

We now come to a very fundamental issue: Given a language L and a string w, can we determine whether or not w is an element of L? This is the **membership** question and a method for answering it is called a membership algorithm.* Very little can be done with languages for which we cannot find efficient membership algorithms. The question of the existence and nature of membership algorithms will be of great concern in later discussions; it is an issue that is often difficult. For regular languages, though, it is an easy matter.

We first consider what exactly we mean when we say "given a language...." In many arguments, it is important that this be unambiguous. We have used several ways of describing regular languages: informal verbal descriptions, set notation, finite automata, regular expressions, and regular grammars. Only the last three are sufficiently well defined for use in theorems. We therefore say that a regular language is given in a standard representation if and only if it is described by a finite automaton, a regular expression, or a regular grammar.

Theorem 4.5	Given a standard representation of any regular language L on Σ and any $w \in \Sigma^*$, there exists an algorithm for determining whether or not w is in L .
	Proof: We represent the language by some dfa, then test w to see if it is accepted by this automaton. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.6

There exists an algorithm for determining whether a regular language, given in standard representation, is empty, finite, or infinite.

Proof: The answer is apparent if we represent the language as a transition graph of a dfa. If there is a simple path from the initial vertex to any final vertex, then the language is not empty.

To determine whether or not a language is infinite, find all the vertices that are the base of some cycle. If any of these are on a path from an initial to a final vertex, the language is infinite. Otherwise, it is finite.

Theorem 4.7

Given standard representations of two regular languages L_1 and L_2 , there exists an algorithm to determine whether or not $L_1 = L_2$.

Proof: Using L_1 and L_2 we define the language

$$L_3 = \left(L_1 \cap \overline{L_2}\right) \cup \left(\overline{L_1} \cap L_2\right).$$

By closure, L_3 is regular, and we can find a dfa M that accepts L_3 . Once we have M we can then use the algorithm in Theorem 4.6 to determine if L_3 is empty. But from Exercise 8, Section 1.1, we see that $L_3 = \emptyset$ if and only if $L_1 = L_2$.