

MSOR

YI-PING TSENG

yit690

yiping.T@columbia.edu

No.

Date

$$1. \quad z = -(2X_1) - (-X_2) - (1 \cdot X_3) \quad \text{basis} = \{4, 5, 6\}.$$

$$2X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 = 60$$

$$X_1 - X_2 + 2X_3 + X_5 = 10$$

$$X_1 + X_2 - X_3 + X_6 = 20$$

Since  $\bar{C}_1 = 2 \Rightarrow$  should enter the basis

$$\bar{a}_{4,1} = 1, \bar{b}_4 = 60 \Rightarrow \frac{60}{1}$$

$$\bar{a}_{5,1} = 1, \bar{b}_5 = 10 \Rightarrow \frac{10}{1} \quad \checkmark \quad \text{change with } X_5$$

$$\bar{a}_{6,1} = 1, \bar{b}_6 = 20 \Rightarrow \frac{20}{1}$$

$$X_1 = 10 + X_2 - 2X_3 - X_5$$

$$\text{new obj: } z = -(2(10 + X_2 - 2X_3 - X_5)) - (-X_2) - (X_3)$$

$$\Rightarrow z = -20 - 2X_2 + 4X_3 + 2X_5 + X_2 - X_3$$

$$\Rightarrow z = -X_2 + 3X_3 + 2X_5 = 20. \quad \text{basis} = \{1, 4, 6\}.$$

$$X_4 + 4X_2 - 5X_3 - 3X_5 = 30$$

$$X_1 - X_2 + 2X_3 + X_5 = 10$$

$$X_6 + 2X_2 - 3X_3 - X_5 = 10$$

Since  $\bar{C}_2 = 1 \Rightarrow$  should enter the basis

$$\bar{a}_{4,2} = 1, \bar{b}_4 = 30$$

$$\bar{a}_{1,2} = 1, \bar{b}_1 = 10$$

$$\bar{a}_{6,2} = 1, \bar{b}_6 = 10 \quad \checkmark \rightarrow \text{change with } X_2$$

$$X_2 = 5 - \frac{1}{2}X_6 + \frac{3}{2}X_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_5$$

$$\text{new obj: } z = \frac{3}{2}X_3 + \frac{3}{2}X_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_6 = 15 \quad \text{basis} = \{1, 3, 4\}.$$

$$X_4 + 6X_3 + 2X_5 - 2X_6 = 10$$

$$X_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_6 = 15 \quad \text{opt}^* = (15, 5, 0, 10, 0, 0)$$

$$2X_2 - 3X_3 - X_5 + X_6 = 10$$

2

No.

Date

adding slack & excess variables

$$\max \rightarrow x_1 + 3x_2$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 + s_1 = 4$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 - e_2 = 20$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 4$$

adding artificial variables

$$\min a_2 + a_3 \Rightarrow \max -a_2 - a_3$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 + s_1 = 4$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 - e_2 + a_2 = 20$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + a_3 = 4$$

No. by adding slack & excess & artificial variables we can get this tableau.  
Date :

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \geq & & a_2 & + a_3 = 0. \\ \frac{1}{2}x_1 & + \frac{1}{4}x_2 & + s_1 & = 4. \\ x_1 & + 3x_2 & - e_2 & + a_2 = 20. \\ x_1 & + x_2 & & + a_3 = 4 \end{array}$$

basis =  $\{s_1, a_2, a_3\}$ . lfs =  $(0, 0, 4, 0, 20, 4)$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcll} a_2 = 20 - x_1 - 3x_2 + e_2 & & a_3 = 4 - x_1 - x_2 & \\ \Rightarrow \geq & -x_1 & -3x_2 & + e_2 = -20. \\ & -x_1 & -x_2 & = -4. \\ \geq & -2x_1 & -4x_2 & + e_2 = -24. \\ \frac{1}{2}x_1 & + \frac{1}{4}x_2 & + s_1 & = 4. \\ x_1 & + 3x_2 & - e_2 & + a_2 = 20. \\ x_1 & + x_2 & & + a_3 = 4 \end{array}$$

basis =  $\{s_1, a_2, a_3\}$ . lfs =  $(0, 0, 4, 0, 20, 4)$ .

$x_2$  enter  $a_3$  leave

$$x_2 = 4 - x_1 - a_3.$$

$$\begin{array}{rcll} + \rightarrow x_1 & & & \\ \geq & -2x_1 + 4x_1 & + e_2 & + 4a_3 = -8 \\ & \frac{1}{2}x_1 - \frac{1}{4}x_1 & + s_1 & - \frac{1}{4}a_3 = 3. \\ & x_1 - 3x_1 & - e_2 + a_2 & - 3a_3 = 8. \\ & x_1 & + x_2 & + a_3 = 4 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow$  this is optimal for Phase I LP, but we cannot let artificial variable set to 0  $\Rightarrow$  this mean the original LP is infeasible.



7

No.

Date

1. no basic variables appear in object function

so  $x_1$  cannot be basic variable

→ this statement is wrong

2. each basic variable appears in exactly one constraint,

since constraint one only have  $x_5$  ( $x_5$  is non-basic),

so  $x_5$  must be basic variable

→ this statement missed  $x_5$

→ this statement is wrong

3. the possible basic variable combination are  $(x_2, x_3, x_5)$ ,

$(x_2, x_4, x_5)$  and  $(x_3, x_4, x_5)$

if the basis is  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ , and the tableau is as follow

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} z & -x_1 & +x_2 & & & -x_6 & = 7 \\ & & & & & x_5 + x_6 & = 1 \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & & & & + 2x_6 & = 3 \\ & x_1 + x_2 & & +x_4 & & 0 & = 4 \end{array}$$

in this condition  $x_6$  can still be larger to get a larger  $z$ .

⇒ so this  $\bar{x}$  solution is only feasible but not optimal.

⇒ this statement is right !!

4. as ②, this  $\bar{x}$  is only feasible solution, not optimal ⇒ this statement is wrong.

3

No.

Date

5. by (7),  $\bar{x}$  is only a feasible solution not an optimal solution, and we can't make sure whether the tableau is has unique optimal solution or not, so this statement is also wrong.

b. if any constraints are not satisfied, then this is an infeasible solution, say basis is  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ .

$$x_1 + x_2$$

$$+ x_6 = 7.$$

$$x_5 + x_6 = -1$$

$$x_1 + x_3 + x_6 = 5$$

$$x_1 + x_4 + x_6 = 5$$

when this condition happens, it means  $\bar{x} = (0, 0, a, b, -1, 0)$

it violated the  $x_i$  are nonnegative constraints

$\Rightarrow \bar{x}$  is infeasible

we can conclude this statement might be right.

4.

No.  
Date

4. In this condition, we have to rewrite our LP into

$$\max c^T x - \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \lambda_i : Ax \leq b + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ K_2 \\ \vdots \\ K_m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x \geq 0, \lambda_i \geq 0.$$

5. if we add primary function as secondary function's constraint that we can maximize secondary function and reach the maximum value of primary function at the same time.

if we already know the maximum value of primary function is

$$c^T x = z$$

we can then apply  $z$  as our constraint value, say

$$\max (d^T x) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} Ax = b \\ c^T x = z \end{cases}$$

→ So we can maximize primary and secondary function at the same time.

6. ⊙ prove  $\max c^T x : Ax = b, x \geq 0$  can transform into

$$\max c^T x : Ax \leq b$$

We know  $Ax = b$  can be converted as  $\begin{cases} Ax \leq b \\ Ax \geq b \end{cases}$

$$Ax \geq b \Rightarrow -Ax \leq -b$$

⇒ this prove that we can get  $Ax \leq b$  by transforming  $Ax = b, x \geq 0$

⊙ prove  $\max c^T x : Ax \leq b$  can transform into  $\max c^T x : Ax = b, x \geq 0$

as long as we add slack variables and adding constraints, we can

⇒ then get  $\max c^T x : Ax = b, x \geq 0$



(6)

No.

Date

b. (continue.)

③  $AX = b, x \geq 0$  can not directly remove  $x \geq 0$ ,

④  $AX \leq b$  if we add things into  $AX$  and force it become  $AX = b$  without adding constraints, this might violate the equality.

$\Rightarrow$  by ①, ②, ③, ④ we know we can transform LP into  
 $\max c^T x : AX = b, x \geq 0$  or  $\max c^T x : AX \leq b$

but not  $AX = b$  without any constraints.