

OLS Regression Results						
=====						
Dep. Variable:	sales	R-squared:	0.001			
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.001			
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	13.06			
Date:	Mon, 29 Nov 2021	Prob (F-statistic):	0.000302			
Time:	16:45:58	Log-Likelihood:	-2.3509e+05			
No. Observations:	18624	AIC:	4.702e+05			
Df Residuals:	18622	BIC:	4.702e+05			
Df Model:	1					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
=====						
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]

Intercept	2.07e+04	586.506	35.300	0.000	1.96e+04	2.19e+04
price	-469.7850	129.982	-3.614	0.000	-724.562	-215.008

2. Create a dummy/binary variable for region. This variable should have a value of 0 if the region is CN (China) and 1 if the region is US (USA). Estimate a univariate regression of sales on this newly created variable. Provide a screenshot and an **interpretation** of both estimated coefficients. Be specific.

```

=====
                        OLS Regression Results
=====
Dep. Variable:          sales      R-squared:                0.001
Model:                  OLS        Adj. R-squared:            0.001
Method:                 Least Squares   F-statistic:              22.22
Date:                  Mon, 29 Nov 2021   Prob (F-statistic):       2.45e-06
Time:                  16:49:11      Log-Likelihood:           -2.3509e+05
No. Observations:      18624         AIC:                      4.702e+05
Df Residuals:          18622         BIC:                      4.702e+05
Df Model:               1
Covariance Type:       nonrobust
=====

```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	1.763e+04	716.421	24.610	0.000	1.62e+04	1.9e+04
us_dummy	5114.4716	1084.992	4.714	0.000	2987.788	7241.155

```

=====

```


4. Estimate a univariate regression of sales on price (similar to part 1) except in this case your model should be able to speak in terms of elasticity. By elasticity you want to speak to your management in percentage terms – what is the % change in sales for a % increase in price? (Tip: we do this using log-log-regression models.) Since price can have a value of 0, you will have to adjust the variable. You can do this by adding 1 to each price and then taking the log. Provide a screenshot of the results and provide an **interpretation** for all the coefficients. Be specific.
[\(https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/other/mult-pkg/faq/general/faq-how-do-i-interpret-a-regression-model-when-some-variables-are-log-transformed/\)](https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/other/mult-pkg/faq/general/faq-how-do-i-interpret-a-regression-model-when-some-variables-are-log-transformed/).

```
=====
Dep. Variable:          ln_sales    R-squared:                0.002
Model:                  OLS         Adj. R-squared:           0.002
Method:                 Least Squares   F-statistic:             38.35
Date:                  Mon, 29 Nov 2021   Prob (F-statistic):       6.03e-10
Time:                  16:51:47         Log-Likelihood:          -26834.
No. Observations:      18624          AIC:                     5.367e+04
Df Residuals:          18622          BIC:                     5.369e+04
Df Model:               1
Covariance Type:       nonrobust
=====
```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	8.9709	0.010	899.535	0.000	8.951	8.990
ln_price	-0.0617	0.010	-6.193	0.000	-0.081	-0.042

```
=====
```

5. The app retailer believes that other factors, specifically the filesize, the number of screenshots, and the average rating may also be associated with both sales and price. The retailers want a model that estimates the relationship between price and sales (similar to 4) except they want the impact of the above-mentioned factors to be controlled for. Estimate a model that accomplishes this. Your model should speak in terms of elasticity (same as part 4). Provide screenshots of your results and discuss how this model achieves what the retailers want. Provide an interpretation of **all** the estimated coefficients.

OLS Regression Results						
Dep. Variable:	ln_sales	R-squared:	0.004			
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.004			
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	20.54			
Date:	Mon, 29 Nov 2021	Prob (F-statistic):	6.59e-17			
Time:	16:53:02	Log-Likelihood:	-26812.			
No. Observations:	18624	AIC:	5.363e+04			
Df Residuals:	18619	BIC:	5.367e+04			
Df Model:	4					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	8.7695	0.040	219.143	0.000	8.691	8.848
ln_price	-0.0678	0.011	-6.211	0.000	-0.089	-0.046
filesize	8.758e-05	3.49e-05	2.512	0.012	1.92e-05	0.000
num_screenshot	-0.0009	0.003	-0.309	0.757	-0.007	0.005
average_rating	0.0487	0.008	5.920	0.000	0.033	0.065

6. The retailer is also interested in understanding the impact of the in-app purchase option. Specifically, the retailer believes that the relationship between price and sales is different for apps with an in-app purchase option and apps without an in-app purchase option. To do this, estimate the same model that you estimated in part 5 except add an interaction term between price and in app purchase option (dummy variable). Provide the results and an interpretation of **all** the estimated coefficients. Be specific.

OLS Regression Results						
Dep. Variable:	ln_sales	R-squared:	0.012			
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.011			
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	36.41			
Date:	Fri, 03 Dec 2021	Prob (F-statistic):	4.07e-44			
Time:	11:31:43	Log-Likelihood:	-26744.			
No. Observations:	18624	AIC:	5.350e+04			
Df Residuals:	18617	BIC:	5.356e+04			
Df Model:	6					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	8.7398	0.040	217.190	0.000	8.661	8.819
ln_price	-0.0175	0.014	-1.292	0.196	-0.044	0.009
filesize	3.254e-05	3.71e-05	0.876	0.381	-4.03e-05	0.000
num_screenshot	-0.0077	0.003	-2.498	0.012	-0.014	-0.002
average_rating	0.0394	0.008	4.763	0.000	0.023	0.056
has_in_app_purchase	0.2191	0.020	10.732	0.000	0.179	0.259
has_in_app_purchase:ln_price	-0.0681	0.021	-3.217	0.001	-0.110	-0.027

Exercise 1. [2 points] You are interested in examining whether visitors to your website spend, on average, more than 12 minutes browsing the website. While you had not previously kept track of website visitors, you start tracking after deciding that you want this information. In the file `exercise_1.csv`, you will find the minutes spent browsing for a sample of 24 website visitors. Use this data to statistically evaluate whether, on average, website visitors spend more than 12 minutes browsing on your website. Be specific about your approach (set your alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
stats.ttest_1samp(ex1["times"], 12 , alternative = "greater")
```

```
Ttest_1sampResult(statistic=1.7584069260008834, pvalue=0.045989713634792644)
```

Exercise 2. [8 points]

- a. Evaluate whether the new website design visits are statistically more likely to end in a sale than the visits to the original website design. You do not need to include other variables in your model since the customers were randomly assigned. Be specific about your approach (set your alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
old["sale_1_0"].mean()
```

```
0.11530398322851153
```

```
new["sale_1_0"].mean()
```

```
0.25806451612903225
```

```
stats.ttest_ind(new["sale_1_0"], old["sale_1_0"], equal_var = False, alternative="greater")
```

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=2.9796986747155985, pvalue=0.0017704133759339467)
```


Examine whether there is a statistical difference between the mean of minutes_spent for the subset of consumers that were sent to the new website design and the subset that were sent to the original website design. You do not need to include other variables in your model since the customers were randomly assigned. Be specific about your approach (set your alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
old["minutes_spent"].mean()
```

```
6.465408805031447
```

```
new["minutes_spent"].mean()
```

```
8.978494623655914
```

```
stats.ttest_ind(new["minutes_spent"], old["minutes_spent"], equal_var = False, alternative="two-sided")
```

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=6.449965845079837, pvalue=2.187142466692432e-09)
```

There is concern that there may have been a programming error regarding the random assignment of consumers. Specifically, it may be that the selection of the 10% of customer traffic that was directed to the newly designed website was not random. Does the data suggest that this concern is legitimate? Even if it was not random, does the data suggest that our conclusions about the new website design observed in part a should change? Be specific about your approach (set your alpha-level at 0.05). State the null/alternative hypothesis and your conclusion.

```
new["member"].mean()
```

```
0.7311827956989247
```

```
old["member"].mean()
```

```
0.26834381551362685
```

```
#Example 2c
```

```
stats.ttest_ind(new["member"], old["member"], equal_var = False, alternative="two-sided")
```

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=9.167498511792347, pvalue=9.237501389379306e-16)
```

```
result = sm.ols(formula="sale_1_0 ~ website_design + member ",
                 data=ex2).fit()
```

```
print(result.summary())
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
```

Dep. Variable:	sale_1_0	R-squared:	0.210
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.207
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	75.28
Date:	Mon, 29 Nov 2021	Prob (F-statistic):	1.01e-29
Time:	17:29:59	Log-Likelihood:	-135.94
No. Observations:	570	AIC:	277.9
Df Residuals:	567	BIC:	290.9
Df Model:	2		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

```
=====
```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	0.0249	0.016	1.547	0.122	-0.007	0.057
website_design	-0.0131	0.037	-0.350	0.726	-0.087	0.060
member	0.3367	0.029	11.569	0.000	0.280	0.394

```
=====
```

The company is worried that the introduction of the new features (more product pictures and zoom feature) is having an impact on customers returning the products they purchased. Statistically examine the impact of the new website design on returns.

```
old["return_1_0"].mean()
```

```
0.0649895178197065
```

```
new["return_1_0"].mean()
```

```
0.0967741935483871
```

```
stats.ttest_ind(new["return_1_0"], old["return_1_0"],
                equal_var = False)
```

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=0.9681829325947716, pvalue=0.334933723658849)
```

```
old[old["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"].mean()
```

```
0.5636363636363636
```

```
new[new["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"].mean()
```

```
0.375
```

```
stats.ttest_ind(new[new["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"], old[old["sale_1_0"] == 1]["return_1_0"],
                equal_var = False)
```

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=-1.5534782160704874, pvalue=0.12741211164128996)
```

```
result = sm.ols(formula="return_1_0 ~ website_design + sale_1_0 ",
                data=ex2).fit()
```

```
print(result.summary())
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable:          return_1_0    R-squared:                0.473
Model:                  OLS           Adj. R-squared:           0.471
Method:                 Least Squares  F-statistic:             254.0
Date:                  Mon, 29 Nov 2021  Prob (F-statistic):       1.70e-79
Time:                  17:38:38         Log-Likelihood:          151.45
No. Observations:      570             AIC:                    -296.9
Df Residuals:          567             BIC:                    -283.9
Df Model:               2
Covariance Type:       nonrobust
=====
```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	0.0058	0.009	0.654	0.514	-0.012	0.023
website_design	-0.0415	0.021	-1.944	0.052	-0.083	0.000
sale_1_0	0.5131	0.023	22.490	0.000	0.468	0.558

```
=====
```