

Algorithm

1	Foundations	2
1.1	Computational Problems and Algorithms	2
2	Sorting	4
2.1	Insertion Sort	4

Chapter 1

Foundations

1.1 Computational Problems and Algorithms

Definition 1.1. A **computational problem** is a relation

$$P \subseteq X \times Y,$$

where X is called the set of **instances** and Y is called the sets of **solutions**.

Example. Let X be the set of nonempty sequence of distinct integers and let Y be the set of positive integers. Then we can define $P \subseteq X \times Y$ such that

$$((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), i) \in P$$

if and only if $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a_i \geq a_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We can write this problem as follows.

Problem 1.A (Champion Problem).

- Input: A sequence A of n distinct integers $A[1], \dots, A[n]$.
- Output: The index of the maximum element of A .

Definition 1.2. We will assume the **random-access machine (RAM)** model of computation as our implementation technology for most of this note. In this model, we have an infinite sequence of w -bit words, and we assume $w = \lceil c \lg n \rceil$ for some constant $c \geq 1$, where n is the input size. We can perform some basic operations on these words, including

- arithmetic operations (e.g., addition, subtraction, multiplication, division),
- data movement operations (e.g., load, store, copy), and
- control operations (e.g., branch, subroutine call, return).

Definition 1.3. Given a computational model, an **algorithm** is defined as a finite sequence of basic operations that transforms a given input into a unique output.

- We say that an algorithm **solves** a computational problem $P \subseteq X \times Y$ if it transforms every instance $x \in X$ into a solution $y \in Y$ such that $(x, y) \in P$.

- The **running time** of an algorithm on a specific input is defined as the number of basic operations performed.

Example. We can find the index of maximum of a sequence by the following algorithm MAX-INDEX.

MAX-INDEX(A)

```

1   $n \leftarrow A.length$ 
2   $i \leftarrow 1$ 
3  for  $j \leftarrow 2$  to  $n$ 
4      if  $A[i] < A[j]$ 
5           $i \leftarrow j$ 
6  return  $i$ 
```

Note that we will describe algorithm is pseudocode so that implementaion details can be hidden.

Theorem 1.4. The algorithm MAX-INDEX solves the champion problem using the least number of comparisons.

Proof. We show that MAX-INDEX solves the champion problem as follows. We focus on the loop invariant that at the start of each iteration of the **for** loop of lines 3 – 5, $A[i]$ is the maximum of the subarray $A[1..j-1]$. The loop invariant is obviously true when entering the loop, and it remains true between iterations since $A[i] \geq A[j]$ must hold at the end of each iteration due to lines 4 and 5. Thus, when the **for** loop terminates, $A[i]$ should be the maximum of $A[1..n]$.

Furthremore, the algorithm MAX-INDEX uses $n - 1$ comparisons, and it is optimal with respect to the number of comparisons performed, since at least $n - 1$ comparisons are necessary to determine the maximum. \square

Chapter 2

Sorting

2.1 Insertion Sort

In this chapter, we consider algorithms that solve the sorting problem, which is stated as follows.

Problem 2.A (Sorting Problem).

- Input: An array A of n integers.
- Output: A permutation of A that is non-decreasing.

Let us begin with **insertion sort**, which is a simple sorting algorithm.

INSERTION-SORT(A)

```
1  for  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $A.length$ 
2       $k \leftarrow A[i]$ 
3       $j \leftarrow i$ 
4      while  $j > 1$  and  $k < A[j - 1]$ 
5           $A[j] \leftarrow A[j - 1]$ 
6           $j \leftarrow j - 1$ 
7       $A[j] \leftarrow k$ 
```

Theorem 2.1. INSERTION-SORT solves the sorting problem in $\Theta(n^2)$ time in the worst case, where n is the length of the input array.

Proof. We prove the loop invariant that at the start of each iteration of the **for** loop, the subarray $A[1..i-1]$ is a non-decreasing permutation of the elements originally in $A[1..i-1]$.

The loop invariant is trivially true for $i = 2$, and is maintained by each iteration as follows. First, $A[i]$ is copied into k . The **while** loop of lines 4 – 6 moves the elements in $A[1..i-1]$ that are greater than k by one position to the right. Thus, at the end of iteration, $A[1..j-1]$ stores the elements originally in $A[1..i-1]$ that are less than or equal to k , and $A[j..i]$ stores the elements originally in $A[1..i-1]$ that are greater than k . After storing k into $A[j]$, $A[1..i]$ is a nondecreasing permutation of the elements originally in $A[1..i]$, and incrementing i preserves the loop invariant.

When the **for** loop terminates, we have $j = n + 1$. Hence, the entire array $A[1..n]$ is sorted due to the loop invariant, implying that INSERTION-SORT correctly solves the sorting problem.

Now we analyze the running time of INSERTION-SORT. Let t_i denote the number of times the **while** loop test in line 4 is executed for that value of i . Then the running time $T(n)$ is given by

$$T(n) = \Theta \left(\sum_{i=2}^n t_i \right).$$

We have $T(n) = O(n^2)$ since $t_i \leq i$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. If the original array is strictly decreasing, then $t_i = i$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, and we have $T(n) = \Omega(n^2)$ in this case. Thus, $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ in the worst case, which completes the proof. \square