**Review of the Project Group: EPR 42** 

**Group Code: 42** 

Full title: EPR: European Pressurized Water Reactor

Intended learning outcomes	Grade	Explanation for the grading of the exidence of achieving
Intended learning outcome		Explanation for the grading of the evidence of achieving
(ILO)	(0-3)	respective ILO.
1 6 11		Suggestions for improvements and other comments
1. Collect information on	3	The design features of the EPR reactor were described well using
General design specification		pictures and written explanations.
of the nuclear power plant		
with selected reactor type		
(Task 1, ILO1, ILO2)		
2. Describe the Operational	3	The operational principles were described adequately.
principles of the power		
plant. (Task 2, ILO1, ILO2)		
3. Explain the Safety	3	All the safety features were described adequately with both
features of the power plant.		written explanations and visual aid.
(Task 3, ILO1, ILO2)		
4. Calculate the Selected	1	The methodology for the calculations was clearly described and
core parameters (Task 4,		explained. The necessary results were presented properly. But
ILO3)		the acceleration pressure drop was not taken into consideration.
1203)		This is a major issue that requires further work to be addressed
		properly because it will affect the work in tasks 5 and 6 as well,
	2	not just this task.
5. Calculate the CHF	2	The methodology for the calculations was clearly described and
margins in a hot channel		explained. All the necessary results were presented properly. But
(Task 5, ILO4a)		there was no comparison of the calculated MDNBR against published values for the EPR design. Hence, it is not possible to
		conclude if the calculation was actually correct or not.
6. <i>Calculate</i> the Maximum	3	The methodology for the calculations was clearly described and
		explained. All the necessary results were presented properly and
cladding and fuel pellet		some key parameters were compared against the safety margins
temperature (Task 6,		of the materials. Thus, all the requirements of the task were fully
ILO4b)		satisfied.

**Other comments**: There are a lot of grammatical mistakes in both general text and section/subsection titles. These should be addressed if possible.