

# Measurement in Non-Standard Bases

## Change of Basis and Quantum Measurements

Quantum Computing Course

## Contents

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>1 Mathematical Prerequisites: Hilbert Space</b>                              | <b>3</b> |
| 1.1 What is Hilbert Space? (Layman's Explanation) . . . . .                     | 3        |
| 1.2 Observables: What We Actually Measure . . . . .                             | 3        |
| <b>2 Motivation: Beyond the Computational Basis</b>                             | <b>4</b> |
| 2.1 Limitations of Computational Basis Measurements . . . . .                   | 4        |
| 2.2 Physical Implementation Reality . . . . .                                   | 4        |
| 2.3 Applications Requiring Non-Standard Measurements . . . . .                  | 5        |
| <b>3 The Born Rule: Why it Works</b>  | <b>5</b> |
| 3.1 The Fundamental Postulate of Quantum Measurement . . . . .                  | 5        |
| 3.2 Why $ \langle\phi_i \psi\rangle ^2$ ? The Logic Behind . . . . .            | 5        |
| 3.3 Historical Context and Experimental Verification . . . . .                  | 5        |
| <b>4 Mathematical Formalism of Measurement in Arbitrary Bases</b>               | <b>6</b> |
| 4.1 Projective Measurements in Orthonormal Bases . . . . .                      | 6        |
| 4.2 The Born Rule in an Arbitrary Basis . . . . .                               | 6        |
| 4.3 Post-Measurement State Update . . . . .                                     | 6        |
| <b>5 The Hadamard Basis: <math>\{ +\rangle,  -\rangle\}</math></b>              | <b>7</b> |
| 5.1 Definition and Orthonormality . . . . .                                     | 7        |
| 5.2 Geometric Interpretation on the Bloch Sphere . . . . .                      | 7        |
| 5.3 Measurement Probabilities in the Hadamard Basis . . . . .                   | 7        |
| <b>6 Change of Basis as a Unitary Operation</b>                                 | <b>8</b> |
| 6.1 The Basis-Change Theorem . . . . .  | 8        |
| 6.2 Equivalence of Measurements: Formal Proof . . . . .                         | 8        |
| 6.3 General Recipe for Arbitrary Basis Measurement . . . . .                    | 9        |
| <b>7 The Hadamard Gate as a Basis-Change Operator</b>                           | <b>9</b> |
| 7.1 Properties of $H$ : Self-Inverse and Real . . . . .                         | 9        |
| 7.2 Circuit Implementation for $\{ +\rangle,  -\rangle\}$ Measurement . . . . . | 9        |
| 7.3 Interpretation: $X$ -basis Measurement via $HZH$ . . . . .                  | 9        |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>8 General Basis Change: Constructing <math>U</math> for Arbitrary Bases</b> | <b>10</b> |
| 8.1 Single-Qubit Case: Relation to Rotation Operators . . . . .                | 10        |
| 8.2 Multi-Qubit Bases and Tensor Product Structures . . . . .                  | 10        |
| 8.3 Non-Standard Bases in Higher Dimensions . . . . .                          | 10        |
| <b>9 Partial Measurement in Non-Standard Bases</b>                             | <b>11</b> |
| 9.1 Procedure for Single-Qubit Basis Change in Multi-Qubit Systems . . . . .   | 11        |
| 9.2 Conditional States and Correlations . . . . .                              | 11        |
| 9.3 Circuit Representation with Controlled Basis Changes . . . . .             | 11        |
| <b>10 Practical Considerations and Common Pitfalls</b>                         | <b>11</b> |
| 10.1 Hardware Limitations: Only Computational Basis is Physical . . . . .      | 11        |
| 10.2 Error Propagation through Basis Changes . . . . .                         | 12        |
| 10.3 Classical Post-Processing Interpretation . . . . .                        | 12        |
| <b>11 Comparison and Summary</b>   | <b>12</b> |
| 11.1 Side-by-Side: Standard vs. Non-Standard Measurements . . . . .            | 12        |
| 11.2 Key Conceptual Takeaways . . . . .  | 12        |
| 11.3 Applications in Upcoming Topics . . . . .                                 | 13        |
| <b>12 Exercises and Further Exploration</b>                                    | <b>13</b> |
| 12.1 Problem Set: Basis Change Calculations . . . . .                          | 13        |
| 12.2 Exploration: Other Important Bases . . . . .                              | 13        |
| 12.3 Looking Ahead: POVMs and Generalized Measurements . . . . .               | 14        |

# 1 Mathematical Prerequisites: Hilbert Space

## 1.1 What is Hilbert Space? (Layman's Explanation)

### Hilbert Space Simplified

A **Hilbert space** is the mathematical arena where quantum mechanics happens. Think of it as:

- A **generalized vector space** (like 3D space, but potentially infinite-dimensional)
- With **inner products** that give us "angles" and "lengths" between states
- Complete (no "holes" - every convergent sequence has a limit)

### Why Hilbert space for quantum mechanics?

- Quantum states are **vectors** in Hilbert space
- Superpositions are just **vector sums** in this space
- Measurements correspond to **projections** onto basis vectors
- Time evolution is described by **rotations** (unitary operations) in this space

**Single-qubit Hilbert space:** - 2-dimensional complex vector space - Basis:  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$   
- Any state:  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$  with  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$

## 1.2 Observables: What We Actually Measure

### Observable Definition

An **observable** in quantum mechanics is any physical quantity that can be measured. Mathematically:

- Represented by a **Hermitian operator**  $A$  (equal to its conjugate transpose:  $A = A^\dagger$ )
- Has **real eigenvalues** (the possible measurement outcomes)
- Has **orthogonal eigenvectors** (the states that give definite outcomes)

**Key examples:** - Pauli  $X$  operator:  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , eigenvectors  $|+\rangle, |-\rangle$  - Pauli  $Z$  operator:  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , eigenvectors  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$  - Energy operator (Hamiltonian):  $H$  with energy levels as eigenvalues

### Why Hermitian?

1. Real eigenvalues  $\Rightarrow$  measurement results are real numbers
2. Orthogonal eigenvectors  $\Rightarrow$  distinguishable measurement outcomes
3. Completeness  $\Rightarrow$  any state can be expressed in the eigenbasis

## 2 Motivation: Beyond the Computational Basis

### 2.1 Limitations of Computational Basis Measurements

In previous lectures, we studied quantum measurement exclusively in the **computational basis**:

$$\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}.$$

While this basis is natural for digital computation and hardware implementation, it represents only one possible way to extract information from a quantum system.

#### Key Question

If quantum states can exist in superpositions like  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ , why should we only measure whether they're "0" or "1"? What about measuring whether they're "+" or "-"?

Quantum mechanics permits measurement in **any orthonormal basis**. This freedom is not just mathematical—it's essential for:

- Quantum algorithms that exploit interference patterns
- Testing quantum correlations (Bell inequalities)
- Quantum error correction syndromes
- Quantum state tomography

### 2.2 Physical Implementation Reality

All physical quantum hardware has a **native measurement basis**, typically the energy eigenbasis (which corresponds to  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  for qubits). This is because:

- Measurement apparatus couples to specific physical observables (e.g., energy, charge, flux)
- The computational basis is often the easiest to distinguish physically
- Decoherence typically occurs toward this basis

#### Hardware Constraint

**Quantum computers measure only in their native computational basis.** All other measurements must be implemented via change of basis operations before measurement.

## 2.3 Applications Requiring Non-Standard Measurements

- **Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm:** Requires final measurement in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis
- **Bell inequality tests:** Measurement in rotated bases reveals quantum correlations
- **Quantum teleportation:** Bell basis measurements are crucial
- **Quantum key distribution:** Security relies on measurements in complementary bases

## 3 The Born Rule: Why it Works

### 3.1 The Fundamental Postulate of Quantum Measurement

The Born Rule (Modern Statement)

For a quantum system in state  $|\psi\rangle$  and an observable  $A$  with eigenvectors  $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}$  (eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ ), the probability of obtaining measurement outcome  $\lambda_i$  is:

$$p(\lambda_i) = |\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2$$

### 3.2 Why $|\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2$ ? The Logic Behind

1. **Projection Interpretation:**  $\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle$  is the "overlap" or "projection" of  $|\psi\rangle$  onto  $|\phi_i\rangle$ . If  $|\psi\rangle = |\phi_i\rangle$  (perfectly aligned), then  $\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle = 1$ , so probability = 1.
2. **Consistency Requirement:** Probabilities must be non-negative real numbers between 0 and 1. -  $\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle$  is complex in general -  $|\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2$  is always real and non-negative
3. **Probability Conservation:** Since  $\sum_i |\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2 = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ , total probability = 1.
4. **Connection to Classical Probability:** In classical probability:  $p(i) = \frac{\text{"size" of event } i}{\text{total "size"}}$   
In quantum: The "size" is replaced by squared projection magnitudes.

### 3.3 Historical Context and Experimental Verification

Max Born (1926) proposed this rule to interpret Schrödinger's wavefunction. It was controversial initially but has been verified in countless experiments:

- **Double-slit experiment:** Probability of particle hitting screen =  $|\psi(x)|^2$
- **Stern-Gerlach experiment:** Spin measurement probabilities follow Born rule
- **Quantum optics:** Photon detection statistics confirm Born rule

## Deep Insight

The Born rule bridges the deterministic Schrödinger equation (continuous evolution) with probabilistic measurement outcomes (discrete events). It's the **collapse postulate** that converts quantum possibilities into classical actualities.

## 4 Mathematical Formalism of Measurement in Arbitrary Bases

### 4.1 Projective Measurements in Orthonormal Bases

Let  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$  be an orthonormal basis for a single-qubit Hilbert space. Orthonormality and completeness are expressed as

$$\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^1 |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i| = I.$$

A **projective measurement** in this basis is described by the projection operators

$$P_0 = |\phi_0\rangle \langle \phi_0|, \quad P_1 = |\phi_1\rangle \langle \phi_1|.$$

These projectors satisfy

$$P_i^2 = P_i, \quad P_i P_j = 0 \ (i \neq j), \quad P_0 + P_1 = I.$$

### 4.2 The Born Rule in an Arbitrary Basis

Let the quantum state of the qubit be  $|\psi\rangle$ , with  $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ . The probability of obtaining outcome  $i$ , corresponding to the basis state  $|\phi_i\rangle$ , is given by the Born rule:

$$p(i) = \langle \psi | P_i | \psi \rangle = |\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2.$$

This expression holds for measurements in *any* orthonormal basis.

### 4.3 Post-Measurement State Update

If outcome  $i$  is obtained, the post-measurement state is

$$|\psi_i\rangle = \frac{P_i |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(i)}} = |\phi_i\rangle,$$

up to an unobservable global phase.

Thus, a projective measurement in the basis  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$  prepares the system in the corresponding basis state, independent of the initial state.

## Important Distinction

**Computational-basis measurement:** Projects onto  $|0\rangle$  or  $|1\rangle$ .

**Arbitrary-basis measurement:** Projects onto  $|\phi_0\rangle$  or  $|\phi_1\rangle$ .

## 5 The Hadamard Basis: $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$

### 5.1 Definition and Orthonormality

The most important non-standard basis for qubits is the **Hadamard basis** (also called *X*-basis or diagonal basis):

$$|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle), \quad |-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

These states form an orthonormal basis:

$$\langle +|+ \rangle = 1, \quad \langle -|- \rangle = 1, \quad \langle +|- \rangle = 0.$$

### 5.2 Geometric Interpretation on the Bloch Sphere

On the Bloch sphere representation:

- $|0\rangle$  points to the **north pole** (+Z direction)
- $|1\rangle$  points to the **south pole** (-Z direction)
- $|+\rangle$  points to the **positive X-axis** (equator,  $\phi = 0$ )
- $|-\rangle$  points to the **negative X-axis** (equator,  $\phi = \pi$ )

Thus, measuring in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  corresponds to measuring the **Pauli X observable**:

$$X = |+\rangle \langle +| - |-\rangle \langle -|.$$

### 5.3 Measurement Probabilities in the Hadamard Basis

For a general state  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ :

$$\langle +|\psi \rangle = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle -|\psi \rangle = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The measurement probabilities are:

$$p(+) = \frac{|\alpha + \beta|^2}{2}, \quad p(-) = \frac{|\alpha - \beta|^2}{2}.$$

#### Example 1: Equal Superposition

For  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) = |+\rangle$ :

$$p(+) = 1, \quad p(-) = 0.$$

Measuring  $|+\rangle$  in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis always yields "+".

### Example 2: Computational Basis State

For  $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle$ :

$$p(+) = \frac{|1+0|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad p(-) = \frac{|1-0|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Equal probabilities—this makes sense since  $|0\rangle$  is halfway between  $|+\rangle$  and  $|-\rangle$  on the Bloch sphere.

## 6 Change of Basis as a Unitary Operation

### 6.1 The Basis-Change Theorem

Let  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$  be an orthonormal basis. There exists a unitary operator  $U$  such that:

$$U|0\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle, \quad U|1\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle.$$

Equivalently,  $U$  maps the computational basis to the new basis.

#### Construction of $U$

Given  $|\phi_0\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$  and  $|\phi_1\rangle = c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle$  with  $\langle\phi_0|\phi_1\rangle = 0$ :

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

More abstractly:  $U = |\phi_0\rangle\langle 0| + |\phi_1\rangle\langle 1|$ .

### 6.2 Equivalence of Measurements: Formal Proof

**Theorem:** Measurement in basis  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$  is equivalent to:

1. Apply  $U^\dagger$  to the state
2. Measure in computational basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$

**Proof:** The probability of outcome  $|\phi_i\rangle$  in the original measurement is:

$$p(i) = |\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle|^2.$$

After applying  $U^\dagger$ , the state becomes  $U^\dagger|\psi\rangle$ . The probability of measuring  $|i\rangle$  in computational basis is:

$$|\langle i|U^\dagger\rangle\psi|^2 = |\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle|^2 = p(i).$$

Thus, the probabilities are identical. The post-measurement states are also equivalent (up to the basis change).

### 6.3 General Recipe for Arbitrary Basis Measurement

To measure state  $|\psi\rangle$  in basis  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$ :

1. **Construct**  $U$  such that  $U|0\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle$ ,  $U|1\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle$
2. **Apply**  $U^\dagger$  to  $|\psi\rangle$
3. **Measure** in computational basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$
4. **Interpret:** Outcome 0 corresponds to  $|\phi_0\rangle$ , outcome 1 to  $|\phi_1\rangle$

## 7 The Hadamard Gate as a Basis-Change Operator

### 7.1 Properties of $H$ : Self-Inverse and Real

The Hadamard gate:

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has key properties:

- **Self-inverse:**  $H^\dagger = H$ ,  $H^2 = I$
- **Basis change:**  $H|0\rangle = |+\rangle$ ,  $H|1\rangle = |-\rangle$
- **Real and symmetric:**  $H = H^\top = H^\dagger$

### 7.2 Circuit Implementation for $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ Measurement

To measure in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis:

$|\psi\rangle \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \text{classical bit}$

- **Hardware executes:** Apply  $H$ , then measure in  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$
- **Interpretation:** Outcome 0 means  $|+\rangle$ , outcome 1 means  $|-\rangle$

### 7.3 Interpretation: $X$ -basis Measurement via $HZH$

An alternative perspective: Measuring  $X$  (Pauli operator) on state  $|\psi\rangle$ :

$$\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | HZH | \psi \rangle.$$

This shows that measuring the expectation value of  $X$  is equivalent to measuring  $Z$  on  $H|\psi\rangle$ .

## 8 General Basis Change: Constructing $U$ for Arbitrary Bases

### 8.1 Single-Qubit Case: Relation to Rotation Operators

Any single-qubit basis  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$  can be obtained from  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  by a rotation on the Bloch sphere. The unitary  $U$  can be expressed as:

$$U = e^{-i\theta/2} R_{\hat{n}}(\phi)$$

for some axis  $\hat{n}$  and angles  $\theta, \phi$ .

#### Example: Y-basis

The  $Y$ -basis is  $\{|+i\rangle, |-i\rangle\}$  where:

$$|+i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle), \quad |-i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle).$$

The basis change unitary is  $U = HS^\dagger$  where  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ .

### 8.2 Multi-Qubit Bases and Tensor Product Structures

For multi-qubit systems, we can measure each qubit in a different basis. The overall unitary is a tensor product:

$$U_{\text{total}} = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n.$$

#### Example: Bell Basis Measurement

The Bell basis for two qubits is:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\Phi^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \\ |\Psi^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \\ |\Psi^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Measurement in this basis requires  $H$  on first qubit followed by CNOT.

### 8.3 Non-Standard Bases in Higher Dimensions

For a  $d$ -dimensional system, measurement in basis  $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}_{i=0}^{d-1}$  uses unitary  $U$  with columns  $|\phi_i\rangle$ :

$$U = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |\phi_i\rangle \langle i|.$$

## 9 Partial Measurement in Non-Standard Bases

### 9.1 Procedure for Single-Qubit Basis Change in Multi-Qubit Systems

Consider a two-qubit state  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB}$ . To measure qubit  $A$  in basis  $\{|\phi_0\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle\}$ :

1. Apply  $U_A^\dagger$  to qubit  $A$  only (where  $U_A |0\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle$ ,  $U_A |1\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle$ )
2. Measure qubit  $A$  in computational basis
3. The post-measurement state of qubit  $B$  depends on the outcome

### 9.2 Conditional States and Correlations

**Example: Bell State with  $X$ -basis Measurement**

Start with Bell state:  $|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ .

Measure qubit 1 in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis:

1. Apply  $H$  to qubit 1:  $H_1 |\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|0+\rangle + |1-\rangle)$
2. Measure qubit 1 in computational basis:
  - Outcome 0:  $|0\rangle_1$ , remaining state:  $|+\rangle_2$
  - Outcome 1:  $|1\rangle_1$ , remaining state:  $|-\rangle_2$

Thus, measuring one qubit of a Bell state in  $X$ -basis leaves the other in an  $X$ -basis eigenstate.

### 9.3 Circuit Representation with Controlled Basis Changes

For adaptive measurements where basis choice depends on previous outcomes:



## 10 Practical Considerations and Common Pitfalls

### 10.1 Hardware Limitations: Only Computational Basis is Physical

- **Gate errors:**  $U^\dagger$  is imperfect (finite gate fidelity)
- **Timing:** Basis change adds circuit depth and decoherence time
- **Calibration:** Different bases may have different measurement fidelities

## 10.2 Error Propagation through Basis Changes

If  $U$  has error  $\epsilon$ , the measurement probabilities acquire error:

$$p_{\text{actual}}(i) = |\langle i | (U^\dagger + \delta U) \rangle \psi|^2 \approx p_{\text{ideal}}(i) + 2\Re[\langle \psi | U | i \rangle \langle i | \delta U \rangle \psi].$$

## 10.3 Classical Post-Processing Interpretation

An alternative viewpoint: Instead of physically changing basis, measure in computational basis and classically compute:

$$p(i) = |\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2 = \left| \sum_j U_{ij}^* \langle j | \psi \rangle \right|^2.$$

This requires knowing the full quantum state, which defeats the purpose of quantum computation.

### Key Insight

The power of quantum measurement comes from **physically** changing basis before measurement, not classical post-processing.

## 11 Comparison and Summary

### 11.1 Side-by-Side: Standard vs. Non-Standard Measurements

| Aspect         | Standard Basis                             | Arbitrary Basis  |
|----------------|--|--|
| Basis vectors  | $ 0\rangle,  1\rangle$                     | $ \phi_0\rangle,  \phi_1\rangle$                             |
| Observable     | Pauli $Z$ operator                         | Arbitrary Hermitian operator                                 |
| Projectors     | $ 0\rangle\langle 0 ,  1\rangle\langle 1 $ | $ \phi_0\rangle\langle\phi_0 ,  \phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1 $ |
| Implementation | Direct measurement                         | Apply $U^\dagger$ , then measure                             |
| Hardware       | Native operation                           | Requires gates   |
| Information    | $Z$ -component                             | Arbitrary observable   |

### 11.2 Key Conceptual Takeaways

1. **Hilbert Space:** Quantum playground where states live as vectors
2. **Observables:** Hermitian operators representing measurable quantities
3. **Born Rule:**  $p(i) = |\langle \phi_i | \psi \rangle|^2$  — the bridge between quantum amplitudes and classical probabilities
4. **Basis-Change Equivalence:** Measurement in  $\{|\phi_i\rangle\} = U^\dagger +$  measurement in  $\{|i\rangle\}$
5. **Hardware Reality:** All quantum hardware measures only in computational basis

6. **Hadamard Basis:**  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  with  $H$  as basis-change unitary
7. **Partial Measurements:** Can measure subsystems in different bases

### 11.3 Applications in Upcoming Topics

- **Next lecture:** Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm uses  $H$  before final measurement
- **Quantum algorithms:** Grover's algorithm, quantum Fourier transform
- **Quantum information:** Bell tests, quantum key distribution
- **Quantum error correction:** Syndrome measurements in different bases

## 12 Exercises and Further Exploration

### 12.1 Problem Set: Basis Change Calculations

1. For state  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1\rangle$ , calculate probabilities for measurement in:
  - (a) Computational basis
  - (b)  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis
  - (c)  $\{|+i\rangle, |-i\rangle\}$  basis
2. Construct the unitary  $U$  that maps  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  to basis  $\{\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1\rangle, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\}$ .
3. Show that measuring  $|+\rangle$  in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis always gives "+", while measuring  $|0\rangle$  gives 50/50 outcomes.
4. For the Bell state  $|\Phi^+\rangle$ , calculate the post-measurement state of qubit 2 when qubit 1 is measured in  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  basis and outcome is "-".
5. Prove that for any unitary  $U$ , measurement in basis  $\{U|0\rangle, U|1\rangle\}$  is equivalent to applying  $U^\dagger$  then measuring in computational basis.

### 12.2 Exploration: Other Important Bases

- **Y-basis:**  $\{|+i\rangle, |-i\rangle\}$  with  $U = HS^\dagger$
- **Breidbart basis:**  $\{\cos(\pi/8)|0\rangle + \sin(\pi/8)|1\rangle, \sin(\pi/8)|0\rangle - \cos(\pi/8)|1\rangle\}$  Used in optimal quantum cloning and cryptography.
- **Mutually unbiased bases (MUBs):** Bases where  $|\langle \phi_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 = 1/d$  for all  $i, j$ . For qubits: X, Y, Z bases are mutually unbiased.

### 12.3 Looking Ahead: POVMs and Generalized Measurements

#### Advanced Preview

Beyond projective measurements lie **POVMs (Positive Operator-Valued Measures)**:

$$E_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_i E_i = I, \quad p(i) = \langle \psi | E_i | \psi \rangle.$$

POVMs can distinguish non-orthogonal states and are more general than projective measurements. We'll cover these in advanced topics.

#### Final Thought: Why the Born Rule Matters

The Born rule is not just a formula—it's the **interface between quantum and classical**. When we measure in different bases, we're asking different questions of nature. Each basis reveals different aspects of the quantum state, much like rotating a 3D object reveals different 2D shadows. This ability to "ask questions in different ways" is what gives quantum computing its power over classical computing.