## case studies from practice

34568 characters in 4853 words on 918 lines

#### Florian Moser

June 5, 2018

## 1 company tools

#### 1.1 challenges in companies

decide without complete facts meet impossible deadlines finish a half-our argument in one minute archive something with a team of disagreeing individuals

#### development

ensure compatibility with other products maintain and extend a large code base deal with ambiguous/conflicting requirements question solutions which are "quick and easy"

#### strategy

difficult to establish in large companies invest time to ensure all branches follow same strategy establish consensus about objectives & approach

#### legacy

superseded SW/HW which is difficult to replace due to wide use old systems may be badly written, but not necessarily old systems battle-proven, therefore relatively stable

## assess reliability of statements

hearsay, alternative facts unjustified belief, opinion factually, statistically, justified opinion definition, proven theorem

## technical problem motivation

build a tree, from left to right start with technical problem describe business problem(s) it leads to describe possible solution(s) for each business problem

## 1.2 information formats

### in general

present only short list of options, only structured data don't describe analysis approach / previous work answer "so what" / motivation as early as possible

### decision based presentation

provide base to make a decision present short list of options describe implications of these options provide single recommendation & its rationale

## take-away based presentation

 $\max 3$  key take aways

## 1.3 prepare presentation

### find out about audience

talk to stakeholders in advance (find out view points, expectations) think about already brought up questions / issues consider conflicting interests from different stakeholders

#### define objectives

work out what is expected to result from meeting define decisions to be taken, take aways to be remembered place all further material, math in appendix

#### develop structure

possibly present initial situation top down (start with decision, then arguments, reasoning) or tell a story & align with examples

#### create presentation

develop presentation based on storyline each slide one core statement with 3 min presenting time fast sketches by hand before spending time on perfecting them not necessarily self-contained, focus on content, not design

#### finalize

proofread on printouts, verify objectives are reached

#### 1.4 decompose complex questions

# issue tree (disaggregation) if given a problem ("why budget overrun")

tree from problem statement to issues, sub-issues mutually exclusive, collectively exhaustive, relevant (MECERE) slow but steady approach, clearly see most important issues "budget overrun"  $\rightarrow$  unit cost too high; scope too big "any decision"  $\rightarrow$  benefits; cost; risk; strategic flexibility "MVP"  $\rightarrow$  full design/implementation  $\rightarrow$  functional, reliable, usable, UX

#### option tree

if given a desired outcome ("deal with bad PM") adhere to CERE, ME is nice to have slash non-feasible, build business case for others easily compare available solutions "cost too high"  $\rightarrow$  "let people go", "stop business"

## hypothesis tree (hypothesis driven)

if given an opinion ("budget overrun because bad PM") identify & collect arguments needed to prove hypothesis test hypothesis fast, for complex projects fast to do, but only if hypothesis is broadly correct "more budget bad" → costs unclear; requirements impossible "reduce scope good" → delivery time; cost; risk; easier to change

### 1.5 big projects

#### problems

incomplete, changing requirements insufficient user involvement with unrealistic expectations insufficient planning, resources & management support

## evaluate bad performing projects

base decision on how much more time / budget needed forget about sunk  $\cos t$ 

#### cost

solution deliver cost (design, realization, testing) ongoing activities (operation costs, maintenance)

## $improve\ profitability$

reduce cost (per unit / resource, of employees, of operations) increase revenue (more customers, sell more units, increase price)

#### any (IT) decision

benefits (revenue increase, cost & risk reduction) cost (development, maintenance, operations) risk (technical, business) strategic flexibility (decision, production) implicit feasibility (higher cost & risk)

## 2 business tools

## 2.1 analyze company / products

#### SWOT

strengths (internal, helpful) weaknesses (internal, harmful) opportunities (external, helpful) threats (external, harmful)

## business model canvas results

key partners (interdependencies) key activities (focus of business) value propositions (customer value) customer relationships (communication, trust) channels (sell, communicate) customer segments (target group) revenue streams (billable services) cost structure (expenses)

#### 2.2 create new product

#### startup vs internal development

startup can scale up/down fast with entirely new mindset is free from legacy business, more pressure for fast MVP but higher cost, knowhow transfer difficult, ownership of staff unclear internal can provide fully staffed, interdisciplinary teams has connection to customers for early feedback, field tests

#### establish vision

develop vision of what to build before starting other things may externalize to startup to enable new thinking models

#### interview customers

not asking for needs (only improved versions of products are recommended) asking for pains to discover real problems, focus product on that

#### define target customers

large customers for large cash flow from only a few customers but have high negotiation power, long requirements list small customers easier to get to use MVP without reputation are easier understood, accept outsourced, clouded application

## calculate price of product

higher than production cost

lower than customer willingness to pay (perceived benefit, alternatives)

## allocate resources

there can also be too much, scaling up / scaling down needs time at first start with a few people develop as a big team, then scale down again

#### build MVP

functional, reliable, usable, emotional design build good first impression

## 3 problem solving tools

iterative approach (redefine problem after analysis if needed) be critical to proposed problem statements

## problem statement

agree on question (establish shared focus, assure actionable results) define underlying problem (describe as-is, find drivers, causes & effects) find decision makers (key stakeholders & the ones who decide in the end) establish decision criteria (provide foundation to make decision) set solution constrains (ethical, moral, strategic limits to solutions) set solution scope (define out of scope items, like international units)

#### investigate

potentially build hypothesis tree to correct arguments, focus investigations analyze data, collect experience, interview stakeholders, read reports be respectful (mistakes happen, don't judge by degree/background)

## analyze & structure problem

build issue tree to understand components of problem (what, how) think from business perspective (cost, risk, revenue, flexibility) make each problem component intellectually manageable / solvable build a common understanding, clarify priorities / responsibilities summarize key learnings, start over if problem definition needs review

#### synthesize results

define evaluation/success criteria (feasibility, costs, risks, payoff) ask "so what" / "what must be done", assume company perspective build MECERE option tree (always include do nothing) analyze options using facts (stating any additional assumptions taken) check implementation feasibility & risk, slash options not worth pursuing analyze viable options detailed (using success criteria, cost analysis) do technical evaluation (compatibility, availability) do functional evaluation (functionality offered) do integration evaluation (integrations needed)

### formulate recommendation

propose best option, may goes beyond problem statement propose next steps to be undertaken

## 4 dormakabra

sells locks

#### 4.1 SWOT results

#### strengths (internal, helpful)

design portfolio, identity, long-lasting customers experience, use of market potential, premium technology complete business offering (technology, firmware, installation)

#### weaknesses (internal, harmful)

development costly & customer driven, inefficient software platform local focus, no global price, no multiplication of output

#### opportunities (external, helpful)

system integration, parter distribution, home automation

#### threats (external, harmful)

rising complexity, partners collaborate with competition market saturation, growing competition

#### results

usecase specific UI, package based offering, health check (opportunities) solutions instead of products, consulting, design, UX (against threats)

#### 4.2 business model canvas results

#### key partners

home automation data center, development partners

#### key activities

sales, ordering, shipping, repair support, communication, training operations, delivery, production

#### value propositions

planing, easy install, integrations complete system status, access control continuous product improvement

#### customer relationships

business infrastructure trust in brand and solution

#### channels

local partners, installers

## customer segments

residential, small/medium, partners

#### revenue streams

planning, hardware sales, installation, configuration, support cloud usage, maintenance, credential management, special solutions

#### cost structure

sales, support, marketing, cloud operations process adaptation, new products, material

#### 4.3 analysis results

## established vision

provide parters & customers with access control management integrate planning, sales, ordering, CRM & support

#### customer pains

needs to be AC expert, but high complexity & flawed technical support fears proprietary solutions hates slow delivery time, low delivery quality fears breakdown of security

## 4.4 kaba exivo

shared vision to solve pains rather than detailed requirements

#### develop new market

definition & setup of new market as difficult as development subscription plan for recurring revenue & high customer binding technology enabled new market, but internal restructuring needed

## develop new platform

access control as a service for small practices (lawyers, doctors; need to comply with law) early & often usability tests with end users focus on business value rather than fancy technology develop internally instead in startup to avoid reintegration issues

#### risks

high investment to until customer value generated balance brand value & early market release established business processes difficult to adapt

#### timeline

envisioning (create core-team, establish vision, scope, requirements) start (scale up for MVP, agree on architecture, technical concepts) stabalize (scale down team, finish the project, freeze features / scope) operations (start production / maintenance / support)

## impact on stakeholders

employees need new skills (release cycles, security, user-centric design) partners need to adapt workflow to new platform customers need to be persuaded of new pricing, are more dependants

managers must take new end-to-end responsibility, new procedures managers must manage touch points of new system with old business managers must support development approaches (fail early, new tools, reporting)

hr/recruiting must attract new employees from different industry sales needs to rethink measures of success

## 5 teralytics

big data firm, collects usage of phone data

#### 5.1 architecture

#### aggregate data

anonymize data (still in RAM) clean up noise estimate location based on events interpolate traces aggregate & extrapolate prepare results for dashboard

#### dashboard

visualizes data records any query stop execution if too much info revealed

#### VPN

to teralytics office (ISO certification)

#### 5.2 provide data

aggregated by zip code, by weekday, by month, by day

#### legal issues

set minimal bound according to regulations respect general data protection directive GDPR, contry specific allow opt-out (usa with webpage, ignore foreigners)

## hosting issues

AWS (very expensive due to constant, predictable load) therefore do hosting by yourself even if non-core business cluster at teralytics (easy physical access, faster connection) but legal issues EU vs CH, who pays cluster cluster at peppermobile (responsible for security, maintenance) but slow processes, can't extend old machines

#### pricing

calculate delivery of service costs (lower bound) calculate customer benefits, costs (higher bound) compare with other products

#### trial concept

big enough to show benefit, but not to reveal everything contracts to make usage illegal outside trial, be prepared to sue

#### contract versions

fremium (more functions, services if customer pays) license based contract (pay per view)

## 6 hotelcard

hotel-halbtax quite successful, offerings of all variety customer buys member fee, hotels pay no commission

#### 6.1 win-win

#### hotel

higher occupancy rate no booking portal commission more revenue from secondary services free publicity self-controllable availability

#### guest

overnights at half price large offering, high availability unlimited usage

#### 6.2 market platform

supplier with overcapacity, clients with frequent usage sustainable high profit margin

#### network effect

value for each participant increases competitors have hard start

#### introduction strategies

letter of intent (register if 150 parties agreed) partnerships with TCS, SBB, tamedia exclusivity (regional, limited in time)

#### 6.3 find first 150 hotels

cover all regions, types of hotel

#### letter of intent with exclusivity

first signing right for 14 days get exclusive regional access for limited time hotels promise to create profile if 150 hotels found

#### 6.4 sales strategy

sales partner like SBB, TCS

#### offer

via company newsletter/magazine to clients, members, employees discount at first year, automatic renewal

#### digital marketing

emails to clients/potential clients sales portals like DeinDeal SEO, SEA (+advertising)

### media 4 equity (M4E)

tamedia takes 20%, pays off with spare advertising space various print & digital media

## product variants

personal hotelcard (double room booking) company hotelcard (transferable) vouchers (as a gift purchasable)

## estimated market saturation

 $1~{
m mio}$  (+1 mio with surrounding countries) maximize renewal rate with newsletters, inspiration aquire new customers for less than 95 CHF

## 7 startups from ETH

## 7.1 focus types

#### technology (AdNovum)

programming languages, compilers software engineering, data modelling IT security information retrieval

## function (CodeCheck)

marketing (big data, CRM) human resources (eLearning) finance (payments)

### industry (Avaloq)

aviation, banking, clothing energy, health, construction

## platform (Doodle)

B2B, B2C

## 7.2 startup summary

#### leader

software guy, passion, stamina, fun is a role model for employees team builder & capable of learning focused and competencies in various areas

#### team

search for complementary skills & passion (even lawyers) discuss ideas openly with others

define clear responsibilities

team up engineering with sales & UX  $\,$ 

don't delegate recruiting to HR or keep under performing employees

#### financial

we build it, they finance it we own it, they get the right to buy it preserve reputation of customer don't develop product without market

#### process

have a stable vision but flexible strategy be patient, build your brand dare to make decisions pay attention to timing don't be shy or stubborn

#### product

build high end software, platform, eShop, SAAS focus on specific product for specific, big market focus on urgently needed pain killer with highest value add find customers & smart plan for initial population (avoid investors) make it alternative-less, multipliable, high margin, scalable look for n:m market (even better if n:n, ) multiply business model at other branches try to stay at service level don't be replaceable, too local, nice-to-have

#### 6011

B2B easier than B2C, to business easier than to engineering peer2peer advertising don't spend unwisely on advertising

#### 8 cost effectiveness and software metrics

#### 8.1 productivity of software development

function points / person months inversed exponentials
10 points / months at 100 points
4 points / month at 2000 points
2 points / month at 8000 points
1 point / month at 16000 points, and decreasing further
comparison applies within same company or controlled environment

## 8.2 function point (FP)

metric to asses quality and productivity of software convert requirements to FP, then estimate cost with past metrics works well for comparable projects (same company, technology)

#### usage

time (person months needed for large project) cost (total cost of project) schedule (time of completion) deliveries (intermediate releases)

## benefits

technology independence (high vs low power language accounted for) variability (to any kind of software) completeness (all activities measured) analysis (over multiple projects, technologies) estimate at start of project (unlike LOC)

#### functional classes

external inputs (values in input mask) external outputs (report) internal logic files (states) inquiries (query to db) external interface file (like database)

#### example external input

data element type (how many inputs) file type reference (how many groups) then use table to estimate FP

## 8.3 FP results

#### relations

1P is small code change, 100 LOC 10P is small application, 1k LOC, 5K 100P is application, 12k LOC, 100K, 9months 1k P is commercial, 100k LOC, 1m, >1y 10 k P for system, 1m LOC, 10m, 5y, 100 people 100 k P for OS, 12m LOC, 1bio, 8y

#### observations

maintenance fixed at 10%-15% of project development effort

#### low language levels

more logic mistakes per statement more LOC per function point more defects per function point

## effort changes with increasing FP $(1 \rightarrow 10000)$

management effort  $(10 \rightarrow 16)$ error correction  $(15 \rightarrow 35)$ paper work  $(5 \rightarrow 31)$ coding  $(70 \rightarrow 18)$ 

#### risk changes with increasing $(1 \rightarrow 10000)$

changing requirements  $(0 \to 45)$ poor project quality  $(0 \to 90)$ observed project delay  $(0 \to 80)$ project cancellation  $(0 \to 40)$ 

#### explanations why big is bad

implementation of new functionality more difficult large retesting efforts after each new change maintenance effort bigger (missing support, libraries stop working, ...) architecture degrades (unused code, now obsolete or unfit patterns) knowledge gets lost (employee leaves, forgets)

#### conclusions

productivity decreases with size of system synergies of projects must be high to be integratable in single product flexibility often bad (FP overhead but hard to predict) marginal requirements increase cost, risk exponentially

#### 8.4 estimate new project

find efficiency at current FP level calculate person months take square roots for team size / duration read out LOC / FP for the specific language

#### argue

assume 30% for coding & 30% error correct if another language, compare LOC/FP and change PM accordingly if new language to learn, include performance reduction 10%

## 9 AIP case study

want to replace old system, which has proven itself currently most money spend on maintenance

#### 9.1 AIP II

solves future problems with parametrization new architecture & new technologies

#### but unrealistic

API was designed to be flexible as well huge risk & not doable in this time maintenance increases substantially

#### 9.2 AIP II shared

collaboration with another company productivity decrease through larger project

### but collaboration difficult

scope creep (incentive to add more of own functionality) difference in company culture (decision taking harder) system integrator in strong position (can play off companies)

## 9.3 AIP renovated

renovate AIP to remove duplication, architecture issues remove unnecessary functionality increase flexibility & parametrization at selected times include new business functionality

### 9.4 bad behaviour

#### from user

marginal additional features cause cost, time overruns requesting flexible solution instead of fixing requirements forgetting about increased complexity while trying to use synergies bias towards description of requirements by other people

#### from staff

forgetting about increased complexity while trying to use synergies building flexible solution, but not good in anticipating future requirements blindly fulfilling all requests (not in company's best interest) requesting rewrite instead of maintaining properly

#### 9.5 conclusions

#### as few features as possible

all have to be maintained and increase complexity synergies with other projects have to be high to be useful flexible requirement connected to large overhead marginal requirements increase cost, time overproportionally

#### communication

failures due to lack of user involvement, business buy-in plan well, make assumptions explicit, keep up to date

#### legacy systems

can often still fulfil business needs should run as short as possible at the same time as replacement but avoid big bang replacements due to high risk

#### requirement engineering hard

old staff left the firm

documentation incomplete, misleading, motivation low source code only real requirement, but hard to analyze

## digital strategy

#### attack points

customer facing to increase revenue non-customer facing to reduce cost new products & services (like new possible products) new business models (like online shop) new customer needs (like cloud services)

#### 10.2 objectives

value created/delivered with digital channels key performance indicators KPI to measure success of strategy

## 10.3 roadmap of initiatives

potential digital initiatives with their respective impact & complexity conclude coordination needed, prioritization or projects

#### 10.4 organization

governance structure to enable timely delivery

## 10.5 workflow

#### 10.5.1 framework & inventory

analyze digital portfolio (past, present, future) gather end-user expectations & pain-points understand the value chain & customer journeys do interviews with employees & clients use as-is assessments, value chains, customer journeys

how company creates value to its customers analyses departments such as communications, marketing, product,

#### detailed persona

personification of a typical customer

socio-demographic information (age, marriage, location, occupation, salary) personal quote (describing individual situation) motivations (work, learning, social, shopping, fun)

expectations (list of needs, pains), the core information! behaviours (usages of devices, apps, websites, spare time)

influences (of other products, services, peoples)

## customer journey

analyze customer touchpoints with brand/product before/after purchase using persona

discover (push info, ads / friends), explore (pull info, call / webpage), buy (purchase), engage (maintenance)

collected touchpoints describing actions of user, used channel, emotional response

emotional responses can be frustration, anxiety, satisfaction, happiness

#### 10.5.2 digital benchmark

market analysis & trend forecasting benchmark current experiences & get ideas from competitors assess real & perceived limitations do workshops, project team research use benchmark templates

#### benchmark template

describe idea & solution, assess benefits for target group describe quantified benefits for company (internal) & customers (external) estimate cost & feasibility

place project in framework (impacted steps for target group)

#### 10.5.3 ideation

organize, participate in ideas generation effort classify ideas based in defined framework select ideas based on strategy, feasibility, expected ROI do workshops, project team research use priorization quadrants, business cases

#### solution targets

web, eCommerce, mobile app, sale points, call centers collect initiatives for all solution targets

#### priorization quadrants

grid with (technical complexity, customer value) as axis foundational (low, low) critical for business quick wins (low, high) for first priorities optimizations (high, low) for probably not valuable ideas future end state (high, high) for long-term initiatives

## 10.5.4 roadmap

assess, organize selected ideas into reasonable, feasible projects quantify projects create actionable roadmap do final workshops, project team analysis use prioritized portfolio, business case

#### 10.5.4.1 business case

#### define business problem

about the project & key questions to be resolved deliverables, objectives, outcomes

## identify target customer needs

where does it fit in customer journey how does customer do it now, how will it change

#### outline benefits

address issues, ensure alignment to overall strategy increases in revenues, reductions in cost customer loyalty & perception changes

#### estimate cost

total investment (effort + expenses), timeline & different options assumptions & likelihood of estimates

## identify risks

risk when doing projects vs when not technology risks, technology changes how to cover if over budget, time

## calculate returns

potential return (best/worst estimates) resources needed to maximize returns

#### define success metrics

KPI's affected, and their best/worst estimates metrics to top-line (revenue) & bottom-line (profit) business gains

## 10.6 breitling

#### 10.6.1 product

price to different socio economic background of clients product features important price compromised of value associated & material value

#### 10.6.2 market

informed buying, decision emotional complex market with different brands, models customers often online, susceptible for input from environment

## 10.6.3 non-digital customer journey

## discover

advertisement seen (not personalized)

talks to friends (small amount if people reached) visits shops (only can try out part of collection)

#### explore

tries on watch (found by chance) talks to wife (printed, not personalized catalogue)

studies brochure (no filtering)

#### buy

buy model (purchase rethought if model not available)

#### engage

maintenance (no news from brand)

#### 10.6.4 digital solutions

#### 10.6.4.1 online catalogue

filtering & recommendation system responsive design availability of watches at the retailer stores

#### benefits

personalized catalogue to customer insight on customers preferences better control on authorized retailers

#### cost drivers

high technical feasibility of catalogue recommendation system integration with retail systems

#### 10.6.4.2 online community

sharing of information & opinions platform for customers co-creation process participation direct link to customer care for support / news

#### benefits

informed, structured customers under the influence of the brand improved customer loyalty direct link to online community

#### cost drivers

moderation, customer relationship management integration with customer care & product development

#### 10.6.4.3 augmented reality

mobile app to try on watches connected to online catalogue

#### benefits

try-on of models without having to visit local shop more visibility of products for customer

#### cost drivers

new technology (make or buy) 3d high definition models of all watches needed

#### 10.6.5 digital journey

#### discover

online advertisement (personalized) forum visit (sees comments, opinions, live chat) schedules meeting online (guided to breitling, model available)

#### explore

sales app (clerk shows not available models) breitling app (oriented to different decision makers) augmented reality (helps visualize)

#### buy online

online reservation (model ready, end-to-end support)

#### engage

continuous usage of breitling app (interactions, continuous news)

#### 11 deliotte

#### 11.1 engagement approach

gather data incident containment and recovery analysis prevention & planning post-incident review/delivery

#### 11.2 incident prepare

incidence will happen, better be prepared for it

#### escalation criteria

scope (#users, #customers) criteria (tier 4-1 assets, recoverability, impact) score / criteria determine step to escalate on

#### escalation ladder

IT help desk

local/operational response cyber incident response team emergency management team executive committee board of directors (are informed, but don't act)

#### cyper security for C level

CxO is held accountable

CEO faces risk of complete business disruption

CFO faces risk of significant losses & high recovery costs

CIO needs to ensure IT runs smoothly

chief strategist may loses strategic plans (aborted acquisitions,  $\ldots)$  head of marketing has to ensure brand is not abused

general council concerned with lawsuits, IP protection, prosecution

#### 11.3 incident response

## gather emergency management team

physical security (law enforcement) information technology (internal IT) information security (security specialist) communications (PR) legal & compliance (lawer) chief of staff human resources

#### containment/eradication

short term (kill threat asap, preserve evidence, assess/contain impact) long term (learn from incident, mitigate risk of recurrence) prepare for breach notification

e.g. disconnect compromised computers, block malicious communication

#### recovery

get assets operational again, monitor closely evaluate containment plan, possibly refine inform internal/external, compile report coordinate post-breach actions e.g. verify, update, restore systems, document decisions

## post-breach

incident triage compromise 10% of impact stop attack, communicate, assess impact within days fix infrastructure, legal issues, manage relationships within a year repair business processes, invest in defence within years

### 11.4 incidence good practice

#### preparation

develop standardized approach to incident response (policies) ensure consistent results prior, during an incident (playbook) training, awareness, preemptive / reactive controls (discipline)

#### detection

identify, validate, report incidents confirm incident type & initial classification

#### analysis

determine mechanism, root cause, scope, scale, impact develop incident remediation plan

## containment/eradication

contain, eradicate, recover from incident, notify stakeholders reduce effects, prevent escalation, ensure business as usual/service level preserve evidence, document actions & timeline

## reporting

produce post incident report include all activities undertaken, lessons learned

#### 11.5 cyper-attack

## visible impacts

deterioration of public relation costs of technical, legal cleanup costs caused by disruption of services post-breach protection/cyber security improvements

#### invisible impacts

costs of regulatory improvements (GDPR)

loss of IP

loss of potential clients, devaluation of brand

increase of insurance premium

organisational changes when executives change

## 12 credit suisse

#### 12.1 about

global wealth manager, big investment banking sector want to be more flexible, global

#### 12.2 history of banking

#### 1960

use of electronic booking machines in branches, ATM's

#### 1970

centralized computing, automation of processes

#### 1980

widespread ATM, new products like LSV

#### 1990

electronic exchanges, internet based products

#### 2000

online banking, 7x24 processing, large # of products

#### 2010

mobile banking, algo trading, blockchain technologies

#### 12.3 history of banking systems

#### 1080

several thousand users sharing platform single computer handles all transactions batch processing to distribute payload highly tuned applications enable high transaction volume

#### evolution

no more staff for manual transactions more products, automation, integration, requirements explosive growth in IT staff, unknown with whole architecture continuous tweaks of architecture, experienced staff leaves first attempts to replace systems fail new complex interfaces, must features, failed migrations can't find new staff

## current status

40 year old applications till running on newest mainframe technologies fit for purpose, highly scalable, rich applications but difficult to manage (high complexity, lack of qualified staff) lot of lost knowledge (business processes, requirements, code) not fit for real-time processing, micro service

#### bottom line

legacy application huge asset & burden

#### 12.4 credit suisse setup

swiss banking IT platform (SBIP) shared with other divisions of credit suisse group large set of functionalities, old

## history

2003 SOA architecture

2006 One Bank (consolidation in SBIP)

2015 decoupling of security processing from SBIP

since 2016 redesign

## problems with migration

analysis took to long (results outdated)

limited people with strong business / IT skills to architect complex systems reverse engineering impossible through continuous changes

design deadlock because of too many dependencies

persistence on detailed upfront analysis

too high risk of implementation, migration

focus lost after restructuring of organisation

unable to obtain budget, too low priority

market events driven cost/investment rationalization

## optimized mainframe

rich business functionality

high scalability (optimized code, timely distributed load)

highly integrated, single instance system

but decreasing supply of engineers, high platform  $\cos t$ 

#### successor of mainframe

must have same rich business functionality but be better platform

## parallel distributed system approach

high scalability (distribution, parallelization) business agility through segregated micro services adaopt new technologies gradually low platform cost

## 12.5 security settlement engine (SE)

manages delivery, receipt of securities (dematerialized & physical) 35 years old, some automation, very efficient mission critical application, handling large volume of trades & events

#### problems

multifunctional components with minimal logging (design) dead code, monolithic synchronous batch processes (technical) high time to market, it just works, high post-incidence efforts (business)

#### future DLT platform proposal

replace central security depository CSD with DLT CORDA as shared infrastructure with banks, CSD, other users need consensus on ownership, governance, standards, investments, timeline need business case with benefits, cost, transition risk

## general approach

can't replace big bang, too risky

therefore introduce interface for new SE into other applications & old SE

## approach

consolidate, standardize existing interfaces, solve bottlenecks migrate database from IMS (hierarchical) to DB2 (relational) decommission end-of-day processing, old business processes start real-time processing

#### vision

settlement as a service, easy integration of new clients

#### next steps

micro-service architecture to replace mainframe step by step but needs clearer interfaces, capability to onboard new regions / users utilities for customization, integration into existing systems but needs fast, cheap way to build integration layer with current system DLT to replace middle man, messaging but needs sync between DLT & existing database

### 12.6 migration

to stay relevant & competitive in fintech rewrite (for new language, platform, apis; but not future ready) replace (off-the-shelve with customizations, redesign business) transform (green-field, reinvent business)

#### drivers

expensive hardware, software licenses not aligned to IT or business perspective anymore high operational risk, production stability, change efforts end of life of architecture, technology skills & people risks new approaches (DLT, ML, micro services)

#### challenges

people (psychological bias against change, office push/pulls) complexity (high volume, interface/integration/technical/maintenance sophistication)

financial (significant cost increase, multi-year commitments) legacy knowledge (lost & forgotten, old philosophy & technology) project management (different stakeholders, moving target, large project)

## new technical approaches

utilities (existing standard products) distributed ledger technology (DLT) to ease communication machine learning, artificial intelligence for big data problems micro service architectures to replace monoliths agile development for faster execution, validation of requirements

## 12.7 lessons learned

#### mainframes

 $40 \rm yrs$  old systems still considered "fit for purpose" efficient code to operate on  $40 \rm yrs$  old hardware with large business volume

## migrations

can transformed, replaced, or rewrite some attempts to collect requirements failed need for coexisting platforms due to step-by-step migrations

## strategies

micro services for flexibility, but difficult to decompose systems DLT, but bottlenecks to work at scale of bank (solutions exist) utility approach, i.e. solutions shared with others

## DLT network challenges

business challenges (network effect, get & align goals of participants) technical side (new & old coexist, DB synchronization, many interfaces)