Monetary Policy, Capital Controls, and International Portfolios

BY SEBASTIÁN FANELLI

Discussion by Florencia S. Airaudo (UC3M)

 Large increase in cross-border holding of financial assets→ risk-sharing role of monetary policy

- Large increase in cross-border holding of financial assets → risk-sharing role of monetary policy
- The strength of this channel depends on the portfolio composition → potential role for capital controls

- Large increase in cross-border holding of financial assets → risk-sharing role of monetary policy
- The strength of this channel depends on the portfolio composition \rightarrow **potential role for capital controls**
- This paper: studies optimal monetary policy, portfolio choice, and capital controls (with commitment)

- Large increase in cross-border holding of financial assets → risk-sharing role of monetary policy
- The strength of this channel depends on the portfolio composition \rightarrow **potential role for capital controls**
- This paper: studies optimal monetary policy, portfolio choice, and capital controls (with commitment)
- Setup: SOE model with incomplete markets, nominal rigidities, endogenous portfolio choice

• Home households maximize

$$\mathbb{E}\ U\left(C_{Ts},C_{Ns},L_{s}\right)$$

Subject to:
$$(1 + \tau_B) B + B^* = T_0$$

$$C_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} P_{Ns} C_{Ns} = Y_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} W_s L_s + E_s^{-1} \Pi_{Ns} + R E_s^{-1} B + B^*$$

Home households maximize

$$\mathbb{E}\ U\left(C_{Ts},C_{Ns},L_{s}\right)$$

Subject to:
$$(1 + \tau_B) B + B^* = T_0$$

$$C_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} P_{Ns} C_{Ns} = Y_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} W_s L_s + E_s^{-1} \Pi_{Ns} + R E_s^{-1} B + B^*$$

• Nontradable firm: $Y_{N_s} = Z_s L_s, \quad P_{N_s} = 1 \quad \forall s \in S$

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

Home households maximize

$$\mathbb{E}\ U\left(C_{Ts},C_{Ns},L_{s}\right)$$

Subject to:
$$(1 + \tau_B) B + B^* = T_0$$

$$C_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} P_{Ns} C_{Ns} = Y_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} W_s L_s + E_s^{-1} \Pi_{Ns} + R E_s^{-1} B + B^*$$

• Nontradable firm:
$$Y_{N_s} = Z_s L_s, \qquad P_{N_s} = 1 \quad \forall s \in S$$

• Foreign household:
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(RE_s^{-1}-1\right)\frac{dU^*}{dC^*}(s)\right]=0$$

Home households maximize

$$\mathbb{E}\ U\left(C_{Ts},C_{Ns},L_{s}\right)$$

Subject to: $(1 + \tau_B) B + B^* = T_0$

$$C_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} P_{Ns} C_{Ns} = Y_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} W_s L_s + E_s^{-1} \Pi_{Ns} + R E_s^{-1} B + B^*$$

- Nontradable firm: $Y_{N_s} = Z_s L_s, \qquad P_{Ns} = 1 \quad \forall s \in S$
- Foreign household: $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(RE_s^{-1}-1\right)\frac{dU^*}{dC^*}(s)\right]=0$
- Central bank: sets a state-contingent exchange rate policy rule $\{E_s\}_{s\in S}$ and portfolio taxes such that: $T_0=\tau_B B$

• Home households maximize

$$\mathbb{E}\ U\left(C_{Ts},C_{Ns},L_{s}\right)$$

Subject to: $(1 + \tau_B) B + B^* = T_0$

$$C_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} P_{Ns} C_{Ns} = Y_{Ts} + E_s^{-1} W_s L_s + E_s^{-1} \Pi_{Ns} + R E_s^{-1} B + B^*$$

- Nontradable firm: $Y_{N_s} = Z_s L_s, \qquad P_{Ns} = 1 \quad \forall s \in S$
- Foreign household: $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(RE_s^{-1}-1\right)\frac{dU^*}{dC^*}(s)\right]=0$
- Central bank: sets a state-contingent exchange rate policy rule $\{E_s\}_{s\in S}$ and portfolio taxes such that: $T_0 = \tau_B B$
- Market clearing conditions: $C_{T_s} = Y_{T_s} + (RE_s^{-1} 1) B$, $C_{N_s} = Z_s L_s$

Planner's problem

Incomplete markets: choose $\{C_{Ts}\}_s$, $\{E_s\}_s$ and B to solve:

$$\max \mathbb{E}V\left(C_{Ts}, E_s; Z_s\right)$$
s.t. $C_{Ts} = Y_{Ts} + \left(RE_s^{-1} - 1\right)B$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(RE_s^{-1} - 1\right)\frac{dU^*}{dC^*}(s)\right] = 0$$

Planner's problem

Incomplete markets: choose $\{C_{Ts}\}_s$, $\{E_s\}_s$ and B to solve:

$$\max \mathbb{E}V\left(C_{Ts}, E_s; Z_s\right)$$
s.t. $C_{Ts} = Y_{Ts} + \left(RE_s^{-1} - 1\right)B$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(RE_s^{-1} - 1\right)\frac{dU^*}{dC^*}(s)\right] = 0$$

• Nominal rigidities: Demand management

Planner's problem

Incomplete markets: choose $\{C_{Ts}\}_s$, $\{E_s\}_s$ and B to solve:

$$\max \mathbb{E}V\left(C_{Ts}, E_s; Z_s\right)$$
s.t. $C_{T_s} = Y_{T_s} + \left(RE_s^{-1} - 1\right)B$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(RE_s^{-1} - 1\right)\frac{dU^*}{dC^*}(s)\right] = 0$$

- Nominal rigidities: Demand management
- E_s linked to the transfer \mathcal{T}_s by $\mathcal{T}_s = (RE_s^{-1} 1)B$: Insurance role

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

Optimal policy

Almost linear-quadratic approximation

$$\max_{\{e_s\}_s,\bar{B}} -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\underbrace{\left(e_s - e_s^{dm}\right)^2}_{\text{demand management}} + \chi \bar{B}^2 \underbrace{\left(e_s - e_s^{in}(\bar{B})\right)^2}_{\text{insurance}} \right] + t.i.p. + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^3\right)$$

where
$$e_s^{dm} = \frac{1}{\alpha} z_s$$

and
$$e_s^{in}(\bar{B}) = -\frac{1}{\bar{B}} \underbrace{\left(-\alpha y_{Ts} + \alpha c_{T_s}^*\right)}_{-\mathcal{T}}$$

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

Optimal policy

Almost linear-quadratic approximation

$$\max_{\{e_s\}_s,\bar{B}} -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\underbrace{\left(e_s - e_s^{dm}\right)^2}_{\text{demand management}} + \chi \bar{B}^2 \underbrace{\left(e_s - e_s^{in}(\bar{B})\right)^2}_{\text{insurance}} \right] + t.i.p. + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^3\right)$$

where $e_s^{dm} = \frac{1}{2} z_s$

and
$$e_s^{in}(\bar{B}) = -\frac{1}{\bar{B}} \underbrace{\left(-\alpha y_{Ts} + \alpha c_{T_s}^*\right)}_{-\mathcal{T}}$$

Policy trade-off with nominal rigidities:

• $\uparrow z_s$: $\uparrow e_s^{dm}$ to reduce the relative price of NT and close the output gap. $\bar{e}_{in,s}$

Airaudo, F. S. May 2023

Optimal policy

Almost linear-quadratic approximation

$$\max_{\{e_s\}_s, \bar{B}} -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\underbrace{\left(e_s - e_s^{dm}\right)^2}_{\text{demand management}} + \chi \bar{B}^2 \underbrace{\left(e_s - e_s^{in}(\bar{B})\right)^2}_{\text{insurance}} \right] + t.i.p. + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^3\right)$$

where $e_s^{dm} = \frac{1}{\alpha} z_s$

and
$$e_s^{in}(\bar{B}) = -\frac{1}{\bar{B}} \underbrace{\left(-\alpha y_{T_s} + \alpha c_{T_s}^*\right)}_{=\mathcal{T}.}$$

Policy trade-off with nominal rigidities:

- $\uparrow z_s$: $\uparrow e_s^{dm}$ to reduce the relative price of NT and close the output gap. $\bar{e}_{in,s}$
- $\uparrow y_{T_s}$: \bar{e}_s^{dm} since the relative price between T-NT does not change under flexible prices. $\uparrow e_s^{in}$ if $\bar{B} > 0, \downarrow e_s^{in}$ if $\bar{B} < 0$

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023 4/9

Optimal policy: main results

Optimal monetary policy rule (given \bar{B}):

$$e_s^{op}(\bar{B}) = (1 - \omega(\bar{B}))e_s^{dm} + \omega(\bar{B})e_s^{in}(\bar{B}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

where $\omega(\bar{B}) = \frac{\chi \bar{B}^2}{1 + \chi \bar{B}^2}$.

Result 1: The optimal exchange rate is a weighted average of the two targets.

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

Optimal policy: main results

Optimal monetary policy rule (given \bar{B}):

$$e_s^{op}(\bar{B}) = (1 - \omega(\bar{B}))e_s^{dm} + \omega(\bar{B})e_s^{in}(\bar{B}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

where $\omega(\bar{B}) = \frac{\chi \bar{B}^2}{1 + \chi \bar{B}^2}$.

Result 1: The optimal exchange rate is a weighted average of the two targets.

The optimal weight on the insurance target ω increases with:

- Preferences and technology (χ)
- Large gross positions $|\bar{B}|$
- Relative likelihood of shocks: state dependent policy

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

Optimal policy: main results

Optimal monetary policy rule (given \bar{B}):

$$e_s^{op}(\bar{B}) = (1 - \omega(\bar{B}))e_s^{dm} + \omega(\bar{B})e_s^{in}(\bar{B}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

where $\omega(\bar{B}) = \frac{\chi \bar{B}^2}{1 + \chi \bar{B}^2}$.

Result 1: The optimal exchange rate is a weighted average of the two targets.

The optimal weight on the insurance target ω increases with:

- Preferences and technology (χ)
- Large gross positions $|\bar{B}|$
- Relative likelihood of shocks: state dependent policy

Result 2: Optimal portfolio

- $\bullet \mid \bar{B} \mid$ increases with the insurance motive
- $\bullet \ \downarrow \sigma_e^2/\sigma_{e^{dm}}^2$ if the insurance motive is relatively more important

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023 5/9

No role for taxes to portfolio composition

Optimality of the portfolio implies:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial V}{\partial C_T}(s)}_{\text{social marginal utility}} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial U}{\partial C_T}(s)}_{\text{private marginal utility}} - \underbrace{\alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)\left(e_s - e_s^{dm}\right)}_{\text{aggregate demand externality}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

No role for taxes to portfolio composition

Optimality of the portfolio implies:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial V}{\partial C_T}(s)}_{\text{social marginal utility}} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial U}{\partial C_T}(s)}_{\text{private marginal utility}} - \underbrace{\alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)\left(e_s - e_s^{dm}\right)}_{\text{aggregate demand externality}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

• Typically $e_s \neq e_s^{dm}$, then $\tau_B \neq 0$ as in Farhi and Werning, 2016.

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

No role for taxes to portfolio composition

Optimality of the portfolio implies:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial V}{\partial C_T}(s)}_{\text{social marginal utility}} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial U}{\partial C_T}(s)}_{\text{private marginal utility}} - \underbrace{\alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)\left(e_s - e_s^{dm}\right)}_{\text{aggregate demand externality}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

- Typically $e_s \neq e_s^{dm}$, then $\tau_B \neq 0$ as in Farhi and Werning, 2016.
- Result 3: As risk vanishes, the private portfolio decision is asymptotically efficient, and the tax τ_B approaches zero.

• Extension: DSGE model calibrated to Canada

- Extension: DSGE model calibrated to Canada
- Demand for insurance: driven by foreign shocks $(r^* \text{ and } y^*)$

- Extension: DSGE model calibrated to Canada
- Demand for insurance: driven by foreign shocks $(r^* \text{ and } y^*)$
- Optimal policy: **stabilizing demand** with weight of 89%

- Extension: DSGE model calibrated to Canada
- Demand for insurance: driven by foreign shocks $(r^* \text{ and } y^*)$
- Optimal policy: **stabilizing demand** with weight of 89%

Can we say something about how far away countries are from **optimal** monetary policy according to this model?

- Extension: DSGE model calibrated to Canada
- Demand for insurance: driven by foreign shocks $(r^* \text{ and } y^*)$
- Optimal policy: stabilizing demand with weight of 89%

Can we say something about how far away countries are from **optimal** monetary policy according to this model?

$$e = (1 - \omega) \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} e^{dm} \\ \text{Demand} \\ \text{Management} \\ \text{Output Volatility} \end{array}}_{\text{Consumption Volatility}} + \omega \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} e^{in} \\ \text{Insurance} \\ \text{Consumption Volatility} \end{array}}_{\text{Consumption Volatility}}$$

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

- Extension: DSGE model calibrated to Canada
- Demand for insurance: driven by foreign shocks $(r^* \text{ and } y^*)$
- Optimal policy: stabilizing demand with weight of 89%

Can we say something about how far away countries are from **optimal** monetary policy according to this model?

$$e = (1 - \omega) \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} e^{dm} \\ \text{Demand} \\ \text{Management} \\ \text{Output Volatility} \end{array}}_{\text{Consumption Volatility}} + \omega \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} e^{in} \\ \text{Insurance} \\ \text{Consumption Volatility} \\ \end{array}}_{\text{Consumption Volatility}}$$

Emerging countries: characterized by $\frac{\sigma^c}{\sigma^y} > 1$

Could this be explained by a more important role for insurance? \to More exposed to external shocks

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023 7/9

• If insurance demand increases, countries should $\uparrow \mid \bar{B} \mid$

- If insurance demand increases, countries should $\uparrow \mid \bar{B} \mid$
- Did financial integration help (some) emerging countries get closer to their optimal monetary policy?

- If insurance demand increases, countries should $\uparrow \mid \bar{B} \mid$
- Did financial integration help (some) emerging countries get closer to their optimal monetary policy?
- Caveat: no financial frictions

- If insurance demand increases, countries should $\uparrow \mid \bar{B} \mid$
- Did financial integration help (some) emerging countries get closer to their optimal monetary policy?
- Caveat: no financial frictions
- Financial frictions can affect optimal monetary policy and capital controls: Mendoza (2006), Mendoza and Bianchi (2010), Bianchi (2011), Jeanne and Korinek (2013), Schmitt-Grohe and Uribe (2016) Same intuition in this model, when \bar{B} is fixed

Airaudo, F. S. ESSIM May 2023

- If insurance demand increases, countries should $\uparrow \mid \bar{B} \mid$
- Did financial integration help (some) emerging countries get closer to their optimal monetary policy?
- Caveat: no financial frictions
- Financial frictions can affect optimal monetary policy and capital controls: Mendoza (2006), Mendoza and Bianchi (2010), Bianchi (2011), Jeanne and Korinek (2013), Schmitt-Grohe and Uribe (2016) Same intuition in this model, when \bar{B} is fixed
- Could benefit from studying the role of financial frictions:
 - ▶ Reduced-form financial friction (debt elastic interest rate as in Justiniano and Preston (2010))
 - ► Endogenous spread as in the literature of **portfolio choice** (market segmentation: Vayanos and Vila (2021), Itskhoki and Mukhin (2021), Gourinchas, Ray Vayanos (2021)) or **borrowing limits** (Bianchi (2011))

Thank you!

- I enjoyed reading the paper very much, and I learned a lot!
- Intuitive results in a very tractable model, also generalized to a broad set of extensions: multiple assets, infinite horizon, dynamic setting
- Great framework to think about optimal monetary policy with a portfolio choice in small open economies
- Could benefit from explaining the contribution to the literature:
 - Optimal capital controls
 - Portfolio choice