



Scintillation Light in LArTPCs: Simulation and Reconstruction

Andrzej Szelc

University of Edinburgh

With: Diego Garcia-Gamez and Patrick Green

UK-LArTPC workshop in Lancaster, November 2022


Outline

- This talk will give an overview of how LArSoft deals with simulating light and why it's hard.
- I will mention a bit about reconstruction
- Next, Patrick will go through few a couple examples in the tutorial.

Simulation Flowchart (Legacy Version)

Each stage is a module


Each stage passes data products, “objects”, to the next stage.



Simulation Flowchart (New Version)

Each stage is a module

Each stage passes data products, “objects”, to the next stage.



Elements of Light Sim in a Nutshell




- **Light source:**
 - How many photons are generated?
 - What is their time distribution?
 - What is their wavelength?
- **Transport:**
 - How many photons make it to the detector?
 - How long does it take them?
 - Do they scatter/get absorbed etc?
- **Detection:**
 - What is our detection efficiency?
 - Does it depend on position on detector?
 - Are there any extra timing effects?

Different modes of simulation


- Full optical simulation (extremely slow)
 - Requires definition of all optical properties.
- Fast optical simulation (faster, but less precise)
 - Still need to run full optical at least once
 - Majority of optical properties "burned in"
 - Three primary methods exist: Semi-analytic, optical library, GANN.

Full optical light simulation

Isotropic emission:




Rayleigh scattering: $\langle \lambda_{RS} \rangle \approx 100\text{cm}$



Boundary processes:



Reflections and WLS
before absorption

$$L_{abs} = 20\text{ m}$$




In large detectors, the tracking of each individual photon is prohibitively long:
approaches need to be used →

Fast optical model: Optical Library




$$\langle N \rangle_{PMT-hits} = \left(\frac{dE}{dx}_{step} \cdot Length_{step} \right) \cdot LY \cdot visibility_{step}^{PMT}$$

- Resolution depends on voxel sizes: granularity effects at short distances
- Optical library size scales with detector size and number of photon detectors
- Prohibitive memory use for events with large energy depositions (i.e. cosmics)
- Difficult to get working in SBND and DUNE, so different approach currently used.




Fast optical model: Semi-Analytic



- Given a $dEdx$ in a point (x, y, z) we want to predict the number of hits in our optical detector (x_i, y_i, z_i)
- Isotropic scintillation emission makes the problem “almost” geometric

$$N_\Omega = e^{-\frac{d}{\lambda_{abs}}} \Delta E \cdot S_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \frac{\Omega}{4\pi}$$



λ_{abs} = LAr absorption length
 S_γ = Scintillation Yield
 \mathcal{E} = Electric Field
 Ω = Solid angle

- “Almost” because we have Rayleigh scattering
- We need to correct for it (and we do)

Full Optical Sim vs FastSim knobs

	Full Optical Sim	Fast Optical
Timing Constants	Tunable	Tunable
Energy Spectrum	Tunable	Tunable (although affects transport)
Ionization/Scintillation Yield	Tunable (handwavy implemented)	Tunable (handwavy implemented)
Rayleigh Scattering	Tunable	“Burned in”
Timing Parametrization	Not needed	“Burned in”/but separate
Material Properties	Tunable	“Burned In”
OnePhoton vs LitePhotons	chooseable	chooseable



- This table is for reference – we'll come back to it later.



Emission

Scintillation mechanism in LAr

- Recombination luminescence




- Self-trapped excitation luminescence




Scintillation wavelength in LAr

Ph. Rev. B 56 (1997), 6975

[lardataalg](#) / [lardataalg](#) / [DetectorInfo](#) / [larproperties.fcl](#)



In liquid argon, the overall spectrum is well represented by a gaussian shape, peaking around $\lambda = 128$ nm (FWHM $\simeq 6$ nm)



Scintillation signal shape in LAr

- In all measurements the overall scintillation light emission exhibits a double exponential behavior in time
- this is a result of excimer decays (at 90 K) characterized by two very different components: a *fast component*, with a time constant of $\tau_s \approx 6\text{ns}$, and by a *slow component*, with a time constant of $\tau_T \approx 1.3\mu\text{s}$

[lardataalg / lardataalg / DetectorInfo / larproperties.fcl](#)

```
ScintFastTimeConst: 6.      # fast scintillation time constant (ns)
(*)ScintSlowTimeConst: 1590. # slow scintillation time constant (ns)
```

{Warning: In the refactored
LArG4 decay times are
defined in a different place}

This is where SBND-specific parametrizations
live now:


[sbndcode/sbndcode/LArSoftConfigurations/opticalProperties_sbnd.fcl](#)

```
# Updating the triplet decay-time (Phys. Rev. C 91, 035503). Note that in our simulations we account
# independently for the TPB-delay time and the emission (fast and slow) decay times.
ScintSlowTimeConst: 1300. # slow scintillation time constant (ns)
```

Note:


{ A slow time constant value convolved with the
WLS-delay, results in a larger value.

In SBND we account for both decays separately



Scintillation yields


- The lifetimes of the fast and slow components agree within experimental uncertainties for different particles
- Light yield and fast/slow ratio depend on LET (the specific energy loss along the path)



Particle	τ_s	τ_T	I_s/I_T	Reference
Electron	6.3 ± 0.2 (5.0 ± 0.2)	1020 ± 60 (860 ± 30)	0.083 (0.045)	Kubota <i>et al.</i> ^a $(E = 6 \text{ kV/cm})^a$
	4.6	1540	0.26	Carvalho and Klein ^b
	4.18 ± 0.2	1000 ± 95		Keto <i>et al.</i> ^c
		1110 ± 50		Suemoto and Kanzaki ^d
	6 ± 2	1590 ± 100	0.3	This work
α	~ 5	1200 ± 100		Kubota <i>et al.</i> ^e
	4.4	1100	3.3	Carvalho and Klein ^b
	7.1 ± 1.0	1660 ± 100	1.3	This work
F.F.	6.8 ± 1.0	1550 ± 100	3	This work


[lardataalg](#) / [lardataalg](#) / [DetectorInfo](#) / [larproperties.fcl](#)

ScintYield: 24000. # total scintillation yield (ph/Mev)
 ScintYieldRatio: 0.3 # fast / slow scint ratio (needs revisiting)
ScintByParticleType: true # whether to use different yields and
Scintillation yields and fast/slow ratios per particle type
 MuonScintYield: 24000
 MuonScintYieldRatio: 0.23
 PionScintYield: 24000
 PionScintYieldRatio: 0.23
 ElectronScintYield: 20000
 ElectronScintYieldRatio: 0.27
 KaonScintYield: 24000
 KaonScintYieldRatio: 0.23
 ProtonScintYield: 19200
 ProtonScintYieldRatio: 0.29
 AlphaScintYield: 16800
 AlphaScintYieldRatio: 0.56



L vs Q and Electric Field

Excitation ratio
 $\alpha = N_{\text{exc}} / N_i = 0.21$



$$Q = N_e = N_i R$$

$$L = N_\gamma = N_{\text{ex}} + N_i(1 - R)$$

$$Q + L = N_{\text{ex}} + N_i = \frac{\Delta E}{W_{\text{ph}}} \quad (19.5 \pm 1.0) \text{ eV}$$

[larsim](#) / [larsim](#) / [IonizationScintillation](#) / [ISCalcCorrelated.cxx](#)

```
// using this recombination, calculate number of ionization electrons
double const num_electrons = (energy_deposit / fWion) * recomb;
```

```
// calculate scintillation photons
```


```
double const num_photons = (Nq - num_electrons) * fScintPreScale;
```

```
----- // calculate recombination survival fraction
```

```
if (fUseModBoxRecomb) {
    if (ds > 0) {
        double Xi = fModBoxB * dEdx / EFieldStep;
        recomb = log(fModBoxA + Xi) / Xi;
    }
    else {
        recomb = 0;
    }
}
else {
    recomb = fRecombA / (1. + dEdx * fRecombk / EFieldStep);
}
```

- Electric Fields applied to the LAr medium also affect the intensity weights of the decay components by the recombination (R)

Phys. Rev. B 20, 3486




Propagation



Scintillation light propagation

Scintillation (emission):




$$\left. \begin{aligned} Q &= N_e = N_i R, \\ L &= N_\gamma = N_{\text{ex}} + N_i(1 - R), \\ Q + L &= N_{\text{ex}} + N_i = \frac{\Delta E}{W_{\text{ph}}} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

We need how to get our number of detected photons and their arrival times \Rightarrow **Transport effects**


- Scintillation photons have energy lower than the first excited state of the Ar atom, therefore pure LAr is transparent to its own scintillation radiation
- However, during propagation through LAr VUV photons may undergo elastic interactions on Ar atoms \Rightarrow Rayleigh scattering
- Rayleigh Scattering affects, in a non negligible way, the light signals in our detectors in comparison with the “pure” emitted scintillation light
- It is important to understand/model it properly in liquid argon

Rayleigh Scattering in LArSoft

- Elastic scattering of photon with medium of particle $\sim 1/10$ size of the wavelength (change angle/direction)




- Small uncertainties in the index of refraction can drastically change the scattering length λ_{RS}



[lardataalg](#) / [lardataalg](#) / [DetectorInfo](#) / [larproperties.fcl](#)


```
# Refractive index as a function of energy (eV) from arXiv:2002.09346
RIndexEnergies: [ 1.18626, 1.68626, 2.18626, 2.68626, 3.18626, 3.68626, 4.18626, 4.68626, 5.18626,
RIndexSpectrum: [ 1.24664, 1.2205, 1.22694, 1.22932, 1.23124, 1.23322, 1.23545, 1.23806, 1.24116, 1
RayleighEnergies: [ 1.18626, 1.68626, 2.18626, 2.68626, 3.18626, 3.68626, 4.18626, 4.68626, 5.18626,
RayleighSpectrum: [ 1200800, 390747, 128633, 54969.1, 27191.8, 14853.7, 8716.9, 5397.42, 3481.37, 23
```

Fast optical model: Semi-Analytic



- Given a dE/dx in a point (x, y, z) we want to predict the number of hits in our optical detector (x_i, y_i, z_i)
- Isotropic scintillation emission makes the problem “almost” geometric

$$N_\Omega = e^{-\frac{d}{\lambda_{abs}}} \Delta E \cdot S_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \frac{\Omega}{4\pi}$$




λ_{abs} = LAr absorption length
 S_γ = Scintillation Yield
 \mathcal{E} = Electric Field
 Ω = Solid angle

- “Almost” because we have Rayleigh scattering


Transport corrections to light signals

Eur. Phys. J. C

(2021) 81:349



Border effects:




$$GH(d) = N_{\max} \left(\frac{d - d_0}{d_{\max} - d_0} \right)^{\frac{d_{\max} - d_0}{\Lambda}} e^{\frac{d_{\max} - d}{\Lambda}}$$

$$N_\gamma = N_\Omega \times GH'(d, \theta, d_T) / \cos(\theta)$$

Geometric estimation



Transport correction



Time structure of detected signals

Scintillation (emission):


$$0.3 \times \tau_{\text{fast}}(6 \text{ ns}) + 0.7 \times \tau_{\text{slow}}(1300 \text{ ns})$$



Propagation:

Direct transportation + Rayleigh Scattering

+





=

In “large” detectors transport effects will affect the effective time structure of the detected scintillation light

$$t_\gamma = t_E + t_t(d, \theta) + t_{WLS} + t_{det},$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_E = \text{emission time} \\ t_t = \text{transport time} \\ t_{WLS} = \text{WLS delay time} \\ t_{det} = \text{detector time} \end{array} \right.$$

Time structure of detected signals



$$t_t(x) = \underbrace{N_1 \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{\lambda s + s \log s} ds}_{\text{Landau}} + \underbrace{N_2 e^{\kappa x}}_{\text{Exponential}},$$

[larsim / larsim / PhotonPropagation / opticalsimparameterisations.fcl](#)



```
# VUV/DIRECT LIGHT: TIMING PARAMETERISATION
# Parameters of the Landau + Exponential (<= 350 cm) and Landau (> 350 cm) models
# Landau parameters
Distances_landau_generic: [0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175, 200, 225, 250, 275, 300, 325, 350, 375, 400]
Norm_over_entries_generic: [ [4.64837, 4.64837, 2.86581, 1.4143, 0.974871, 0.71311, 0.55772, 0.461078, 0
    0.297132, 0.297132, 0.297132, 0.297132], [3.43562, 3.43562, 1.61042, 0.981127, 0.64465, 0.476552, 0.369063, 0.310461, 0.264819, 0
    0.219076, 0.219076, 0.40163, 0.586531, 0.809466, 0.104547, 0.129261, 0.152731, 0.177939, 0.206664]
Mpv_generic: [ [2.73373, 2.73373, 3.599, 5.80141, 7.57883, 9.56959, 11.6047, 13.6676, 15.6126, 17.5389,
    21.3254, 21.3254], [2.19076, 2.19076, 4.0163, 5.86531, 8.09466, 10.4547, 12.9261, 15.2731, 17.7939, 20.6664]
```

[larsim / larsim / PhotonPropagation / PDFastSimPAR.fcl](#)



IncludePropTime: true

(Digression): Enhancing the Light Yield in LArTPCs

1. WLS-Coated reflector foils





2. Xenon doping



Semi-Analytic model extensions (available)

Eur. Phys. J. C

(2021) 81:349



$$N_{\Omega, \text{reflected}} = N_{\gamma, \text{direct}}(\Omega_c, d_c, \theta_c, d_T) \times Q_r \times \frac{\Omega_{PD}}{2\pi}$$

Number of photons incident on the cathode

$Q_{\text{WLS}} \times Q_{\text{foil}}$

PD-aperture as viewed by the bright spot

$$N_{\gamma, \text{reflected}} = N_{\Omega, \text{reflected}} \times A(d_c, \theta_c, d_T) / \cos(\theta_c)$$

PD-location + border correction

This block contains two equations and three annotations. The first equation relates the reflected photon count to the direct photon count, reflection coefficient, and PD aperture. The second equation relates the reflected photon count to the reflected photon count and a correction factor. Annotations explain the terms: $N_{\Omega, \text{reflected}}$ is the number of photons incident on the cathode; $Q_{\text{WLS}} \times Q_{\text{foil}}$ is the product of the WLS and foil quality factors; and Ω_{PD} is the PD aperture as viewed by the bright spot.

- LArSoft suits Semi-Analytic model simulation incorporating all of the extensions:
 - LAr and LXe wavelengths (doping)
 - Direct and Reflected light (foils)

Fast optical model: Semi-Analytic

[sbndcode/sbndcode/LarSoftConfigurations/opticalsimparameterisations_dune.fcl](#)


```
# ****
#      PARAMETERS SETS FOR SEMI-ANALYTIC SIMULATION ARE DEFINED HERE
# ****
BEGIN_PROLOG

# VUV/DIRECT LIGHT: TIMING PARAMETERISATION

# VUV/DIRECT LIGHT: NUMBER OF HITS CORRECTIONS
# SBND Gaisser-Hillas
# Includes Wires
# ****
# VIS semi-analytic model, specific to SBND
# ****

# VISIBLE/REFLECTED LIGHT: TIMING PARAMETERISATION

# VISIBLE/REFLECTED LIGHT: NUMBER OF HITS CORRECTIONS
```




More configurations
below.

Hybrid model for the photon propagation

- Semi-Analytic model has a limitation: only applicable inside the active volume (geometric approach)
- Simple idea to overcome the problem \Rightarrow **Hybrid model**: Semi-Analytic model inside the TPC + Op-Library outside


ZProjection

Currently implemented in
DUNE –VD and SBND



E-Field map in a TPC (SBND case example)

Warning: Light yield strongly depends on the Electric Filed value



- Inside the active volume EF is **constant** @ 0.5 kV/cm (nominal)
- In the top of the TPC EF values range from few kV/cm at the CPA location decreasing to ~0 at the APA.
- Behind APA (PD-plane) EF = 0 is a good approximation (**almost constant**)


(Digression): Cherenkov radiation in LAr

- A particle propagating in a medium with velocity greater than that of light in the medium produces an electromagnetic shock-wave with conic wavefront
- Photons are emitted with a precise angle with respect to particle direction

$$\frac{d^2N}{d\nu dx} = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{c} \sin^2 \theta_{\check{C}}$$

$\Rightarrow \int_{109nm}^{600nm} \text{(hard to detect)}$
 (LAr absorbed)
 \Rightarrow

$$\cos \theta_{\check{C}} = \frac{1}{\beta \cdot n_{Ar}(\lambda)}$$



NIM A 516 (2004) 348–363

$$R_{\check{C}} = \frac{dN_{\check{C}}/dx}{dN_{scint}/dx + dN_{\check{C}}/dx} = 2.4\%$$

- Can be considered a second order effect with respect to scintillation light emission
[sbndcode/sbndcode/LArSoftConfigurations/opticalproperties_sbnd.fcl](#)

EnableCerenkovLight: false # Cerenkov light OFF by default

Detection




Wavelength shifter in LArSoft

Processes handled by [G4OpWLS](#):

- ▶ Initial photon killed and a new one created with different wavelength
- ▶ User must provide:
 - Absorption length as function of photon energy
 - Emission spectrum as function of photon energy
 - Time delay between absorption and re-emission

The **WLSABSLENGTH** defines the absorption length which is the average distance travelled by a photon before it is absorbed by the TPB.



[lardataalg](#) / [lardataalg](#) / [DetectorInfo](#) / [larproperties.fcl](#)

```
# WLS - TPB properties original tpb [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0588, 0.235, 0.853, 1.0, 1.0, 0.9259, 0.704
TpbEmmisionEnergies: [0.05, 1.0, 1.5, 2.25, 2.481, 2.819, 2.952, 2.988, 3.024, 3.1, 3.14, 3.1807,
TpbEmmisionSpectrum: [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0588, 0.235, 0.853, 1.0, 1.0, 0.9259, 0.704, 0.0296, 0.011,
TpbAbsorptionEnergies: [0.05, 1.77, 2.0675, 7.42, 7.75, 8.16, 8.73, 9.78, 10.69, 50.39]
TpbAbsorptionSpectrum: [100000.0, 100000.0, 100000.0, 0.001, 0.0000000001, 0.0000000001, 0.0000000001,
```

Wavelength shifter time delay


Geant4 (G4OpWLS class) only simulates Delta or Exponential model (none is the case for TPB)

PHYSICAL REVIEW C **91**, 035503 (2015)

TABLE I. Decay times and relative abundances of the components found in the decomposition into exponentials of the response function of TPB to 127 nm photons. Only statistical errors from the fit are quoted.

	Decay time (ns)	Abundance (%)
Instantaneous component	1–10	60 ± 1
Intermediate component	49 ± 1	30 ± 1
Long component	3550 ± 500	8 ± 1
Spurious component	309 ± 10	2 ± 1

- If we want to use the Geant4 class then we would have to approach it by a single exponential (~ 6.2 ns):
 - We know this is not what we measure
 - It would also require adding a line in OpticalPhysics (model switching not possible via .fcl).
- In SBND we don't use the Geant4 WLS time simulation.



Photon simulation output objects

[lardataobj](#) / [lardataobj](#) / [Simulation](#) / **SimPhotons.h**

```
// This structure contains all the information per photon
// which entered the sensitive OpDet volume.

class OnePhoton
{
public:
    OnePhoton();

    bool SetInSD;
    TVector3 InitialPosition;
    TVector3 FinalLocalPosition; // in cm
    float Time;
    float Energy;
    int MotherTrackID;
};

class SimPhotons : public std::vector<OnePhoton>
```

```
class SimPhotonsLite
{
public:
    SimPhotonsLite();
    SimPhotonsLite(int chan)
        : OpChannel(chan)
    {}

    int OpChannel;
    std::map<int, int> DetectedPhotons;

    SimPhotonsLite& operator+=(const SimPhotonsLite &rhs);
    const SimPhotonsLite operator+(const SimPhotonsLite &rhs) const;

    bool operator==(const SimPhotonsLite &other) const;
};

// Define a OpDet Hit as a list of OpDet photons which were
// recorded in the OpDet volume.

class SimPhotons : public std::vector<OnePhoton>
```

- SimPhotons objects (collections of OnePhoton) save detailed information about each detected photon
- while SimPhotonsLite objects reduce memory and size at the price of keeping only the number of photons at a time-slot.
- The kind of object you want to save in your simulation is specified in the configuration file by the line:

```
services.LArG4Parameters.UseLitePhotons: true # false to save SimPhotons
```

Full Optical Sim vs FastSim knobs

	Full Optical Sim	Fast Optical
Timing Constants	Tunable	Tunable
Energy Spectrum	Tunable	Tunable (although affects transport)
Ionization/Scintillation Yield	Tunable (handwavy implemented)	Tunable (handwavy implemented)
Rayleigh Scattering	Tunable	“Burned in”
Timing Parametrization	Not needed	“Burned in”/but separate
Material Properties	Tunable	“Burned In”
OnePhoton vs LitePhotons	chooseable	chooseable

- Hopefully should make more sense now.

Detector effects


PMT digitisation: SBND case example

The PMT features included are: SER, electron transit time, transit time spread, saturation, baseline, dark noise, baseline noise, and pre-trigger.

[sbndcode](#) / [sbndcode](#) / [OpDetSim](#) / [digi_pmt_sbnd.fcl](#) 8" Hamamatsu PMT - R5912

sbnd_digipmt_alg:

```
{  
    PMTRiseTime:          3.8      #ns  
    PMTFallTime:          13.7     #ns  
    PMTMeanAmplitude:    0.9      #in pC  
    PMTBaselineRMS:       1.0      #in ADC  
    PMTDarkNoiseRate:    1000.0   #in Hz  
    TransitTime:          55.1     #ns  
    TTS:                  2.4      #Transit Time Spread in ns  
    CableTime:            135      #time delay of the 30 m long readout cable in ns  
    PMTChargeToADC:      -11.1927 #charge to adc factor  
    PMTSaturation:        300      #in number of p.e. to see saturation effects in the signal  
    PMTBaseline:          8000.0   #in ADC
```



```
SinglePEmodel:           false  # false for ideal PMT response, true for test bench measured response  
PMTDataFile:             "OpDetSim/digi_pmt_sbnd.root" # located in sbnd_data  
  
MakeGainFluctuations: true  
GainFluctuationsParams: @local::FirstDynodeGainFluctuations
```

In the backup

Each PE gets swapped
For an electronics
response (here
Constructed from
Parameters)
Noise then added to
waveform

Reconstruction

Optical signal reconstruction: OpHits


- The first stage of the optical reconstructions looks for pulses in the raw waveforms.
- The light pulses in LArSoft are stored in objects called OpHits.
- OpHits are found when the waveform is above certain threshold and held until continues to be so.
- Especially for SiPM signals this can lead to merging of visibly separate optical signals.
- OpHit Time is decided on the first arriving photon.

```
OpHit(); // Default constructor

private:

int          f0pChannel;
unsigned short fFrame;
double        fPeakTime;
double        fPeakTimeAbs;
double        fWidth;
double        fArea;
double        fAmplitude;
double        fPE;
double        fFastToTotal;
```

[lardataobj](#) / [lardataobj](#) / [RecoBase](#) / [OpHit.h](#)



Optical signal reconstruction: OpFlash

- OpHits from different photon detectors are combined into Flashes. These are analogous to clusters in the charge reconstruction, but matched in time rather than space
- Having a flash allows us to try to reconstruct the position of the particle that generated the light (roughly)

`private:`

- This can then be used to match the light signals to the reconstructed TPC tracks – Flash Matching

[lardataobj](#) / [lardataobj](#) / [RecoBase](#) / [OpFlash.h](#)

```
double           fTime { 0.0 }; ///
```


Flash Matching: OpTOfinder example

Flash matching goals:

- Identify a neutrino interaction from cosmic backgrounds
- Provide T_0 for each TPC interaction

The two ingredients for flash matching:

- Reconstructed Flashes
- TPC Objects (reconstructed objects in the TPC, i.e. Pandora's `recob::Slice`) \Rightarrow The flash matching code should match a TPC Object with its flash





Summary

- Optical simulation is tricky, need to cut some corners to get it working in LArSoft (size, number of photons -> Memory, CPU).
- Corners are cut, so there is always room for improvement.
- Applications of scintillation light are not fully developed – always lots of opportunities to do new things.

Have fun!


PMT Gain fluctuation

(Slide from F.J. Nicolás)

- Number of secondary electrons generated at each dynode: random variable

- Toy example:



- Consider 1e hits one of the dynode (with gain g_i)
- On average $\langle m \rangle = g_i$ with a standard deviation $\sigma = \sqrt{g_i}$
- This leads to fluctuations in the SER



- Approximations (approach directly taken from icaruscode) \Rightarrow Only takes into account fluctuations at first dynode:

$$\frac{\sigma_N^2}{\langle N \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{g_1} + \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{g_1 g_2 \dots g_n}$$


- $\langle N \rangle$: average number of electrons at the end of the multiplication chain (anode)
- σ_N^2 : fluctuations in the total number of electrons at the anode



(Parenthesis): Light Signal Deconvolution

- In SBND we have PMT (and XARAPUCA) readout with **AC coupling**: bipolar SER \Rightarrow This makes accurate light reconstruction **a challenge** (by F.J. Nicolás)
- OpDeconvolution module (in brief): **sbndcode / sbndcode / OpDetReco / OpDeconvolution /**


Starts with the **raw::OpDetWaveform** objects (from DetSim stage)



OpDeconvolution module

- Methods to:
 - Perform deconvolution (using FFT)
 - Baseline estimator
 - Reduce noise (waveforms smoothing and filtering in the frequency domain)

Produces deconvolved signals (also **raw::OpDetWaveform** objects) to be fed to downstream reco algorithms




Downstream reconstruction chain:

- Use **standard OpHit and OpFlash finder algorithms** to recover pulses \Rightarrow #PE, t0... using the deconvolved signals






- OpHit and OpFlash configuration file with refined parameters for deconvolved waveforms
- ⇒ **Performance: resolution better than ~5% and unbiased at the level of few %**



Optical Library parameters: voxelization scheme




[sbndcode / sbndcode / LArSoftConfigurations / photpropservices_sbnd.fcl](#)

```
# (Re)Defining the Optical Library information/files for the PD-fast HYBRID optical mode
sbnd_library_for_hybrid_mode_photonvisibilityservice:
{
    @table:::sbnd_library_vuv_vis_prop_timing_photonvisibilityservice
    LibraryFile: "OpticalLibrary/SBND_OpLibOUT_v2.00.root"
    NX: 66
    NY: 56
    NZ: 71
    UseCryoBoundary: false
    # IF UseCryoBoundary is set to true, then XMin, XMax, YMin, YMax, ZMin, ZMax
    # must be set to the same values as the cryo boundary
    XMin: -264
    XMax: 264
    YMin: -280
    YMax: 280
    ZMin: -60
    ZMax: 650
}
```




Semi-Analytic model performance

- Solves the problems of other approaches
- Photon propagation with no impact on memory (RAM) or simulation (CPU) time
- It models both (N_γ , time)
 - used in SBND and DUNE-SP simulations



E-Field x Visibility map in a TPC (SBND case example)



- Only behind APA visibilities are significant
- Current EF model in the hybrid approach: **500V/cm inside the TPC & 0V/cm anywhere else**