

Proof Part #2

$$\text{Invariant: } c + \sum_{k < i} as[k] = \sum_{k < i} (as'[k] + bs[k])$$

Basis: ✓

At beginning of loop i is 0 so both sides = 0

~~When i size of bs~~

Goal: prove true for $i+1$ case

$as[k] = as'[k]$ for every $k > i$

$$c + \sum_{k < i+1} as[k] = \sum_{k < i+1} (as'[k] + bs[k])$$

$$\sum_{k < i} (as'[k] + bs[k])c^k + \text{sum } c^i$$

$$= \sum_{k < i} (as'[k] + bs[k])c^k + (as'[i] + bs[i] + c)c^i$$

This is true because sum is really just calculating original $A + b + \text{carry}$ then storing in c 'place'

$$= \sum_{k < i} as[k] \cdot c^k + (as'[i] + bs[i])c^i$$

$$\text{because } (\text{sum} \gg 32)c + (\text{uint32_t})\text{sum} = \text{sum}$$

$$\text{we can say: } \sum_{k < i} (as'[k] + bs[k])c^k + \text{sum} \cdot c^i$$

$$= \sum_{k < i} (as'[k] + bs[k])c^k + (\text{sum} \gg 32 \cdot c + (\text{uint32_t})\text{sum})c^i$$

Once $as[i] = (\text{uint32_t})\text{sum}$,

$$\text{becomes: } \sum_{k < i+1} as[k] \cdot c^k + c = \sum_{k < i+1} (as'[k] + bs[k])c^k + \text{sum} \cdot c^i$$

Cont. The second for loop then allows us to correct the equation

The loop propagates all the carries, so everything is now accounted for and we are left with

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{(carry)} as[k] + \overset{(carry)}{c} = \sum_{k=i+1} (as[k] + bs[k])$$

∴ Our hypothesis is true * sum is removed because for every case the carry is calculated and passed on

only applies if num is similar to \rightarrow
 $0xffffffff;$

Proof Part 2

At the start of the partialprod 32 for loop we start with $i=0$ so the following is true

~~$$\sum_{k=i}^{32} (bs[k] \times d) = \sum_{k=i} as[k] + c$$~~

$$\sum_{k=i} (bs[k] \times d) = \sum_{k=i} as[k] + c$$

the lower half of

We then use a temp variable to store $bs[i] \times d$ which gets placed in $as[i]$ and upper half that gets stored in $as[i+1]$

On other iterations of the loop, we must complete addition and make sure to propagate the carry.

the way I have set this up is by shifting tempS
(the storage for our sums) and ~~if~~ checking for a carry bit
this is then saved and~~ly~~ recursively added in the next loop

This maintains the carry at any given iteration of the
loop, Once this is accounted for, we can assume
the proof holds for the $i+k$ case.

Part 3

bigmul simply calls all the functionality of partialprod 32
but also accounts for a carry the flows into an index the
previous iteration could not handle. If there is a carry,
it is passed into the next iteration and added in the corresponding
index.