

# **PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW**

**BY ZAKRIA JALAL**

## **NATURE BASIS AND ORIGIN OF INTERNATIONAL LAW**

### Introduction:

International law is a set of rules and principles that govern the relations between states and other international actors in the global community. It establishes the framework for how nations interact with one another, promotes cooperation, resolves disputes, and seeks to maintain peace and stability in the international system. The nature, origin, and basis of international law are multifaceted and have evolved over centuries to address the complexities of the globalized world.

### Meaning and Origin of International Law:

International law refers to a body of rules and principles that are binding upon sovereign states and other international entities, such as international organizations and individuals. It encompasses various areas of interaction, including diplomatic relations, trade, human rights, armed conflicts, and the environment.

The origins of international law can be traced back to ancient civilizations and their efforts to regulate relations with other states. However, the modern concept of international law emerged during the late 16th and early 17th centuries,

primarily driven by European scholars and jurists. This period, known as the "classical" or "Grotian" era, was marked by the development of foundational principles and treaties that governed interstate relations.

One of the key bases for international law is the consent of states. It means that states voluntarily accept and abide by international rules and obligations. This consent can be expressed through treaties, conventions, or customary practices. Treaties are formal agreements between states, while customary international law is based on long-standing practices and beliefs that are considered legally binding.

Another important basis of international law is the principle of state sovereignty. Sovereign states are recognized as the primary actors in the international system, and international law respects their independence and equality. However, this principle is not absolute, as states must also adhere to their international obligations and responsibilities.

Over time, international law has evolved through the development of new treaties, the establishment of international courts and tribunals, and the contributions of legal scholars and practitioners. It has become an essential tool for promoting peaceful relations, resolving conflicts, and protecting human rights on a global scale.

#### Other Aspects of International Law:

International law encompasses various branches, including public international law, private international law, and supranational law. Public international law focuses on the relations between states and international organizations, addressing issues such as diplomatic immunity, treaty obligations, and the laws of war. Private international law, also known as conflict of laws, deals with disputes involving individuals or companies across different legal jurisdictions.

Supranational law refers to legal systems that transcend national boundaries, such as the law of the European Union.

International law is enforced through a combination of mechanisms. Diplomatic channels, negotiations, and peaceful settlements are often used to resolve disputes between states. Additionally, international courts and tribunals, such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and the International Criminal Court (ICC), provide forums for adjudicating disputes and holding individuals accountable for international crimes.

However, it is important to note that the enforcement of international law can be challenging, as it relies heavily on the cooperation and willingness of states to comply. The lack of a centralized enforcement authority poses difficulties in ensuring universal compliance.

In conclusion, international law is a complex system of rules and principles that govern the conduct of states and other international actors. Its nature, origin, and basis stem from the consent of states, the principle of state sovereignty, and the evolution of legal principles over time. While international law plays a vital role in promoting global cooperation and resolving disputes, its enforcement remains a significant challenge.

#### Qualification of International Law:

International law qualifies as a legal system due to several characteristics:

1. **Rules and Principles:** International law consists of a comprehensive set of rules and principles that regulate the behavior of states and other international actors. These rules cover various areas such as diplomacy, human rights, trade, and armed conflict.

2. Consent of States: The consent of states is a fundamental element of international law. States voluntarily enter into treaties and agreements, expressing their consent to be bound by the obligations outlined therein. This consent demonstrates the acceptance of international law as a binding legal framework.

3. International Custom: Customary international law arises from the general and consistent practice of states, accompanied by a belief that such practice is legally required (*opinio juris*). Customary law is binding on all states, regardless of whether they have explicitly consented to specific treaties.

4. Treaties and Conventions: Treaties are formal written agreements between states, addressing specific issues and establishing legal obligations. Treaties are considered primary sources of international law and reflect the consent of participating states.

#### Status of International Law:

The status of international law is characterized by the following aspects:

1. Consensual Nature: International law relies on the consent of states for its establishment and operation. States voluntarily participate in creating and accepting international rules, which are binding upon them.

2. Legally Binding: International law is legally binding on states that have consented to its rules and principles. When states become parties to treaties, they undertake obligations that can be enforced through diplomatic negotiations, dispute resolution mechanisms, or international courts.

3. Universal Jurisdiction: International law has a universal character, meaning it applies to all states and other international entities, regardless of their size, power, or level of development. It seeks to ensure equality and fairness in the international community.

#### Defenses in Favor of International Law:

Several arguments support the validity and importance of international law:

1. Peace and Stability: International law provides a framework for resolving conflicts peacefully and maintaining stability among states. It promotes the peaceful settlement of disputes through negotiation, mediation, and international arbitration, thus reducing the likelihood of armed conflicts.

2. Human Rights Protection: International law plays a crucial role in safeguarding human rights globally. It establishes legal standards for the treatment of individuals, prohibiting actions such as torture, discrimination, and genocide. International human rights treaties and institutions contribute to the protection and promotion of human dignity.

3. Global Cooperation: International law fosters cooperation among states on various global challenges, including environmental protection, public health, terrorism, and transnational crime. It enables states to collaborate and address common issues through shared legal frameworks and institutions.

4. Sovereign Equality: International law upholds the principle of sovereign equality among states, regardless of their size or power. This principle encourages

respect for each state's territorial integrity, political independence, and non-interference in internal affairs.

5. Development of International Relations: International law facilitates the development of stable and predictable international relations. It provides a framework for diplomatic relations, trade, investment, and other forms of cooperation, promoting economic growth and prosperity.

In summary, international law qualifies as a legal system due to its rules and principles, the consent of states, customary practices, and the existence of treaties. It has a legally binding status, applying to all states and other international actors. Defenses in favor of international law include its role in promoting peace, protecting human rights, facilitating global cooperation, upholding sovereign equality, and fostering the development of international relations.

## **Material Sources of International Law:**

### **Introduction:**

International law is a system of rules and principles that govern the relations between states and other international actors. It provides the framework for how nations interact with each other, addressing issues such as diplomacy, trade, human rights, armed conflicts, and the environment. The material sources of international law are the foundational elements that contribute to its creation and development.

### **Meaning and Definition:**

International law refers to a body of rules and principles that are binding upon sovereign states and other international entities. These rules and principles

govern the conduct of states in their relations with one another and establish rights and obligations. International law addresses a wide range of issues and seeks to maintain peace, promote cooperation, and protect human rights on a global scale.

## Material Sources of International Law:

### 1. Treaties:

Treaties are formal written agreements between states and international organizations. They are a primary source of international law. Treaties establish legal obligations and rights for the parties involved. States voluntarily enter into treaties, expressing their consent to be bound by the obligations outlined therein. Treaties can cover various areas, including human rights, trade, environmental protection, and armed conflict.

### 2. Customary International Law:

Customary international law arises from the general and consistent practice of states, accepted as law. It requires two elements: state practice and *opinio juris* (the belief that the practice is legally obligatory). Customary international law is binding on all states, regardless of whether they have explicitly consented to specific treaties. Customary law covers a wide range of areas, including diplomatic immunity, state responsibility, and the laws of war.

### 3. General Principles of Law:

General principles of law refer to legal principles recognized by states across different legal systems. They serve as a supplementary source of international law. These principles derive from common legal concepts and doctrines found in domestic legal systems. General principles of law can be applied to fill gaps in international law or to interpret existing legal provisions. Examples include the

principle of good faith, the principle of non-retroactivity, and the principle of proportionality.

#### 4. Judicial Decisions:

Judicial decisions by international courts and tribunals contribute to the development of international law. These decisions create precedents and help interpret existing legal principles. International courts, such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and the International Criminal Court (ICC), issue judgments and advisory opinions on various legal issues. These decisions are binding on the parties involved in the specific case and can have persuasive value for future cases.

#### 5. Scholarly Writings:

Scholarly writings by legal scholars, academics, and practitioners play a significant role in shaping international law. These writings provide interpretations, analysis, and critiques of legal principles and doctrines. They contribute to the development of legal theories and influence the understanding and application of international law. Although not directly binding, scholarly writings are highly regarded and often cited by courts, tribunals, and legal practitioners.

In conclusion, the material sources of international law include treaties, customary international law, general principles of law, judicial decisions, and scholarly writings. These sources collectively shape the content and development of international law, providing a framework for the regulation of relations between states and other international actors.

## **SUBJECTS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW**



## Introduction:

International law is a legal framework that governs the conduct of states and other international actors in their relations with one another. It sets out the rights, obligations, and responsibilities of states, international organizations, individuals, and other entities in the international community. The subjects of international law refer to the entities or actors to which these legal rules apply.

## Meaning and Definition:

International law is a body of rules and principles that regulate the behavior and interactions of states and other international actors. It encompasses a wide range of topics, including diplomacy, human rights, trade, armed conflict, environmental protection, and more. International law is binding on the subjects to which it applies, ensuring order, stability, and cooperation in the international arena.

## Subjects of International Law:

### 1. States:

States are the primary subjects of international law. International law governs their relationships with other states and regulates their conduct in various areas. States enjoy sovereignty and equality in international law, which means they have the exclusive authority to govern their internal affairs and are considered equal in terms of rights and obligations. States have the capacity to enter into treaties, engage in diplomatic relations, and participate in international organizations.

### 2. International Organizations:

International organizations, such as the United Nations (UN), regional organizations (e.g., European Union), and specialized agencies (e.g., World Health Organization), are subjects of international law. These organizations are created through treaties or other international agreements and have legal personality. They have rights, obligations, and responsibilities under international law and play

a significant role in addressing global issues, promoting cooperation, and facilitating multilateral decision-making.

### 3. Individuals:

While international law primarily governs the conduct of states, it also recognizes certain rights and responsibilities of individuals. Individuals can be subjects of international law in specific contexts, particularly in the field of human rights and international criminal law. International human rights law protects individuals from human rights abuses, ensuring their fundamental rights and freedoms. International criminal law holds individuals accountable for serious crimes such as genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity.

### 4. Non-State Entities:

Certain non-state entities, such as national liberation movements, rebel groups, and corporations, may also be subjects of international law to some extent. Non-state entities can be subject to international legal obligations and responsibilities based on their actions and interactions with states and other actors. For example, corporations may have responsibilities regarding human rights and environmental protection, and rebel groups may be held accountable for violations of international humanitarian law.

### 5. Other International Actors:

Other international actors, such as indigenous peoples, international non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and individuals acting in their capacity as representatives of states or international organizations, may also have a role in international law. These actors may have specific rights, responsibilities, or legal standing within the international legal system.

In summary, the subjects of international law include states, international organizations, individuals, non-state entities, and other international actors.

International law governs their conduct, rights, obligations, and responsibilities in various areas of international relations, contributing to the stability, order, and cooperation among entities in the international community.

## **Relationship between international law and state law**

### Introduction:

The relationship between international law and state law is a complex and evolving one. International law and state law are two distinct legal systems that operate at different levels. International law governs the relationships between states and other international actors, while state law, also known as domestic or national law, regulates the internal affairs of individual states. Understanding their relationship is crucial for determining how international obligations are incorporated into domestic legal systems.

### Meaning and Definition:

International law refers to a body of rules and principles that govern the conduct of states and other international entities in their interactions with one another. It addresses a wide range of issues, including diplomatic relations, human rights, trade, armed conflict, and environmental protection. State law, on the other hand, refers to the legal system within a particular state that regulates the rights, duties, and conduct of individuals, organizations, and the state itself.

### Relationship between International Law and State Law:

#### 1. Dualism:

Under the dualist approach, international law and state law are seen as separate and distinct legal systems. Each system has its own sources, rules, and institutions. According to this approach, international law and state law exist in

parallel, and for international law to be enforceable within a state, it requires domestic incorporation through the legislative process.

## 2. Monism:

The monist approach considers international law and state law as a single legal system, with international law being automatically incorporated into domestic law. According to this view, international law is superior to state law, and states are bound to comply with their international obligations without the need for explicit domestic legislation. Monism emphasizes the primacy of international law over state law.

## 3. Incorporation of International Law into State Law:

In most legal systems, international law needs to be incorporated into state law to be enforceable domestically. The process of incorporation varies among countries. Some states may have a specific constitutional provision or legislation that allows for the direct application of international law. Others may require national legislation to incorporate international law into their legal systems. The method of incorporation depends on the particular legal traditions and constitutional frameworks of each state.

## 4. Reception and Transformation:

States may receive international law into their domestic legal systems through the process of reception. Reception involves adapting and incorporating international legal principles and norms into state law without necessarily replicating the exact language of international treaties or conventions. This allows states to tailor international obligations to their specific legal and constitutional frameworks.

## 5. Conflict and Hierarchy:

In cases of conflict between international law and state law, the relationship is often resolved through various mechanisms. Some legal systems give priority to

international law, allowing it to prevail over conflicting state laws. In other cases, state law takes precedence, and international law may be subordinate to domestic legislation. Resolving conflicts between international law and state law can be complex and may involve judicial interpretation and decision-making.

In summary, the relationship between international law and state law can be characterized by dualism or monism. International law and state law operate at different levels, and the incorporation of international law into state law varies among legal systems. Conflict between international law and state law may arise, requiring mechanisms for resolution. Understanding this relationship is essential for ensuring compliance with international obligations and harmonizing the domestic legal systems of states with the principles and norms of international law.

## **States in general**

States in the Field of International Law:

Introduction:

States are the primary subjects of international law. They play a central role in the international legal system, as they are recognized as sovereign entities with rights, obligations, and responsibilities. Understanding the concept of states in international law is essential for comprehending the legal framework that governs their behavior and relationships.

Meaning and Definition:

In international law, a state refers to a political entity that possesses sovereignty, territorial integrity, and the capacity to enter into international relations with other states. States are considered the primary actors in the international community, and they have legal personality under international law. This legal

personality allows states to exercise rights, fulfill obligations, and participate in international organizations and treaties.

## Characteristics of States in International Law:

### 1. Sovereignty:

Sovereignty is a fundamental attribute of states. It refers to the exclusive authority of a state to govern its territory, make laws, and exercise jurisdiction over its population. States are considered equal in terms of sovereignty, regardless of their size, population, or economic power. Sovereignty ensures that states have the independence to determine their own internal affairs and make decisions in international relations.

### 2. Territorial Integrity:

Territorial integrity is another key characteristic of states. It refers to the inviolability of a state's borders and the right to exercise control over its territory. States are responsible for protecting and preserving their territorial integrity, and any violation of this principle is generally considered a breach of international law.

### 3. Recognition:

Recognition is an important aspect of statehood in international law. States are typically recognized by other states, which acknowledges their existence as sovereign entities. Recognition can be explicit or implicit and can occur through diplomatic channels or by joining international organizations. While recognition is not an absolute requirement for statehood, it plays a significant role in determining the international legal status and rights of a political entity.

### 4. Rights and Obligations:

States have both rights and obligations under international law. Rights include the right to self-determination, the right to territorial integrity, the right to engage in diplomatic relations, and the right to participate in international organizations. Obligations arise from treaties, customary international law, and general principles of law. States are legally bound to fulfill their obligations and can be held accountable for violations of international law.

## 5. Diplomatic Relations:

Diplomatic relations form an essential aspect of state interactions in international law. States establish diplomatic missions, such as embassies and consulates, to facilitate communication, negotiation, and cooperation. Diplomatic relations are governed by rules and principles of diplomatic law, which regulate the conduct of states' diplomatic representatives and the privileges and immunities they enjoy.

In summary, states are the primary subjects of international law. They possess sovereignty, territorial integrity, and the capacity to enter into international relations. States have rights and obligations under international law, participate in diplomatic relations, and are recognized by other states. Understanding the characteristics and role of states in international law is crucial for comprehending the legal framework that governs their behavior and relationships in the international arena.

## **Concept of Recognition in international law**

### Introduction:

Recognition is a fundamental concept in international law that relates to the acknowledgment of the existence and status of a political entity as a state. It plays a significant role in determining the rights, privileges, and responsibilities of states in the international community. Understanding the concept of recognition is

crucial for comprehending the legal framework that governs statehood and international relations.

#### Meaning and Definition:

In international law, recognition refers to the formal act by which one state or international organization acknowledges the existence and status of another political entity as a state. It is a political and legal process through which a state accepts the sovereignty and legitimacy of another entity. Recognition is a crucial step in establishing diplomatic relations and determining the rights and obligations of states under international law.

#### Origin and Definition:

The concept of recognition has evolved over time and has its roots in customary international law. It emerged as a response to the need for states to establish relations and interact with each other on a global scale. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition of recognition, it is generally understood as a political act whereby one state or international organization accepts another entity as a state and acknowledges its legal personality.

#### Examples of Recognition:

1. **De Jure Recognition:** De jure recognition refers to the formal recognition of a state by another state based on its legal and political attributes. For example, when a newly independent country declares independence and is recognized by other states, it attains de jure recognition. One notable example is the recognition of the Baltic states (Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania) as sovereign states following the collapse of the Soviet Union.

2. **De Facto Recognition:** De facto recognition occurs when a state acknowledges the existence and de facto control of another political entity without necessarily accepting its legal status. This type of recognition is often driven by practical



considerations rather than legal or political criteria. An example is the recognition of the People's Republic of China by several countries before it replaced the Republic of China as the representative of China in the United Nations.

3. Conditional Recognition: Conditional recognition is when a state recognizes another entity but imposes conditions or reservations. This form of recognition may be based on specific requirements, such as the establishment of democratic institutions or the resolution of territorial disputes. An example is the conditional recognition of Kosovo as an independent state, subject to ongoing negotiations and international supervision.

4. Withdrawal of Recognition: Recognition is not necessarily permanent, and states can withdraw or revoke their recognition of another entity. This can occur due to changes in the political or legal status of the recognized entity or as a result of shifts in geopolitical considerations. For example, several states withdrew recognition of the Democratic Republic of Vietnam after it was reunified with South Vietnam to form the Socialist Republic of Vietnam.

In conclusion, recognition is a vital concept in international law, referring to the formal acknowledgment of the existence and status of a political entity as a state. It plays a significant role in establishing diplomatic relations and determining the legal rights and obligations of states. Examples of recognition include de jure recognition, de facto recognition, conditional recognition, and the withdrawal of recognition. The concept of recognition reflects the dynamic nature of international relations and the evolving principles that underpin statehood in the international community.

## **Concept of state territorial sovereignty and state jurisdiction in international law**

Introduction:

State territorial sovereignty and state jurisdiction are fundamental concepts in international law that define the extent of a state's authority over its territory and the exercise of its powers within its jurisdiction. Understanding these concepts is crucial for comprehending the legal framework that governs the rights and responsibilities of states in the international community.

#### Meaning and Definitions:

1. **State Territorial Sovereignty:** State territorial sovereignty refers to the exclusive authority and control that a state possesses over its territory. It encompasses the state's right to govern its territory, make laws, enforce order, and protect its borders. Territorial sovereignty ensures that a state has the power to exercise control over its land, airspace, and territorial waters, subject to the principles of international law.

2. **State Jurisdiction:** State jurisdiction refers to the legal authority of a state to prescribe, adjudicate, and enforce laws within its territory or over individuals and entities outside its territory. Jurisdiction allows states to regulate various aspects, such as criminal conduct, civil matters, taxation, and administrative regulations. It determines the scope and limits of a state's legal authority and its ability to exercise control over individuals and entities.

#### Origin and Definitions:

The concepts of state territorial sovereignty and state jurisdiction have developed over time in response to the need for states to govern and regulate their territories. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition, the following definitions capture their essence:

1. **State Territorial Sovereignty:** State territorial sovereignty refers to the exclusive right of a state to exercise control and authority over its territory, including land, airspace, and territorial waters. It entails the state's ability to govern its territory,

make laws, and protect its borders. Territorial sovereignty is a foundational principle of statehood in international law.

2. State Jurisdiction: State jurisdiction refers to the legal authority of a state to prescribe, adjudicate, and enforce laws within its territory or over individuals and entities outside its territory. It allows states to exercise control over various aspects of governance, including criminal, civil, and administrative matters. Jurisdiction may be exercised based on the territorial principle, nationality principle, protective principle, or universality principle.

Examples of State Territorial Sovereignty and State Jurisdiction:

1. Maritime Jurisdiction: States exercise jurisdiction over their territorial waters, extending 12 nautical miles from their coastlines. They have the authority to enforce laws, regulate fishing activities, and control navigation within this zone. For example, the United States asserts jurisdiction over the waters surrounding its coastline, ensuring the protection of its marine resources.

2. Extraterritorial Jurisdiction: States can exercise jurisdiction over individuals and entities outside their territory under certain circumstances. For instance, states may prosecute their nationals for crimes committed abroad or exercise jurisdiction over offenses that have a significant impact on their national security or interests. The U.S. exercise of extraterritorial jurisdiction in prosecuting international terrorism cases is an example of this.

3. Border Disputes: Territorial sovereignty can be a contentious issue when states dispute ownership or control over specific territories. For example, the territorial dispute between India and Pakistan over Kashmir highlights the complex nature of asserting and defending territorial sovereignty.

Conclusion:

State territorial sovereignty and state jurisdiction are essential concepts in international law. State territorial sovereignty relates to a state's exclusive authority and control over its territory, while state jurisdiction refers to a state's legal authority to prescribe, adjudicate, and enforce laws. These concepts form the basis for determining a state's rights and responsibilities within its territory and in its relations with other states. Examples, such as maritime jurisdiction and extraterritorial jurisdiction, illustrate the application of these concepts in practice. Understanding state territorial sovereignty and state jurisdiction is vital for comprehending the legal framework that governs statehood and the exercise of state powers in the international arena.

## **State responsibility**

### Introduction:

The concept of state responsibility is a fundamental principle in international law that governs the legal obligations and accountability of states for their actions or omissions in violation of international law. It establishes the framework for holding states responsible for internationally wrongful acts and providing remedies for the injured parties. Understanding the concept of state responsibility is crucial for ensuring compliance with international law and promoting accountability in the international community.

### Meaning and Definition:

State responsibility refers to the legal duty of a state to answer for its internationally wrongful acts and bear the consequences of those acts under international law. It holds states accountable for breaches of their obligations and provides a mechanism for seeking redress for the harm caused to other states, individuals, or the international community as a whole. State responsibility is based on the recognition that states have legal personality and are bound by their international obligations.

### Origin and Definition:

The concept of state responsibility has evolved over time and is rooted in customary international law and treaty provisions. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition, the following definition captures its essence:

State responsibility is the legal duty of a state to respond to its internationally wrongful acts, which arise from conduct that violates an international obligation, either by action or omission, attributable to the state under international law. It includes an obligation to cease the wrongful act, offer appropriate remedies, and take measures to prevent similar violations in the future.

### Examples of State Responsibility:

1. **Violations of Human Rights:** State responsibility can arise when a state commits human rights violations, such as torture, unlawful detention, or discrimination. For instance, if a state engages in widespread and systematic human rights abuses, it may be held responsible by the international community, and individuals affected may seek remedies through international human rights mechanisms.
2. **Breaches of Treaty Obligations:** State responsibility may arise when a state fails to fulfill its obligations under a treaty. If a state fails to comply with the terms of a treaty to which it is a party, it may be held responsible for the breach. For example, if a state violates its obligations under an environmental treaty by engaging in environmentally harmful activities, it may be held responsible for the resulting damage to the environment and may be required to provide compensation or take corrective measures.
3. **State-Sponsored Terrorism:** State responsibility can arise when a state supports or engages in acts of terrorism. If a state provides material support or harbors

terrorists, it may be held responsible for the consequences of those acts. Other states may hold the sponsoring state accountable through diplomatic, economic, or even military measures.

#### Conclusion:

State responsibility is a fundamental principle in international law that establishes the legal obligations and accountability of states for their actions or omissions in violation of international law. It provides a framework for holding states responsible for internationally wrongful acts and seeking redress for the harm caused. Examples, such as violations of human rights, breaches of treaty obligations, and state-sponsored terrorism, illustrate the application of the concept of state responsibility. Understanding state responsibility is crucial for promoting compliance with international law, upholding accountability, and ensuring the protection of individuals, states, and the international community as a whole.

### **concept of succession to rights and obligations**

#### Introduction:

The concept of succession to rights and obligations in international law deals with the transfer of rights and obligations from one state to another in cases of state succession. State succession occurs when there is a change in the statehood of a territory or a modification in the international status of a state. Understanding the principles of succession to rights and obligations is essential for determining the legal framework that governs the continuity of rights and obligations between predecessor and successor states.

#### Meaning and Definition:

Succession to rights and obligations refers to the legal process by which a successor state assumes the rights and obligations of a predecessor state upon

state succession. It ensures the continuity of legal relationships, treaties, assets, and liabilities, among other things. Succession can occur in various forms, including territorial succession, political succession, or functional succession, and it involves the transfer of both rights and obligations from one state to another.

#### Origin and Definition:

The concept of succession to rights and obligations has its roots in customary international law and has been further codified in various treaties and conventions. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition, the following definition captures its essence:

Succession to rights and obligations in international law is the process by which a successor state, resulting from state succession, assumes the rights and obligations of a predecessor state, ensuring the continuity of legal relationships, treaties, assets, and liabilities.

#### Examples of Succession to Rights and Obligations:

1. **Territorial Succession:** When a territory gains independence or is transferred to another state, succession to rights and obligations occurs. For instance, when the Soviet Union dissolved, multiple successor states emerged, and they assumed the rights and obligations of the former Soviet Union, such as membership in international organizations and treaty obligations.

2. **Statehood Recognition:** When a new state is recognized by the international community, it may assume the rights and obligations of the predecessor entity. For example, when South Sudan gained independence from Sudan, it succeeded to the rights and obligations of Sudan as a result of statehood recognition by other states and international organizations.

3. Treaties and Agreements: Succession to rights and obligations can also occur when a successor state takes on the treaty obligations of a predecessor state. For instance, if a treaty addressing environmental protection was concluded by a predecessor state, the successor state may inherit the rights and obligations under that treaty.

#### Conclusion:

Succession to rights and obligations is a crucial concept in international law that addresses the transfer of rights and obligations from one state to another upon state succession. It ensures the continuity of legal relationships, treaties, assets, and liabilities. Examples, such as territorial succession, statehood recognition, and succession of treaty obligations, illustrate the application of the concept. Understanding the principles of succession to rights and obligations is essential for maintaining legal continuity and facilitating the smooth transition of rights and responsibilities between predecessor and successor states in the international community.

## **concept of state and individual**

#### Introduction:

In international law, the relationship between states and individuals is a fundamental aspect of the legal framework that governs the rights, responsibilities, and interactions between states and individuals within the international community. Understanding the concept of state and individual in international law is crucial for comprehending the rights and obligations of both states and individuals in the international legal system.

#### Meaning and Definition:



The concept of state and individual in international law refers to the legal status, rights, and obligations of states as sovereign entities and individuals as subjects of international law. States are recognized as the primary actors in international law, while individuals possess certain rights and are protected by international legal norms. The interaction between states and individuals encompasses various aspects, including human rights, diplomatic relations, and the accountability of states for their treatment of individuals.

#### Origin and Definition:

The concept of state and individual in international law has evolved over time, reflecting the development of customary international law and the codification of treaties. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition, the following definition captures its essence:

State and individual in international law refer to the legal relationship between states as sovereign entities and individuals as subjects of international law. It encompasses the rights, responsibilities, and interactions between states and individuals within the international legal system.

#### Examples of State and Individual in International Law:

1. Human Rights: International human rights law recognizes the inherent dignity and equal rights of individuals. States have obligations to respect, protect, and fulfill the human rights of individuals within their territory and subject to their jurisdiction. Examples include the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which protect the rights of individuals regardless of their nationality.

2. Diplomatic Relations: States engage in diplomatic relations with individuals as representatives of other states or international organizations. Diplomatic immunity protects diplomats from legal jurisdiction in the host state, ensuring

their freedom to carry out diplomatic functions. This interaction between states and individuals facilitates communication, negotiation, and cooperation in the international arena.

3. Individual Criminal Responsibility: International criminal law holds individuals accountable for the most serious crimes of international concern, such as genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity. International criminal tribunals, such as the International Criminal Court, prosecute individuals for these crimes, emphasizing the responsibility of individuals for their actions under international law.

#### Conclusion:

The concept of state and individual in international law defines the legal relationship between states as sovereign entities and individuals as subjects of international law. It encompasses the rights, responsibilities, and interactions between states and individuals within the international legal system. Examples, such as human rights, diplomatic relations, and individual criminal responsibility, illustrate the application of this concept. Understanding the dynamics between states and individuals in international law is crucial for promoting human rights, upholding diplomatic relations, and ensuring accountability for international crimes.

### **concept of state and economic interest**

#### Introduction:

In international law, the relationship between states and individuals is a fundamental aspect of the legal framework that governs the rights, responsibilities, and interactions between states and individuals within the international community. Understanding the concept of state and individual in

international law is crucial for comprehending the rights and obligations of both states and individuals in the international legal system.

#### Meaning and Definition:

The concept of state and individual in international law refers to the legal status, rights, and obligations of states as sovereign entities and individuals as subjects of international law. States are recognized as the primary actors in international law, while individuals possess certain rights and are protected by international legal norms. The interaction between states and individuals encompasses various aspects, including human rights, diplomatic relations, and the accountability of states for their treatment of individuals.

#### Origin and Definition:

The concept of state and individual in international law has evolved over time, reflecting the development of customary international law and the codification of treaties. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition, the following definition captures its essence:

State and individual in international law refer to the legal relationship between states as sovereign entities and individuals as subjects of international law. It encompasses the rights, responsibilities, and interactions between states and individuals within the international legal system.

#### Examples of State and Individual in International Law:

1. **Human Rights:** International human rights law recognizes the inherent dignity and equal rights of individuals. States have obligations to respect, protect, and fulfill the human rights of individuals within their territory and subject to their jurisdiction. Examples include the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which protect the rights of individuals regardless of their nationality.

2. Diplomatic Relations: States engage in diplomatic relations with individuals as representatives of other states or international organizations. Diplomatic immunity protects diplomats from legal jurisdiction in the host state, ensuring their freedom to carry out diplomatic functions. This interaction between states and individuals facilitates communication, negotiation, and cooperation in the international arena.

3. Individual Criminal Responsibility: International criminal law holds individuals accountable for the most serious crimes of international concern, such as genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity. International criminal tribunals, such as the International Criminal Court, prosecute individuals for these crimes, emphasizing the responsibility of individuals for their actions under international law.

#### Conclusion:

The concept of state and individual in international law defines the legal relationship between states as sovereign entities and individuals as subjects of international law. It encompasses the rights, responsibilities, and interactions between states and individuals within the international legal system. Examples, such as human rights, diplomatic relations, and individual criminal responsibility, illustrate the application of this concept. Understanding the dynamics between states and individuals in international law is crucial for promoting human rights, upholding diplomatic relations, and ensuring accountability for international crimes.

## **Diplomatic Envoys, Councils, and other Representatives**

#### Introduction:

In international law, diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives play a vital role in facilitating communication, negotiation, and cooperation among states. It involves the establishment and functioning of diplomatic missions, councils, and other forms of representation to promote diplomatic relations, protect state interests, and engage in diplomatic activities. Understanding the concept of diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives in international law is essential for comprehending the framework that governs diplomatic interactions between states.

#### Meaning and Definition:

The concept of diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives refers to the individuals and bodies appointed by states to represent them in diplomatic relations and international organizations. Diplomatic envoys, such as ambassadors and consuls, serve as official representatives of their states in foreign countries. Councils, such as the United Nations Security Council, consist of representatives from member states who discuss and make decisions on matters of international peace and security. Other representatives, such as delegates to international conferences, participate in negotiations and decision-making processes on behalf of their states.

#### Origin and Definition:

The concept of diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives has historical origins dating back to ancient civilizations. It has evolved over time through customary international law and diplomatic practices. While there is no universally agreed-upon definition, the following definition captures its essence:

Diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives in international law refer to individuals and bodies appointed by states to represent them in diplomatic relations, international organizations, and other diplomatic activities. They engage in communication, negotiation, and cooperation on behalf of their states.

## Examples of Diplomatic Envoys, Councils, and Other Representatives:

1. Diplomatic Envoys: Ambassadors and consuls are examples of diplomatic envoys appointed by states to represent them in foreign countries. They facilitate diplomatic relations, promote cultural exchanges, protect the interests of their states' nationals, and negotiate agreements on various matters, such as trade, security, and cooperation.

2. International Organizations: Representatives of states participate in international organizations, such as the United Nations General Assembly and the International Court of Justice. They engage in discussions, vote on resolutions, and contribute to the decision-making processes of these organizations.

3. International Conferences: States appoint delegates or representatives to international conferences on specific issues, such as climate change, human rights, or disarmament. These representatives negotiate, discuss policy positions, and advocate for their states' interests during the conference.

## Conclusion:

The diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives in international law plays a crucial role in facilitating diplomatic relations, negotiations, and cooperation among states. It encompasses individuals and bodies appointed by states to represent them in diplomatic activities, international organizations, and conferences. Examples, such as diplomatic envoys, international organizations, and international conferences, demonstrate the application of this concept. Understanding the framework that governs diplomatic envoys, councils, and other representatives is essential for promoting effective diplomatic relations, fostering cooperation, and achieving diplomatic objectives in the international community.

## **important case laws**

While there are numerous significant case laws in international law, I will provide you with a brief overview of some notable cases under separate headings:

### 1. International Court of Justice (ICJ) Cases:

- Case: Nicaragua v. United States (1986): The ICJ ruled on the United States' involvement in the armed conflict in Nicaragua, addressing issues such as the use of force and intervention in the affairs of another state.
- Case: Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro (2007): The ICJ addressed allegations of genocide during the Bosnian War and clarified the responsibility of states for acts of genocide under international law.

### 2. International Criminal Court (ICC) Cases:

- Case: Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo (2006): The ICC's first trial focused on the war crimes of enlisting and conscripting children under the age of 15 into armed groups in the Democratic Republic of Congo.
- Case: Prosecutor v. Ahmad Al Faqi Al Mahdi (2016): The ICC prosecuted the destruction of cultural heritage sites in Timbuktu, Mali, as a war crime, emphasizing the protection of cultural property during armed conflicts.

### 3. European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) Cases:

- Case: Soering v. United Kingdom (1989): The ECtHR ruled that the extradition of a person facing the death penalty to the United States would violate the prohibition of inhuman and degrading treatment.
- Case: Demir and Baykara v. Turkey (2008): The ECtHR addressed the right to freedom of association for public employees, establishing principles for the protection of trade union rights.

#### 4. World Trade Organization (WTO) Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) Cases:

- Case: United States - Measures Affecting the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and Betting Services (2004): The WTO DSB ruled on the legality of US measures restricting online gambling services from Antigua and Barbuda, highlighting the balance between trade regulations and national policy objectives.

These cases represent a small selection of important cases in international law, each addressing unique legal issues and contributing to the development of international legal principles and norms. It is important to note that international law is vast, and there are many other significant cases that have shaped the landscape of international law in various areas such as human rights, environmental law, and international humanitarian law.