

STAT 420: Quiz Assignment

Nico Kienawan, nicok2

4/30/2020

Collinearity

Consider the following model,

$$Y = 4 + 3X_1 + 2X_2 + X_3 + \epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon \sim N(\mu = 0, \sigma = 3)$.

Simulate a sample size of 100 observations from this model.

```
n = 100
set.seed(910)
x1 = runif(n, 0, 10)
x2 = runif(n, 0, 10)
y = function(x1, x2, x3) {4 + 3 * x1 + 2 * x2 + x3 + rnorm(n, 0, 3)}
```

(a) Let $X_3 = 6X_1 + 5X_2$, save this as `x3_a`. Using `lm()`, fit a simple linear model and save the model as `model_a`. Then, print the `summary()` of the model. Describe anything unusual from the `summary()`.

```
x3_a = 6 * x1 + 5 * x2
y_a = y(x1, x2, x3_a)
model_a = lm(y_a ~ x1 + x2 + x3_a)
summary(model_a)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y_a ~ x1 + x2 + x3_a)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.7237 -1.5196 -0.2401  1.5426  6.1451
##
## Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  4.77144    0.62593   7.623 1.68e-11 ***
## x1           9.03216    0.08994 100.428 < 2e-16 ***
## x2           6.83283    0.08718  78.372 < 2e-16 ***
## x3_a                NA           NA      NA      NA
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.483 on 97 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.9948, Adjusted R-squared:  0.9947
## F-statistic: 9232 on 2 and 97 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The values in the `x3` row are all NAs.

(b) Now, let $X_3 = 6X_1 + 5X_2 + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mu = 0, \sigma = 0.1)$, save this as `x3_b`. Using `lm()`, fit a simple linear model and save the model as `model_b`. Then, print the `summary()` of the model. Report the R-squared and the p-value of each predictors. Do you see any differences from the `summary()` in part (a)? Why are they different?

```
x3_b = 6 * x1 + 5 * x2 + rnorm(n, 0, 0.1)
y_b = y(x1, x2, x3_b)
model_b = lm(y_b ~ x1 + x2 + x3_b)
summary(model_b)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y_b ~ x1 + x2 + x3_b)
##
## Residuals:
```

| | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|--|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| | -8.2818 | -1.7153 | 0.2859 | 1.9853 | 5.7144 |

```
##
## Coefficients:
```

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | 5.0137 | 0.7025 | 7.137 | 1.81e-10 *** |
| x1 | -6.5509 | 16.4803 | -0.398 | 0.692 |
| x2 | -5.9017 | 13.6945 | -0.431 | 0.667 |
| x3_b | 2.5715 | 2.7442 | 0.937 | 0.351 |

```
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.78 on 96 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.9935, Adjusted R-squared:  0.9933
## F-statistic: 4874 on 3 and 96 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
summary(model_b)$r.squared
```

```
## [1] 0.9934775
```

```
summary(model_b)$coefficient[2:4,4]
```

| | x1 | x2 | x3_b |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 0.6918804 | 0.6674712 | 0.3510628 |

The values in the `x3` row are not NAs anymore.

They are different because the `x3` in `model_a` is exactly linearly dependent to `x1` and `x2`. While in `model_b`, the `x3` is still linearly dependent to `x1` and `x2` but not exactly.

(c) Notice that the R-squared in `model_b` is very close to 1. However, none of the predictors are significant. Why do you think this happens?

This happens because the predictors in `model_b` is highly correlated (`x3` is very linearly dependent to `x1` and `x2` with the σ of ϵ only 0.1) which reduces the significance of `x1` and `x2`.

(d) Suppose $X = \text{cbind}(1, x1, x2, x3_b)$. Find the eigenvalues of $X^T X$. Report the smallest eigenvalue. What do you think is the relationship between this eigenvalue and the significance of the t-test above? (Remember: $(X^T X)^{-1} = QD^{-1}Q^T$ where D is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of $X^T X$ and variance of $\hat{\beta}$ is $\hat{\sigma}^2(X^T X)^{-1}$)

```
x = cbind(1, x1, x2, x3_b)
eigenval = eigen(t(x) %*% x)$values
eigenval
```

```
## [1] 3.439976e+05 7.194713e+02 1.571251e+01 1.655574e-02
```

```
eigenval[which.min(eigenval)]
```

```
## [1] 0.01655574
```

Since an eigenvalue of $X^T X$ is very small, $(X^T X)^{-1}$ will be very large because $(X^T X)^{-1} = QD^{-1}Q^T$. If $(X^T X)^{-1}$ is very large, the variance of $\hat{\beta}$ will be very large too because $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{\sigma}^2(X^T X)^{-1}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is around 1.

Since the variance of $\hat{\beta}$ is very large, the confidence interval of the test will also be large, which makes the t-test above not significant.

(e) Find the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) of `model_b`. Do you find any “problematic” predictors? What does it mean?

```
library(car)
```

```
## Loading required package: carData
```

```
vif(model_b)
```

```
##          x1          x2          x3_b
## 27206.09 19990.38 52980.63
```

Yes, all of the predictors are “problematic” because the VIFs are very large. It means there are correlations between the predictors.

Appendix

- The Collinearity problem used the Multicollinearity_SLIDES powerpoint as a reference.