# Electrophysiological Time Series

## Basic `Python` tools

Fabien Campillo

2024

"Some of the considered methods are : ISIn [**bakkum2013a**], ISI Rank threshold [**hennig2011a**], Max Interval [**kirillov2024a**], Cumulative Moving Average [14], Rank Surprise [**gourevitch2007a**], and Poisson Surprise [18]. These methods only utilize the timestamps at which action potentials occur, resulting in a loss of valuable information contained in the signal." [1]

## 1 Spikes and bursts detection in membrane potential time series

The membrane potential time series of a single neuron exhibits distinct characteristics that differentiate it from macroscopic signals such as EEG. At rest, the membrane potential remains stable at approximately between -70 mV and -60 mV for most neurons, maintained by the balance of ionic currents, particularly potassium ($K^+$) leak channels. However, this resting membrane potential state is subject to fluctuations due to synaptic inputs, leading to small depolarizations or hyperpolarizations, known as excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs and IPSPs). These fluctuations introduce a degree of stochastic variability to the membrane potential, influenced by synaptic noise and the inherent dynamics of ion channels. Some neurons also exhibit oscillatory activity in specific frequency bands, such as theta or gamma rhythms.

When synaptic inputs drive the membrane potential beyond a threshold, typically around −50 mV, the neuron generates an action potential. This rapid depolarization, lasting approximately 1 – 2 ms, is followed by repolarization and an afterhyperpolarization phase, restoring the membrane to its resting state. Action potentials are all-or-nothing events with a characteristic amplitude of around 100 mV and are the primary means of neuronal communication.

After an action potential, the membrane potential may transiently hyperpolarize, a phenomenon known as afterhyperpolarization (AHP), which regulates neuronal excitability and prevents immediate reactivation. The overall pattern of action potentials, or spike train, varies between neurons, with some displaying regular spiking, others firing in bursts, and some exhibiting adaptation, where the firing rate decreases with sustained input.

Beyond immediate electrophysiological responses, neurons also undergo long-term modifications in their activity. One example is spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP), where the timing of spikes relative to synaptic inputs leads to changes in synaptic strength. Another example is the slow afterhyperpolarization (sAHP), a prolonged inhibitory effect following intense neuronal activity, which influences excitability over longer timescales.

These features define the electrophysiological behavior of individual neurons and distinguish single-neuron recordings from population-level signals such as EEG, which reflect the summed activity of many neurons rather than the precise dynamics of individual membrane potentials.

The acquisition of membrane potential time series relies on electrophysiological techniques that measure the voltage across the neuronal membrane. One of the most precise methods is *patch-clamp recording*, which uses a glass micropipette filled with electrolyte solution to form a high-resistance seal with the neuronal membrane. This technique enables either *whole-cell recording*, where the pipette establishes electrical continuity with the intracellular space, or *cell-attached recording*, which allows measurement of individual ion channel activity. Another widely used technique is *sharp electrode recording*, in which a fine-tipped microelectrode penetrates the neuron, providing high temporal resolution but potentially causing more damage to the cell.

For in vivo studies, *intracellular recordings* can be performed in living animals, although they are technically challenging due to the movement and complexity of the brain environment. In contrast, *extracellular recordings*, such as single-unit or multi-unit recordings, capture action potentials from nearby neurons without directly measuring membrane potential fluctuations. While these methods provide high spatial and temporal resolution, they do not capture subthreshold membrane potential dynamics.

The acquired time series are typically stored in digital formats compatible with electrophysiological data analysis software. One common format is *Axon Binary Format (ABF)*, used by Molecular Devices' pCLAMP software, which stores voltage signals with high temporal resolution and metadata such as sampling rate and recording parameters. Another widely used format is *Neurodata Without Borders (NWB)*, an open standard designed for sharing electrophysiological data across different labs. Other formats include *HDF5*, which offers a hierarchical structure for organizing large datasets.

Also, all languages proposed a general purpose binary file format, like MAT files in Matlab, or Python NumPy format npz. Python may handle many formats.

These formats are essential for further processing, including spike detection, subthreshold analysis, and synaptic event characterization. Data visualization and analysis are often performed using specialized software such as Clampfit, Spike2, and open-source tools like Python libraries (\*\*Neo\*\*, \*\*Elephant\*\*) or MATLAB scripts.

## 2 File Formats in Electrophysiology

The development of electrophysiology file formats has been closely linked to technological advancements in signal acquisition, data storage, and computational neuroscience.

*1980s : emergence of digital signal acquisition*

In the 1980s, the emergence of digital signal acquisition led to the development of early digital storage methods and proprietary formats. These formats were designed to store voltage traces and spike train data, enabling the recording and analysis of electrophysiological signals within specialized systems. Among them :

— 1981 : Axon Binary Format (ABF, `.abf`) Developed by Axon Instruments (now part of Molecular Devices), ABF became a standard for patch-clamp and intracellular recordings using pCLAMP software.
— 1987 : European Data Format (EDF, `.edf`) Created for polysomnography and EEG, EDF introduced a standard format for clinical neurophysiology recordings.
— Late 1980s : Spike2 Format (`.smr`, `.sps`) Cambridge Electronic Design (CED) developed this format for their electrophysiology acquisition systems, supporting spike trains and continuous signals.

*1990s : emergence of digital signal acquisition*

In the 1990s, with the expansion of electrophysiology research, new data formats were developed to accommodate multi-channel recordings and high-speed acquisitions. This period also marked the initial efforts toward standardization, aiming to improve data interoperability and accessibility across different acquisition systems.

**1995 : MATLAB Format (MAT, `.mat`)** MathWorks introduced `.mat` files, widely adopted by neuroscientists for storing and analyzing electrophysiological data.

**1996 : Technical Data Management Streaming (TDMS, `.tdms`)** National Instruments developed TDMS for high-speed data acquisition with LabVIEW, supporting large-scale electrophysiological recordings.

**1998 : NeuroExplorer Format (`.nex`, `.nex5`)** Introduced by NeuroExplorer, this format facilitated spike train analysis and time-series storage.

## 2000s : Open Formats and Cross-Compatibility

Efforts to create open, standardized file formats increased to improve data sharing and reproducibility.

**2003 : Biosemi Data Format (BDF, `.bdf`)** An extension of EDF, designed to support higher-resolution EEG recordings.

**2005 : Neuroshare API** Developed as an open API to enable compatibility between different proprietary electrophysiology file formats.

**2007 : Hierarchical Data Format 5 (HDF5, `.h5`)** While not specific to electrophysiology, HDF5 gained popularity for large-scale neural data storage due to its hierarchical structure and compression capabilities.

## 2010s : Standardization for Data Sharing and Open Science

With increasing data complexity, new formats were designed to support large datasets and metadata integration.

**2014 : Neurodata Without Borders (NWB, `.nwb`)** Developed by the Allen Institute and other research groups, NWB provides a standardized format for electrophysiology, supporting intracellular, extracellular, and behavioral data.

**2016 : Python Integration for Electrophysiology** The rise of Python-based tools (e.g., `pyABF`, `Neo`, `pynwb`) enabled easier access to electrophysiological formats.

## 2020s : AI-Driven Analysis and Cloud-Based Storage

Current trends focus on formats optimized for machine learning, cloud storage, and interoperability.

**Ongoing : Adoption of HDF5-based Standards** NWB and other HDF5-based formats are becoming the preferred choice for large-scale electrophysiology projects.

**Emerging : Cloud-Compatible Formats** New developments aim to support real-time streaming and large-scale data sharing in neuroscience.

## Conclusion

The evolution of electrophysiology file formats reflects the increasing complexity of neural data and the need for open, standardized solutions. While proprietary formats like ABF and TDMS remain widely used due to hardware constraints, open formats like NWB and HDF5 are becoming the standard for large-scale neuroscience projects.

xxxxxx

Electrophysiological data is stored in various formats, depending on the acquisition system, analysis requirements, and compatibility with different software. The main formats include both open and proprietary solutions.

## 1. Neurodata Without Borders (NWB, `.nwb`)

NWB is an open, standardized format designed for neurophysiology data sharing. It supports various data types, including extracellular and intracellular recordings, behavioral data, and metadata.

**Key features :** - Hierarchical structure based on HDF5. - Rich metadata support. - Used with the `pynwb` Python library.

**Related to :** Open standard for neuroscience, supported by the Allen Institute for Brain Science.

## 2. Axon Binary Format (ABF, `.abf`)

The ABF format is used by Axon Instruments (now part of Molecular Devices) for storing electrophysiological recordings obtained with their patch-clamp amplifiers and software like pCLAMP.

**Key features :** - Optimized for intracellular and whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. - Binary format with metadata storage. - Can be read using the `pyABF` Python package.

**Related to :** Axon Instruments, Molecular Devices.

## 3. HDF5 (`.h5`)

HDF5 (Hierarchical Data Format) is a general-purpose format widely adopted in neuroscience for large datasets due to its flexibility and efficiency.

**Key features :** - Supports structured storage of time-series data, metadata, and multi-modal recordings. - Efficient for large datasets with compression. - Used in high-performance computing and machine learning.

**Related to :** Open standard, used in NWB and various custom applications.

## 4. MATLAB Format (MAT, `.mat`)

MAT files are commonly used in neurophysiology for storing electrophysiological data due to MATLAB's widespread adoption in neuroscience.

**Key features :** - Stores numerical arrays, structs, and time-series data. - Easily readable in MATLAB and Python (`scipy.io.loadmat`).

**Related to :** MathWorks MATLAB.

## 5. European Data Format (EDF, `.edf`)

EDF is widely used in sleep studies, EEG, and other physiological recordings. The BDF (Biosemi Data Format) is an extension of EDF.

**Key features :** - Plain text header with binary data storage. - Compatible with various analysis tools such as EEGLAB. - Used in clinical and research settings.

**Related to :** Open standard, supported by multiple EEG acquisition systems.

## 6. Technical Data Management Streaming (TDMS, `.tdms`)

TDMS is a format developed by National Instruments for high-speed data acquisition.

**Key features :** - Optimized for large-scale time-series data. - Used in LabVIEW for real-time signal acquisition. - Supports metadata storage.

**Related to :** National Instruments, LabVIEW.

## 7. NeuroExplorer Format (`.nex`, `.nex5`)

Proprietary format used in NeuroExplorer software for spike train and continuous signal analysis.

**Key features :** - Supports spike times, continuous signals, and event markers. - Used for single-neuron and multi-unit recordings.

**Related to :** NeuroExplorer software.

## 8. Spike2 Format (`.smr`, `.sps`)

Spike2 is a format developed by Cambridge Electronic Design (CED) for electrophysiological recordings.

**Key features :** - Stores spike trains, analog signals, and event markers. - Compatible with CED acquisition hardware.

**Related to :** Cambridge Electronic Design (CED).

## 9. CSV/TSV (`.csv`, `.tsv`)

While not designed specifically for electrophysiology, comma-separated and tab-separated values are sometimes used for storing raw or processed time-series data.

**Key features :** - Plain text format, easy to share and edit. - Limited metadata support. - Used for exporting processed signals.

**Related to :** General-purpose, readable in Python, MATLAB, and Excel.

## 10. Neuroshare-compatible Formats

Neuroshare is an API that supports multiple proprietary file formats used by different acquisition systems.

**Key features :** - Allows cross-platform compatibility between acquisition systems. - Supports multiple commercial hardware solutions.

**Related to :** Various neurophysiology software and acquisition systems.

### Conclusion

The choice of file format depends on the specific needs of the experiment, including data size, metadata requirements, and compatibility with analysis tools. While NWB and HDF5 are emerging as standardized solutions, proprietary formats like ABF, TDMS, and EDF remain common due to their association with specific hardware and software.

## 3 Basic packages and formats in Python

In Python, an efficient and structured way to store electrophysiological data in a portable format is the **NumPy .npz format**. This format is well-suited for handling large datasets, as it allows multiple NumPy arrays to be stored in a single file using gzip compression, ensuring both efficient storage and quick retrieval. Data can be saved the following way :

```
1 import numpy as np
2 np.savez("membrane_potential.npz", time=time_array,
      voltage=voltage_array)
```

and loaded the following way :

```
1 data = np.load("membrane_potential.npz")
2 time = data["time"]
3 voltage = data["voltage"]
```

## HDF5 (`.h5`) Format using `h5py`

HDF5 is a hierarchical data format that allows efficient storage and retrieval of large datasets.

**Example of saving data :**

```
1 import h5py
2 import numpy as np
3 with h5py.File("membrane_potential.h5", "w") as f:
4     f.create_dataset("time", data=np.array(time_array)
      )
5     f.create_dataset("voltage", data=np.array(
      voltage_array))
```

**Example of loading data :**

```
1 with h5py.File("membrane_potential.h5", "r") as f:
2     time = f["time"][:]
3     voltage = f["voltage"][:]
```

## Neo + NWB (Neurodata Without Borders)

Neo is a Python library for handling electrophysiological data, supporting multiple file formats. NWB is a standardized format designed specifically for neurophysiology.

**Example of using Neo :**

```
1 from neo.io import AxonIO
2 reader = AxonIO(filename="example.abf")
3 block = reader.read_block()
```

**Example of saving to NWB :**

Neurodata Without Borders (NWB) is a data standard for neurophysiology, providing neuroscientists with a common standard to share, archive, use, and build analysis tools for neurophysiology data.

```
1  from pynwb import NWBHDF5IO
```

```
2  io = NWBHDF5IO("data.nwb", "w")
3  io.write(nwbfile)
4  io.close()
```

For general-purpose storage, **HDF5** is the closest Python equivalent to MATLAB's .mat format, while **NWB** is the best option for electrophysiology-specific storage.

[16]

https://goodresearch.dev ▸ Mineault et The Good Research Code Handbook Community 2021, *The Good Research Code Handbook* [19]

# 4 Burst and Global Neuronal Activity in the Lateral Habenular Complex (LHb)

The lateral habenular complex (LHb) plays a crucial role in regulating mood, motivation, and decision-making, particularly through its influence on reward and aversion processing. Researchers study specific neuronal activity patterns, including **bursting activity**, as these patterns are associated with distinct physiological and pathological states.

## 4.1 Types of Bursts in LHb Neurons

**High-Frequency Bursts :**
— **Definition :** Bursts characterized by rapid, clustered action potentials.
— **Significance :** Correlates with aversive stimuli, stress, or negative emotional states.
— **Mechanism :**
   — Triggered by hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels and T-type calcium channels.
   — Calcium influx during bursts modulates downstream signaling, enhancing excitatory output.

## 4.2 Global Neuronal Activity Patterns in LHb

**Tonic Firing :**
— **Definition :** Regular, single-spike activity without bursts.
— **Significance :** Represents baseline activity and homeostatic regulation of LHb output.
— **Associated States :** Observed during neutral conditions and balanced neural states.
**Burst-Tonic Transitions :**
— **Definition :** Switching between burst firing and tonic firing modes.
— **Significance :** Modulates how the LHb encodes reward-prediction errors and aversion signals.

## 4.3 Pathological Bursting in LHb

**Hyperactive Bursting :**
— **Observed in :** Depression, anxiety, and addiction.
— **Mechanisms :**
   — **Excitatory Drive :** Overactivation of glutamatergic input.
   — **Reduced Inhibition :** Dysfunction of GABAergic interneurons.
   — **Intrinsic Properties :** Increased excitability due to alterations in ion channel function (e.g., enhanced HCN channel activity).
— **Impact :** Leads to hyperactivity in downstream structures like the *rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg)*, inhibiting dopaminergic activity in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and contributing to anhedonia.
**Silent States :**
— **Definition :** Periods of suppressed activity or reduced firing rates.
— **Associated Disorders :** Observed in conditions where reward-related signaling is dampened, leading to motivational deficits.

## 4.4 LHb and Neuromodulation

LHb activity is regulated by multiple neuromodulators, influencing its burst dynamics :
— **Dopamine :** Modulates reward-prediction error signaling and burst firing.
— **Serotonin :** Influences LHb response to stress and aversive stimuli.
— **Orexin :** Alters excitability and activity patterns in arousal-related contexts.

## 4.5 Functional Implications of LHb Bursting

**Reward and Aversion Encoding :**
— LHb neurons encode negative reward-prediction errors (e.g., unexpected absence of reward).
— High-frequency bursts correlate with aversion and stress-related signaling, suppressing dopaminergic activity in the VTA.
**Role in Depression :**
— Hyperactivity of LHb bursting is implicated in depressive behaviors, linked to increased aversion and reduced motivation.
— Experimental models show that reducing LHb bursting activity (e.g., via optogenetic or pharmacological interventions) alleviates depressive-like behaviors.
**Role in Addiction :**
— Abnormal LHb bursting is associated with altered reward processing in substance use disorders, contributing to maladaptive behaviors and relapses.
**Pain Processing :**
— LHb bursts are involved in encoding pain-related aversive signals, influencing affective responses to pain.

### 4.6 Conclusion

Neuronal activity patterns in the LHb, particularly bursting dynamics, are pivotal in regulating affective and motivational states. These patterns are tightly linked to conditions such as depression, addiction, and stress-related disorders. The LHb's central role in aversion and reward pathways makes it a key target for therapies aimed at modulating its activity (e.g., deep brain stimulation or optogenetics).

## 5 Tonic Regular vs. Tonic Irregular Firing in Neurons

In the context of neuronal activity, particularly in the lateral habenular complex (LHb), the terms **tonic regular** and **tonic irregular** describe distinct patterns of neuronal firing. These patterns are characterized as follows :

### 5.1 Tonic Regular

— Refers to a consistent and predictable firing pattern of action potentials.
— Neurons fire at relatively regular intervals, with little variation in the interspike interval (ISI).
— The regularity in timing is often attributed to stable intrinsic membrane properties or consistent synaptic inputs.
— Typically associated with a steady signaling state, such as during baseline activity.

### 5.2 Tonic Irregular

— Refers to a tonic firing pattern where the timing of action potentials is less predictable.
— Neurons fire at variable intervals, resulting in a broader distribution of ISI compared to tonic regular firing.
— This irregularity may arise from fluctuating synaptic inputs, intrinsic membrane noise, or variability in network influences.
— Often reflects a state where the neuron is integrating diverse or inconsistent input signals.

### 5.3 Key Differences

The key differences between these two firing patterns are summarized in the table below :

| Aspect | Tonic Regular | Tonic Irregular |
|---|---|---|
| **Firing Consistency** | Highly regular ISI | Variable ISI |
| **Signal Timing** | Predictable spike intervals | Unpredictable spike intervals |
| **Influence Source** | Stable intrinsic properties | Fluctuating inputs/noise |
| **Functional Implication** | Steady-state signaling | Flexible or adaptive processing |

### 5.4 Functional Implications

Tonic regular firing may be indicative of stable, ongoing signaling, whereas tonic irregular firing could reflect the integration or processing of dynamic or inconsistent inputs. Both patterns are critical for understanding how the LHb encodes information and influences downstream targets, particularly in behaviors or states related to mood, reward, and aversion.

## 6 Types of Bursts in the Lateral Habenular Complex (LHb)

In the lateral habenular complex (LHb), neuronal activity exhibits various firing patterns, including bursts. Bursting is a critical mode of neuronal signaling that conveys specific information to downstream targets. Different types of bursts observed in the LHb can be characterized as follows :

### 6.1 Phasic Bursts

— **Definition :** Short episodes of rapid spiking activity interspersed with periods of quiescence or tonic firing.
— **Properties :**
  — High-frequency spikes within a brief time window.
  — Often triggered by sudden synaptic inputs or intrinsic depolarization.
— **Functional Role :**
  — Encodes specific, time-sensitive signals such as salient sensory or behavioral events.
  — Can signal aversive stimuli or modulate downstream reward-related circuits.

### 6.2 Tonic Bursts

— **Definition :** Prolonged sequences of bursts with interspersed spikes, occurring over an extended duration.
— **Properties :**
  — Lower intraburst frequency compared to phasic bursts.
  — May be modulated by intrinsic rhythmic properties of the neuron.
— **Functional Role :**
  — Associated with sustained signaling during certain behavioral or emotional states.
  — May contribute to long-lasting modulations of neural circuits.

### 6.3 Irregular Bursts

— **Definition :** Bursts with variable spike timing and inconsistent patterns.
— **Properties :**
  — Unpredictable intervals between spikes within bursts.
  — May result from fluctuating synaptic inputs or dynamic network activity.
— **Functional Role :**
  — Reflects integration of diverse or inconsistent inputs.
  — May represent a flexible coding strategy for processing complex information.

### 6.4 Pathological Bursts

— **Definition :** Bursts associated with abnormal or dysfunctional activity in LHb neurons.
— **Properties :**
  — Can be excessively long, frequent, or occur in atypical contexts.
  — Often linked to disrupted neural homeostasis or pathological conditions.
— **Functional Role :**
  — Correlated with maladaptive states such as depression, stress, or addiction.
  — Disrupts normal LHb signaling and its interactions with reward or aversion pathways.

### 6.5 Summary

These distinct burst types in the LHb serve diverse functional roles, from encoding specific behavioral signals to sustaining neural activity during prolonged states. The study of LHb bursting patterns is essential for understanding its contributions to mood regulation, aversion processing, and pathological conditions.

## 7 Classical Burst Detection Technique

The classical burst detection technique, introduced by Kleinberg (2002), is a probabilistic model used to identify "bursts" in streams of discrete events (e.g., word occurrences in a document or time-series data). The method is based on the idea that bursts are periods of increased activity or frequency relative to the baseline.

### 7.1 Key Concepts and Notation

Let :
— $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N$ : The sequence of event times in chronological order.
— $T$ : The total duration of observation.
— $\lambda$ : The baseline rate of events (average rate in the absence of bursts).
— $k$ : The state of activity, where $k = 0$ represents the baseline and $k > 0$ indicates burst states.
— $\gamma$ : The cost parameter controlling the transition between states.

### 7.2 Model Framework

The method uses a finite-state automaton to model the occurrence of bursts. Each state $k$ corresponds to a different intensity level of activity. The main components of the framework are as follows :

*Event Intensity*

Each state $k$ is associated with a Poisson process, where the event rate is proportional to the state :

$$\text{Rate in state } k : \lambda_k = \lambda \cdot r^k,$$

where $r > 1$ is the burst amplification factor.

*Transition Cost*

Transitions between states are penalized to prevent frequent state changes. The cost of transitioning from state $k_1$ to $k_2$ is defined as :

$$C(k_1 \to k_2) = \gamma \cdot |k_1 - k_2|.$$

*Optimization Problem*

The goal is to find the sequence of states $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N\}$ that minimizes the total cost :

$$\text{Total Cost} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ -\log P(t_i | k_i) + C(k_{i-1} \to k_i) \right],$$

where $P(t_i | k_i)$ is the probability of observing the event $t_i$ given state $k_i$.

### 7.3 Dynamic Programming Solution

The optimization problem is solved using dynamic programming. Define $F(i, k)$ as the minimum cost of explaining the first $i$ events, ending in state $k$. The recurrence relation is :

$$F(i, k) = \min_{k'} \left[ F(i - 1, k') + C(k' \to k) - \log P(t_i | k) \right],$$

where $P(t_i | k)$ is derived from the Poisson distribution :

$$P(t_i | k) = \lambda_k \exp(-\lambda_k \Delta t_i),$$

and $\Delta t_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ is the inter-event time.

### 7.4 Applications

This method has been widely applied in :
— Text analysis for identifying bursts of topic-specific words.
— Network traffic analysis to detect anomalous periods.
— Event detection in temporal datasets.

### 7.5 Reference

Kleinberg, J. (2002). "Bursty and Hierarchical Structure in Streams." In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pp. 91–101.

## 8 Advanced Burst Detection : Spike Events and Voltage Dynamics

Burst detection techniques can be extended to continuous signals, such as voltage traces, by combining spike-event analysis with the assessment of signal features like amplitude and dynamics. This approach is particularly useful in applications like neuroscience, where bursts of activity in voltage traces can carry significant functional meaning.

### 8.1 Definition of a Burst

A burst can be identified based on two criteria :
($i$) **Spike Timing :** A sequence of spikes occurring within a short time window.
($ii$) **Voltage Dynamics :** The voltage signal during the burst satisfies specific conditions, such as :
 — The **minimum voltage** during the burst is higher than the baseline voltage before and after the burst.
 — The **average voltage** during the burst exceeds the baseline voltage.

### 8.2 Mathematical Framework

Let $V(t)$ represent the voltage signal as a function of time, and $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N$ represent the spike times within the burst. The following criteria can be used to detect a burst :

*Spike-Based Detection*

Define a burst as a sequence of spikes where the inter-spike interval $\Delta t_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$ satisfies :

$$\Delta t_i < T_{\max},$$

where $T_{\max}$ is a predefined maximum inter-spike interval.

*Voltage Thresholding*

For a candidate burst period $[t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]$, the voltage dynamics are analyzed :
— **Minimum Voltage Criterion :**

$$\min_{t \in [t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]} V(t) > \mu_{\text{baseline}} - \sigma_{\text{baseline}},$$

where $\mu_{\text{baseline}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{baseline}}$ are the mean and standard deviation of the voltage in a baseline period before the burst.
— **Average Voltage Criterion :**

$$\frac{1}{t_{\text{end}} - t_{\text{start}}} \int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} V(t) \, dt > \mu_{\text{baseline}}.$$

*Combined Criteria*

A period qualifies as a burst if both the spike and voltage criteria are met. Formally :

$$\text{Burst detected if :} \begin{cases} \Delta t_i < T_{\max}, & \forall i \text{ in the burst,} \\ \min V(t) > \mu_{\text{baseline}} - \sigma_{\text{baseline}}, & \text{and} \\ \frac{1}{t_{\text{end}} - t_{\text{start}}} \int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} V(t) \, dt > \mu_{\text{baseline}}. \end{cases}$$

### 8.3 Algorithm for Burst Detection

The process for detecting bursts can be summarized as follows :

(*i*) Identify candidate burst periods based on spike timing criteria.

(*ii*) For each candidate period :

    *a.* Compute the minimum voltage and compare it to the baseline.

    *b.* Compute the average voltage during the period and compare it to the baseline.

(*iii*) Retain periods that satisfy all criteria.

### 8.4 Applications

This extended burst detection framework can be applied to :

— **Neuroscience :** Analysis of neural activity patterns in electrophysiology recordings.

— **Signal Processing :** Detection of transient signal events in continuous data.

— **Event Detection :** Identification of bursts in time-series data where both event timing and signal dynamics matter.

### 8.5 Example Visualization

To visualize bursts, plot $V(t)$ alongside detected bursts marked with shaded regions or annotations. Use tools like Python's `matplotlib` or MATLAB for implementation.

## 9 Beyond spike events

Yes, there are studies about burst detection and analysis that go beyond just analyzing spike events. Some research examines the voltage patterns before and after bursts, including comparisons of burst voltage to pre- and post-burst voltage levels.

One study investigated the voltage of neurons preceding and during bursting using intracellular recordings to determine the electrophysiological signature of particular types of bursts3. This approach can provide insights into the mechanisms driving bursting activity and potentially suggest drug targets for therapeutic intervention.

Another study analyzed changes in firing activity before and after bursts, indicating that researchers are interested in the broader context surrounding burst events4. This research examined "burst related spikes," which include pre-burst spikes and burst tails, demonstrating that the activity immediately preceding and following bursts is considered an essential part of bursting behavior.

Additionally, some researchers have developed more comprehensive burst detection algorithms that consider various aspects of neuronal activity. For example, one study proposed a firing statistics-based algorithm that utilizes interspike interval (ISI) histograms and calculates thresholds for burst spikes, pre-burst spikes, and burst tails4. This approach allows for a more nuanced analysis of bursting activity, including the periods immediately before and after the main burst event.

While the specific criterion of comparing the minimum voltage during the burst to the mean voltage before and after the burst is not explicitly mentioned in these search results, the studies indicate a trend towards more comprehensive analysis of bursting activity, including the surrounding periods. This suggests that researchers are indeed looking beyond simple spike event analysis to understand the full context and characteristics of neuronal bursts.

▸ Kapucu et al. 2012, "Burst analysis tool for developing neuronal networks exhibiting highly varying action potential dynamics." [14]

▸ Välkki et al. 2017, "Network-Wide Adaptive Burst Detection Depicts Neuronal Activity with Improved Accuracy." [29]

▸ Cotterill et al. 2016, "A comparison of computational methods for detecting bursts in neuronal spike trains and their application to human stem cell-derived neuronal networks." [7]

▸ Ardelean et al. 2023, "Burst detection in neuronal activity" [1]

## 10 Burst Detection with Voltage Patterns : Pre- and Post-Burst Analysis

In addition to detecting bursts based on spikes and intra-burst voltage dynamics, it is often useful to analyze the voltage patterns *before* and *after* bursts. This approach helps in understanding the contextual dynamics of bursts and their relationship to baseline voltage levels.

### 10.1 Definition of Voltage Patterns Around Bursts

Let $V(t)$ represent the continuous voltage signal as a function of time. A burst occurs within the interval $[t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]$. The following regions are defined for analysis :

— **Pre-Burst Period :** $[t_{\text{start}} - T_{\text{pre}}, t_{\text{start}}]$

— **Post-Burst Period :** $[t_{\text{end}}, t_{\text{end}} + T_{\text{post}}]$

— **Burst Period :** $[t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]$

Here, $T_{\text{pre}}$ and $T_{\text{post}}$ are the durations of the pre-burst and post-burst periods, respectively.

### 10.2 Voltage Metrics for Comparison

The following metrics are used to compare voltage patterns across the three periods :

### *Average Voltage*

The average voltage in each period is computed as :

$$\mu_{\text{period}} = \frac{1}{T_{\text{period}}} \int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} V(t)\,dt,$$

where $T_{\text{period}}$ is the duration of the respective period.

### *Voltage Fluctuations*

The standard deviation of the voltage in each period is :

$$\sigma_{\text{period}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{\text{period}}} \int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} \left(V(t) - \mu_{\text{period}}\right)^2 dt}.$$

### *Minimum and Maximum Voltages*

The minimum and maximum voltages are :

$$V_{\text{min, period}} = \min_{t \in \text{period}} V(t), \quad V_{\text{max, period}} = \max_{t \in \text{period}} V(t).$$

## 10.3 Criteria for Contextual Burst Validation

A burst is validated based on comparisons between the burst voltage and pre-/post-burst voltages :

— **Average Voltage Criterion :**
$$\mu_{\text{burst}} > \mu_{\text{pre-burst}} \quad \text{and/or} \quad \mu_{\text{burst}} > \mu_{\text{post-burst}}.$$

— **Minimum Voltage Criterion :**
$$V_{\text{min, burst}} > \mu_{\text{pre-burst}} - \sigma_{\text{pre-burst}}.$$

— **Fluctuation Comparison :** Compare the standard deviations :

$$\sigma_{\text{burst}} > \sigma_{\text{pre-burst}} \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_{\text{burst}} > \sigma_{\text{post-burst}},$$

to assess the relative signal variability during the burst.

## 10.4 Pre- and Post-Burst Dynamics

Analyzing voltage dynamics before and after bursts provides insights into the transitions surrounding bursts :

— Check for *rising trends* in voltage leading into the burst :

$$V(t_{\text{start}}) > \mu_{\text{pre-burst}}.$$

— Examine whether voltage returns to baseline after the burst :

$$\mu_{\text{post-burst}} \approx \mu_{\text{pre-burst}}.$$

— Assess any overshoots or undershoots following the burst :

$$V_{\text{max, post-burst}} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\text{min, post-burst}}.$$

## 10.5 Example Analysis Workflow

The workflow for incorporating pre- and post-burst analysis is :

$(i)$ Detect candidate bursts based on spike timing or intra-burst voltage thresholds.
$(ii)$ Compute voltage metrics ($\mu$, $\sigma$, $V_{\text{min}}$, $V_{\text{max}}$) for pre-burst, burst, and post-burst periods.
$(iii)$ Compare burst metrics to pre- and post-burst metrics using defined criteria.
$(iv)$ Visualize the voltage trace, marking bursts, and overlay metrics for intuitive understanding.

## 10.6 Applications

Analyzing voltage patterns before and after bursts is beneficial for :

— **Neuroscience :** Understanding excitability and recovery mechanisms in neural circuits.
— **Signal Processing :** Identifying precursors or after-effects of transient events in continuous data.
— **Event Detection :** Characterizing signal behavior leading into and out of bursts.

## 11 Burst Dynamics : Intra-Burst Spike Frequency Variation

During bursts, the frequency of spike events may vary, reflecting dynamic processes such as neural adaptation, facilitation, or inhibition. Understanding these variations is critical for characterizing burst structure and its functional significance.

## 11.1 Spike Frequency Analysis

Let $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N$ denote the times of spikes occurring during a burst in the interval $[t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]$. The instantaneous spike frequency at time $t_i$ is defined as :

$$f(t_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta t_i}, \quad \Delta t_i = t_{i+1} - t_i,$$

where $\Delta t_i$ is the inter-spike interval (ISI).

### *Average Spike Frequency*

The average spike frequency during the burst is :

$$f_{\text{avg}} = \frac{N-1}{t_{\text{end}} - t_{\text{start}}}.$$

### *Frequency Variation Metrics*

To quantify variations in frequency during the burst :

— **Frequency Standard Deviation :**

$$\sigma_f = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (f(t_i) - f_{\text{avg}})^2}.$$

— **Frequency Slope :** Measure the rate of change in frequency across the burst :

$$m_f = \frac{f(t_N) - f(t_1)}{t_N - t_1}.$$

A positive slope indicates an accelerating burst, while a negative slope indicates deceleration.

## 11.2 Models of Intra-Burst Frequency Variation

Several models describe the variation in spike frequency during bursts :

— **Exponential Decay Model :** Spike frequency decreases exponentially during the burst due to adaptation :

$$f(t) = f_0 \exp(-\alpha(t - t_{\text{start}})),$$

where $f_0$ is the initial frequency, and $\alpha$ is the decay constant.

— **Linear Decay or Growth :** Spike frequency changes linearly :

$$f(t) = f_0 + \beta(t - t_{\text{start}}),$$

where $\beta$ is the rate of change in frequency.

— **Oscillatory Patterns :** Some bursts exhibit oscillations in frequency, modeled as :

$$f(t) = f_0 \left(1 + A \sin(2\pi\omega t + \phi)\right),$$

where $A$ is the amplitude, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, and $\phi$ is the phase.

## 11.3 Analysis Workflow

To analyze intra-burst spike frequency variations :

(*i*) **Detect Spikes :** Identify the times of spikes within bursts.

(*ii*) **Compute Frequencies :** Calculate $f(t_i)$ for each spike using inter-spike intervals.

(*iii*) **Fit Models :** Fit appropriate models (e.g., exponential, linear) to the frequency data.

(*iv*) **Compare Metrics :** Evaluate metrics such as $f_{\text{avg}}$, $\sigma_f$, and $m_f$.

## 11.4 Applications

Intra-burst frequency analysis is widely used in :

— **Neuroscience :** Understanding synaptic plasticity, network dynamics, and neuronal adaptation during bursts.

— **Signal Processing :** Characterizing transient patterns in time-series data.

— **Machine Learning :** Identifying patterns in spiking data for classification or prediction.

## 11.5 Example : Burst Frequency Dynamics

Consider a burst with spikes at times $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N\}$ :

— Compute the sequence of instantaneous frequencies $f(t_i)$.

— Visualize $f(t_i)$ as a function of $t_i$ to observe trends.

— Fit an exponential or linear model to describe frequency changes.

## 12 Models of Intra-Burst Frequency Variation

There are several models and studies that address intra-burst frequency variation in neuronal activity. These provide insights into the mechanisms and characteristics of burst firing patterns, including variations in intra-burst frequencies.

### 12.1 Komendantov-Kononenko Model

The Komendantov-Kononenko model is a conductance-based neuron model capable of generating and recognizing intraburst neural signatures. This model incorporates :
— Mechanisms for slow-wave generation
— Spike generation
— Calcium currents

These features allow the model to exhibit rich slow-fast dynamics observed in various neuron types [17] FAUX ?.

### 12.2 Firing Statistics-Based Algorithm

Kapucu et al. (2012) proposed a firing statistics-based algorithm for burst detection in neuronal networks with highly variable action potential dynamics. This algorithm :
— Adapts to different intra-burst interval (ISI) distributions
— Accounts for variations in intra-burst frequencies

The algorithm provides a more flexible approach to burst detection in networks with diverse firing patterns [13].

### 12.3 Chattering Cells

Research on chattering cells has demonstrated their ability to generate bursts with :
— Intraburst frequencies ranging from 300 to 750 Hz
— Interburst frequencies of 10-80 Hz

This showcases the capability of certain neuron types to produce varying intra-burst frequencies gray1996 FAUX perplexity.

### 12.4 Climbing Fibers

Studies on climbing fibers have revealed their ability to transmit signals by :
— Modulating their low interburst frequency
— Varying the number of spikes within each high-frequency burst

This suggests that intra-burst frequency variation can serve as a mechanism for information encoding [**mathy2009a**] FAUX ? (perplexity).

## 13 Analysis of Intra-Burst Frequency Evolution

▸ Wilson et Emerson 2002, "Spike detection : a review and comparison of algorithms." [32]

identifying bursts in neuronal spike trains.

[33]

[24]

[4]

The classical references for Burst Detection Methods in neuronal activity analysis are :

Legendy and Salcman's method [18] : This is one of the earliest and most widely cited methods for burst detection. It introduced the concept of using the Poisson surprise statistic to identify bursts.

Cocatre-Zilgien and Delcomyn's method [6] : This method proposed using interspike interval (ISI) histograms to identify bursts, which became a foundational approach for many subsequent algorithms.

Tam's method [28] : This algorithm introduced the use of the discharge density to detect bursts, which was particularly useful for analyzing bursts in spike trains with varying firing rates.

Selinger et al.'s method [27] : This method, often referred to as the "MaxInterval" method, uses predefined parameters to identify bursts based on interspike intervals. It has been widely used due to its simplicity and effectiveness.

Pasquale et al.'s method [23] : This approach introduced the use of the logarithmic inter-spike interval histogram for burst detection, which has been particularly useful for analyzing bursts in developing neuronal networks.

Review articles [7, 8], see also [23]

Here are recent references about Burst Detection Methods :

1. Ardelean et al. [2] compare several burst detection algorithms, including ISIn, ISI Rank threshold, Max Interval, Cumulative Moving Average, Rank Surprise, and Poisson Surprise. They also propose a novel method that considers additional features beyond spike timestamps [1].

2. Hernandes et al. [12] present autoMEA, a machine learning-based software for automated burst detection in multi-electrode array (MEA) datasets. Their approach uses ML models to replicate expert decision-making in parameter selection for the MaxInterval method [12].

3. A study published in 2024 developed an open-source toolkit for characterizing oscillatory burst detection algorithms. This method includes four processing steps that can be tailored to specific brain states and individuals [5].

4. Karvat et al.[15] introduced a real-time data analysis system for detecting short narrowband bursts in local field potentials, specifically focusing on beta-band bursts in rat motor cortex [1].

5. Seedat et al. [26] proposed a methodology for detecting transient bursts in neuronal populations, focusing on transient spectral 'bursts' in functional connectivity [1].

These recent studies showcase the ongoing development and refinement of burst detection methods in neuronal activity analysis, with a trend towards automated, machine learning-based approaches and methods that can be tailored to specific types of neural data.

People to follow Eugen-Richard Ardelean [github] [21]

# 14 Analysis of Intra-Burst Frequency Evolution
## 14.1 Instantaneous Frequency Analysis

To analyze the evolution of spike frequency within a burst, we first compute the inter-spike intervals (ISI), defined as :

$$\text{ISI}_i = t_{i+1} - t_i \tag{1}$$

where $t_i$ represents the spike time of the $i$-th spike.

The instantaneous frequency is then calculated as :

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\text{ISI}_i} \tag{2}$$

where $f_i$ represents the firing rate at each spike time.

## 14.2 Trend Analysis within Bursts

To determine whether the firing frequency is increasing, decreasing, or follows a more complex evolution, we can fit a linear regression model :

$$f(t) = at + b \tag{3}$$

where $a$ represents the slope of the frequency trend. If $a > 0$, the frequency increases over time, while $a < 0$ indicates a decreasing trend.

For non-linear patterns (e.g., an increase followed by a decrease), we can use polynomial regression :

$$f(t) = at^2 + bt + c \tag{4}$$

where a significant quadratic coefficient ($a \neq 0$) suggests a biphasic trend.

## 14.3 Change Point Detection

To identify abrupt changes in firing rate within a burst, we can use **change point detection algorithms**, such as :

— Bayesian Change Point Analysis
— Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) Algorithm
— Ruptures Library (Python)

These methods help detect time points where the firing frequency significantly shifts.

## 14.4 Clustering of Burst Dynamics

Different bursts may follow distinct frequency evolution patterns. To categorize them, we can apply clustering techniques such as :

— K-means clustering : Groups bursts based on their frequency evolution
— Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) : Models state transitions in bursting behavior

## 14.5 Conclusion

Analyzing intra-burst frequency evolution provides insight into the underlying neuronal dynamics. Methods such as **instantaneous frequency analysis, trend analysis, change point detection, and clustering** allow for a comprehensive characterization of bursts.

# 15 Classification of Bursts Based on Frequency Evolution

To classify bursts according to the evolution of their intra-burst spike frequency, we define three main categories :

($i$) **Monotonically Decreasing Frequency :** Bursts where the spike frequency statistically decreases over time. These bursts exhibit an increasing inter-spike interval (ISI), meaning that the neurons tend to fire at progressively lower rates within the burst. Mathematically, this condition is expressed as :

$$\frac{df}{dt} < 0, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \frac{d\text{ISI}}{dt} > 0. \tag{5}$$

A significant negative slope ($a < 0$) in a linear regression model fitted to the instantaneous frequency over time indicates a decreasing burst.

($ii$) **Biphasic (Increasing-then-Decreasing) Frequency :** Bursts where the spike frequency initially increases and then decreases within the burst. These bursts exhibit a non-monotonic trend, often following a parabolic trajectory. This behavior can be detected by fitting a second-degree polynomial model :

$$f(t) = at^2 + bt + c, \tag{6}$$

where a statistically significant negative quadratic coefficient ($a < 0$) confirms an initial increase followed by a decrease. Additionally, change point detection methods (e.g., Bayesian Change Point Analysis) can be used to identify the transition point from increasing to decreasing frequency.

($iii$) **Other Frequency Patterns :** Bursts that do not fit into the above categories. These may include :

— Constant frequency bursts, where there is no significant statistical trend in frequency evolution.
— Erratic bursts with no clear structure in frequency evolution.
— Bursts with multiple peaks or oscillatory frequency changes.

These cases may be best analyzed using clustering techniques such as k-means or Hidden Markov Models (HMMs).

## 15.1 Classification Methods

To automatically classify bursts into the above categories, we apply the following methods :

— **Linear Regression :** If the fitted slope ($a$) of the instantaneous frequency is significantly negative, classify the burst as a "monotonically decreasing" type.
— **Polynomial Regression :** If a quadratic fit produces a significant negative coefficient ($a < 0$) and a peak in the middle of the burst, classify the burst as "biphasic."
— **Change Point Detection :** Algorithms such as the Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) method or the PELT algorithm from the `ruptures` library in Python can identify bursts with abrupt changes in firing rate.
— **Clustering (K-means, HMMs) :** Unsupervised learning methods can be used to detect more complex patterns that do not fit simple regression models.

## 15.2 Application and Interpretation

Classifying bursts based on intra-burst frequency evolution helps in understanding the underlying neural dynamics. Monotonically decreasing bursts may correspond to neural fatigue or adaptation, while biphasic bursts might indicate excitatory-inhibitory interactions. Other patterns may reflect external modulation or noise effects.

# 16 Functional Data Analysis Approach to Burst Classification

Functional Data Analysis (FDA) provides a powerful framework for classifying bursts based on the evolution of their instantaneous spiking rate. Instead of analyzing individual time points, FDA treats the entire firing rate evolution as a continuous function, allowing for smooth estimation, dimensionality reduction, and classification.

## 16.1 Estimating the Instantaneous Spiking Rate Curve

Given a set of spike times $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ within a burst, we estimate the instantaneous spiking rate $f(t)$ using kernel density estimation (KDE) or spline smoothing. A common choice is a Gaussian kernel :

$$\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_h(t - t_i), \tag{7}$$

where $K_h(t)$ is a Gaussian kernel with bandwidth $h$, defined as :

$$K_h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2h^2}\right). \tag{8}$$

Alternatively, cubic spline smoothing can be applied to obtain a functional representation of the spike rate :

$$\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_j B_j(t), \tag{9}$$

where $B_j(t)$ are basis functions (e.g., B-splines), and $\beta_j$ are fitted coefficients.

## 16.2 Dimensionality Reduction and Feature Extraction

To classify bursts, we extract meaningful features from the estimated spiking rate functions :

— **Functional Principal Component Analysis (fPCA) :** Decomposes the firing rate curves into a small set of principal components, capturing dominant variation patterns.
— **Shape Descriptors :** Features such as peak frequency, time to peak, and curvature can help distinguish burst types.
— **Derivative-Based Features :** The first and second derivatives of $\hat{f}(t)$ can highlight increasing, decreasing, or biphasic trends.

## 16.3 Classification Methods

Once bursts are represented in a lower-dimensional functional space, we apply classification algorithms :

— **Clustering (K-means, Hierarchical Clustering) :** Groups bursts with similar firing rate evolution patterns.
— **Supervised Learning (SVM, Random Forest) :** Trains models on labeled bursts to predict new classifications.
— **Functional Discriminant Analysis (FDA) :** Extends classical discriminant analysis to classify functions rather than discrete variables.

## 16.4 Application and Interpretation

By analyzing bursts through FDA, we can capture smooth trends and classify them based on their global temporal structure. This approach allows for a more robust classification compared to pointwise ISI analysis, making it particularly useful for detecting complex burst dynamics in electrophysiology data.

## 17 dddd

Here are relevant references for statistical analysis of bursts in neural electrophysiological data, focusing on burst detection and classification :

Burst detection :

Kapucu et al. [13] propose an adaptive firing statistics-based algorithm for detecting bursts in developing neuronal networks1. This method is particularly useful for networks with highly variable action potential dynamics, such as those derived from human embryonic stem cells.

Karvat et al. [15] present a real-time data analysis system capable of detecting short narrowband bursts in local field potentials (LFPs)2. Their method demonstrates the ability to identify beta-band bursts in rat motor cortex and use them for neurofeedback.

Cotterill et al. [7] provide a comprehensive comparison of eight existing methods for identifying bursts in neuronal spike trains3. This study offers an unbiased quantitative assessment of various burst detection algorithms.

Burst classification :

Pasquale et al. [23] introduce a method using the logarithmic histogram of interspike intervals (ISIs) to calculate an ISI threshold for detecting and classifying bursts1. This approach has been applied to rat cortical neurons and can potentially be adapted for other types of neuronal networks.

Karvat et al.'s [15] real-time burst detection system also allows for the classification of bursts based on their frequency content, specifically focusing on beta-band (15-30 Hz) oscillations2. This method enables the investigation of frequency-specific burst phenomena.

Advanced analysis techniques :

Seedat et al. propose a novel methodology for burst identification and connectivity analysis6. Their approach focuses on transient spectral 'bursts' in functional connectivity, which is crucial for investigating neural oscillations. These references provide a solid foundation for understanding and implementing statistical analyses of bursts in neural electrophysiological data, covering both detection and classification aspects. frontiersin.org

## 18 eee

Yes, there is specific work on intraburst analysis and analysis of spike rates during bursts. Several studies have focused on these aspects :

Intraburst fingerprints and signatures :

Researchers have investigated the ability of neurons to detect specific presynaptic activation sequences using intraburst fingerprints1. These intraburst neural signatures are characterized by distinctive interspike interval (ISI) patterns within bursts1.

Spike rate within bursts (SRWB) :

Studies have analyzed the spike rate within bursts as a metric for characterizing neural activity. SRWB is calculated as the total number of spikes occurring during bursts within an episode divided by the total duration of the bursts2.

Intraburst ISI analysis :

The time intervals between consecutive spikes within a burst (intraburst ISIs) are crucial for burst detection and characterization. These intervals typically range from 2-3ms to 7-9ms3.

Correlation analysis of intraburst spikes :

Research has shown that correlations between intraburst sub-spikes are higher than those between burst sub-spikes and tonic activity of the same channel. This is due to the more similar waveform shapes of sub-spikes originating from the same neuron3.

Adaptive burst detection methods :

Some algorithms, like the Cumulative Moving Average (CMA) method, have been developed to analyze intraburst dynamics in networks with highly variable action potential dynamics, such as those derived from human embryonic stem cells5.

Burst size analysis :

Studies have examined the distribution of burst sizes (number of spikes per burst) in neurons, providing insights into intraburst spike patterns6.

Intraburst spike count and synaptic efficacy :

Research has shown that the number of intraburst spikes can influence synaptic efficacy, with post-synaptic cells able to distinguish events based on the number of preceding short interspike intervals7.

These studies demonstrate the importance of intraburst analysis in understanding neural coding and information processing in neuronal networks.

# 19 Some Python packages

**Neo** : conçu pour lire et écrire des fichiers multicanaux de données électrophysiologiques dans divers formats (NeuroExplorer, Spike2, Blackrock, Plexon), et compatible avec Elephant et SpikeInterface pour les analyses avancées.
```
import neo
```

**Elephant** : basé sur Neo, Elephant fournit des outils d'analyse statistique et temporelle, particulièrement utiles pour la détection de pointes, l'analyse de corrélations et la synchronisation neuronale.
```
import elephant
```

**PySpike** : permet l'analyse des trains de pointes neuronales pour mesurer la similarité temporelle, utilisé pour analyser les schémas de décharge dans les signaux électrophysiologiques.
```
import pyspike
```

**SpikeInterface** : un ensemble d'outils pour le tri, le traitement et l'analyse des pointes dans les signaux électrophysiologiques.
```
import spikeinterface
```

La bibliothèque pyABF en Python est conçue pour manipuler les fichiers ABF (Axon Binary File), un format couramment utilisé dans les expériences d'électrophysiologie, en particulier pour les enregistrements neuronaux. Ces fichiers, générés par le logiciel pClamp de Molecular Devices, sont idéaux pour stocker des données temporelles d'expériences de stimulation-réponse.

Fonctionnalités de pyABF Avec pyABF, vous pouvez :

Lire et accéder aux données : extraction des balayages (sweeps), canaux, et informations de temps. Obtenir les métadonnées : fréquence d'échantillonnage, nombre de balayages et protocoles expérimentaux. Visualiser les signaux électrophysiologiques en combinaison avec des bibliothèques comme matplotlib. Exemple de code en pyABF Voici un exemple de base pour charger et visualiser des données dans un fichier ABF avec pyABF :
```
import pyabf
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Charger le fichier ABF
abf = pyabf.ABF("exemple.abf")

# Accéder au temps (en secondes)
#     et aux données du premier balayage
temps = abf.sweepX
donnees = abf.sweepY

# Tracer les données
plt.plot(temps, donnees)
plt.xlabel("Temps (s)")
plt.ylabel("Amplitude")
plt.title("Visualisation des données ABF")
plt.show()
```

Dans cet exemple, les propriétés `.sweepX` et `.sweepY` de `pyABF` fournissent des accès simplifiés aux valeurs de temps et d'amplitude, permettant une visualisation rapide du signal.

Utilisation en recherche `pyABF` est particulièrement utile pour les neuroscientifiques et les électrophysiologistes qui manipulent des données ABF en Python. Associée à des bibliothèques comme NumPy et SciPy pour le traitement de signal, ou matplotlib pour la visualisation, elle devient un outil puissant pour l'analyse et l'exploitation des données expérimentales.

Neo : Neo est une bibliothèque qui prend en charge de nombreux formats de données neuroscientifiques (ABF, Spike2, NeuroExplorer, etc.). Elle facilite la manipulation des signaux en les structurant dans des objets cohérents (segments, canaux, etc.). Elle est souvent utilisée en conjonction avec d'autres bibliothèques de traitement de signal. SpikeInterface : Cet ensemble de bibliothèques est spécialisé dans l'analyse et le tri des spikes (potentiels d'action). SpikeInterface permet le chargement de données de différents formats, l'extraction de spikes, et le tri automatique pour des analyses neuroscientifiques avancées. MNE-Python : Bien que principalement utilisé pour l'analyse d'EEG/MEG, MNE est aussi pertinent pour l'électrophysiologie, notamment dans le traitement de signaux, la visualisation et l'analyse de données cérébrales. pywavelets : Bien que ce soit une bibliothèque générique de traitement de signal, pywavelets est souvent utilisée pour l'analyse des signaux neuronaux en raison de sa capacité à décomposer les signaux en ondelettes, permettant l'analyse des composants de fréquence. Elephant : Spécifiquement conçu pour l'analyse des données de neurophysiologie, Elephant offre des outils d'analyse pour les signaux neuronaux, y compris les méthodes de calcul de corrélation, le traitement de la synchronisation des spikes et l'analyse spectrale.

https://pyforneuro.com

https://neuraldatascience.io/ This online textbook is aimed primarily at students and researchers in neuroscience and cognitive psychology who want to learn how to work with and make sense of data using Python. Aaron J. Newman, Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Dalhousie University,

github repo

https://mrgreene09.github.io/computational-neuroscience

https://github.com/analyticalmonk/awesome-neuroscience

https://mark-kramer.github.io/Case-Studies-Python/01.html

# 20 Références

[1]   A.-I. Ardelean, E.-R. Ardelean, V. V. Moca, R. C. Mureşan et M. Dînşoreanu. "Burst detection in neuronal activity". In : *2023 IEEE 19th International Conference on Intelligent Computer Communication and Processing (ICCP)*, 349-356. 2023.

[2]   E.-R. Ardelean, A. Coporîie, A.-M. Ichim, M. Dînşoreanu et R. C. Mureșan. "A study of autoencoders as a feature extraction technique for spike sorting". *PLoS ONE*, 18 (3) : e0282810. 2023.

[3]   D. Brüderle et al. "Establishing a novel modeling tool: a python-based interface for a neuromorphic hardware system". *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 3 : 17. 2009. [link].

[4]   Z. Chen. "An overview of Bayesian methods for neural spike train analysis". *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*. 2013.

[5]   Z. Chen et al. "Approaches to characterizing oscillatory burst detection algorithms for electrophysiological recordings." *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 391 : 109865. 2023.

[6]   J. Cocatre-Zilgien et F. Delcomyn. "Identification of bursts in spike trains". *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 41 (1) : 19-30. 1992. [link].

[7]   E. Cotterill, P. Charlesworth, C. W. Thomas, O. Paulsen et S. J. Eglen. "A comparison of computational methods for detecting bursts in neuronal spike trains and their application to human stem cell-derived neuronal networks." *Journal of neurophysiology*, 116 (2) : 306-321. 2016.

[8]   E. Cotterill et S. J. Eglen. "In Vitro Neuronal Networks From Culturing Methods to Neuro-Technological Applications". In : *chiappalone2019a*. Sous la dir. de M. Chiappalone, V. Pasquale et M. Frega. 2019.

[9]   A. Davison et al. "PyNN: a common interface for neuronal network simulators". *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 2 : 11. 2009. [link].

[10]   C. T. Ellis, M. Lesnick, G. Henselman-Petrusek, B. Keller et J. D. Cohen. "Feasibility of topological data analysis for event-related fMRI". *Network Neuroscience*, 3 (3) : 695-706. 2019. [link].

[11]   J. Eppler, M. Helias, E. Muller, M. Diesmann et M.-O. Gewaltig. "PyNEST: a convenient interface to the NEST simulator". *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 2 : 12. 2009. [link].

[12]   V. Hernandes et al. "autoMEA: machine learning-based burst detection for multi-electrode array datasets". *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 18. 2024. [link].

[13]   F. E. Kapucu et al. "Neuronal network burst detection based on adaptive firing statistics". *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 9 (5) : 056010. 2012.

[14]   F. E. Kapucu et al. "Burst analysis tool for developing neuronal networks exhibiting highly varying action potential dynamics." *Front Comput Neurosci*, 6 : 38. 2012.

[15]   G. Karvat, M. Alyahyay et I. Diester. "Real-time detection of narrowband oscillation bursts facilitates closed-loop stimulation of cortical areas in awake rats". *eLife*, 11 : e68148. 2022.

[16]   R. E. Kass. "Contributions to Analysis of Neural Spike Train Data". *Carnegie Mellon University*. 2023. [link].

[17]   A. O. Komendantov et N. I. Kononenko. "Deterministic chaos in mathematical model of pacemaker activity in bursting neurons of snail, Helix pomatia." *Journal of theoretical biology*, 183 (2) : 219-230. 1996.

[18]   C. R. Legéndy et M. Salcman. "Bursts and recurrences of bursts in the spike trains of spontaneously active striate cortex neurons." *Journal of neurophysiology*, 53 (4) : 926-939. 1985.

[19]   P. J. Mineault et The Good Research Code Handbook Community. *The Good Research Code Handbook*. Zenodo. 2021. [link].

[20]   E. Muller et al., éd. *Python in Neuroscience*. Frontiers Media. 2015.

[21]   D. B. Mureșan et al. "Spike sorting using Superlets: Evaluation of a novel feature space for the discrimination of neuronal spikes". In : *2022 IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Computer Communication and Processing (ICCP)*, 229-235. 2022.

[22]   E. L. Nylen et P. Wallisch. *Neural Data Science. A Primer with MATLAB and Python*. Academic Press. 2017.

[23]   V. Pasquale, P. Massobrio, L. L. Bologna, M. Chiappalone et S. Martinoia. "Self-organization and neuronal avalanches in networks of dissociated cortical neurons". *Neuroscience*, 153 (4) : 1354-1369. 2010.

[24]   F. Rieke, D. Warland, R. de Ruyter van Steveninck et W. Bialek. *Spikes : Exploring the Neural Code*. The MIT Press. 1999.

[25]   M. Sauer et W. Stannat. "Reliability of signal transmission in stochastic nerve axon equations." *Journal of computational neuroscience*, 40 (1) : 103-111. 2016.

[26]   Z. A. Seedat et al. "Detecting transient bursts in neuronal populations". *NeuroImage*, 206 : 116333. 2020.

[27]   J. V. Selinger, N. V. Kulagina, T. J. O'Shaughnessy, W. Ma et J. J. Pancrazio. "Finding and using neuronal rhythms". *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 1 : 213-222. 2007.

[28]   D. C. Tam. "Detection of bursts in extracellular spike trains using hidden semi-Markov point process models". *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 122 (1) : 45-67. 2002.

[29]   I. A. Välkki, K. Lenk, J. E. Mikkonen, F. E. Kapucu et J. A. K. Hyttinen. "Network-Wide Adaptive Burst Detection Depicts Neuronal Activity with Improved Accuracy." *Front Comput Neurosci*, 11 : 40. 2017.

[30]   W. Van Geit et al. "BluePyOpt: leveraging open source software and cloud infrastructure to optimise model parameters in neuroscience". *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 10. 2016.

[31]   G. Viejo et al. "Pynapple, a toolbox for data analysis in neuroscience". *eLife*, 12 : RP85786. 2023.

[32]   S. B. Wilson et R. Emerson. "Spike detection: a review and comparison of algorithms." *Clin Neurophysiol*, 113 (12) : 1873-1881. 2002.

[33]   J. Wu et al. "A normalized template matching method for improving spike detection in extracellular voltage recordings". *Scientific Reports*, 9 (1) : 12087. 2019.