Function: main secondary data storage; also permanent

# Extreme speed bottleneck!

Capacity not a problem nowadays: 20 GB disks even for PC.

But backup becoming a problem

# Logical view (view of programmer):

Have a tree structure of files together with read/write operation and creation of directories

# Physical view:

Just a sequence of blocks, which can be read and written

OS has to map logical view to physical view must impose tree structure and assign blocks for each file

14

16

### Two possibilities:

- Linked list: Each block contains pointer to next
  - $\Rightarrow$  Problem: random access costly: have to go through whole file.
- Indexed allocation: Store pointers in one location: so-called index block. (cf. page table).

To cope with vastly differing file sizes, may introduce indirect index blocks.

15

### Disk access

### Disk scheduling algorithms

Disk access contains three parts:

- Seek: head moves to appropriate track
- Latency: correct block is under head
- Transfer: data transfer

# Time necessary for Seek and Latency dwarfs transfer time

⇒ Distribution of data and scheduling algorithms have vital impact on performance

Standard algorithms apply, adapted to the special situation:

- 1.) FCFS: easiest to implement, but: may require lots of head movements
- 2.) Shortest Seek Time First: Select job with minimal head movement Problems:
- may cause starvation
- Tracks in the middle of disk preferred

Algorithm does not minimise number of head movements

17

3.) SCAN-scheduling: Head continuously scans the disk from end to end (lift strategy) ⇒ solves the fairness and starvation problem of SSTF

Improvement: LOOK-scheduling: head only moved as far as last request (lift strategy).

Particular tasks may require different disk access algorithms

Example: Swap space management

Speed absolutely crucial  $\Rightarrow$  different treat-

ment:

• Swap space stored on separate partition

• Indirect access methods not used

 Special algorithms used for access of blocks
 Optimised for speed at the cost of space (e.g., increased internal fragmentation)

18

Standard interface important for plethora of device types

Design issues for I/O

Have a few basic operations:

• open

read

write

close

Example: UNIX devices listed in /dev different types implement different operations: sequential vs. random access

character-stream vs. block device

19

### Input/Output

### Start with Logical View:

Devices can be classified according to possible operations:

- Character devices: transfer bytes one by one
- Block devices: transfer blocks of bytes as units
- Memory mapped devices: OS interpretes memory access as access to device
- Network devices: Receive packets over the network

Have also different system calls: blocking vs. non-blocking (system call returns after completion / immediately)

### Physical View

#### Interaction between device and CPU:

- Polling: works for fast operations (eg graphics)
- Interrupts: standard way, priorities important
- Direct Memory access: implements the memory mapping without burdening the CPU

## OS support for I/O:

- Buffers: need intermediate storage during transfer
- Caches: fast memory
- Support for spool files

I/O can be major performance bottleneck
Reason: enormous number of context and
state switches
Ways out:

- Hardware support: DMA (Direct Memory Access) chips
- OS support: re-implementing telnet daemon using in-kernel threads (Solaris)
- Buffers: cope with speed mismatch (modem, hard disk), different data-transfer size (networks)
- Caching: keep disk blocks in free main memory