

The Cost of Consensus on Synchronization of Distributed Systems

Abstract—
Index Terms—

I. INTRODUCTION

Coordination is essential for large-scale distributed applications. Handling the simplest operations in a distributed manner gave rise to unprecedented challenges. Different forms of coordination are used to handle a variety of tasks. Leader election and group membership is one way.

Locks are a powerful coordination primitive. It guarantees mutual exclusion when accessing critical sources. However, it is also widely used to provide a mean of synchronization between distributed applications.

II. FRAMEWORK

A. *testbed*

describe machines and topology

B. *consensus protocol*

talk about consensus

C. *synchronization primitives*

D. *Zookeeper*

III. ANALYSIS OF CONSENSUS COST

A. *Round-trip time latency*

display data we got from experiments (using ping for example) to get the distribution of RTTs. Maybe we should check with inter- and intra-datacenter communications. we discuss insights from the behavior of RTTs regarding their cost on consensus then we develop a model to describe RTTs, and develop a model to expect latency of getting all responses.

B. *Consensus latency*

develop a model to describe the latency of requests (such as the ones used for our baseline case, like zookeeper's paper). this will develop over previous section in addition to accounting the effect of service times in clients.

C. *Synchronization primitives latency*

barriers, test-and-set, queues

IV. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

A. *Request latency*

baseline experiment. effect of adding machines, effect of adding zookeeper servers.

B. *synchronization primitives*

test test-and-set and queues (as in paper: reactive synchronization)

C. *application performance*

map reduce. effect of adding machines, effect of adding zookeeper servers.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Lets cite [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] Brian F. Cooper, Raghu Ramakrishnan, Utkarsh Srivastava, Adam Silberstein, Philip Bohannon, Hans-Arno Jacobsen, Nick Puz, Daniel Weaver, and Ramana Yerneni. Pnuts: Yahoo!'s hosted data serving platform. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 1(2):1277–1288, August 2008.