

Spectacles of Measurement

30702 characters in 4536 words on 875 lines

Florian Moser

June 17, 2021

1 Introduction

measure real-world for decision making, prediction

1.1 messfehler (p. profos)

what (material properties of existing objects by physical quantities)
why (to get quantitative & object information about point of interest)
what for (as a basis of decision making)
how (relate property to unit of same physical dimension)

1.2 criteria

if fulfilled result $y = x + s + e$
for x measurement, s systematic error, e random error,

objective

independent of person involved
both at operation & interpretation

reliable

consistent across context
repeated measurements yield equivalent results

valid

correspondence with goals
actual representation of property

1.3 representation

assigning numerals to (properties of) objects
 $\phi : S \text{ (property)} \rightarrow X \text{ (numerals)}$
properties of objects like states, events
numerals like reals, rationals, integers

criteria

implies objectivity & reliability
but not validity or rules

example

object=person, property=height, mapping=cm
object=person, property=hair, mapping=color
mapping depending on required precision, reliability, scale
mapping may compare measurement (like $\geq 120\text{cm}$ giving predicate result)

china population 2 AC

"door" & "mouth" count
determines taxes & military service
allows to strictly enforce it

US constitution

representatives & tax relative to number of persons
 $\# \text{free persons} + \# \text{military} + 0.6(\# \text{indians} + \# \text{slaves})$
still done every 10 years

CH

continuous population counting depending on population register
quarterly integration of cantonal registers
completion based on 5% sample surveys

1.4 temperature (2nd century)

galen (2nd century)

medical condition determined by hot vs cold & moist vs dry
neutral = equal amounts of hottest & coldest (boiling & ice)
remained medical authority for over 1000 years

haslerus (1578)

distance from equator = expected body temperature
extension from galen theory

romer scale (1701)

0 = freezing point of brine (salted water; -21°)
because colder than water, same under different pressures

60 = boiling point of water
because 60 divisible by much more, same as clock

thomasiums (1691)

measure personality
motives are hedonism, avarice, ambition, altruism
ratings 0, 5, ..., 60 by analyzing conversations, writings
claims objectivity as three persons came to same measurements
relates properties to each other

1.5 empirical structure

equivalence

some properties are same than those of others
body height, threshold pass, hair color, personality

comparison

ordering properties
by height, pass/fail, character trait intensity

combination

properties are related to each other, merged
like average temperature, height

1.6 preserving structure

when $\phi : S \rightarrow X$ is homomorphism
each empirical relation (S) reflected in numerical relation
 $i \sim j \iff \phi(i) = \phi(j)$
if one-to-one (bijective) also called isomorphism

representation

(1) homomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow X$
(2) for empirical (S; =, <, +, ...)
(3) to numerical (X; =, <, +, ...)
aligns numerals to properties while preserving laws
valid as a basis for decision making
can assign color to number

problems representation

existence (is it actually possible)
uniqueness (are mappings independent = contribute information)
meaningful (different mappings might create different results)
operationalization (is it implementable)
errors (how solid is it)

1.7 sealed envelopes

two sealed envelopes with x and 2x money
you are given one, then have choice to switch
do you take it?

trivial multiplication

assuming own envelope contains y
then other envelope contains either x/2 or 2x
 $E[\text{amount swapping}] = 0.5 \cdot x/2 + 0.5 \cdot 2x = 5/4 \cdot x$

reasoning mistake

reference point in "either x/2 or 2x" is changed
hence argument invalid

1.8 references

unit is given; counted for measurement
different units through historic reasons
definition by authority, agreement, cultural norms

scales

different base units
ratios might be preserved (big unit = 2 small unit or so)

1.9 length

foot & metric system

jakob köbel (1535)

16 men (because power of 2)

tall & small ones, left feet one behind the other

measure & divide by 16

metric system

1791 defined as 1/10'000 the distance north pole-equator

1889 reference created out of non-deforming metal alloy

now defined by 1/299'792'458s speed of light

1.10 topology

dufour map 1832 - 1865

measurement by triangulation

with baseline im "grossen moos"

extremely exact baseline measurement

placed metal rods one-after-the-other

aligned perfectly with stoppers in between

temperature tracking (to measure metal deformity)

adjusted for lag between temperature measurement & development over

day

1.11 temperature

rømer scale

idea is divisibility (64 is power of two)

0 (brine freezing), 60 (water boiling)

fahrenheit

idea is tripling (32 + 64 + 128); real-world is close

0 (brine freezing), 32 water (fluid)

96 (human body temperature), 212 (water boiling)

celsius

for 100 change of scale is easy (as base 10 number system)

0 water freezing, 100 water boiling

kelvin

discovered absolute 0, uses celsius unit difference

273.15 as water boiling, 373.15 water boiling

1.12 transformations

which implications has changing the scale

nominal (=)

isomorphism ($x(i) = x(j) \Leftrightarrow y(i) = y(j)$)

like people

ordinal (\leq)

isotone ($x(i) \leq x(j) \Leftrightarrow y(i) \leq y(j)$)

like "higher-than"

interval (+ \leq)

positive linear ($x = \beta * y + \alpha; \beta > 0$)

like bucketed measurement (eg days)

ratio (/ + \leq)

similarities ($x = \beta * y; \beta > 0$)

like m to cm

absolute (/ + \leq)

identity ($y = x$)

like counting

1.13 existence theorem

map empirical structure to numerical ground ("assign numbers to properties")

want to numerics to preserve homomorphism / other properties

examples under which this is possible the following theorem

theorem ($<$)

empirical structure (S, $<$) with S finite

admits order-preserving representation $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

iff $<$ is strict weak order (asymmetric, negatively transitive)

negative transitivity avoids enforcing everything to be comparative

theorem (\leq)

empirical structure (S, \leq) with S finite

admits order-preserving representation $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

iff \leq is weak order (reflexive, complete, transitive)

utility function ϕ

descriptive (define, then verify empirically)

normative (derive logically / rationally / by axiomatization)

ϕ might not exist for everything (like job preference)

theorem ($<$, +)

empirical structure (S, $<$, +)

admits order-preserving representation $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

iff $<$ is strict weak order (asymmetric, negatively transitive)

and associativity, order preserved if same element added, inflated (multiplied)

1.14 datafication

$\phi : S \rightarrow X$

S (scope, selection like course attendees, schools)

X (nested, labels like degrees, tracks)

relations (equality, equivalence)

analysis (counting, shares which requires knowledge about |S|)

exclusiveness (single or multiple labels; mapping)

exhaustiveness (completeness of domain S; total mapping)

measuring degrees of course attendees

scope is course attendees (but could be school, world, ..)

labels are degrees (but could include tracks, schools, ...)

relations (some degrees might be equal but different schools)

further examples

dress codes (orderable, not additive)

music genres (but labeling exhaustiveness, exclusiveness hard)

wind speed (relative measurement, beaufort is descriptive)

quotes

to measure is to know

if you cannot measure it, you cannot improve it

when you can measure you know something about it (kelvin)

1.15 free fall

odd numbers by galileo (1,3,5,7, ...)

natural numbers by fabri (1,2,3,4, ...)

doubling numbers by caze (1,2,4,8, ...)

scale invariance

necessary condition for any law to hold

if measured in different time unit, space should still hold

assume steps 2t, then galileo results in 4, 12, 20, ...

can rescale (divide by 4), results again in 1,3,5

\Rightarrow galileo's proposal only one to fulfil this

1.16 temperature

compare °K, °C, °F, rankine °R (like °F starting at absolute 0)

comparison always works, but "5% more", "double" might be nonsensical

1.17 meaningful

if truth value invariant under admissible (homomorphism-preserving)

transformations

then statement about measured property is meaningful

change of scale

might affect truth value

hence meaningfulness relative to scale type

meaningful when statements only use preserved relations

scale types & their preserved relations

nominal preserve mode

ordinal preserve mode, median

interval preserve mode, median, arithmetic mean

ratio preserve mode, median, arithmetic mean, geometric mean

1.18 health of newborn children

check skin, heart, reflexes, muscle tone, breathing

each category 0,1,2 value depending on observable properties

then sum for assessment (critical until 3, low until 7, normal until 10)

analysis

for example bpm measure in none, <100 or >100

but when measured 0, 90 vs 110, same difference

\Rightarrow interval criteria not satisfied

measure childrens health

all are proxies of childrens health

multiple measurements might increase accuracy
accuracy overall OK for quick assessment

1.19 indirect measurement

unobservability

cost
physical size (too small or big)
accessibility in time / space
theoretical construct (like state of baby)

way around

instead of measuring property(object) (ϕ)
measure property'(object)
map to numerical space with ψ into proxy
then apply transformation f
 $\phi = \psi * f$ (ideal case)

length

comparison meter stick, translation range scanner
sends light & registers reflection time
length = $c/2 * t$
corrects for non-vacuum conditions

mass

comparison balance scale, translation spring scale
length = $1/k * F$; translate length to weight

temperature

no direct comparison, translation thermometer
expansion of quicksilver as length

egg sizes

regulations define which sizes eggs can be labeled at
 ≤ 53 S, ≤ 63 M, ≤ 73 L, else XL
weight taken as proxy of size
use springs which open hole if heavy enough

radiocarbon dating

C^{12} stable, C^{14} unstable; C^{12} to C^{14} in predictable ratio
 C^{14} production by cosmic rays; ratio is kept in environment
organism has same ratio as environment due to exchange (like photosynthesis)
when organism dies, exchange is stopped and ratio deteriorates (as C^{14} decaying)
measure ratio of C^{12} / C^{14} in dead organism to determine time of death

big mac index

indication of purchasing power
expect that price ratio = currency ratio
adjust with gross domestic product per capita
<https://www.economist.com/big-mac-index>

world health

plot income vs lifespan
see log-linear relationship between income / life expectancy
<https://www.gapminder.org/downloads/updated-gapminder-world-poster-2019/>

2 conjoint measurement

2.1 archimedes (EUREKA)

measure if all gold used for gold crown
ensure weight & volumina match
volumina match by immersing into water, measure pegel change

2.2 multiplicative composition

density = mass (kg) / volume (m^3)
has inverse, hence order-reversing transformation
 $\log(\text{density}) = \log(\text{mass}) - \log(\text{volume})$
alters neither equivalence nor ordering

2.3 conjoint measurement

conjoint representation

$\phi : S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow X$
with $\phi(s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow f(\phi_1(s_1), \dots, \phi_n(s_n))$
for $\phi_i : S_i \rightarrow X_i$, aggregation $f : X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow X$

additive conjoint representation

when f sums up representations
 $s \leq t \iff \phi(s) \leq \phi(t)$
 $\phi(s_1, s_2) \leq \phi_1(s_1) + \phi_2(s_2) \leq \phi_1(t_1) + \phi_2(t_2)$

properties conjoint representation

\leq is a weak ordering on S
solvability ($\exists s_2$ for any condition $(s_1, ?) = (t_1, t_2)$)
double cancellation

double cancellation

when $(s_1, r_2) \leq (t_1, s_2)$
and $(r_1, s_2) \leq (s_1, t_2)$
then $(r_1, r_2) \leq (t_1, t_2)$
"double cancellation" because we remove (s_1, s_2)

independence

when $(s_1, s_2) \leq (t_1, s_2)$
then $(s_1, t_2) \leq (t_1, t_2)$

standard sequence

for sequence $s_1, s_{1'}, s_{1''}, \dots$
it holds $(s_1, s_2) \sim (s_{1'}, t_2)$
strictly bounded if some $s_{bottom} \leq s_1 \leq s_{stop}$

additive representation

sufficient conditions for $(S_1 \times S_2, \leq)$
a) \leq is a weak order
b) solvability
c) double cancellation
d) every strictly bounded standard sequence is finite
(means scales cannot be infinitely small)
standard sequence if $s_{1(i)}$

conjoint additive representation

necessary conditions for $(S_1 \times S_2, \leq)$
a) \leq is complete
b) let standard sequences of length k and permutations $\pi_1 \pi_2$
if $(s_{1i}, s_{2i}) \leq (s_{1j}, s_{2j})$ for j permuted i
then $(s_{1k}, s_{2k}) \leq (s_{11}, s_{21})$ for k permuted 1
b condition summarizes theoretic conditions
but hard to test empirically

2.4 measuring loudness

loudness = (amplitude, frequency)

weak order

every pair of sound comparable, transitive

solvability

for given sound (a, f) and frequency (f')
come up with a' such equally loud

double cancellation

empirical tests hard (as many combinations)
instead show conjoint commutativity

sound compression

average DB & peak points plotted
in old song, average db lower & different peaks
in newer song, higher average db & peaks all on line
 \Rightarrow improved mastering likely increases sales

2.5 examples

BMI

weight / m^2
18.5 - 25 is OK

h-index

plot #citations for each paper
fit largest square in there
45 means \Rightarrow 45 papers with each at least 45 citations
does not capture few high-valued, many low-valued

3 units & scales

3.1 scale types

nominal (labels are all distinct)
ordinal (order of label preserved relative to empirical observation)
interval (distance between labels always same)
ratio (fixed reference point; like absolute 0)
absolute (fixed unit; like counting people)

analysis

interval enables reasoning about differences
ratio enables multiples & fractions

3.2 example

(mechanical) horsepower

lifting 550 lbs up 1 feet in 1 second (=745.7 watt)
used as a unit for "rate of work"

other horsepowers

hydraulic/air (rate of flow times pressure)
boiler (rate of heating)
electrical (directly defined in watt)
tax (power of cars)

3.3 historic developments

want measurements to depend on environment (not authorities)
1795 decimal meter system (france)
1799 meter & kilogram (archives de la republique)
1875 May 20th agreement signed between 17 countries
introduced buro for administration (BIPM)
governed by conference of member states (CGPM)
advised by scientific committee (CIPM)
1889 prototypes sanctioned (officially recognised)
1954 kelvin, ampere & candela as base units
1960 systeme international d'unites (SI units)
1971 mole introduced as seventh base unit
2018 new definitions for kilogram, ampere, kelvin, mole
(allowed to remove the need for prototypes)
2019 new SI base units in effect

3.4 SI base units

since 2019, one natural constant per unit

time (t)

in seconds (s)
duration of ca 9 billion caesium radiation periods
9 192 631 770 Hz

length (l)

in meter (m)
length of path traveled by light in 1/299 792 458s
 $c / 299\,792\,458$ for c speed of light

mass (m)

in kilogram (kg)
the mass of the international prototype of kilogram (until 2019)
 $h / (6.626 \times 10^{34})$ for h planck constant

thermodynamic temperature (T)

in kelvin (K)
the change of thermodynamic temperature
to result in energy $kT = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J

luminous intensity (I_v)

in candela (cd)
from source to given direction
with frequency 540×10^{12}
with radiant intensity of 1/683 watt per steradian

electric current (I)

in ampere (A)
flow of $1/(1.6 \times 10^{19})$ elementary charges e per second

amount of substance (n)

in mole (mol)
 6.02×10^{23} specified elementary entities

3.5 derived units

SI base units are fixed
all other units can be derived from this
might have other name / symbol defined

examples

square meter as area
metre pre second as velocity
kilogram per cubic meter as density

special examples

weight (which is actually a force)
richter scale (\log_{10} (measurement / f(distance)))
frequencies (hertz for periodic processes, becquerel for random)
angular velocity (actually a ratio; has no unit)

3.6 unit of information

information to be measured in bit
 $\log(n)$ bits necessary for n items

3.7 constants

planck constant (very hard to measure)
half-life (randomized)
day, moon cycle, year (varies)
 π (infinite)
UNIX-time (1.1.1970)

3.8 legal & scientific

want scientific input & legal backing
for meterology alone, 9 different institutions

3.9 financing BIPM

the burea international des poids et mesures

capacity to pay

GNI (gross national income)
PPP (purchasing power parity)
scaled to capita

BIPM donation

fixed budget, payed in percentage by capacity to pay
upper limit (US at 22.000)
lower limit (small, poor countries 0.001)
adjustments (like "welcome discount")

3.10 thresholds

poverty line

60% of median household income of population
median guards against outlines
household includes "economies of scale", non-earners

process deviation

manufacturing process might has some uncontrolled factors
want to reach 6σ of correctness (management strategy)

basel accords

formulated recommendation of how much money banks have to actually have
members of committee adapt recommendations into law

4 sensors & intruments

$y = x + s + \epsilon$
for y result, x measurement, s structural error, ϵ random error

4.1 instrument

sensor
transformation
display
read out

errors

gross errors, blunders (inappropriate setup / operator)
conditions (heat, stability, ...)
range (measure room length vs distance to moon)
transmission, conversion (quicksilver)
feedback (
drift (changes in error with repeated measurements)

prevent errors

conversion (change scale to normalize)
correction (remove predicted error)
calibration (reset to known measure)

4.2 length

ruler, measurement band, roller
vernier (measure fraction of millimeter)
laser
angles (sextant, triangle ruler)

4.3 mass

balance scale

spring scales (force \Rightarrow length)
strain gauge (force \Rightarrow resistance)

4.4 temperature

thermometer
bimetal (different metals bend differently)
thermocouple (current)
pyrometer (radiation)

4.5 weather

temperature
humidity
precipitation
wind direction / speed
atmospheric pressure

4.6 height of trees

given (laser) range finder & and angle measurement
tan measure length until tree middle
but high variation (small errors * angle has large effect)
sin measures length until tip of tree
but systematic error (underestimation of height of tree)

5 measure effort

5.1 classical test theory

$y = x + \epsilon$ (target observation is the value we observe)
 $\epsilon = 0$ (no systematic error)
 $\text{corr}(x, \epsilon) = 0$ (no correlation between value / error)
uncorrelated errors between items, respondents

averaging

no systematic error \Rightarrow many measurements lead to good average
assumption is measurement on interval scale

5.2 likert scale

to address single, one-dimensional concept
bipolar (+ and -), discrete levels (1, 2, ...) & centered (0 exists)
both positives & negative orientations
score is average (or total) level (aligned orientations)

example

environmental consciousness, knowledge, behaviour
for each dimension measure likert scale
"we should doing more" (+), "we are doing enough" (-)

constructing scale

create items pool (variation, coverage, refinement)
pretest (difficulty, selectivity, correlation)
selection (single dimension, varying difficulties, high selectivity)
finalization (instruct terms, reduce order effects)
afterwards, report on observed properties

measurement criteria

objectivity (usually granted if administered same way)
reliability (test-retest, parallel tests, split-half test)

validity

content (theory, personal expertise)
criterion (correlation to other observable variable)
construct (consistency of associations)

5.3 guttman scale

single, one-dimensional concept
items of increasing difficulty with binary answers
score is number of items checked
personal answered most correctly \Rightarrow best one

formally

subjects S, items I, checkings $Y \subseteq S \times I$
can define consistency of guttman scale
(higher ratings only answered by better persons)
reproducibility = 1 - errors

5.4 thurstone scale

single, one-dimensional concept
weighted items, binary answers

score is sum of weights of items checked
weights by expert assessment / pairwise comparison

example neighborhood

feel like a stranger (-2)
no secrets (+3)
know everyone (+1)
no one notices if I'm gone (-3)

pairwise comparison

dominance matrix ("prefer X over Y?")
normalized matrix (replaced counts by percentages)
with (observation - average) / standard deviation
get z-score (preference to other items in standard deviations)
use minimal z-score as 0-point (shift scale upwards)

5.5 item response theory

latent trait (invisible) manifests observation probabilistically

plot & parameters

ability plotted against probability of correct answer
guessing chance c_i (if too difficult)
difficulty b_i (before random guess, after always correct)
discrimination a_i (how exact difficulty separates)

6 indices

6.1 indices

item response theory

latent variables (invisible)
result probabilistically in manifest variables

reflective indicators (descriptive)

latent variables (invisible)
assumed to effect in manifest variables
like prices of products reflect inflation (consumer price index)

formative indicators (normative)

latent variables (invisible)
declared to be cause of manifest variables
like IQ defines intelligence (IQ test)

6.2 index construction

C-OAR-SE model

Construction definition (object, attributes, ...)
Object representation (concrete through open-ended interviews)
Attribute classification (concrete through open-ended interviews)
Rater identification (experts)
Scale formation (combine items, pretest)
Enumeration (derive total score)

common composition methods

index additive or multiplicative, unweighted or weighted
like consumer price index is additive, weighted score
like swiss market index resulting is additive, unweighted score
like human development index is multiplicative, unweighted score
like water quality index is multiplicative, weighted score

consumer price index

tries to measure inflation to guide monetary policy
how much products the money is actually worth
1000 fairly common items (milk, cars, rent, ...)
collected at 5400 locations in 11 regions
for each product, geometric weight taken
for each region/distribution channel, weighted sum
for each product category / consumption share, weighted sum

6.3 conjoint analysis

latent preference (willingness to pay) for multi-featured product
features are package design, brand name, price, ...

study design

give each participant exhaustive list
but too large, likely ranking takes too long
give each participant different sublist covering range
faster to do, can then run regression

regression

rank defined as sum of weighted components
regression calculates weight
then can infer utility for each value of property

like price (low-middle-high), brand (name1-name2-name3)

6.4 event horizon telescope

measurements of telescopes over the world
then combined into image of black hole
april 2019 first measurement, update april 2021
confirms that simulations were / are on the right track

6.5 big data

3V (2013)

Volume (how much data there is)
Velocity (rate at which data arrives)
Variety (heterogeneity of data)

6V definition

Veracity (correctness)
Variability (change over time)
Value (usefulness of data)

the end of theory

enough data makes extrapolation unnecessary
models are not needed anymore
but disagreeable as observations likely biased

6.6 measurement vs datafication

measurement part of datafication

measurement

assignment of numerals
to represent properties
while preserving laws (homomophy)

measurement process

many empirical testing to ensure representation makes sense
issues with existence, scales, meaningfulness, operationalization, bias

datafication

assignment of values (not numerals anymore)
to represent properties (or values are used directly)

datafication critique

on much less empirical grounds
much less reliable, systematic
but still used as basis for decisions as it were a measurement

7 measurement politics

measurement to understand phenomena better & predict future behaviour
helps us organize social structure & societies
but once number is accepted, then arising does no longer matter
numbers are compared "the same" with different validities

7.1 objectivity

negotiation/agreement from same basis
required for coordination (trade, division of labor)
required for ethics (like impersonal trade due to objective price discrimination)
might be relative to specific group (required understanding of topic)
expert judgements where objectivity cannot be archived

7.2 usage

engineering & science (natural)
bureaucracy & technocracy (social)
technocracy powered by objective expert opinions

further examples

taxation (contribute according to principles, fairness)
insurance (pooling of risks)
risk & cost-benefit analysis
environmental policy

administrating goods 3000 BCE

mesopotamia had warehouses & needed to keep track of inventory
objects with different indents (1, 10, 60, 120; bisexagesimal system)
clay table documents sign of product & quantity
may includes signature of authority
clay balls storing quantities exist too

rosetta stone (300 BCE)

three different translations of same text

divine pharao (clear leader makes god-given rule)
decentralized government (local rulers decided by pharao)
taxes to central government depending on population, land, state
governance-organised central storage of supplies

french engineering school (1794)

also motivated founding of ETH (1855)
introduced quantification to steer social structures
like fair price of rail travel (cost of operation / passengers)
like building canal (break even point after high investment)
factor in societal benefits (less traffic) and user behaviour (canal slower)

amalgamal

argues that averaging cost/usage not fair due to different gains/efficiency
writes 600 pages about how to calculate price more fairly
but concludes that it is likely still not enough

population-level averages

crime rates (>1830)
for elite/rich people, police budget
unemployment rates (>1900)
for poor people, only relevant if social services exist

life insurance (>19th century GB)

no longer government, but private companies offering product
insure "law of nature" (sudden death, murder, ...) but not sickness
administrative basis uses general vital statistics
selective admission only for applicants passing medical tests

7.3 tools

bushels of grain (GB middle ages)

way to measure grain (volumnia)
local reference at town hall ("more appropriate", local power demonstration)
price is fixed, but measurement can be influenced (wet, quality, ...)

declaration of grievances (french revolution)

demands (besides other) measurements should be democratized
leaded into meter / kilogram development, but unfamiliar for peasants

weather predictions nature

off measurement (1993) in strasbourg yielded cyclone (which was never there)
lothar (1999) forecasting wrong due to wrong measurement on island
lead to damages of around 6 billion
weather derivatives traded on stock markets

7.4 measurement in social systems

standardization

precision, reliability not enough for validity, accuracy
want define variables (probability distributions that make sense to measure)
with units & standards, sensing & analysis
add legal framework & regulation

implications

power (regulation, convincing laws)
scalability (able to master over many peasants)
universal competence (illusion of management pure by the numbers)
like impact management of scientifics with seemingly objective measurements
delegation of responsibility (as responsibility now delegated to numbers)
like (unknowingly) wrong/delayed numbers decision problem
behavioural adaptation (gaming the system)
like beginner PhDs writing survey articles (instead of seasoned researchers)

8 discrimination and behavior

8.1 reactions to measurements

system is modified
do what is desired (which might not be a good thing)
do something that looks good in measurement system
they lie (create untrue measurements)
find ways to avoid being measured

example reading tests

modified (easier tests in some schools)
do what desired (school focuses on reading)
something that looks good (training to pass tests)
lies (cheating scandals in many states)

avoidance (parents/teachers avoid tests)

8.2 university ranking

indicators

outcome (graduation/retention rate, income higher than parents)
student excellence (SAT scores, top 10%)
faculty (class size, salary, student/faculty ratio)
financial resources
alumni giving rate
expert opinion

northeast university (boston)

from place 162 to place 99 in 10 years, to place 40 into 25 years
building dorms to improve retention rate
hiring faculty (student/factory, hiring starts, high salary)
caps of 19 to classes (as extra points <20)
admission recruiting (finding good students)
many international students, only high-SAT- scores-domestic
incentives for worse students to enroll in spring

8.3 online advertising

100 billion industry (2018), exponential growth

search engine

around 50% of market share
incremental ad clicks (total clicks - unpaid clicks) at 89%

controlled experiment

for branded search, no effect
for new customers has positive return
for existing customers ROI negative

micro-targeting

direct marketing (recency, frequency, monetary, customer churn)
political campaigns (agenda setting, tailored arguments)
commercial, political databases (cambridge analytica)

8.4 modeled data

measurement/datafication

direct observation
indirect
empirical regularity (statistics, machine learning)

assumed regularities

prejudice, stereotypes
market segmentations

8.5 regularities

city/country divide

democrats/republicans
cheap housing initiative

cultural divide

french part of switzerland for fair-food initiative

relation outcomes

homophily (similar people attract each other)
social selection (similar attributes attract each other)
social influence (related people adapt to each other)

8.6 social circles

individuals characterized by interactions in different social circles
multiple overlapping social circles might create stronger relationships
stronger relationships constrain decisions

estimate relationships

count triangles (joint friends)
count quads (joint friends that do not know each others)
then count among top neighbours how many are common

evaluation

can use the estimation to remove irrelevant edges
can deduce common attributes of groups if other members leak

cambridge analytica

around 100k users used app, then could also access their facebook friends profiles
facebook shut down API capability, but too late
used to influence populist elections

9 smart living

9.1 definitions

by technology

electronic (some technological device)
connected (to some network)
information processing (some actual data processed)
simplified human-computer interaction
context-aware

by behaviour

reactive (behaviour reacts to environment)
adaptive (behaviour changes over time)
autonomous (no reliance on others)

9.2 smart homes

comfort

ease interaction with devices
for heating, lighting, watering, cleaning
like vacuum cleaner, lawn mower

monitoring

use for surveillance
for security, occupancy, movement
like cameras, sensors

control

use to control industrial appliances
for control, saving
like sensors

access

to enter secured area
for entering house, authentication
like doors, locks, windows

9.3 quantified self

identification (DNA / biometrics)
status (weight, fitness)
activity (status time series)

9.4 nudging

permanent

"typical customers use.."
"in your neighbourhood typical consumption is..."
opt-in / opt-out
organ donation default

situated

"your speed is (smilie/fraun)"
"you balance this month ..."
apps of amusement parks

9.5 model

indirect measurement of behaviour to understand type
requires surveilled interaction forming a trace
then classifying / regressing over the trace

personalization/discrimination

new / loyal / special / rich customers
driving history motivates car insurance
browser history hint online shopping desires
...

9.6 health insurance

pooling risks

escalation levels

nudging (brochures, health risks)
incentivizing (check-ups, benefits)
controlling (behaviour monitoring)

9.7 data access

opendata.swiss
bitaboutme (analyse data of large services like spotify)
mitdata cooperative (controlled access to medical data for research)
GDPR & california laws