A Compositional Static Deadlock Detector for Android Code Revisions

Nikos Gorogiannis (Facebook London)

Joint work with James Brotherston, Paul Brunet, Max Kanovich (UCL)

Contents

- Motivation & Problem Statement
- An Analysis for Deadlocks
- Adaptation to Java & Implementation
- Impact & Future Work

Problem Statement

Find *deadlocks* introduced by revisions, during *code review* (in <15min), on app code in the *10s of MLoC*, running on 1000s of revisions/day.

- Deadlock analyses are whole-program.
- A deadlock involves two traces.
 - Often only one trace is affected by a revision.
- We can't afford to analyse the whole program here.

Approach

- **Partial-program** analysis of modified files in revision.
- Compositional summarisation of each method.
 - Sequential analysis of *lock behaviour*.
- Concurrency check:
 - What methods may run in parallel to Foo?
 Use locks acquired by Foo to find these methods.
 - Collect static information on thread identity.

An Analysis for Deadlocks

Abstract Language

 \mathscr{L} : set of global lock names for recursive/reentrant locks

$$C := \operatorname{skip} | p() | \operatorname{acq}(\ell) | \operatorname{rel}(\ell) | C; C$$
$$| \operatorname{if}(*) \operatorname{then} C \operatorname{else} C | \operatorname{while}(*) \operatorname{do} C$$

Non-deterministic control; no recursion.

Top-level programs must be *balanced* wrt locking (**synchronized**).

Op. semantics via tracking lock states $L: \mathscr{L} \to \mathbb{N}$.

Deadlock = absence of transitions to a next state.

Critical Pairs

 $(X, y) \in \operatorname{Crit}(C)$

where X is a set of locks and y is a lock such that $y \notin X$

Intuitively (definition in the paper):

C acquires lock y (which it does not hold) while it holds precisely the locks in X

Thm. $C_1 | | C_2$ deadlocks iff there are critical pairs $(X_1, \mathscr{C}_1) \in \operatorname{Crit}(C_1)$ and $(X_2, \mathscr{C}_2) \in \operatorname{Crit}(C_2)$ such that

$$X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset \text{ and } \mathscr{\ell}_1 \in X_2 \text{ and } \mathscr{\ell}_2 \in X_1$$

A Static Analysis for Critical Pairs

Abstract States:

 $\alpha = \langle L, Z \rangle \qquad \begin{array}{l} Z \subseteq 2^{\mathscr{L}} \times \mathscr{L} \text{ is a set of critical pairs} \\ L : \mathscr{L} \to \mathbb{N} \text{ is a thread-local lock state} \end{array}$

Prop. For any balanced C:
$$\llbracket C \rrbracket \alpha_{\perp} = \langle \emptyset, \operatorname{Crit}(C) \rangle$$

Thm. Checking $P = C_1 || \dots || C_n$ for deadlock can be done in exponential time in |P| and is in NP.

Example Deadlock

```
a. foo(b) || b. bar(a) deadlocks:

class A {

public synchronized void foo(B b) { b. foo(); } {(\emptyset, a), ({a}, b)}

public synchronized void bar() {} {(\emptyset, a)}

class B {

public synchronized void bar(A a) { a.bar(); } {(\emptyset, b), ({b}, a)}

public synchronized void foo() {} {(\emptyset, b)}
```

```
Pairs (\{a\}, b) and (\{b\}, a) satisfy the deadlock conditions:
- \{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset
- b \in \{b\} and a \in \{a\}
```

Adaptation to Java & Implementation

Implementation and Adaptations

- Implemented in Infer (open source, OCaml, ~3kLoC).
- Locks represented as *access paths* (this .f.g.h).
- Thread identity: main-thread, worker, both, neither.
 - Android lifecycle, annotations, assertions.
 - + Class hierarchy + back-propagation over calls.

Analysis applied to Code Revisions

```
class A {
    public synchronized void foo(B b) { b.foo(); }
    public synchronized void bar() {}
}
class B {
    public synchronized void bar(A a) { a.bar(); }
    public synchronized void foo() {}
}
```

- 1. $a \cdot foo(b)$ is analysed; it has the pair $(\{a : A\}, b : B)$.
- 2. Since $a \cdot foo(b)$ takes a lock in class B, analyse all methods in B (which may run in parallel with $a \cdot foo(b)$).
- 3. Does any pair of $a \cdot foo(b)$ satisfy the deadlock conditions against any pair from methods in B?

Impact & Future Work

Impact

- Analysed >100k of revisions in >2 years.
- Issued >500 reports, with long traces.
- Fix rate is **>50%**.
- In last 100 days,
 - Infer analysis runtime on average=~200sec.
 - #methods/revision analysed on average=~5k.

Future Work

- Is the problem NP-complete?
- Which adaptations admit further study?
 - Treatment of access paths.
- Can we modestly enlarge the set of dependencies?
 - Eg, by precomputing the locks used by classes.

Thanks!

https://fbinfer.com



Android and Java

Infer in Action

Infer checks for null pointer exceptions, resource leaks, annotation reachability, missing lock guards, and concurrency race conditions in Android and Java code.

C, C++, and iOS/Objective-C

Infer checks for null pointer dereferences, memory leaks, coding conventions and unavailable API's.

St	<pre>tring mayReturnNull(int i) { if (i > 0) { return "Hello, Infer!"; } }</pre>	
}	return null;	
}		

Try Infer

