DATA 643 Proj 4

Dan Fanelli June 27, 2017

Accuracy and Beyond

- This data was taken from the Kaggle "Instacart Market Basket Analysis" Competition
- $\bullet \ \ https://www.kaggle.com/c/instacart-market-basket-analysis/data$

Business Goal:

We would like to give newer products room to grow. Therefore, we will not view the order counts, we will simply observe whether a product has been purched previously or not. This will allow for the "underdog" products to get a greater opportunity than their numbers may allow for otherwise.

A Sample of the (Joined) Kaggle Data Set:

##		eval_set	order_	number	order_do	order_h	our_of_day	
##	5450342	prior		29	3	3	7	
##	26191382	prior		76		5	12	
##	12485409	prior		24	:	L	22	
##	10629896	prior		12	:	L	20	
##	19528828	prior		2	(3	8	
##	19603213	prior		9	3	3	20	
##		days_since	e_prio	r_order	user_id	$order_id$	${\tt product_id}$	BOUGHT
##	5450342			5	34633	352010	33294	1
##	26191382			6	166451	405607	16479	1
##	12485409			0	78993	557942	14335	1
##	10629896			11	67445	268495	35221	1
##	19528828			7	123780	729466	12206	1
##	19603213			4	124257	651828	19173	1
##		${\tt reordered}$				produc	ct_name ais	Le_id
##	5450342	1	Torti	llas, W	heat Free	e, Sproute	ed Corn	128
##	26191382	0	F	ruit Sp		Luxe, Stra	•	88
##	12485409	1			Phish I	Food® Ice	e Cream	37
##	10629896	1			Lime	Sparkling	g Water	115
##	19528828	0				Basil	L Pesto	9
##	19603213	1	Orang	ge Calci	um & Vita	amin D Pul	lp Free	98
##		departmen	t_id	dep	artment			aisle
##	5450342		3		bakery	1	tortillas f	Lat bread
##	26191382		13		pantry			spreads
##	12485409		1		frozen		ice	cream ice
##	10629896		7	be	verages v	vater selt	zer sparkl:	ing water
##	19528828		9 d	lry good	s pasta		pas	sta sauce
##	19603213		7	be	verages		juice	e nectars

A Sample of the 3 Fields that will be focused upon:

```
user_id product_id BOUGHT
## 5450342
             34633
                        33294
## 26191382 166451
                        16479
                                   1
## 12485409
            78993
                        14335
                                   1
## 10629896 67445
                        35221
                                   1
## 19528828 123780
                        12206
                                   1
## 19603213 124257
                        19173
                                   1
```

UBCF Recommendations

```
## Available parameter (with default values):
## method = cosine
## nn = 25
## sample = FALSE
## normalize = center
## verbose = FALSE
```

User	Rec_1	Rec_2	Rec_3	Rec_4	Rec_5
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

IBCF Recommendations

```
## Available parameter (with default values):
## k = 30
## method = Cosine
## normalize = center
## normalize_sim_matrix = FALSE
## alpha = 0.5
## na_as_zero = FALSE
## verbose = FALSE
```

User	${ m Rec}_1$	Rec_2	Rec_3	Rec_4	Rec_5
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

RANDOM Recommendations

User	Roc 1	Roc 2	Rec 3	Roc 4	Rec 5
USCI	1666_1	166_2	166779	100_4	
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

POPULAR Recommendations

```
## Available parameter (with default values):
## normalize = center
## aggregationRatings = function (x, na.rm = FALSE, dims = 1, ...) standardGeneric("colMeans")
## aggregationPopularity = function (x, na.rm = FALSE, dims = 1, ...) standardGeneric("colSums")
## verbose = FALSE
```

User	Rec_1	Rec_2	Rec_3	Rec_4	Rec_5
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

SVD Recommendations

User	Rec_1	Rec_2	Rec_3	Rec_4	Rec_5
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
$_4$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

ACCURACY: METRICS

##		RMSE	MSE	MAE
##	UBCF	2233.9518	4990540.5	2228.36225
##	IBCF	33117.6344	1096777710.9	32789.09779
##	RANDOM	1176.7118	1384650.6	104.43972
##	POPULAR	390.8166	152737.6	55.54977
##	SVD	594.2836	353173.0	52.79278

If only online evaluation was possible:

Changes in recent events would not be possible with this offline scenario. Examples:

• A hurricane is on its way, people are stocking up on something early - what would that be?

- A terrorist attack has recently happened in a specific location, what products are newly in demand right now because of this.
- A recent twitter trending topic has arisen, and the seller would like to be able to react to this.



Figure 1: Changes

Conclusions

- Once again, the hours of loading into the base RDA showed more than in the past. In the past, it was merely the size of the data. In this case, I believe it showed just how expensive row relations can be, especially in case like this, where the text files have no notion of *foreign keys*
- These packages do not seem stable to me. I run a job with good output, and then with no changes, click again and R crashes with no error output, just a line number. Java would never leave you hanging like that.
- It turned out to be a little bit of bad data and my not setting set.seed() in the sampling