Consider yourself working for a global retailer that over the years has added a web-based channel to their physical store locations. Now, after learning more about mobile-led changes in retailing, they are excited about what the mobile ecosystem offers. They are seeking your help as they embark on using mobile as a channel. They want to commission an app development team to deploy a presence on iOS and Android. However, several questions arise about the deployment of the app. Your job is to provide data driven insights to help them navigate this complex landscape.

## Specifically, you are tasked with:

- 1. Using the data, estimate a linear model for the relationship between demand and price. For this you have access to a large volume of app level data (in a file called **hw2\_1.csv**), including information about the 'rank' of the app on the app store. Assume Sales = (1/rank)\*1,000,000 (don't worry about the details behind this assumption, just make the assumption). Specifically, estimate a univariate regression where the dependent variable is sales and the independent variable is price:  $Sales = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Price$ 
  - a. Report the estimated intercept and the estimated slope coefficient.
  - b. Test the following null hypothesis:  $\beta_1=0$ . Use a 5% significance level. Provide an explanation of your answer.

#### OLS Regression Results

========			=======		========		
Dep. Variable:		sales		squared:		0.001	
Model:		OLS		j. R-squared:		0.001	
Method:		Least Squares		statistic:		13.06	
Date:		Mon, 29 Nov	2021 Pr	Prob (F-statistic):		0.000302	
Time:		16:45:58		Log-Likelihood:		-2.3509e+05	
No. Observa	ntions:	1	8624 AI	AIC:		4.702e+05	
Df Residuals:		1	8622 BI	C:		4.702e+05	
Df Model:			1				
Covariance Type:		nonro	bust				
========			======	========	=======		
	coe	f std err		t P> t	[0.025	0.975]	
Intercept	2.07e+04	4 586.506	35.30	o.000	1.96e+04	2.19e+04	
price	-469.7850		-3.61		-724.562	-215.008	
========	=======		=======	========			

2. Create a dummy/binary variable for region. This variable should have a value of 0 if the region is CN (China) and 1 if the region is US (USA). Estimate a univariate regression of sales on this newly created variable. Provide a screenshot and an **interpretation** of both estimated coefficients. Be specific.

# OLS Regression Results

========		=========	:=====	=====	========	=======	========	
Dep. Variable:		sales		R-squared:			0.001	
Model:		OLS			R-squared:		0.001	
Method:		Least Squares		F-statistic:			22.22	
Date:		Mon, 29 Nov	2021	<pre>Prob (F-statistic):</pre>		:):	2.45e-06	
Time:		16:49:11		Log-Likelihood:			-2.3509e+05	
No. Observations:		1	L8624	AIC:			4.702e+05	
Df Residuals:		1	L8622	BIC:			4.702e+05	
Df Model:			1					
Covariance	Type:	nonro	bust					
========	-======			=====	========	-		
	coe.	f std err		t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]	
T-1	4 7630					4 5004	4.004	
Intercept	1.763e+0			.610	0.000	1.62e+04	1.9e+04	
us_dummy	5114.471	5 1084.992	4	.714	0.000	2987.788	7241.155	

3. Create another dummy/binary variable for in app advertisements (in\_app\_ads). This variable should have a value of 1 if the device has in app advertising and a value of 0 if the device does NOT have in app advertising. Estimate a regression of sales on the dummy variable created in part 2 and this newly created dummy variable (all in the same model). Provide a screenshot of the results and provide an interpretation of all the coefficients. Be Specific.

OLS Regression Results								
Dep. Variable: Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observation Df Residuals: Df Model: Covariance Type	Mon,	sales OLS east Squares 29 Nov 2021 16:50:44 18624 18621 2 nonrobust	R-squared Adj. R-sd F-statist Prob (F-s Log-Likel AIC: BIC:	quared: ic: statistic):	-2.3 4.	0.002 0.002 16.61 .23e-08 508e+05 702e+05		
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]		
Intercept us_dummy has_in_app_ads	1.654e+04 4964.4506 3910.1267	788.140 1085.646 1179.941	20.988 4.573 3.314	0.000 0.000 0.001	1.5e+04 2836.486 1597.335	1.81e+04 7092.415 6222.919		

4. Estimate a univariate regression of sales on price (similar to part 1) except in this case your model should able to speak in terms of elasticity. By elasticity you want to speak to your management in percentage terms — what is the % change in sales for a % increase in price? (Tip: we do this using log-log-regression models.) Since price can have a value of 0, you will have to adjust the variable. You can do this by adding 1 to each price and then taking the log. Provide a screenshot of the results and provide an **interpretation** for all the coefficients. Be specific.

(https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/other/mult-pkg/faq/general/faqhow-do-i-interpret-a-regression-model-when-some-variables-are-log-transformed/).

				======				
Dep. Variabl	Dep. Variable: In sales		R-squ	ared:		0.002		
Model:		_	OLS	Adj. I	R-squared:		0.002	
Method:		Least Squ	uares	F-sta	F-statistic:			
Date:		Mon, 29 Nov	2021	Prob	Prob (F-statistic):			
Time:		16:5	51:47	Log-L:	ikelihood:	-	-26834.	
No. Observat	ions:	-	18624	AIC:			5.367e+04	
Df Residuals:		18622 BIC:			5.369e+04			
Df Model:			1					
Covariance Type:		nonro	obust					
=========				======		=======		
	coef	std err		t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]	
Intercept	8.9709	0.010	89	9.535	0.000	8.951	8.990	
ln_price	-0.0617	0.010	-	6.193	0.000	-0.081	-0.042	

5. The app retailer believes that other factors, specifically the filesize, the number of screenshots, and the average rating may also be associated with both sales and price. The retailers want a model that estimates the relationship between price and sales (similar to 4) except they want the impact of the above-mentioned factors to be controlled for. Estimate a model that accomplishes this. Your model should speak in terms of elasticity (same as part 4). Provide screenshots of your results and discuss how this model achieves what the retailers want. Provide an interpretation of **all** the estimated coefficients.

		OLS Regres	sion Result	s		
Dep. Variable: ln_sales			 R-squared	 :		0.004
Model:		OLS	Adj. R-sq	uared:		0.004
Method:	Le	ast Squares	F-statist	ic:		20.54
Date:	Mon,	29 Nov 2021	Prob (F-s	tatistic):	6.	59e-17
Time:		16:53:02	Log-Likel	ihood:	-26812.	
No. Observations	s:	18624	AIC:		5.3	63e+04
Df Residuals:		18619	BIC: 5.367e+04		67e+04	
Df Model:		4				
Covariance Type	:	nonrobust				
===========	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	8.7695	0.040	219.143	0.000	8.691	8.848
ln price	-0.0678	0.011	-6.211	0.000	-0.089	-0.046
filesize	8.758e-05	3.49e-05	2.512	0.012	1.92e-05	0.000
num screenshot	-0.0009	0.003	-0.309	0.757	-0.007	0.005
average_rating	0.0487	0.008	5.920	0.000	0.033	0.065

6. The retailer is also interested in understanding the impact of the in-app purchase option. Specifically, the retailer believes that the relationship between price and sales is different for apps with an in-app purchase option and apps without an in-app purchase option. To do this, estimate the same model that you estimated in part 5 except add an interaction term between price and in app purchase option (dummy variable). Provide the results and an interpretation of **all** the estimated coefficients. Be specific.

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	ln sales	R-squared:		0.	012	
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squar	ed:	0.	011	
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:		36	.41	
Date:	Fri, 03 Dec 2021	Prob (F-stat	istic):	4.07e	-44	
Time:	11:31:43	Log-Likeliho	ood:	-267	44.	
No. Observations:	18624	AIC:		5.350e	+04	
Df Residuals:	18617	BIC:		5.356e	+04	
Df Model:	6					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
=======================================					[0.025	0.0751
	coef	std err	L	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	8.7398	0.040	217.190	0.000	8.661	8.819
ln price	-0.0175	0.014	-1.292	0.196	-0.044	0.009

 ln\_price
 -0.0175
 0.014
 -1.292
 0.196
 -0.044
 0.009

 filesize
 3.254e-05
 3.71e-05
 0.876
 0.381
 -4.03e-05
 0.000

 num\_screenshot
 -0.0077
 0.003
 -2.498
 0.012
 -0.014
 -0.002

 average\_rating
 0.0394
 0.008
 4.763
 0.000
 0.023
 0.056

 has\_in\_app\_purchase
 0.2191
 0.020
 10.732
 0.000
 0.179
 0.259

 has\_in\_app\_purchase:ln\_price
 -0.0681
 0.021
 -3.217
 0.001
 -0.110
 -0.027

Exercise 1. [2 points] You are interested in examining whether visitors to your website spend, on average, more than 12 minutes browsing the website. While you had not previously kept track of website visitors, you start tracking after deciding that you want this information. In the file exercise\_1.csv, you will find the minutes spent browsing for a sample of 24 website visitors. Use this data to statistically evaluate whether, on average, website visitors spend more than 12 minutes browsing on your website. Be specific about your approach (set your alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
stats.ttest_1samp(ex1["times"], 12 , alternative = "greater")
```

Ttest\_1sampResult(statistic=1.7584069260008834, pvalue=0.045989713634792644)

## Exercise 2. [8 points]

a. Evaluate whether the new website design visits are statistically more likely to end in a sale than the visits to the original website design. You do not need to include other variables in your model since the customers were randomly assigned. Be specific about your approach (set your alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
old["sale_1_0"].mean()
0.11530398322851153

new["sale_1_0"].mean()
0.25806451612903225

stats.ttest_ind(new["sale_1_0"], old["sale_1_0"], equal_var = False, alternative="greater")
Ttest indResult(statistic=2.9796986747155985, pvalue=0.0017704133759339467)
```

Examine whether there is a statistical difference between the mean of minutes\_spent for the subset of consumers that were sent to the new website design and the subset that were sent to the original website design. You do not need to include other variables in your model since the customers were randomly assigned. Be specific about your approach (set you alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
old["minutes_spent"].mean()
6.465408805031447

new["minutes_spent"].mean()
8.978494623655914

stats.ttest_ind(new["minutes_spent"], old["minutes_spent"], equal_var = False, alternative="two-sided")
Ttest_indResult(statistic=6.449965845079837, pvalue=2.187142466692432e-09)
```

There is concern that there may have been a programming error regarding the random assignment of consumers. Specifically, it may be that the selection of the 10% of customer traffic that was directed to the newly designed website was not random. Does the data suggest that this concern is legitimate? Even if it was not random, does the data suggest that our conclusions about the new website design observed in part a should change? Be specific about your approach (set you alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
new["member"].mean()
0.7311827956989247
old["member"].mean()
0.26834381551362685
#Example 2c
stats.ttest_ind(new["member"], old["member"], equal_var = False, alternative="two-sided")
Ttest_indResult(statistic=9.167498511792347, pvalue=9.237501389379306e-16)
result = sm.ols(formula="sale 1 0 ~ website design + member ",
            data=ex2).fit()
print(result.summary())
                     OLS Regression Results
______
Dep. Variable:
                      sale 1 0 R-squared:
                                                        0.210
                          OLS Adj. R-squared:
Model:
                                                         0.207
Method:
                 Least Squares F-statistic:
                                                        75.28
          Mon, 29 Nov 2021 Prob (F-statistic):
Date:
                                                    1.01e-29
                      17:29:59 Log-Likelihood:
Time:
                                                      -135.94
No. Observations:
                          570
                                                         277.9
                               AIC:
Df Residuals:
                          567
                               BIC:
                                                         290.9
Df Model:
                           2
Covariance Type:
               nonrobust
______
               coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
Intercept 0.0249 0.016 1.547 0.122 -0.007
                                                          0.057
             -0.0131 0.037 -0.350 0.726
0.3367 0.029 11.569 0.000
website design
                                                 -0.087
                                                           0.060
                                                 0.280
member
                                                           0.394
```

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The company is worried that the introduction of the new features (more product pictures and zoom feature) is having an impact on customers returning the products they purchased. Statistically examine the impact of the new website design on returns.

```
old["return_1_0"].mean()
```

## 0.0649895178197065

```
new["return_1_0"].mean()
```

### 0.0967741935483871

Ttest\_indResult(statistic=0.9681829325947716, pvalue=0.334933723658849)

```
old[old["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"].mean()
```

0.5636363636363636

```
new[new["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"].mean()
```

0.375

```
stats.ttest_ind(new[new["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"], old[old["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"], equal_var = False)
```

## OLS Regression Results

===========			=========
Dep. Variable:	return_1_0	R-squared:	0.473
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.471
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	254.0
Date:	Mon, 29 Nov 2021	<pre>Prob (F-statistic):</pre>	1.70e-79
Time:	17:38:38	Log-Likelihood:	151.45
No. Observations:	570	AIC:	-296.9
Df Residuals:	567	BIC:	-283.9
Df Model:	2		

Covariance Type: nonrobust

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	0.0058	0.009	0.654	0.514	-0.012	0.023
website_design	-0.0415	0.021	-1.944	0.052	-0.083	0.000
sale_1_0	0.5131	0.023	22.490	0.000	0.468	0.558
========	=======	=======	======	======	=======	=====