# MadDM 3.0 EW

**Federico Ambrogi**[a]

[a] *University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, Bolzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Wien, Austria*

*E-mail:* federico.ambrogi88@gmail.com

## Contents



## Abstract


This documents summarises the status of the studies of the discrepancies found in the energy spectra provided in the PPPC4DMID tables (labelled **PPPC4DMIDew** in MadDM v.3.0) and the spectra produced with MadDM 3.0.


# 1 Introduction

All the information, including the model used and the input cards (`run_card.dat` ,`param_card.dat`) can be found at:
https://github.com/fambrogi/MadDM

## 2 PPPC Electroweak Corrections

In this section the energy spectra for the Cosmic Rays $CRs = e^+, \nu_e, \gamma$ extracted from the PPPC4DMID and PPPC4DMID_ew Tables are compared, to get an idea of the effect of the EW correction (according the PPPC4DMIDcollaboration).




**Figure 1**. Energy spectra $(\gamma, e^+, \nu_e)$ for $m_\chi$ =500 GeV (left) and 50 TeV (right) extracted from the `PPPC4DMID` and `PPPC4DMID_ew` tables, for selected annihilation channels.

## 3 EW with `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO`

### 3.1 Processes

The processes used for the production of the samples with emission of extra electroweak bosons (Higgs, W and Z bosons) are the following:

```
import model DMsimp_s_spin0_EW
define X = W- W+ Z h
generate xd xd~ > w- w+
add process xd xd~ > w- w+ X
add process xd xd~ > w- w+ X X
add process xd xd~ > w- w+ X X X
```

Note that the short notation e.g. "XXW" includes the lower order processes (in this case only the tree level xdxd>WW) and up to one extra "X" boson, and likewise for the higher order processes.

Syntax for excluding diagrams with photons:

```
import model DMsimp_s_spin0_EW
define X = W- W+ Z h
generate xd xd~ > w- w+ /a
add process xd xd~ > w- w+ X  /a
add process xd xd~ > w- w+ X X  /a
add process xd xd~ > w- w+ X X X  /a
```

Relevant parameters in the `run_card.dat` :

```
*** run_card
1001.0     = ebeam1
10001.0    = ebeam1
100001.0    = ebeam1
```

for $m_{\chi_D}$=1 , 10, 100 TeV respectively, and the `param_card.dat` :

```
*** param_card
52 1.00000e+03 # MXd
54 2.00000e+03 # MY0  (= 2 x MXd )
```

### 3.2 Cross Sections Comparison

In Tab. 1 the cross sections in [pb] obtained with different runs are shown.

| $\mathbf{m}_{\chi_\mathbf{D}}$ | $\chi_\mathbf{D}\chi_\mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{WW}$ | $\chi_\mathbf{D}\chi_\mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{WWX}$ | $\chi_\mathbf{D}\chi_\mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{WWXX}$ | $\chi_\mathbf{D}\chi_\mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{WWXXX}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.0 TeV (Old) | 474 | 130* | 600 | 600 |
| 1.0 TeV (Old, no $\gamma$) | 474 | 676 | 704 | - |
| 1.0 TeV (New) | 173 | 215 | 219 | - |
| 1.0 TeV (New,AUTO) | 147.3 | 148.2 | - | - |
| 1.0 TeV (Chiara) | 147.3 | 148.2 | 148.2 | - |
| 10.0 TeV (Old) | $15.1 \times 10^3$ | $30.501 \times 10^3$ | $37.018 \times 10^3$ | - |
| 10.0 TeV (Old,no $\gamma$) | $15.1 \times 10^3$ | $2.7 \times 10^7$ | $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ | - |
| 10.0 TeV (New) | $15.1 \times 10^3$ | $30.542 \times 10^3$ | - | - |
| 100.0 TeV (Old) | $4.7 \times 10^5$ | - | - | - |

**Table 1**. Cross sections in [pb] for various processes extracted from the LHE files. The "New" cross sections were computed with $N_{Events}$=10,000, while the "Old" ones with $N_{Events}$=100,000. Need to verify the value 130*.

### 3.3 Spectra for $\chi_D\chi_D \to WW$

#### 3.3.1 $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV




**Figure 2**. Energy Spectra for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV

### 3.3.2 "Old" $m_{\chi_D} = 100$ TeV




**Figure 3**. Energy Spectra for $m_{\chi_D} = 100$ TeV (Old data)

### 3.4 Spectra for $\chi_D\chi_D \to Y0 \to FFFF$

Here the spectra for the process $\chi_D\chi_D \to Y0 \to FFFF$ are shown for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV, compared to the PPPC4DMIDand PPPC4DMID_ew spectra. To produce the sample, the EW model was modified adding masses to the light quarks and muons, otherwise there is a problem in MadGraph5_aMC@NLO when evaluating the cross sections (re-using the same diagrams with masless particles?). I used the value of the muon mass (0.105 GeV) for the light quarks, and 4.5 GeV for the bottoms.
Note that this process include also Z bosons, since I did not remove their contribution explicitly form the diagrams, and that all the bosons contributing to the diagrams are on-shell. The presence of the Z/H bosons can account for some of the differences wrt the WW spectra from PPPC4DMIDor PPPC4DMID_ew .

The model can be found at https://github.com/fambrogi/MadDM/tree/master/EW_Study/EW_Model_FermionMass, while the complete banner can be found in https://github.com/fambrogi/MadDM/blob/master/EW_Study/Banners/xdxd_Y0_FFFF_1TeV_banner.dat .

MadGraph5_aMC@NLO Process:

```
import model DMsimp_s_spin0_EW_MM
define F = ve vm vt e- mu- ve~ vm~ vt~ e+ mu+ t t~ u c d s b u~ c~ d~ \
s~ b~ ta- ta+
generate xd xd~ > y0 > F F F F
output xdxd_Y0_FFFF
```

Pythia8 cards commands:

```
TimeShower:weakShower = on (or off)
WeakShower:singleEmission = off
```




**Figure 4**. Energy Spectra for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV for the process $\chi_D\chi_D \to Y0 \to FFFF$. The label "EW" and "NoEW" in the MadDM samples mean respectively samples produced with or without the EW corrections in Pythia8.

### 3.5 Spectra for $\chi_D \chi_D \to Y0 \to FFFF$ with off-shell W and no Z

The spectra in Fig. 5,6,7 were produced with the following `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` processes:

```
import model DMsimp_s_spin0_EW_WithMass
define F = ve vm vt e- mu- ve~ vm~ vt~ e+ mu+ t t~ u c d s b u~ c~ d~ \
s~ b~ ta- ta+
generate xd xd~ > F F F F $ w- w+ / z
output xdxd_FFFF_WoffNoZ
```

so, differently from the previous case, the $W^{\pm}$ bosons are required to be off-shell, and the $Z$ bosons are removed from the diagrams. In addition, the spectra obtaine with the removal of intermediate $Z$ bosons only are shown.

#### 3.5.1 $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV

#### 3.5.2 $m_{\chi_D} = 10$ TeV

#### 3.5.3 $m_{\chi_D} = 100$ TeV

For large $m_{\chi_D}$ masses, the spectra produced with the decays from off-shell $W$ bosons look very similar to the ones from the `PPPC4DMID_ew` . However also note that the eelctroweak corrections from Pythia8 don't seem to have any effect at all, i.e. they are not calculated for the 4 fermions.





**Figure 5**. Energy Spectra for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV for the process $\chi_D\chi_D \to Y0 \to FFFF\$w^-w^+/Z$, for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV. The label "EW" and "NoEW" in the MadDM samples mean respectively samples produced with or without the EW corrections in Pythia8.




**Figure 6**. Energy Spectra for $m_{\chi_D} = 10$ TeV for the process $\chi_D\chi_D \to Y0 \to FFFF\$w^-w^+/Z$, for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV. The label "EW" and "NoEW" in the MadDM samples mean respectively samples produced with or without the EW corrections in Pythia8.



**Figure 7**. Energy Spectra for $m_{\chi_D} = 100$ TeV for the process $\chi_D\chi_D \rightarrow Y0 \rightarrow FFFF\$w^-w^+/Z$, for $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV. The label "EW" and "NoEW" in the MadDM samples mean respectively samples produced with or without the EW corrections in Pythia8.

## 4 Cross Sections

The results of the calculation of various processes cross section are presented. In Fig. 8 the cross section for different processes are shown. In this case, only the process inidcated by the lable contributes to the value of the cross section, meaning that e.g. the process $\chi_D\chi_D \to WWX$ does not include the contribution of the "tree level" process $\chi_D\chi_D \to WW$.

Since cross sections depend also on the total energy in the centre-of-mass of the DM annihilation i.e. on the parameter ebeam in `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` , Fig. 9 shows the value of the cross section for different energies of the simulated beams.




**Figure 8**. Cross sections for the processes $\chi_D\chi_D \to WW$ with up to three additional vector bosons $X = W^{\pm}HZ$. Note that only the cross section is relative only to the specific process as indicated by the label is considered (i.e. not the cumulative cross section). The parameters are set to $m_{\chi_D} = 1$ TeV , $m_{Y0} = 2$ TeV, $E_{Beam} = 2001$ TeV.




**Figure 9**. Cross section of the process $\chi_D\chi_D \to WW$, for $m_{\chi_D} = 1, 10, 100$ TeV, for different energies of the beams. The mass of the mediator is set to double the mass of the DM particle.

## 5 Width of the mediator $Y0$

When using the automatic computation of the width implemented in `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` , problems start to arise when the mass of the mediator become large. In particular the width of the particle get to exceed largely the value of its own mass, as it show in Fig. 10.




**Figure 10**. Values of the width of the mediatro $Y0$ as calculated by `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` . A value of 10% of the mediator mass $m_{Y0}$ is shown by the gray line as a comparison.

## 6 Unitarity

As pointed out in e.g. [1], DM simplified model can face the problem of unitarity violation for specific combinations of the parameters of the model and/or depending on the energy scale. In the cited article, they show that for unitarity to be preserved, the centre-of-mass energy must satisfy:

$$\sqrt{s} < \frac{\pi\ m_{Z'}^2}{(g_{DM}^A)^2 m_{DM})} \tag{6.1}$$

Using the same formula (which is not exactly applicable to our model), the upper limit on the DM coupling constant $g_{wS}$ can be xtracted as:

$$g_{Sxd} < \sqrt{\frac{\pi\ m_{Y0}^2}{m_{\chi D}\sqrt{s}}} \tag{6.2}$$

The results in Fig.11 show the upper limit values of $g_{Sxd}$ which preserve unitarity, for different DM and mediator masses and beam energies.

Basically the plots look the same since we always use mxd , my=2*mxd, and Ebeam $\sim$ 2*mxd. So for our standard choices the coupling is fine (set to 1 in the param card).




**Figure 11**. Upper limits on the values of the coupling constant $g_{Sxd}$

## 7 MG5 Issues

Sometimes, but not always, I get the following message:

```
INFO: Combining Events
INFO: fail to reach target 10000
  === Results Summary for run: run_01 tag: tag_1 ===

     Cross-section :   2.711e+06 +- 6.486e+04 pb
     Nb of events :  25
```

when generating events with extra bosons for $m_{\chi_D} = 100$ TeV. The `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` version is 2.6.4 .

## Acknowledgments

Thanks

## References

[1] F. Kahlhoefer, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, T. Schwetz, and S. Vogl, *Implications of unitarity and gauge invariance for simplified dark matter models*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 016, [arXiv:1510.02110].