Problem:

1) Having the probability density function that describes the energy distribution of fission neutrons coming from a specific fissile nuclide (the first assignment), generate at least ten thousand samples randomly from this distribution by the acceptance-rejection method, and use these samples to estimate:

* the mean value of the fission neutron energy,
* the variance and the standard deviation of the energy of the fission neutrons,
* confidence intervals for the estimated mean value,
* the variance and the standard deviation of the mean value.

2) Compare the results with those obtained deterministically in the previous assignment.

3) Repeat the Monte Carlo simulation with different RNG seeds. How often does the accurate expectation value (computed in the previous assignment) fall into the computed confidence intervals?

You can use the RNG provided by the programming language you use, or you can implement the RNG yourself if you wish.

**Acceptance-rejection method**

This is a technique which generates samples from any probability density function, using another probability density function,for that holds that where, and .

Generation of samples randomly from given distribution by the acceptance-rejection method entails following procedure:

* Generate two random numbers, e.g., from probability density function, , and from probability density function, , such that with being randomly sampled from,
* Accept if .

The proportion of proposed samples which are accepted is:

For good efficiency, should be close to unity without compromising on the fact that the inverse transform method can be deployed to easily generate samples from .

After sampling values of unknown random variable , the expectation value of can be estimated by the mean value of those generated sampling values:

According to the central limit theorem,

In addition to that, variance of mean values of generated samples of the unknown random variable , :

where,

However, the value of is difficult to obtain but it can be estimated if a considerably large number of samples are taken e.g., .

Therefore,

Hence, we just need to update the values of and to estimate the and after collecting a new sample of .

And the standard deviations are found by,

**Confidence Interval**

The confidence interval is the range of values within which we expect our estimate to fall a certain percentage of the time if we repeat our procedures or re-sample the population in the same way.

Therefore, explaining in terms of the sampling case mentioned above the probability that is inside the interval equals the probability that is inside the interval .

Moreover, it should also be mentioned that the values of i.e., the intervals are governed by significance of the associated with it, which in terms dictate the probability of such said intervals.

In the case of the energy distribution of fission neutrons coming from a U-235 fissile nuclide, let the probability density function, , describing it be:

where,

The exact value of

I have used the triangle approach to find the values of random samples with procedures explained in the above acceptance-rejection method.

**Results**

Time taken to generate 10000 means = 621.375 seconds

Mean of means = 1.9885685758346887

Variance of means = 0.00024325403448789435

Standard deviation of means = 0.015596603299689787

Ratio of random numbers within 1 SD = 0.6839

Confidence intervals obtained from different seeds to generate different random numbers:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Intervals with levels of signf. | Chance to fall in given interval with  100 diff. seeds | Chance to fall in given interval with  10000 diff. seeds |
| 1 | 0.23 | 0.2521 |
| 2 | 0.63 | 0.6244 |
| 3 | 0.91 | 0.904 |

**Remarks**

When collecting more samples , the estimated will usually decrease; however, the real error in is never known and it may even increase when more samples are collected.

Taking more and more samples of mean could enhance the values falling into the intervals as well as investigating the triangle function to fit into the probability density function could also result into much better values of chances of values falling into the confidence intervals.