Summary Table:

No. of characters	Five-character		Six-character	
	Brute force	Rainbow table		
Method		Chain length: 3800		Chain length: 7600
		Chain number: 600000		Chain number: 2000000
Time in s	387.27	48.86	366.31*	132.64**
(2d.p.)	301.21	40.00	300.31	132.04

^{*9} out of 15 hashes broken

Using rainbow table for five-character input:

As compared to the brute forcing which took almost 8 times longer, we can see that using rainbow table to break hashes is much more efficient.

```
faith@faith-VirtualBox:~/50042/Lab 3$ python3 md5fun.py
Found a match!
List of inputs: ['egunb', 'tthel', 'tpoin', 'owso9', 'opmen', 'ofror', 'aseas',
'sso55', 'di5gv', 'dsmto', 'hed4e', 'lou0g', 'cance', 'nized', 'mlhdi']
Total run time: 387.2673816680908
```

^{**14} out of 15 hashes broken

Using rainbow table for six-character input: (Salted hashes)

By increasing the length of the password by 1, the input space is now 36⁶ instead of 36⁵. Without increasing the chain length and chain number, not all the salted hashes can be broken as only 9 out of 15 can be broken.

```
statistics
plaintext found:
                                                           9 of 15
total time:
time of chain traverse:
time of alarm check:
time of disk read:
                                                           366.31 s
                                                           50.19 s
                                                           315.23 s
hash & reduce calculation of chain traverse: 216486000
hash & reduce calculation of alarm check: 139738212
                                                           1397382123
number of alarm:
                                                           1098776
performance of chain traverse:
performance of alarm check:
                                                           4.31 million/s
                                                           4.43 million/s
47f629d86094abd347e9f772758a128e <not found> hex:<not found>
06c08d75cfdf9c8afafe2e98f648e9e5 tthelu hex:747468656c75
bcb5b4dbc4290ffd84f24490da864d56 <not found> hex:<not found>
2daf25297fda7510feac27c862d5bdcc owso9n hex:6f77736f396e
1ff3d27fc4ab00678ac38aa9cb58b82d opmeng hex:6f706d656e67
df7885aec6dcd2e3ed7540793423c5c3 ofror5 hex:6f66726f7235
de493556c0841c5f16b342692243c978
                                             <not found> hex:<not found>
                                             <not found> hex:<not found>
5a2839338d90c867575cb0e34886de24
 300bf342029404ffb21ed96dbd10b78d
                                            di5gvp hex:646935677670
 2276be8a244f998d909287997b0b776c
                                                       hex:64736d746f7a
                                             dsmtoz
35993cf2b9632a3fe5097cf1e3b22171
                                                       hex:68656434656
7f580aac84d4a334e213ea8cf80cafe3
                                             lou0g4 hex:6c6f75306734
67d1b5a59f1868343228e9e502813a01
                                             <not found> hex:<not found>
6d27cefc1a35e2d32cdbf619bf4b183c
                                            nizedm hex:6e697a65646d
                                            <not found> hex:<not found>
67c67a09e6f823fc0794eaf5b166d5b3
```

Even after increasing the chain length to 7600 and the chain number to 2000000, only 14 out of 15 hashes could be broken. The increase in input space, chain length and chain number also means that the size of the rainbow table is significantly larger. We can see that the time required to crack the passwords is higher along with a larger rainbow table with a six-character input.

```
statistics
plaintext found:
                                                        14 of 15
total time:
time of chain traverse:
time of alarm check:
time of disk read:
                                                        132.64 s
                                                        94.86 s
                                                        0.05 s
hash & reduce calculation of chain traverse: 433086000
hash & reduce calculation of alarm check: 161805812
number of alarm: 120052
performance of chain traverse:
performance of alarm check:
                                                        4.57 million/s
47f629d86094abd347e9f772758a128e egunbw hex:6567756e6277
06c08d75cfdf9c8afafe2e98f648e9e5
                                          tthelu hex:747468656c75
bcb5b4dbc4290ffd84f24490da864d56
                                                          hex:<not found>
                                          <not found>
2daf25297fda7510feac27c862d5bdcc
                                          owso9n hex:6f77736f396e
1ff3d27fc4ab00678ac38aa9cb58b82d
df7885aec6dcd2e3ed7540793423c5c3
de493556c0841c5f16b342692243c978
                                                    hex:6f706d656e67
                                          ofror5
                                                    hex:6f66726f7235
                                                    hex:617365617370
                                          aseasp
5a2839338d90c867575cb0e34886de24
                                                    hex:73736f353562
                                          sso55b
 300bf342029404ffb21ed96dbd10b78d
                                          di5gvp
                                                    hex:646935677670
 2276be8a244f998d909287997b0b776c
                                                    hex:64736d746f7a
                                          dsmtoz
35993cf2b9632a3fe5097cf1e3b22171
                                           hed4ed
                                                    hex:686564346564
 7f580aac84d4a334e213ea8cf80cafe3
                                          lou0g4
                                                    hex:6c6f75306734
67d1b5a59f1868343228e9e502813a01
                                          canceg
                                                    hex:63616e636567
6d27cefc1a35e2d32cdbf619bf4b183c
                                          nizedm
                                                    hex:6e697a65646d
 67c67a09e6f823fc0794eaf5b166d5b3
```

Using the online hash lookup service, https://hashkiller.co.uk/Cracker/MD5, I was able to crack all except 4 of the moderate hashes given. The md5 hash of the password and the corresponding plain text password is available in the attached "part6.csv".