Student Name: Oluwabukunmi Olumide Fakowajo

Student ID: K2455760

Title: Information Security Assessment Report - Firm ABC

Module: CI7130 - Network and Information Security

School: Faculty of Engineering, Computing and The Environment

Date of Submission: 13th March 2025

Executive summary

Firm ABC of about 300-500 employees recently fell victim to a cyberattack known as a watering hole attack. Attackers breached a trusted website(external) that employees of the company use frequently, using it as an opportunity to **steal credentials** and gain **unauthorized access** to **internal network**. This led to a **ransomware attack**, resulting in financial losses, operational **disruptions**, and reputational harm.

To mitigate such risks, this report outlines key security enhancements and details below:

Security	Estimated	Timeline	Description	Overall
Measure	cost (£/yr)	(Months)		Impact
VPN security	15,000-	2	Strengths remote	lowers the
	20,000		access	likelihood of
				data
				breaches
Multi-Factor	44,160	3	Extra login	Minimizes
Authentication			protection	login
				breaches by
				70%
Firewall	300,000	3	Blocks harmful	Prevents
			Traffic	network from
				external
				attacks
Cybersecurity	20,000-	ongoing	Trains employees	Lowers
Training	50,000		to spot threats	human error
Threat	30,000-	3	Detects and	Speeds up
Detection	40,000		responds to	attack
			threats quickly	response
				time by 90%.
Backup &	5,000-7,000	Bi-annual	Secures and	Protects
Recovery			backs-up data	data, cutting
				loss by 80%.

Security Assessment Report

A team consisting of an IT security manager, network administrator, CISO and security analyst carried out the security assessment. Key IT components and infrastructure were the focus of the evaluation. The approach identified essential assets, evaluated vulnerabilities, and suggested appropriate security measures in accordance with the NIST Risk Management Framework (NIST RMF).

- Chief Information Security Officer (CISO): Strategic supervision and leadership.
- **Network Administrator:** Evaluation of infrastructure vulnerabilities.
- Security Analyst: Threat and risk analysis.
- IT Security Manager: Examining the user access policy.
- Procurement Officer and Human Resources: resource and budget management.

Security Assessment of Organization

Firm ABC was recently targeted by a watering hole attack, this section of the evaluation looks at the organization's most critical assets as well as the threats and vulnerabilities they encounter. The **NIST RMF** framework is used to identify the vulnerabilities and associate them with the appropriate security functions.

A table that classifies the assets and illustrates the relationships between threats, vulnerabilities, and mitigation techniques will be utilized to clearly display this data.

Critical Assets	Potential	Vulnerabilities	NIST Function
	Threat		
Employee	Identity Theft,	Lack of MFA	Identify & protect
Credentials	Account	and password	
	takeover.	encryption	
Internal	Arp Poisoning,	Lack of IDS,	Detect & protect
Network	Rogue proxy	inadequate	
	servers, DNS	access control,	
	hijacking. Lateral	and poor	
	movements.	network	
		segmentation	

Sensitive	SQL Injection,	Improper input	Identify, protect,
Internal Data	Cross-site	validation,	detect, recover
and systems	Scripting,	weak backup	
	ransomware	practices	
Reputation and	Social Media	Weak recovery	Respond and
Brand image	Exploits.	and response	recover
		practices	

The **NIST RMF** was adopted to give a structured approach in identifying and addressing the different attributes of the cybersecurity risk give the recent attack. By adopting this frame work the organization aims to solidify its overall risk assessment (1).

The section below introduces tactics for protecting important resources. To provide robust security and preserve company continuity, these steps will be procured and implemented as follows, including a security architecture for implementation.

Mitigation	Description	Justification of	Technology	Asset(s)
Techniques		suitability	and	Protected
			implementation	
Multi-Factor	Requires	makes it more	Utilize Azure AD	Employee
Authentication	multiple forms	difficult for	and Microsoft	Credentials
	for verification	hackers to use	Auth to	
		stolen	implement MFA	
		credentials,	on both internal	
		lowering risk	and remote	
			computers.	
Firewall	Blocks malicious	Prevents web	Set up AWS	Internal
(Network &	traffic, and	арр	WAF for web	Network
WAF)	unauthorized	vulnerabilities	apps and install	
	access to	from being	Palo Alto	
	internal systems	exploited	firewalls across	
			the network	
			perimeter.	
VPN Security	Data Encryption	ensures that the	Set up Palo Alto	Internal
		internal network	Global Protect for remote	Network

		is accessible securely	access with MFA	
Advanced	Monitors	Prevents the	Deploy Crowd	Internal
Threat Detection	Endpoints for	propagation of	Strike or	Network
	suspicious	dangerous	Microsoft XDR	
	Activities	activities and	on all endpoints	
		detects it early	for real-time	
			monitoring	
Backup &	Backing up and	Ensures	Use Veeam or	Sensitive
Recovery	encryption of	protected data is	Acronis for	Internal Data
	data at rest	always available	automated	and systems
		on standby	backups to and	
			S3 buckets for	
			cloud storage	
Security Training	Teaches	Reduces human	Taking part in	Reputation and
	employees on	error by making	phishing	Brand image
	how to	employees	campaigns and	
	identifying and	aware	using platforms	
	respond cyber threats		like KnowBE4	




Figure 1. Security Architecture

Risk Analysis

To assess the effect of the security breach on Firm ABC's vital assets, a risk analysis was carried out by me. Since there are many parties involved and the risk is done on an Organizational-Level security, I selected **OCTAVE** over STRIDE because of its asset-focused strategy, which effectively manages the risks to vital assets. Octave offers a more extensive, qualitative analysis that guarantees a thorough and cooperative risk assessment across teams (2), in contrast to STRIDE, which concentrates on threat modeling.

- Employee Credentials (A1)
- Internal Network (A2)
- Sensitive Data and internal systems (A3)
- Reputation and image branding (A4)

Threat profile

Assets	Actor	Motive	Access	Outcome
A1	Human	Credential theft	Web interface	Impersonation
A2	Human	sabotage	Privilege	Network outage
			escalation	
A3	Human	Extortion	Privilege	Disruption
			escalation	
A4	Human	Defamation	Social media	Reputational
				loss

Risk Matrix

Risk factor	Assets	Likelihood	Impact	Risk level
Credential theft	A1	High	High	High
Unauthorized	A2	Medium	Critical	Critical
Network Access				
Ransomware	A3	Low	Critical	Critical
Deployment				

Furthermore, to effectively mitigate the identified cybersecurity threats, the following table outlines key strategies and their applications. These mitigations are designed to address specific risks, enhance security posture, and minimize the impact of potential attacks.

Risk Factor	Mitigation	Application
Credential Theft	Enable Multi-Factor	Enforce password
	Authentication (MFA) and	complexity, implement MFA
	enforce strong password	for all users, and keep an eye
	policies.	out for shady login attempts.
Unauthorized Network	Adoption of Zero Trust	Segment networks to restrict
Access	Security and use Network	movement, do frequent
	Access Control (NAC).	access audits, and restrict
		access depending on
		responsibilities.
Ransomware Deployment	Endpoint Detection &	Implement anti-malware
	Response (EDR) and	software, automate backups,
	frequent offline backups are	and teach staff how to spot
	recommended.	phishing scams.

References

- 1. Kohnke A, Sigler K, Shoemaker D. Strategic Risk Management Using the NIST Risk Management Framework. Edpacs. 2016;53(5):1–6.
- 2. Caralli RA, Stevens JF, Young LR, Wilson WR. Introducing octave allegro: Improving the information security risk assessment process. Hansom AFB, MA. 2007;