Security

Protection mechanisms

At least three different categories:

• Data integrity: Backup etc., taken care by normal OS functions

- Protection against user errors: done by separating users and processes
- Protection against malicious users: more complicated, involves trade-offs between ease of use and level of protection

Major problem: Identification of users Most common scheme: Passwords

+ : Easy to use and understand

- : All too often too easily guessable

- : Exposure problem

- : Where to store passwords

Other problems arise from vicious programs. Most famous example so far: Internet Worm (1988)

Very clever use of weaknesses in OS design/program

79

Access to shared resources must be controlled

Two aims:

- Protection against users' mistakes
- Increase in reliability

Principles:

• separate policy (what) from mechanism Important for flexibility

• Users should have as much privilege as necessary to get job done

Standard way: each user separate domain of protection

Need trusted way of making system privileges available

Disadvantage: primary target for breakins (setuidprograms in UNIX)

80

Access matrix

abstract view of protections have row for each domain and column for object

Entry indicates access right Example:

	F_1	F_2	F_3	Printer
D_1	read		write	
D_2				print

Have capabilities like read, write, copy, owner, control

Unsuitable for implementation because matrix far too large

Implementation Issues

- Access lists for objects (store columns)
- Capability lists for domains (store rows)

Capabilities allow great flexibility Example: Hydra

- Auxiliary rights: each process can pass access to procedure to other processes ⇒ dynamic change of access rights
- Rights amplifications: procedure can act on specified type on behalf of any process which is allowed to execute it Rights cannot be passed on ⇒ flexible and more secure mechanism for granting higher privileges temporarily

Aim: authentication in insecure networks

coarser than access lists

for each file, have three categories of possible users

- owner
- group (pre-defined set of users)
- all others

owner can grant permission to

- read
- write
- execute program/find files in directory

One possible solutions:

- Central authentication server (Kerberos):

Have following protocol:

- Client → Auth Server: Credentials, please?
- Auth Server \rightarrow Client: ((Client Id, Session Key) $_{S}$, Session Key) $_{C}$
- Client decrypts message and keeps Session Key
- Client \rightarrow Server: (Client Id, Session Key)_S
- Server decrypts message and obtains Client Id and Session Key

Authentication Server must be trusted Protocol vulnerable to replay attacks