

Workshop on Arrangements for the Termination of a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency

Group Working Session – Lecturer/Mentor Criteria for Evaluation

Working Group: _____

Lecturer/Mentor: _____

This evaluation form is intended for use by lecturers as they assume the role of mentors for each working group during the Workshop. Each lecturer/mentor will be assigned a group to which he/she will provide guidance as required. This form will be used for each scheduled *Working Session* during the workshop as well as for the final *Working Session Presentations*. Observe¹ group interactions, provide guidance as required to the group and record the ratings. The ratings are based on a standard 1 to 5 scale as per the descriptors noted for each indicator.

Working Session Part 1

General comments:

The group members did not identify the key features of the scenario and the exposure situation to which the emergency exposure situation will transit and could not identify any activity necessary for termination of the emergency.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members identified all of the important features of the scenario and the exposure situation to which the emergency exposure situation will transit and were able to identify a good range of activities necessary for termination of an emergency.
---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Working Session Part 2

General comments:

The group members were unable to identify relevant prerequisites for termination of the emergency as applicable to their scenario and the organizations and services to be involved during the transition phase.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members identified the relevant prerequisites for termination of the emergency as applicable to their scenario and a good range of organizations and services to be involved during the transition phase.
The group members did not demonstrate any significant development in their understanding of the prerequisites for termination of an emergency in their discussions compared to Part 1.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members demonstrated a significant development in their understanding of the prerequisites for termination of an emergency in their discussions compared to Part 1.
The discussion was generally dominated by a few members.	1	2	3	4	5	All members were involved in the discussion.

¹ Note that the Workshop consists of short discussions each day (1, 2, 3, and 4), followed by the main group presentation on Day 4.

Workshop on Arrangements for the Termination of a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency

Working Session 3 General comments:						
The group members were unable to identify the different responsibilities to facilitate the termination of an emergency on-site and off-site and the means for coordination.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members identified the different responsibilities to facilitate the termination of an emergency on-site and off-site and the means for coordination.
The group members did not demonstrate any significant development in their understanding of efforts needed during the transition phase and of the necessary infrastructure in their discussion compared to Part 2.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members demonstrated a significant development in their understanding of efforts needed during the transition phase and of the necessary infrastructure in their discussion compared to Part 2.
The discussion was generally dominated by a few members.	1	2	3	4	5	All members were involved in the discussion.
Working Session 4 General comments:						
The group members were unable to identify additional factors and information for decision making on the termination of the emergency and the important features of the consultation with interested parties.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members identified additional factors and information for decision making on the termination of the emergency and a range of features of the consultation with interested parties.
The group members did not demonstrate any significant development in their understanding of efforts during the transition phase in their discussion compared to Part 3.	1	2	3	4	5	The group members demonstrated a significant development in their understanding of efforts during the transition phase in their discussion compared to Part 3.
The discussion was generally dominated by a few members.	1	2	3	4	5	All members were involved in the discussion.
Working Group Presentations General comments:						
The presentation demonstrated that the participants were unable to apply	1	2	3	4	5	The presentation demonstrated that the participants were fully able to apply

Workshop on Arrangements for the Termination of a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency

GSG-11 guidance to the scenario under consideration and that there had been no development of their understanding during the course of the Workshop.						GSG-11 guidance to the scenario under consideration and that there had been a significant development of their understanding during the course of the Workshop.
The final presentation was prepared by a few members of the group without input from others.	1	2	3	4	5	Each group member fully participated in the preparation of the final presentation.

Additional Mentor Comments, if any:²

Comments (on individual participants):³

² Comments can be based on observations of the group each day and then summarized at the end of the workshop. For consistent results, it is recommended that the same mentor evaluate the same group throughout the week.

³ In the event of non-participation by individual group members, the mentor should retain comments reflecting this observation.