a_i = y[t_0, ..., t_i]$$

Shape Preserving Interpolation

Data (t_i, y_i) is called *monotonic* if $y_i \ge y_{i-1} \text{ or } y_i \le y_{i-1}$ for i = 1, ..., n.

Data
$$(t_i, y_i)$$
 is called *convex* (*concave*) if $s_j \leq (\geq)s_j + 1$ for $i = 1, ..., n - 1$, where $s_j = \frac{y_j - y_{j-1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}}$.

Interpolation is shape preserving if the shape features of the interpolant are the same as the shape features for the data.

If the shape is preserved (positivity/negativity, increasing/decreasing, convexity/concavity) for every peace of the interpolation, we say that the interpolant is *locally shape preserving*.

Cubic Hermite Interpolation

Given some data points (t_j, y_j) , j = 0, ..., n and slopes $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, find a piecewise cubic hermite interpolant s such that $s_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]} \in \mathcal{P}_3$, i = 1, ..., n and further $s(t_i) = y_i, s'(t_i) = c_i, i = 0, ..., n$.

s is representated locally by $s(t) = y_{i-1}H_1(t) + y_iH_2(t) + c_{i-1}H_3(t) + c_iH_4(t)$, where $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ and the basis polynimials defined as follows:

$$h_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$$

TODO

The next task is to find c_i such that monotonicity is preserved.

$$d_j = \frac{y_j - y_{j_1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}}, j = 1, ..., n$$

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & , sgn(d_i) \neq sgn(d_{i+1}) \\ \text{some average of } d_i, d_{i+1} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Splines

The vector space of spline functions of degree d and order d+1 is defined by $S_{d,\mathcal{M}} = \{s \in C^{d-1}(I) : s_j = s_{[t_{j-1},t_j]} \in \mathcal{P}_d, j=1,...,n\}$ on an interval I=[a,b] and a mesh \mathcal{M} .

Linear interpolation is just a spline interpolation $\mathcal{S}_{1,\mathcal{M}}$, where the interpolation nodes are \mathcal{M} .

Cubic Spline Interpolation

Interpolation into $S_{3,\mathcal{M}}$. The natural cubic spline interpolant minimizes the elastic curvature energy among all interpolating functions, but it is locally weak.

We reuse the local representation of a cubic spline s through a cubic Hermite cardinal basis polynomials from above. We just need to finde the slopes $s(t_i)$ in the knots of the mesh \mathcal{M} .

Because
$$s \in C^2$$
, $s''_{[t_{j-1},t_j]}(t_j) = s''_{[t_j,t_{j+1}]}(t_j)$.

Complete Cubic Spline Interpolation

Natural Cubic Spline Interpolation

Periodic Cubic Spline Interpolation

Least Squares Data Fitting

Given some data points (t_i, y_i) , i = 1, ..., m, we need to find a continuous function f in some set $S \subset C^0$ satisfying $f \in argmin_{g \in S} \sum_{i=1}^m \|g(t_i) - y_i\|_2^2$. The function f is called the *best least squares fit* for the data in S.

Approximation of Functions in 1D

Given a function f, often in *procedural form*, e.g. double f(double), find a "simple" (for example polynomials) function \tilde{f} such that the approximation error $f - \tilde{f}$ is small.

$$f \to_{sampling} (t_i, y_i) \to_{interpolation} \tilde{f}$$

We now have the freedom to select the nodes t_i .

Approximation by Global Polynomials

Taylor polynomials can be used to approximate a function $f(t) \approx \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{f^{(l)}(t_0)}{l!} (t-t_0)^l, f \in C^k$.

Chebychev Interpolation

Approximation by Piecewise Polynomials

Piecewise Lagrange Interpolation

Numerical Quadrature