- 3-15 Add a spherical wrist to the three-link cylindrical arm of Problem 3-13 and write the complete inverse kinematics solution.
- 3-16 Add a spherical wrist to the Cartesian manipulator of Problem 3-14 and write the complete inverse kinematics solution.
- 3-17 Write a computer program to compute the inverse kinematic equations for the elbow manipulator using Equations (3.64)–(3.69). Include procedures for identifying singular configurations and choosing a particular solution when the configuration is not singular. Test your routine for various special cases, including singular configurations.
- 3-18 The Stanford manipulator of Example 3.5 has a spherical wrist. Given a desired position o and orientation R of the end effector,
  - 1. Compute the desired coordinates of the wrist center  $o_c^0$ .
  - 2. Solve the inverse position kinematics, that is, find values of the first three joint variables that will place the wrist center at  $o_c$ . Is the solution unique? How many solutions did you find?
  - 3. Compute the rotation matrix  $R_3^0$ . Solve the inverse orientation problem for this manipulator by finding a set of Euler angles corresponding to  $R_6^3$  given by Equation (3.52).
- 3-19 Repeat Problem 3-18 for the PUMA 260 manipulator of Problem 3-10, which also has a spherical wrist. How many total solutions did you find?
- 3-20 Find all other solutions to the inverse kinematics of the elbow manipulator of Example 3.9.
- 3-21 Modify the solutions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  for the spherical manipulator given by Equations (3.47) and (3.49) for the case of a shoulder offset.

## NOTES AND REFERENCES

The Denavit-Hartenberg convention for assigning coordinate frames was introduced in the fifties, and is described in [57] and [27]. Since then, many articles have been written on the topics of forward and inverse kinematics. Seminal articles that deal with forward kinematics include [19], [29], [74], [75], [103], [57], and [138]. Inverse kinematics problems are considered in [6], [45], [53], [75], [76], [103], [105], [113], and [134]. In the late seventies and

- 4-9 Show that  $S^3(k) = -S(k)$ . Use this and Problem 4-8 to verify Equation (4.18).
- 4-10 Given any square matrix A, the exponential of A is a matrix defined as

$$e^A = I + A + \frac{1}{2}A^2 + \frac{1}{3!}A^3 + \cdots$$

Given  $S \in so(3)$  show that  $e^S \in SO(3)$ .

Use the acts that  $e^A e^B = e^{A+B}$  provided that A and B commute, that is, AB = BA, and the fact that  $\det(e^A) = e^{Tr(A)}$ .

4-11 Show that  $R_{k,\theta} = e^{S(k)\theta}$  for k a unit vector.

Hint: Use the series expansion for the matrix exponential together with Problems 4-8 and 4-9. Alternatively use the fact that  $R_{k,\theta}$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dR}{d\theta} = S(k)R.$$

- 4-12 Use Problem 4-11 to show the converse of Problem 4-10, that is, if  $R \in SO(3)$  then there exists  $S \in so(3)$  such that  $R = e^{S}$ .
- 4-13 Given the Euler angle transformation

$$R = R_{z,\psi} R_{y,\theta} R_{z,\phi}$$

show that  $\frac{d}{dt}R = S(\omega)R$  where

$$\omega = \{c_{\psi}s_{\theta}\dot{\phi} - s_{\psi}\dot{\theta}\}i + \{s_{\psi}s_{\theta}\dot{\phi} + c_{\psi}\dot{\theta}\}j + \{\dot{\psi} + c_{\theta}\dot{\phi}\}k$$

The components of i, j, k, respectively, are called the **nutation**, **spin**, and **precession**.

- 4-14 Repeat Problem 4-13 for the Roll-Pitch-Yaw transformation. In other words, find an explicit expression for  $\omega$  such that  $\frac{d}{dt}R = S(\omega)R$ , where R is given by Equation (2.38).
- 4-15 Two frames  $o_0x_0y_0z_0$  and  $o_1x_1y_1z_1$  are related by the homogeneous transformation

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A particle has velocity  $v_1(t) = [3, 1, 0]^T$  relative to frame  $o_1x_1y_1z_1$ . What is the velocity of the particle in frame  $o_0x_0y_0z_0$ ?

- 4-16 For the three-link planar manipulator of Example 4.6, compute the vector  $o_c$  and derive the manipulator Jacobian matrix.
- 4-17 Compute the Jacobian  $J_{11}$  for the 3-link elbow manipulator of Example 4.9 and show that it agrees with Equation (4.98). Show that the determinant of this matrix agrees with Equation (4.99).
- 4-18 Compute the Jacobian  $J_{11}$  for the three-link spherical manipulator of Example 4.10.
- **4-19** Use Equation (4.102) to show that the singularities of the SCARA manipulator are given by Equation (4.104).
- 4-20 Find the  $6 \times 3$  Jacobian for the three links of the cylindrical manipulator of Figure 3.7. Find the singular configurations for this arm.
- 4-21 Repeat Problem 4-20 for the Cartesian manipulator of Figure 3.28.
- 4-22 Complete the derivation of the Jacobian for the Stanford manipulator from Example 4.7.
- 4-23 Verify Equation (4.81) by direct computation.
- 4-24 Show that  $B(\alpha)$  given by Equation (4.87) is invertible provided  $s_{\theta} \neq 0$ .
- 4-25 Suppose that  $\dot{q}$  is a solution to Equation (4.110) for m < n.
  - 1. Show that  $\dot{q} + (I J^+ J)b$  is also a solution to Equation (4.110) for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - 2. Show that b = 0 gives the solution that minimizes the resulting joint velocities.
- 4-26 Verify Equation (4.114).
- 4-27 Verify Equation (4.120).
- 4-28 Verify Equation (4.121).