

Cambridge University Engineering Department  
Engineering Tripos Part IIA  
PROJECTS: Interim and Final Report Coversheet

IIA Projects

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE STUDENT(S)

Project:	SA1: Aircraft Wing Analysis		
Title of report:	FINAL REPORT		
<del>Group Report</del> / Individual Report (delete as appropriate)			
Name(s): (capitals)	crsID(s):	College(s):	
FREDDIE ANCLIFF	FRJA2	St John's	
Declaration for: <del>Interim Report 1</del> / <del>Interim Report 2</del> / Final Report (delete as appropriate)			
I/we confirm that, except where indicated, the work contained in this report is my/our own original work.			

Instructions to markers of Part IIA project reports:

Grading scheme

Grade	A*	A	B	C	D	E
Standard	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Acceptable	Minimum acceptable for Honours	Below Honours

Grade the reports by ticking the appropriate guideline assessment box below, and provide feedback against as many of the criteria as are applicable (or add your own). Feedback is particularly important for work graded C-E. Students should be aware that different projects and reports will require different characteristics.

*Penalties for lateness: Interim Reports: 3 marks per weekday; Final Reports: 0 marks awarded – late reports not accepted.*

Guideline assessment (tick one box)

A*/A	A/B	B/C	C/D	D/E

Marker:		Date:	
---------	--	-------	--

Delete (1) or (2) as appropriate (for marking in hard copy – different arrangements apply for feedback on Moodle):

- (1) Feedback from the marker is provided on the report itself.
- (2) Feedback from the marker is provided on second page of cover sheet.

	Typical Criteria	Feedback comments
<b>Project Skills, Initiative, Originality</b>	Appreciation of problem, and development of ideas	
	Competence in planning and record-keeping	
	Practical skill, theoretical work, programming	
	Evidence of originality, innovation, wider reading (with full referencing), or additional research	
	Initiative, and level of supervision required	
<b>Report</b>	Overall planning and layout, within set page limit	
	Clarity of introductory overview and conclusions	
	Logical account of work, clarity in discussion of main issues	
	Technical understanding, competence and accuracy	
	Quality of language, readability, full referencing of papers and other sources	
	Clarity of figures, graphs and tables, with captions and full referencing in text	