

<http://www.yegor256.com/2015/04/30/iterating-adapter.html>

# How to Implement an Iterating Adapter

30 April 2015 modified on 19 May 2015 Yegor Bugayenko

Iterator<sup>↗</sup> is one of the fundamental Java interfaces, introduced in Java 1.2. It is supposed to be very simple; however, in my experience, many Java developers don't understand how to implement a custom one, which should iterate a stream of data coming from some other source. In other words, it becomes an **adapter** of another source of data, in the form of an iterator. I hope this example will help.

Let's say we have an object of this class:

```
final class Data {  
    byte[] read();  
}
```

When we call `read()`, it returns a new array of bytes that were retrieved from somewhere. If there is nothing to retrieve, the array will be empty. Now, we want to create an *adapter* that would consume the bytes and let us iterate them:

```
final class FluentData implements Iterator<Byte> {  
    boolean hasNext() { /* ... */ }  
    Byte next() { /* ... */ }  
    void remove() { /* ... */ }  
}
```

Here is how it should look (it is not thread-safe!):

```
final class FluentData implements Iterator<Byte> {  
    private final Data data;  
    private final Queue<Byte> buffer = new LinkedList<>();  
    public FluentData(final Data dat) {  
        this.data = dat;  
    }  
    public boolean hasNext() {  
        if (this.buffer.isEmpty()) {  
            for (final byte item : this.data.read()) {  
                this.buffer.add(item);  
            }  
        }  
        return !this.buffer.isEmpty();  
    }  
    public Byte next() {  
        if (!this.hasNext()) {  
            throw new NoSuchElementException("Nothing left");  
        }  
        return this.buffer.poll();  
    }  
    public void remove() {  
        throw new UnsupportedOperationException("It is read-only");  
    }  
}
```

There is no way to make it thread-safe because the iterating process is outside the scope of the iterator. Even if we declare our methods as `synchronized`, this won't guarantee that two threads won't conflict when they both call `hasNext()` and `next()`. So don't bother with it and just document the iterator as not thread-safe, then let its users synchronize one level higher when necessary.