Advanced Systems Lab - FFT

8337 characters in 1374 words on 250 lines

Florian Moser

July 8, 2020

1 fast fourier transform (FFT)

1.1 introduction

history

1805 Gauss discovered FFT for personal paper-interpolation signal processing done analog (with currents)
1965 FFT cooley tukey rediscovers; enables digital processing

purpose

change of basis by multiplying with fixed matrix is a linear transform (n-vector input/output) $y = Tx (y_k = sum_of(t_k *x_l))$

optimization potential

up to 35x faster

5x for locality, 3x for vectorization, 3x for threading

1.2 discrete fourier transform (DFT)

 $y_k = \text{sum_of(e^(-2*kl*pi*i / n) *x_l)}$ all n roots of unity (of one) w_n called primitive nth root of 1

notation

 $y = DFT_n*x, 2n^2$ operations

evaluate

get multiplicator using n (size) m = kl*2pi/n insert k/l (row/column; zero-based) then evaluate with cos(a) + i*sin(m) remember that sin(0) = 0, cos(0) = 1

DFT_2 [[1 1], [1 -1]] no mults (bc all factors 1), 1 adds/sub $y_1 = x_1 + x.2$; $y_2 = x_1 - x_2$

 $g_1 = x_1 + x_{-2}$, called butterfly

 DFT_4

[1 1 1 1],[1 i -1 -i],[1 -1 1 -1],[1 -i -1 i]
12 adds, 4 mults (if not reduced)
in complex arithmetic, needs to be mapped to machine

further examples

DFT & RDFT universally used MPEG & JPEG uses own kind of DFT

1.3 cooley-tukey FFT

FFT transformation algorithm calculate T like T = T_1*T_2*... * T_m only useful if T_i are sparse, and m low

1.3.1 FFT=4

essentially 4 DFT of size 2

matrix

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm for} \ . = 0 \ ({\rm better} \ {\rm readability}) \\ {\rm T1} = [1...,.1.,.1..,..1] \ ({\rm shift}; \ 0 \ {\rm ops}) \\ {\rm T2} = [1 \ 1...,1 \ -1...,.1 \ 1,...1 \ -1] \ ({\rm four} \ {\rm adds}) \\ {\rm T3} = [1...,1..,.1..,...i] \ ({\rm one} \ {\rm mul} \ {\rm by} \ {\rm i}) \\ {\rm T4} = [1.1.,.1.1,1.-1.,.1.-1] \ ({\rm four} \ {\rm adds}) \\ {\rm y} = {\rm T4*T3*T2*T1*x} \\ \Rightarrow {\rm reduced} \ {\rm FFT} \ {\rm opcount} \ {\rm to} \ 8 \ {\rm adds}, \ 1 \ {\rm mul} \\ \end{array}$

algebra

(DFT_2 (x) I_2)diag(1,1,1,i)(I_2 (x) DFT_2)L_2^4 $I_2 = \text{diag}(1,1)$ is identity matrix of size 2 L_2^4 = permutation with stride 2 for (x) kroenecker product multiply entry on left with whole matrix right produces for 2*2 (x) n*n = 2n*2n result

dataflow representation

right x1,x2,x3,x4 flows to left y1y2y3y4 permutation of x2 and x3 (L.2^4) DFT_2 applied to x1,x2 and x3x4 (I.2 (x) DFT_2) scaling represented as black dots (diag(1,1,1,i)) DFT_2 applied to x1,x3 and x2x4 (DFT_2 (x) I.2)

1.3.2 generalization

(DFT_k (x) I_m)T_m^n(I_k (x) DFT_m)L_k^n choose radix k, select m to fit input DFT_k (x) (x) I_m results with DFT_k in the diagonal T_m^n as diagonal with m-1 1s, then an i, then repeat I_k (x) DFT_m results in m A's at stride m L_k^n permutation; read at stride k, write at stride 1 can reformulate to read at stride 1, write at stride m get n logn algorithm

variants

decimation-in-time decimation-in-frequency (transposed cooley-turkey)

factors

can choose radix k, but in the end k*m = n for factors of 2 trivial; for primes need different algorithm

cost

n log(n) complex adds; each needs 2 real adds n log(n)/2 complex mults; each needs 2 adds, 4 mults hence 3n log(n) adds, 2n log(n) mults = $5n \log(n)$ reduce op count depending on recursion because can simplify *i or *1

recursive vs iterative

calculation order different (DAG equivalent) iterative in stages (compute all of same kind of butterfly) recursive in recursion (compute butterfly as soon as dependency resolved) only order of operation changes, not count recursive better on caches be temporal locality

1.4 FFT variants

iterative

initial permutation step then bigger and bigger butterflies size of butterflies defined by radix

pease

permute result of butterflies to only operate on 2butterflies; good for CPUs

stockham

big butterflies and then permute permutations always in pairs of two good for 2-element cache lines

$\mathbf{six\text{-}step}\ \mathbf{FFT}$

optimized for parallelization three stages of communication, two parallel stages works for all sizes, any computation

multi-core FFT

similar to six-steps, but always two elements travel together well if block size 2; no false sharing hence two processes access elements in same cache line

1.5 complexity

use L_c as measure; defines cost add/mul = 1 for number < |c| used L_2 in proofs; L.infinity would be more real-worldly

upper bounds

for $n = 2^k \Rightarrow 3/2 \text{ n log(n)}$ other $n \Rightarrow 8 \text{ n log(n)}$

lower bound

 $L_c(DFT) \ge 1/2 \text{ n log_c(n)}$

hence as n goes to infinity then constant c also goes to infinity fastest algorithm found is close under $4n \log(n)$ for $n=2^k$ fastest algorithm in use needs little more than $4n\log n$

1.6 optimizations

1.6.1 choice of algorithm

iterative used to be the best choice be easy to implement, fewer instructions recursive now better be caches (temporal locality)

1.6.2 locality improvement

trivially need 4 data passes (bc 4 steps)

DFTrec

fuse T1,T2 (read stride k, DFT_m, write stride 1) introduce interface DFTrec(m, x, y, k, j) for m size, x/y vectors, k input stride, j output stride out-of-place (reads x, writes y) called recursively (just change stride)

DFTscaled

fuse T3,T4 (read stride m, prescale, DFT_k, write stride m) introduce interface DFTscaled(k, y, d, m) for k size, y input/output vector, d prescale, m input/output stride in-place (reads & writes y)

base case (hence rewritten for each radix factor)

pseudocode

for n size, x/y input/output vector, t prescale lookup choose k (depends on n; ensure base case for DFTscaled exists) let m=n/k for (i until k) DFTrec(m, x+i, y + m*i, k, 1) for (i until m) DFTscaled(k, y+i, t[i], m)

1.6.3 constants

FFT needs multiplications by roots of unity expensive because needs sin/con to compute

precompute

 $\mathsf{DFT}.\mathsf{init}(\mathsf{n})$ for n input size to create lookup table then reuse result for all same-sized DFT computations prestore for small input sizes

1.6.4 optimized basic blocks

unroll recursions

bc hard for compiler to do it automatically function calls overhead negligible (bc base case reached fast)

base cases

to optimize specifically for small inputs empirically useful for n \leq 32 but then need 31 DFTrec & DFTscaled each can be generated (as its done by FFTW)

1.6.5 adaptivity

adapt recursion strategy to platform do search within init function (reuse $DFT_{init}(n)$) use dynamic programming (saves perf. compared to exhaustive)

valid recursions

generated droplet for base cases must exist DFTs caled as a basecase (hence always right-recursive)

1.7 FFTW (fastest fourier transform in the west)

generates codelets using SIMD with input n generates DFTrec, DFTscaled in three steps

1.7.1 DAG generator

create trees based on sum formula includes cooley-tukey, split-radix, fuse trees into DAG

1.7.2 simplifier

simplify DAG

algebraic transformations

mults $(0*x \Rightarrow 0$, similar cases for -1,1) distribution laws $(kx + ky \Rightarrow k(x+y))$

canonicalization $(x-y,y-x \Rightarrow x-y, -(y-x))$

common subexpression elimination (CSE)

use temporary variables to avoid double computation

reduce constants (DFT specific)

has many multiplications with many different constants each constant used positive & negative (bc $\sin(a) = -\sin(a)$) reduce register pressure by storing only positive constant and use subtraction where $-\sin(a)$ would be needed

1.7.3 scheduler

transform DAG to c under register spill minimization want to reach target $I = O(\log(C))$ for cache size C uses SSA style, scoping

approach

cut DAG in middle

then recurse on connected components (butterflies) to outside hence use minimal number of registers for "durchstich"

cut DAG middle

start from the outsides (left = input, right = output) color immediate next inner reachable nodes repeat recursively until nodes touch in the middle

1.8 comparison

MMMM (ATLAS) sparse MVM (Sparsity, Bebop) DFT (FFTW)

cache optimizations

blocking for MMM, SMVM recursive FFT & fusion of steps for FFTW

register optimizations

blocking for MMM, SMVM schedule small FFT for FFT

optimized basic blocks

unrolling

scalar replacement & SSA scheduling & simplifications for FFT

other optimizations

precompute constants for small DFT for large FFT the algorithm becomes memory bound hence avoid precomputation to save on storage

adaptivity

search blocking parameters for MMM search register block size for SMVM search recursion strategy for DFT

1.9 spiral

specifically to optimize FFT for specific input size applicable for locality, threading, ...

algorithm

use signal processing language (SPL) is mathematical, declarative, point-free (any input size) divide and conquer applied to transform algorithms

generate code

decompose DFT with SPL rules to algorithm optimize for parallelization/vectorization transform to structure with sums optimize for locality transform to C program optimize in basic blocks

${\bf vectorization}\ {\bf optimization}$

some SPL expressions directly relate to SIMD code like DFT_2 (x) I_4 which can be transformed easily hence rewrite given SPL to vectorizable SPL expressions

generate base cases

express intrinsics as matrices then search for matrixes matching base cases

generalize for any input size

need many more codelets results in huge library size but faster than other approaches; including FFTW