

Project 1: Orientation Tracking

Collaboration in the sense of discussion is allowed, however, the assignment is **individual** and the work you turn in should be entirely your own. It is absolutely forbidden to copy or even look at anyone else's code. Please acknowledge **in writing** people you discuss the project with. See the collaboration and academic integrity statement here: <https://natanaso.github.io/ece276a>.

Submission

Please submit the following files on **Gradescope** by the deadline shown at the top right corner.

1. **Programming assignment:** upload all code you have written for the project (do not include the training and test datasets) and a README file with a clear concise description of the main files and how to run your code.
2. **Report:** upload your report in pdf format. You are encouraged to but not required to use an IEEE conference template¹ for your report. Please refer to Lecture 1 for the expected report structure and contents².

Problems

In square brackets are the points assigned to each part.

1. Implement a projected gradient descent algorithm to **track** the 3-D orientation of a rotating body using **measurements from an inertial measurement unit (IMU)**. Using your orientation estimates, generate a panoramic image by stitching **camera images obtained by the rotating body**. Instructions and tips follow.

- **Training data:** The training data is available at https://ucsdcloud-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/g/personal/natanasov_ucsd_edu/Ev2yyviE2LdKhYoH0nMTvfkBsXU1jEmPJMKGPwR30MJaxQ?e=Q0AsJg. There are 9 different training sets, each consisting of the following:
 - **IMU data** recording the linear acceleration and angular velocity of the body in the IMU frame as well as the unix time stamps at which the measurements were obtained,
 - **CAM data** providing 320×240 RGB images and unix time stamps at which the images were obtained,
 - **VICON data** providing ground truth rotation matrices of the body's orientation obtained using a VICON motion capture system.

The IMU, CAM, and VICON data are paired into 9 sequences according to the file numbers. Note that **camera data is available only for 4 of the 9 sequences**.

- **Test data:** The test data will be released on 02/05/2024, giving you a couple of days to report how your algorithms perform on the test set. The test data will be available at the same link: https://ucsdcloud-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/g/personal/natanasov_ucsd_edu/Ev2yyviE2LdKhYoH0nMTvfkBsXU1jEmPJMKGPwR30MJaxQ?e=Q0AsJg.
- **IMU calibration:** **The biases and scale factors of the accelerometers and gyroscopes are unknown and should be estimated using the VICON ground-truth data. Please refer to the IMU-reference.pdf file and the IMU datasheets in the docs folder for information. Look up the accelerometer and gyro sensitivities on the datasheet, using the 4x (instead of 1x) gyro signals to read the appropriate sensitivity. Make sure you convert sensitivity to mv/rad/sec (not degrees!). The camera axis is aligned with the IMU x axis. The relative position of the camera with respect to the IMU is roughly (0,0,0.1), i.e., 10 cm above the IMU. See IMUandCamSensors.jpg for visualization.**

In every dataset, the first few seconds are static, i.e., there is no rotation. You can use this static data portions to calibrate the IMU bias, e.g., the acceleration measured by the accelerometer in the

¹https://www.ieee.org/conferences_events/conferences/publishing/templates.html

²https://natanaso.github.io/ece276a/ref/ECE276A_1_Introduction.pdf

first few seconds should be $[0, 0, 1]^T$ in gravity units. *Known glitch:* Some IMU data sets (e.g., #4) contain a small glitch in the beginning, where all 3 gyro values jump to a fixed value and then come back to normal operation (typically several seconds after starting). This problem happened during data collection when a reset pin fired, locking the gyros into the nominal zero, rather than the true zero bias gyro level.

IMU Glitch!!!

- [40 pts] **Orientation Tracking:** In the first part of the project, our objective is to estimate the orientation of the body over time using the IMU angular velocity ω_t and linear acceleration \mathbf{a}_t measurements. We will use a unit quaternion $\mathbf{q}_t \in \mathbb{H}_*$ to represent the body-frame orientation at time t .

not too sure with this

Using the IMU angular velocity measurements ω_t and the differences between consecutive time stamps τ_t we can predict the quaternion at the next step \mathbf{q}_{t+1} using the quaternion kinematics motion model:

$$\mathbf{q}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{q}_t, \tau_t \omega_t) := \mathbf{q}_t \circ \exp([0, \tau_t \omega_t / 2]). \quad (1)$$

! Note that $\exp(\cdot)$ is the exponential function for quaternions defined in Lecture 3.

You can use the motion model in (1) to verify whether your IMU calibration is correct. Starting with $\mathbf{q}_0 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$, implement a simple integration of the angular velocity ω_t by computing $\mathbf{q}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{q}_t, \tau_t \omega_t)$. Plot the roll, pitch, yaw obtained from \mathbf{q}_t and compare them to the values provided by the VICON ground truth. These should match relatively well. You may use the python library `transforms3d`³ to convert between different orientation representations.

step 1

step 2

Since the body is undergoing pure rotation, the acceleration of the body should be approximately $[0, 0, -g]$ in the world frame of reference, where g is the gravity acceleration. Hence, the measured acceleration \mathbf{a}_t in the IMU frame should agree with gravity acceleration after it is transformed to the IMU frame using the orientation \mathbf{q}_t , leading to the following observation model:

$$\mathbf{a}_t = h(\mathbf{q}_t) := \mathbf{q}_t^{-1} \circ [0, 0, 0, -g] \circ \mathbf{q}_t. \quad (2)$$

We will formulate an optimization problem to estimate the orientation trajectory $\mathbf{q}_{1:T} := \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_T$ based on the motion model in (1) and observation model in (2). The cost function for the optimization problem can be defined as:

$$c(\mathbf{q}_{1:T}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|2 \log(\mathbf{q}_{t+1}^{-1} \circ f(\mathbf{q}_t, \tau_t \omega_t))\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|\mathbf{a}_t - h(\mathbf{q}_t)\|_2^2, \quad (3)$$

what? \mathbf{q}_{t+1}

where the first term measures the error between the estimated orientation and the motion model prediction, while the second term measures the error between the acceleration measurements and the observation model prediction. The motion model error is based on the relative rotation $\mathbf{q}_{t+1}^{-1} \circ f(\mathbf{q}_t, \tau_t \omega_t)$ between the predicted orientation $f(\mathbf{q}_t, \tau_t \omega_t)$ and the estimated orientation \mathbf{q}_{t+1} . The error obtains the axis-angle parametrization of the relative rotation error using the quaternion $\log(\cdot)$ function and measures the angle of rotation as the norm of the axis-angle vector. We need to enforce the constraint that the quaternions \mathbf{q}_t remain unit norm, i.e., $\mathbf{q}_t \in \mathbb{H}_*$, during the optimization. Hence, we have a constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{q}_{1:T}} \quad & c(\mathbf{q}_{1:T}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|\mathbf{q}_t\|_2 = 1, \quad \forall t \in \{1, 2, \dots, T\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Initializing with $\mathbf{q}_0 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$, implement a projected gradient descent algorithm to optimize the quaternion trajectory \mathbf{q}_t . For suggestions about how to perform the projection onto the space of unit quaternions \mathbb{H}_* after you apply the gradient descent step, please refer to this stackexchange post.⁴

³<https://matthew-brett.github.io/transforms3d/>

⁴<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/54855/gradient-descent-with-constraints>




Figure 1: Ground truth (blue) versus estimated (red) roll, pitch, and yaw angles.

You may use the functions `grad`, `jacfwd`, or `jacrev` from the `jax` library⁵ to compute the gradient of the objective function numerically. If time allows, you may consider other formulations to estimate the orientations \mathbf{q}_t incrementally, i.e., without using future measurement data to estimate the orientation at time t .

Once you have estimated the orientation trajectory $\mathbf{q}_{1:T}$ and using the ground-truth VICON data, plot the estimated versus the ground-truth roll, pitch, and yaw angles of the body. See Fig. 1 for an example.

- [15 pts] **Panorama:** In the second part of the project, our objective is to construct a panoramic image by stitching the RGB camera images over time based on the body orientation $\mathbf{q}_{1:T}$. If you are not able to obtain good orientation estimates in the first part, you can still do this part using the VICON ground truth and show the results in your report. Use the closest-in-the-past timestamp of

⁵<https://jax.readthedocs.io/>



Figure 2: Panorama image stitched from the camera images using the estimated orientation trajectory of the rotating body.

your orientation estimates to each camera image time stamp to align them. Do not worry about the panorama image looking perfectly. **It is also not necessary to perform color averaging when merging different RGB values, and simply overwriting previous values is acceptable.** Fig. 2 shows an example of a partial panorama constructed with noisy orientation estimates.

2. Write a project report describing your approach to orientation tracking and panorama reconstruction. Your report should include the following sections.
 - [5 pts] **Introduction:** discuss what the problem is, why it is important, and present a high-level overview of your approach.
 - [10 pts] **Problem Formulation:** state the problem you are trying to solve in mathematical terms. This section should be short and clear and should define the quantities you are interested in using precise mathematical terms. You may directly use the definition in equations (1) – (4).
 - [20 pts] **Technical Approach:** describe your approach to orientation tracking and panorama reconstruction.
 - [10 pts] **Results:** present both your training results and test results, and discuss them – what worked, what did not, and why. Make sure your results include (a) plots comparing your estimated roll, pitch, and yaw angles to the ground truth on the training sets, (b) plots showing your estimated roll, pitch, and yaw angles on the test sets, (c) panorama images obtained from the training and test sets. You should include discussion of your results and any other qualitative or quantitative results that will help present your work.