STORM

Momentum-based Variance Reduction in Non-Convex SGD

Yanjie Ze

July 18, 2021

SJTU CSE

Catalogue

- 1. Background
- 2. Motivation
- 3. Setting
- 4. Algorithm
- 5. Theorem
- 6. Notation
- 7. Proof

Background

Variance Reduction Methods

Common methods:

- SAG(Stochastic Average Gradient)
- SDCA(Stochastic Dual Coordinate Ascent)
- SVRG((Stochastic Variance Reduction Gradient)
- ·

SVRG(Stochastic Variance Reduction Gradient) is one classical method among them, which achieves geometry convergence.

Theorem 1. Consider SVRG in Figure I with option II. Assume that all ψ_i are convex and both and hold with $\gamma > 0$. Let $w_* = \arg\min_w P(w)$. Assume that m is sufficiently large so that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma \eta (1 - 2L\eta)m} + \frac{2L\eta}{1 - 2L\eta} < 1,$$

then we have geometric convergence in expectation for SVRG:

$$\mathbb{E} P(\tilde{w}_s) \le \mathbb{E} P(w_*) + \alpha^s [P(\tilde{w}_0) - P(w_*)]$$

Figure 1: Convergence of SVRG

SVRG

Procedure SVRG

```
Parameters update frequency m and learning rate \eta Initialize \tilde{w}_0 Iterate: for s=1,2,\ldots \tilde{w}=\tilde{w}_{s-1} \tilde{\mu}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\nabla\psi_i(\tilde{w}) w_0=\tilde{w} Iterate: for t=1,2,\ldots,m Randomly pick i_t\in\{1,\ldots,n\} and update weight w_t=w_{t-1}-\eta(\nabla\psi_{i_t}(w_{t-1})-\nabla\psi_{i_t}(\tilde{w})+\tilde{\mu}) end option I: set \tilde{w}_s=w_m option II: set \tilde{w}_s=w_t for randomly chosen t\in\{0,\ldots,m-1\} end
```

Figure 2: Stochastic Variance Reduction Gradient

Adaptive Learning Rate

Adaptive Learning Rate: choose the values η_t in some data-dependent way so as to reduce the need for tuning the values of η_t manually.

In the non-convex setting, adaptive learning rates can be shown to improve the convergence rate of SGD to $\,$

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} + (\frac{\sigma^2}{T})^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

Where σ^2 is a bound on the variance of $\nabla f(x_t)$.

Motivation

Motivation

Based on Momentum:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d_t} &= (1-a)\mathbf{d_{t-1}} + a\nabla f(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) \\ \mathbf{x_{t+1}} &= \mathbf{x_t} - \eta \mathbf{d_t} \end{aligned}$$

- Using adaptive learning rate,
 which has not been used in Variance Reduction Methods in the non-convex setting(only one method in convex setting)
- Removing the need for 'giant batch'
 Most Variance Reduction Methods require the calculation of gradients at checkpoints, such as SVRG.

Momentum-based

Momentum:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d_t} &= (1 - a)\mathbf{d_{t-1}} + a\nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) \\ \mathbf{x_{t+1}} &= \mathbf{x_t} - \eta \mathbf{d_t} \end{aligned}$$

Where a is small, i.e. a = 0.1.

However, it's still **unclear** if the actual convergence rate can be improved by the momentum.

Momentum-based

Hence, instead of showing that momentum in SGD works in the same way as in the noiseless case, this work shows that a variant of momentum can provably reduce the variance of the gradients, as shown below. SVRG:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d_t} &= (1-a)\mathbf{d_{t-1}} + a\nabla f(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) + (1-a)(\nabla f(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x_{t-1}}, \xi_t)) \\ \mathbf{x_{t+1}} &= \mathbf{x_t} - \eta \mathbf{d_t} \end{aligned}$$

The only difference is a new term:

$$(1-a)(\nabla f(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x_{t-1}}, \xi_t))$$

Setting

Setting

We can access a stream of independent random variables:

$$\xi_1, ..., \xi_T \in \Xi$$

A sample function f that satisfies:

$$\forall t, \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}, \xi_t)|\mathbf{x}] = F(\mathbf{x})$$

Where F(x) is the oracle function we can not access directly.

Setting

The noise of the gradients is bounded by σ^2 :

$$\mathbb{E}[||\nabla f(\mathbf{x}, \xi_t) - \nabla F(\mathbf{x})||^2] \le \sigma^2$$

Assume our function *f* is L-smooth and G-Lipschitz, which is to say:

$$\forall x, ||\nabla f(\mathbf{x})|| \leq G$$

$$\forall x \text{ and } y, ||\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})|| \leq L||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$$

Also, this work gives the convergence rate in the setting **without G-Lipschitz** in the appendix.

Algorithm

STORM

```
Algorithm 1 Storm: STOchastic Recursive Momentum
```

```
1: Input: Parameters k, w, c, initial point x_1

2: Sample \xi_1

3: G_1 \leftarrow \|\nabla f(x_1, \xi_1)\|

4: d_1 \leftarrow \nabla f(x_1, \xi_1)

5: \eta_0 \leftarrow \frac{k}{w^{1/3}}

6: for t = 1 to T do

7: \eta_t \leftarrow \frac{k}{(w + \sum_{i=1}^t G_t^2)^{1/3}}

8: x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t - \eta_t d_t

9: a_{t+1} \leftarrow c\eta_t^2

10: Sample \xi_{t+1}

11: G_{t+1} \leftarrow \|\nabla f(x_{t+1}, \xi_{t+1})\|

12: d_{t+1} \leftarrow \nabla f(x_{t+1}, \xi_{t+1}) + (1 - a_{t+1})(d_t - \nabla f(x_t, \xi_{t+1}))

13: end for

14: Choose \hat{x} uniformly at random from x_1, \dots, x_T. (In practice, set \hat{x} = x_T).
```

Figure 3: STOchastic Recursive Momentum

Intuition 1

The update of the gradient direction is:

$$\mathbf{d_{t+1}} \leftarrow \nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_{t+1}}, \xi_{t+1}) + (1 - \mathit{a_{t+1}})(\mathbf{d_t} - \nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_{t+1}))$$

This means the update gradient direction d_{t+1} is determined by:

- Current gradient (positive impact on d_{t+1})
- Last gradient (negative impact on d_{t+1})
- Accumulative gradient d_t

Intuition 2

The update rate of \mathbf{x}_{t+1} is:

$$\eta_t = \frac{k}{\left(\omega + \sum_{i=1}^t G_t^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$$

Which means as time goes, the rate decreases to 0.

Intuition 3

The update rate of d_{t+1} is:

$$a_{t+1} = c\eta_t^2 = c \cdot \frac{k^2}{(\omega + \sum_{i=1}^t G_t^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}}$$

Which means as time goes, the rate also goes to 0.

Theorem

Theorem 1

Theorem 1 gives the convergence rate of STORM with G-Lipschitz.

We will go through the proof of this theorem in detail.

Theorem 1. Under the assumptions in Section $\frac{3}{4}$, for any b > 0, we write $k = \frac{bG^{\frac{2}{3}}}{L}$. Set $c = 28L^2 + G^2/(7Lk^3) = L^2(28 + 1/(7b^3))$ and $w = \max\left((4Lk)^3, 2G^2, \left(\frac{ck}{4L}\right)^3\right) = G^2 \max\left((4b)^3, 2, (28b + \frac{1}{7b^2})^3/64\right)$. Then, STORM satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla F(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})\|\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\|\nabla F(\boldsymbol{x}_t)\|\right] \leq \frac{w^{1/6}\sqrt{2M} + 2M^{3/4}}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{2\sigma^{1/3}}{T^{1/3}},$$

where $M = \frac{8}{k}(F(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - F^*) + \frac{w^{1/3}\sigma^2}{4L^2k^2} + \frac{k^2c^2}{2L^2}\ln(T+2)$.

Figure 4: Convergence of STORM with G-Lipschitz

Theorem 2

Theorem 2 gives the convergence rate of STORM without G-Lipschitz.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions in Section
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 for any $b > 0$, we write $k = \frac{b\sigma_3^8}{L}$. Set $c = 28L^2 + \sigma^2/(7Lk^3) = L^2(28 + 1/(7b^3))$ and $w = \max\left((4Lk)^3, 2\sigma^2, \left(\frac{ck}{4L}\right)^3\right) = \sigma^2 \max\left((4b)^3, 2, (28b + \frac{1}{7b^2})^3/64\right)$. Then, Algorithm $\frac{1}{2}$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \|\nabla F(\boldsymbol{x}_t)\|^2\right] \leq \frac{M\frac{w^{1/3}}{k}}{T} + \frac{M\frac{w\sigma^{2/3}}{k}}{T^{2/3}},$$

where $M = 8(F(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - F^{\star}) + \frac{w^{1/3}\sigma^2}{4L^2k} + \frac{k^3c^2}{2L^2}\ln(T+2).$

Figure 5: Convergence of STORM without G-Lipschitz

Gradient direction:

$$\mathbf{d_t} = (1 - a)\mathbf{d_{t-1}} + a\nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) + (1 - a)(\nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_t) - \nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_{t-1}}, \xi_t))$$

Update formula:

$$\mathbf{x_{t+1}} = \mathbf{x_t} - \eta \mathbf{d_t}$$

Error term:

$$\epsilon_{\mathsf{t}} = \mathsf{d}_{\mathsf{t}} - \nabla F(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{t}})$$

Variables in Theorem 1:

$$k = \frac{bG^{\frac{2}{3}}}{L}$$

$$c = 28L^2 + G^2/(7Lk^3) = L^2(28 + 1/(7b^3))$$

$$\omega = \max((4Lk)^3, 2G^2, (\frac{ck}{4L})^3) = G^2 \max((4b)^3, 2, (28b + \frac{1}{7b^2})^3/64)$$

$$M = \frac{8}{k}(F(\mathbf{x}_1) - F^*) + \frac{w^{1/3}\sigma^2}{4L^2k^2} + \frac{k^2c^2}{2L^2}\ln(T+2)$$

Variables in Algorithm STORM:

$$\mathbf{d_{t+1}} \leftarrow \nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_{t+1}}, \xi_{t+1}) + (1 - a_{t+1})(\mathbf{d_t} - \nabla \mathit{f}(\mathbf{x_t}, \xi_{t+1}))$$

Proof

Lyapunov potential function

In the theory of ordinary differential equations (ODEs), **Lyapunov functions** are scalar functions that may be used to prove the stability of an equilibrium of an ODE.

typical form:

$$\Phi_t = F(\mathbf{x_t})$$

Our form:

$$\Phi_t = F(\mathbf{x_t}) + z_t ||\epsilon_t||^2$$

Where $z_t \propto \eta_{t-1}^{-1}$ and ϵ is the error term.

Lyapunov potential function

Consider a Lyapunov function of the form:

$$\Phi_t = F(\mathbf{x_t}) + \frac{1}{32L^2\eta_{t-1}}||\epsilon_t||^2$$

We will upper bound $\Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t$ for each t, which will allow us to bound Φ_T in terms of Φ_1 by summing over t.

Lemmas

Lemma 1. Suppose $\eta_t \leq \frac{1}{4L}$ for all t. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}) - F(\boldsymbol{x}_t)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[-\eta_t/4\|\nabla F(\boldsymbol{x}_t)\|^2 + 3\eta_t/4\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t\|^2\right].$$

The following technical observation is key to our analysis of Storm: it provides a recurrence that enables us to bound the variance of the estimates d_t .

Lemma 2. With the notation in Algorithm 1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t\|^2/\eta_{t-1}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[2c^2\eta_{t-1}^3G_t^2 + (1-a_t)^2(1+4L^2\eta_{t-1}^2)\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}\|^2/\eta_{t-1} + 4(1-a_t)^2L^2\eta_{t-1}\|\nabla F(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})\|^2\right] \ .$$

Lemma 4. Let $a_0 > 0$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_T \geq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{a_t}{a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t a_i} \leq \ln \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t a_i}{a_0} \right) \ .$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^{-1}||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2 - \eta_{t-1}^{-1}||\epsilon_t||^2]$$

Use Lemma 2, we first consider $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^{-1}||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2 - \eta_{t-1}^{-1}||\epsilon_t||^2]$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^{-1}||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2 - \eta_{t-1}^{-1}||\epsilon_t||^2$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[2c^2\eta_t^3G_{t+1}^2 + (\eta_t^{-1}(1-a_{t+1})(1+4L^2\eta_t^2) - \eta_{t-1}^{-1})||\epsilon||^2 + 4L^2\eta_t||\nabla F(\mathbf{x_t})||^2\right]$$

There are three terms in the right side, and we denote them as A_t , B_t , C_t .

$$A_{t} = 2c^{2}\eta_{t}^{3}G_{t+1}^{2}$$

$$B_{t} = (\eta_{t}^{-1}(1 - a_{t+1})(1 + 4L^{2}\eta_{t}^{2}) - \eta_{t-1}^{-1})||\epsilon||^{2}$$

$$C_{t} = 4L^{2}\eta_{t}||\nabla F(\mathbf{x_{t}})||^{2}$$

Then let us focus on these terms individually.

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^{-1}||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2 - \eta_{t-1}^{-1}||\epsilon_{t}||^2]$$

For A_t :

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} A_t = \sum_{t=1}^{T} 2c^2 \eta_t^3 G_{t+1}^2 \le 2k^3 c^2 \ln(T+2) \text{ (using Lemma 4)}$$

For B_t :

$$B_{t} \leq (\eta_{t}^{-1} - \eta_{t-1}^{-1} + \eta_{t}(4L^{2} - c))||\epsilon_{t}||^{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{t}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \leq \frac{G^{2}}{7Lk^{3}}\eta_{t}$$

$$\eta_{t}(4L^{2} - c) \leq -24L^{2}\eta_{t} - G^{2}\eta_{t}/(7Lk^{3})$$

Thus,
$$B_t \leq -24L^2\eta_t||\epsilon_t||^2$$

For C_t :

We haven't done something on C_t yet.

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^{-1}||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2 - \eta_{t-1}^{-1}||\epsilon_{t}||^2]$$

Putting all this together, we can get:

$$\frac{1}{32L^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\frac{||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2}{\eta_t} - \frac{||\epsilon_t||^2}{\eta_{t-1}} \right) \leq \frac{k^3c^2}{16L^2} \ln(T+2) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[\frac{\eta_t}{8} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} ||\epsilon_t||^2 \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t]$$

Now we are ready to analyze the potential Φ_t .

Since $\eta_t \leq \frac{1}{4L}$, we can use Lemma 1 to obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{\eta_t}{4}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2 + \frac{3\eta_t}{4}||\epsilon_t||^2 + \frac{1}{32L^2\eta_t}||\epsilon_{t+1}||^2 - \frac{1}{32L^2\eta_{t-1}}||\epsilon_t||^2\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t]$$

Summing over t and using the formula in the last part, we can get:

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{T+1} - \Phi_1] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{k^3c^2}{16L^2}ln(T+2) - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\eta_t}{8}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$$

Reordering the terms, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_{t} ||\nabla F(x_{t})||^{2}\right] \leq 8(F(x_{1}) - F^{*}) + \frac{w^{\frac{1}{3}}\sigma^{2}}{(4L^{2}k)} + \frac{k^{3}c^{2}}{(2L^{2})} \ln(T+2)$$

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$

Now, we relate $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_t ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$ to $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$. First, since η_t is decreasing,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_t ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\eta_T \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$$

Now, from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for any random variables A and B we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[A^2]\mathbb{E}[B^2] \ge \mathbb{E}[AB]^2$$

Hence, setting:

$$A = \sqrt{\eta_T \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2}$$

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\eta_T}}$$

We obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\eta_T \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\eta_T}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2}\right]^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$$

To simplify the result, we set:

$$M = \frac{1}{k} \left[8(F(x_1) - F^*) + \frac{w^{\frac{1}{3}}\sigma^2}{(4L^2k)} + \frac{k^3c^2}{(2L^2)} \ln(T+2) \right]$$

Then we get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2}\right]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\left[M\left(w + \sum_{t=1}^{T}G_t^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$$

Define $\zeta = \nabla f(x_t, \xi_t) - \nabla F(x_t)$, so that:

$$\mathbb{E}[||\zeta_t||^2] \le \sigma^2$$

Then, we have:

$$G_t^2 = ||\nabla F(x_t) + \zeta_t||^2 \le 2||\nabla F(x_t)||^2 + 2||\zeta_t||^2$$

And another formula:

$$(a+b)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq a^{\frac{1}{3}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Plug them in, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2}\right]^2 \leq M(w+2T\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}+2^{\frac{1}{3}}M\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2}\right]\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$$

To simplify this inequality, we define:

$$X = \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\nabla F(x_t)||^2}$$

Then the above can be written as:

$$(\mathbb{E}[X])^2 \leq M(w + 2T\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{3}} + 2^{\frac{1}{3}}M(\mathbb{E}[X])^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

This means that

either

$$(\mathbb{E}[X])^2 \le M(w + 2T\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

or

$$(\mathbb{E}[X])^2 \leq 2^{\frac{1}{3}} M(\mathbb{E}[X])^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Thus, we can solve $\mathbb{E}[X]$:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \le \sqrt{2M}(w + 2T\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{6}} + 2M^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\nabla F(x_t)||^2\right]$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz, we have:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\nabla F(x_t)||/T \le X/\sqrt{T}$$

And also,

$$(a+b)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq a^{\frac{1}{3}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Thus:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{||\nabla F(x_t)||}{T}\right] \leq \frac{w^{\frac{1}{6}}\sqrt{2M} + 2M^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{2\sigma^{\frac{1}{3}}}{T^{\frac{1}{3}}}$$