

An introduction to t-SNE

Weekly AI pills

Fabio Brau.

2020-11-13

SSSA, Emerging Digital Technologies, Pisa.

ISTITUTO
DI TECNOLOGIE DELLA
COMUNICAZIONE,
DELL'INFORMAZIONE
E DELLA
PERCEZIONE



Scuola Superiore
Sant'Anna



1. Entropy and Kullback–Leibler divergence
2. From SNE to t-SNE
3. Application for Visualization
4. Issues




(t)-Stochastic Neighborhood Embedding

Main Papers

- Stochastic Neighbor Embedding
- Hinton & Roweis - 2002
- Visualizing Data using t-SNE -
Maaten & Hinton - 2008

Aims

- Dimensionality Reduction
- Data Visualization
 1. Exploration
 2. Clusters Visualization



Example of Data Visualization
taken from (Tasic et al., 2018)

SNE Algorithm



SNE algorithm: Workflow

1. Represent each sample $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ with $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ in a low-dimensional space

$$\Phi : \underset{\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n}{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \underset{\subseteq \mathbb{R}^2}{\mathcal{Y}}$$

2. Convert **Geometrical Information** to **Probabilistic Distribution**

p_{ij} : “Probability that x_i is *similar* to x_j ”

q_{ij} : “Probability that y_i is *similar* to y_j ”

3. Compare distribution \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{X} to distribution \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{Y}
4. Adjust the representation Φ to make distributions closer.

Observation

The embedding Φ is defined point-wise, i.e Φ is only defined over \mathcal{X} through the definition $\Phi(x_i) = y_i$. Another way to say “ y_i are the parameters of Φ ”.

SNE algorithm: Workflow

1. Represent each sample $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ with $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ in a low-dimensional space

$$\Phi : \underset{\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n}{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \underset{\subseteq \mathbb{R}^2}{\mathcal{Y}}$$

2. Convert **Geometrical Information** to **Probabilistic Distribution**

$p_{i|j}$: “Probability that x_i is *similar* to x_j ”

$q_{i|j}$: “Probability that y_i is *similar* to y_j ”

3. Compare distribution \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{X} to distribution \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{Y}
4. Adjust the representation Φ to make distributions closer.

Observation

The embedding Φ is defined **point-wise**, i.e Φ is only defined over \mathcal{X} through the definition $\Phi(x_i) = y_i$. Another way to say “ y_i are the parameters of Φ ”.

SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution

Definition (Similarity with Gaussian Kernel)

For each x_i we consider the similarity

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|x_i - x_k\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)} \quad (\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where σ_i is an hyper-parameter depending on x_i .

How σ_i impacts on p_{ij} ?

SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution

Definition (Similarity with Gaussian Kernel)


For each x_i we consider the similarity

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|x_i - x_k\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)} \quad (\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where σ_i is an hyper-parameter depending on x_i .

How σ_i impacts on p_{ij} ?

Similarity to (0,0) for sigma=0.1



SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution

Definition (Similarity with Gaussian Kernel)


For each x_i we consider the similarity

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad p_{i|j} = \frac{\exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|x_i - x_k\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)} \quad (\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where σ_i is an hyper-parameter depending on x_i .

How σ_i impacts on $p_{i|j}$?

Similarity to (0,0) for sigma=0.2



SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution


Definition (Similarity with Gaussian Kernel)

For each x_i we consider the similarity

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|x_i - x_k\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)} \quad (\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where σ_i is an hyper-parameter depending on x_i .

How σ_i impacts on p_{ij} ?



SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution


Definition (Similarity with Gaussian Kernel)

For each x_i we consider the similarity

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|x_i - x_k\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)} \quad (\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where σ_i is an hyper-parameter depending on x_i .

How σ_i impacts on p_{ij} ?



SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution


Definition (Similarity with Gaussian Kernel)

For each x_i we consider the similarity

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|x_i - x_k\|^2 / (2\sigma_i)^2)} \quad (\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where σ_i is an hyper-parameter depending on x_i .

How σ_i impacts on p_{ij} ?



SNE algorithm: Similarity and Probability Distribution

In the same manner we can define similarity in \mathcal{Y} .

$$\forall j \neq i, \quad q_{i|j} = \frac{\exp(-\|y_i - y_j\|^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|y_i - y_k\|^2)} \quad (\mathcal{Q}_i)$$

Observation

\mathcal{P}_i and \mathcal{Q}_i are probability distributions for each i .

1. $0 \leq p_{i|j}, q_{i|j} \leq 1$ for each $j \neq i$
2. $\sum_{j \neq i} p_{i|j} = \sum_{j \neq i} q_{i|j} = 1$



SNE algorithm: Kullback-Leibler Divergence

Definition (K.L Divergence)

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ distributions

$$KL(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \sum_i p_i \log_2 \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \quad (1)$$

We can compare $\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i$ for each i by taking

$$C(\mathcal{Y}) := \sum_i KL(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i) \quad (2)$$

Observation: The cost function C is differentiable in y_i

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial y_i} = 2 \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\underbrace{(p_{ij} + p_{ji}) (y_i - y_j)}_{\text{Attractive}} \right] - \left[\underbrace{(q_{ij} + q_{ji}) (y_i - y_j)}_{\text{Repulsive}} \right] \quad (3)$$

Intepretation: C penalizes close x_i, x_j and far y_i, y_j .

SNE algorithm: Kullback-Leibler Divergence

Definition (K.L Divergence)

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ distributions

$$KL(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \sum_i p_i \log_2 \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \quad (1)$$

We can compare $\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i$ for each i by taking

$$C(\mathcal{Y}) := \sum_i KL(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i) \quad (2)$$

Observation: The cost function C is differentiable in y_i

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial y_i} = 2 \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\underbrace{(p_{ij} + p_{ji}) (y_i - y_j)}_{\text{Attractive}} \right] - \left[\underbrace{(q_{ij} + q_{ji}) (y_i - y_j)}_{\text{Repulsive}} \right] \quad (3)$$

Intepretation: C penalizes close x_i, x_j and far y_i, y_j .

SNE Algorithm

1. Choose $\Phi^{(0)}$ embedding, i.e choose $\{y_i^{(0)}\}$
2. Compute \mathcal{P}_i and \mathcal{Q}_i distributions.
3. Minimize $C(\mathcal{Y})$ through Gradient Descent with momentum

$$y_i^{(t+1)} = y_i^{(t)} - \eta \frac{\partial C}{\partial y_i} + \alpha (y_i^{(t)} - y_i^{(t-1)}), \quad \forall i$$

How to find σ_i ?

SNE Algorithm

1. Choose $\Phi^{(0)}$ embedding, i.e choose $\{y_i^{(0)}\}$
2. Compute \mathcal{P}_i and \mathcal{Q}_i distributions.
3. Minimize $C(\mathcal{Y})$ through Gradient Descent with momentum

$$y_i^{(t+1)} = y_i^{(t)} - \eta \frac{\partial C}{\partial y_i} + \alpha \left(y_i^{(t)} - y_i^{(t-1)} \right), \quad \forall i$$

How to find σ_i ?

Perplexity





Perplexity: Shannon Entropy and Neighborhood

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be a distribution

Shannon Entropy $\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{P}) = - \sum_i p_i \log_2(p_i)$

Perplexity $Perp(\mathcal{P}) = 2^{\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{P})}$

How σ_i and Perplexity are related to each other?



Perplexity measures the number of samples in a neighborhood.^a

^aOriginal paper doesn't provide a proof, let us take it as an intuition.

Perplexity: Derivation of σ

Observation

Perplexity of \mathcal{P}_i is continuous monotonic in σ_i .

Binary Search: Find σ_i such that $Perp(\mathcal{P}_i(\sigma_i)) = K$

$\sigma^{(l)}$ such that $Perp(\mathcal{P}_i(\sigma^{(l)})) < K$;

$\sigma^{(r)}$ such that $Perp(\mathcal{P}_i(\sigma^{(r)})) > K$;

while $|\sigma^{(r)} - \sigma^{(l)}| < \varepsilon$ **do**

$\bar{\sigma} \leftarrow (\sigma^{(l)} + \sigma^{(r)})/2$;

$p \leftarrow Perp(\mathcal{P}_i(\bar{\sigma}))$;

if $p < K$ **then**

$\sigma^{(l)} \leftarrow \bar{\sigma}$;

else

$\sigma^{(r)} \leftarrow \bar{\sigma}$;

end

end

return $\bar{\sigma}$

t-SNE is t+SNE



t-SNE: Symmetric + Student

In order to achieve better results Maaten & Hinton modified SNE by

1. Using Student t-distribution for \mathcal{Y}

$$\forall i, \quad q_{i|j} = \frac{(1 + \|y_i - y_j\|^2)^{-1}}{\sum_{k \neq i} (1 + \|y_i - y_k\|^2)^{-1}}, \quad \forall j \neq i$$

2. Using symmetric versions of $p_{i|j}$, $q_{i|j}$ by defining

$$p_{ij} = (p_{i|j} + p_{j|i}) / (2n), \quad q_{ij} = (q_{i|j} + q_{j|i}) / (2n)$$

so that $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{ij}\}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_{ij}\}$ are joint probabilities.

3. The cost function becomes

$$C(\mathcal{Y}) = KL(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$$


t-SNE: Cost Interpretation

Let us consider a dummy case $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Then


$$\text{SNE} \quad (\nabla C)_1 = 2(p_{1|2} + p_{2|1} - q_{1|2} - q_{2|1})(y_1 - y_2) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{t-SNE} \quad (\nabla C)_1 = 4(p_{12} - q_{12})(y_1 - y_2)(1 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2)^{-1}$$


Observation: Both depend only on $\|x_1 - x_2\|$ and $\|y_1 - y_2\|$.



(a) Gradient of SNE.



(b) Gradient of UNI-SNE.



(c) Gradient of t-SNE.

Image taken from Maaten & Hinton's paper representing $\|\nabla C\|$ for different distances in the input-space and in the embedding space.

Examples



t-SNE on cortex's cells




Image taken from Kobak-Barens paper.

An hand made hierarchical clustering of cortex's cell is visualized through t-SNE. PCA is able to find the main three clusters but not to distinguish the sub-clusters.

t-SNE on cortex' cells: Metrics Evaluations

- **KNN:** local metric. For each samples $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and its image $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ we take the first k-closest points K_i and H_i and we evaluate


$$KNN := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \sum_i \frac{|H_i \cap \Phi(K_i)|}{k}$$

- **KNC:** Cluster metric. The same as KNN but applied to c_1, \dots, c_s cluster's centroids.
- **CPD:** Global metric. Defined as the Spearman correlation.

These metrics in the figure 4 shows that t-SNE performs better in preserving local structures and worst in preserving the global structure of the data¹.

¹Kobak-Barens paper for more details.

t-SNE on MNIST




t-SNE Warnings



How to use t-SNE²


Hyper-Parameters really matter.



²In this section we will show the results in [Wattenberg - online paper](#).


How to use t-SNE

Cluster sizes in a t-SNE plot has no meaning.



How to use t-SNE

There is not control of the distances between clusters.



Different initializations produce visualizations within clusters at different distances.

How to use t-SNE


Sometimes topology is not preserved.



Original



Perplexity: 2
Step: 5,000



Perplexity: 5
Step: 5,000




Perplexity: 30
Step: 5,000




Perplexity: 50
Step: 5,000




Perplexity: 100
Step: 5,000




Original



Perplexity: 2
Step: 5,000




Perplexity: 5
Step: 5,000



Perplexity: 30
Step: 5,000



Perplexity: 50
Step: 5,000



Perplexity: 100
Step: 5,000

What is t-SNE good for

1. Exploring data making associations based on geometrical informations in the original space.
2. Providing intuition over the data that can be established with different techniques.

How to not use t-SNE

1. For evaluate distances between clusters.
2. For evaluate density of clusters.
3. Establish topological property.

Thanks for the attention.