Group Project Self-Evaluation Form

Course: Data Structures & Algorithms – C++ Project

Projec	t Title and Section: AnimeKinator – Console-Based Character Guessing Game
Date: _	
	Members: ne:
Stude	ent ID:
2. Nam	ne:
Stude	ent ID:
3. Nam	ne:
Stude	ent ID:
Data S	Structure Principles (30 points)
Dio gar	ee Implementation (10 points) d you correctly implement a binary decision tree to guide the question flow in the me? Yes No mments:
Die be	e Handling for Data Persistence (10 points) d you implement proper file input/output to store new characters and questions tween sessions? Yes No mments:
Dio ma □	ficient Traversals and Updates (10 points) d you use appropriate traversal and update logic for tree modifications and decisionaking? Yes □ No mments:

User Interaction & Game Flow (20 points)

• Console-Based Interface (5 points)
Was the interface easy to understand and navigate using simple prompts?

	☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
•	Dynamic Question-Answer Flow (5 points) Did the system dynamically respond to user input and follow a logical flow? ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
•	Learning Feature Working Correctly (5 points) Was the system able to learn and correctly insert new characters and questions based on user feedback? Yes No Comments:
•	Replay and Summary Functional (5 points) Was the replay/exit logic and round summary implemented and functional? □ Yes □ No Comments:
Co	de Quality & Structure (20 points) Modularity and Functions (5 points) Did you break your program into manageable, reusable functions or classes? □ Yes □ No Comments:
•	Proper Comments and Readability (5 points) Did your code contain comments and follow proper indentation for clarity? Yes No Comments:
•	Header File Usage (5 points) Did you use `.h` files appropriately to separate declarations from implementations (if applicable)? □ Yes □ No Comments:
•	No Hardcoded Logic (5 points) Did your code avoid hardcoding and instead depend on the dataset and user input? ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:

Team Collaboration (10 points)

Equal Contribution from Team Members (5 points)
Did both members contribute equally to planning, coding, and documentation?
☐ Yes ☐ No
Comments:
• Joint Debugging and Testing (5 points)
Did the team test the project together and resolve issues collaboratively?
□ Yes □ No
Comments:

Individual Contributions:
Member 1 - Name:
• Role & Tasks Completed:
- Note & Tusks dompteed.
Member 2 - Name:
Member 2 Nume.
Role & Tasks Completed:
Member 3 - Name:
• Role & Tasks Completed:
Final Comments:
(Please provide any reflections or suggestions for future improvements.)