

# Gluttony IO - UX report

Chris Perivolaropoulos

2014-07-01

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Gluttony IO</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Initial idea development . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Initial product idea . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Target users . . . . .	1
1.1.3	Techniques and environmental parameters . . . . .	2
1.2	Need and demand analysis . . . . .	2
1.2.1	User categories and analysis . . . . .	2
1.2.2	Task analysis and subtasks . . . . .	2
1.2.3	Objects/structures of UI . . . . .	3
1.2.4	Technical notes . . . . .	3
1.3	Product design with prototype. . . . .	3
1.3.1	Usability goals . . . . .	3
1.3.2	Style guide . . . . .	4
1.3.3	Basic screen prototypes . . . . .	4
1.3.4	Usability testing . . . . .	4
1.4	Iterative design for prototype improvement . . . . .	5
1.5	System development . . . . .	5
1.6	Initial functionality support . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Extra Notes</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Tools . . . . .	5
2.1.1	Problems . . . . .	5
2.1.2	Solution . . . . .	5
2.2	Limitations and TODO . . . . .	6

## 1 Gluttony IO

Your internet at your fingertips.

<https://drninjabatman.mybalsamiq.com/projects/gluttony/assets/gluttony.png>

Phases according to LUCID.

- **Phase 1:** Initial idea development
- **Phase 2:** Need and demand analysis
- **Phase 3:** Product design with prototype.
- **Phase 4:** Iterative design for prototype improving.

- **Phase 5:** System development.
- **Phase 6:** Initial functionality support.

## 1.1 Initial idea development

### 1.1.1 Initial product idea

A portal to aggregate the online information streams for easier and centralized access. It's goal is not to replace any one service but to provide quick access to basic functionality.

- News feeds
- Social media
- Email
- Custom events

### 1.1.2 Target users

Hackers / technical people

### 1.1.3 Techniques and environmental parameters

< Design team >

< plan/timeline/cost management >

## 1.2 Need and demand analysis

### 1.2.1 User categories and analysis

Main users: hackers/technical people. Their general characteristics are:

- limited free time
- They know what they want
- They already have a workflow they are emotionally attached to
- They like standards and good practices
- They are privacy aware

Some user subcategories and features they will probably want.

- **Average user:** Supercategory of the below.
  - Mobile version
  - Responsive design
  - Notifications
  - Filters

- Support for popular sources of information
- **Hackers:** Won't want to diverge from their workflow
  - API to use in clients
  - Strange browsers (optional responsive design)
  - Privacy concerns
  - Support for custom information retrieval
- **Designers:** Easy and quick access to visual data (videos/pictures/etc)
- **Developers:** ~

### 1.2.2 Task analysis and subtasks

Some common tasks we want to support:

- Prioritized filtered list
- Registration
- Account linkage
- Source management
  - Account linkage
  - Feed addition
  - Custom feeds
- Filters
- Source and item rating
- Interactive objects (emails, tweets, fb posts etc.)

### 1.2.3 Objects/structures of UI

- Main screen ~ feed
  - Non-interactive item mirrors (links/reddit text/hackernews items/etc)
  - Interactive items (email/posts/etc)
- Settings
  - Privacy settings
  - Account deletion
  - External accounts management
  - Sources management
- Documentation page
  - Getting started guide
  - API documentation
  - Privacy policy

- Non-logged in page (register or login)

#### **1.2.4 Technical notes**

- Django on the backend
- Balsamiq for mockups/wireframes
- Heroku for hosting
- codeship for CI

### **1.3 Product design with prototype.**

#### **1.3.1 Usability goals**

Should address **effectiveness**, **efficiency** and **satisfaction** and refer to:

##### 1. End user profiles

End users are expected to want:

- Transparency on methods of retrieval
- Configurability
  - Item rating
  - item sources
  - user data retrieval
- Small overhead
- Few assumptions about the user's preferences/strong defaults
- Comprehensive API
- Concise design

##### 2. Tasks

- Flexible filtering/rating
- Minimal registration/login with social media
- Seamless interactivity with items

#### **1.3.2 Style guide**

Turns out nobody really makes these anymore and guidelines change.

#### **1.3.3 Basic screen prototypes**

[Balsamiq mockups](#)

### 1.3.4 Usability testing

Due to the stateless nature of the service I found heuristic evaluation to be the proper way to test. The testers were presented with Nielsen's usability guidelines and were asked to rate the prototype 1-10 on each topic and optionally leave a comment.

- Visibility of system status
- Match between system and the real world
- User control and freedom
- Consistency
- Error prevention
- Recognizable objects
- Flexibility and efficiency of use
- Aesthetic and minimalist design
- Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors
- Help and documentation

Of course the prototype is still too simple to get any good answers but some level of insight was provided.

The [google form](#) used.

## 1.4 Iterative design for prototype improvement

## 1.5 System development

## 1.6 Initial functionality support

# 2 Extra Notes

## 2.1 Tools

*I refuse to believe that noone has addressed this problem. I am definitely missing something*

Mockup creation prototyping software is SIMPLE. It is a subset of vector graphics software and you find those under every rock. The pricing is unacceptable.

Some tools I looked into were

- [Balsamiq](#) (that I ended up using)
- [prototyper](#)
- [axure](#)
- [Optimizely](#) (for testing only)
- [wireframe.cc](#)

### **2.1.1 Problems**

- Not open source
- Not for linux
- Vastly overpriced
- Crappy design
- Few features (they actually charge extra for pdf exports)
- Not even close to a standardized format

### **2.1.2 Solution**

Inkscape plugin.

- Open source
- Cross platform
- Most functionality is already there
- Once you have svg you can do anything
- Every designer knows how to use that or something similar.
- Already a community to support the core.

## **2.2 Limitations and TODO**

This is far from a complete solution. My struggling with tools and methods left a couple of stuff behind:

- Filter functionality
- Rating functionality
- Proper product flow from mockups to design
- Actual design
- (obviously) Implementation

There is good reason why the product is not complete. To summarize the limitations I faced:

- No UX design software for linux users. VMs for OSX are slow
- Too many similar options in tools
- Limited time given my experience
- UX community is divided on practices, couldn't get very much practical advice.