# **Axioms of Probability**

#### 1.1 Sample Space and Events

**Definition.** The set of all possible outcomes of an experiment is called the *sample* space of the experiment and is denoted by  $\Omega$ .

**Example.** If the experiment consists of tossing two dice, then the sample space is

$$\Omega = \{(i,j): i,j \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}\}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $\Omega$  be a sample space of an experiment. A family  $\Sigma$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  if the following conditions hold.

- (a)  $\Omega \in \Sigma$ .
- (b) For all  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $\Omega \setminus E \in \Sigma$ .
- (c) If  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of elements in  $\Sigma$ , then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \Sigma.$$

**Definition.** If  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ , then  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is called a *measurable space*, and a subset of  $\Omega$  that belongs to  $\Sigma$  is called an *event*.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let I be an index set such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\Sigma_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\Sigma^* = \bigcap_{i \in I} \Sigma_i$$

is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ .

Proof.

- (a) Since  $\Omega \in \Sigma_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $\Omega \in \Sigma$ .
- (b) We have

$$E \in \Sigma$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $E \in \Sigma_i$  for each  $i \in I$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $\Omega \setminus E \in \Sigma_i$  for each  $i \in I$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $\Omega \setminus E \in \Sigma$ .

(c) We have

$$E_1, E_2, \dots \in \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_1, \dots, E_2 \in \Sigma_i \text{ for each } i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \Sigma_i \text{ for each } i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \Sigma.$$

**Definition.** Let  $\Phi$  be a family of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Phi$ , denoted by  $\sigma(\Phi)$ , is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras that contains  $\Phi$ .

**Example.** Let  $\Phi$  be the collection of all open intervals on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Phi$  is called the *Borel algebra* of  $\mathbb{R}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{B}$ .

#### 1.2 Axioms of Probability

**Definition.** Two events E and F are mutually exclusive if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space. A function  $P : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  is called a probability function and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  is a probability space if the following conditions hold.

- (a) For all  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $P(E) \ge 0$ .
- (b)  $P(\Omega) = 1$ .
- (c) If  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of events that are pairwise mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(E_i).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a probability space. Let  $E, F \in \Sigma$ . Then  $P(F \setminus E) = P(F) - P(E \cap F)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E \cap F$  and  $F \setminus E$  are mutually exclusive, we have

$$P(F) = P((E \cap F) \cup (F \setminus E)) = P(E \cap F) + P(F \setminus E).$$

Thus, 
$$P(F \setminus E) = P(F) - P(E \cap F)$$
.

Corollary.  $P(\Omega \setminus E) = 1 - P(E)$  holds for any event E, implying  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Corollary. If  $E \subseteq F$ , then  $P(E) \leq P(F)$  because  $P(F) - P(E) = P(F \setminus E) \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Inclusive-exclusive Principle). Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a probability space. If  $E_1, \ldots, E_n \in \Sigma$ , then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{r} \leq n} P(E_{i_{1}} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_{r}}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on n. The theorem holds for n=0 and n=1 trivially. For n=2, since  $E_1 \cap E_2$  and  $E_1 \setminus E_2$  are mutually exclusive, we have

$$P(E_1) = P((E_1 \cap E_2) \cup (E_1 \setminus E_2)) = P(E_1 \cap E_2) + P(E_1 \setminus E_2).$$

Thus, since  $E_1 \setminus E_2$  and  $E_2$  are mutually exclusive, we have

$$P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P((E_1 \setminus E_2) \cup E_2)$$
  
=  $P(E_1 \setminus E_2) + P(E_2)$   
=  $P(E_1) - P(E_1 \cap E_2) + P(E_2)$ .

Now suppose that the theorem holds for some  $n \geq 2$ , and we prove that the theorem is true for n + 1. Since  $E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n$  and  $E_{n+1}$  are mutually exclusive, we have

$$P(E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n \cup E_{n+1}) = P(E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n) + P(E_{n+1}) - P((E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n) \cap E_{n+1}),$$

where the first term can be written as

$$P(E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n) = \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n} P(E_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_r}),$$

and the last term can be written as

$$P((E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{n}) \cap E_{n+1})$$

$$= P((E_{1} \cap E_{n+1}) \cup \cdots \cup (E_{k} \cap E_{n+1}))$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{n} (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} \cdots \leq i_{s} \leq n} P((E_{i_{1}} \cap E_{n+1}) \cap \cdots \cap (E_{i_{s}} \cap E_{n+1}))$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{n} (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} \cdots \leq i_{s} \leq n} P(E_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_{s}} \cap E_{n+1})$$

$$= -\sum_{r=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} \cdots \leq i_{r-1} \leq n} P(E_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_{r-1}} \cap E_{i_{r}}).$$

Now we consider r, which is the number of sets in each intersection. The second term is actually the case with r = 1, and the last term consists of the cases with  $r \geq 2$ . Thus,

$$P(E_{n+1}) - P((E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n) \cap E_{n+1}) = \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \dots \le i_{r-1} \le n \\ i_r = n+1}} P(E_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_r}).$$

Furthermore, note that the first term consists of the case where  $E_{n+1}$  does not appear in the intersection, while the difference above consists of the case where  $E_{n+1}$  appears in the intersection. Thus, by summing up all terms, we have

$$P(E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n \cup E_{n+1}) = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_r \le n+1} P(E_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_r}),$$

which completes the proof.

**Example.** For any three events  $E_1, E_2, E_3$ , we have  $P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) + P(E_3) - P(E_1 \cap E_2) - P(E_2 \cap E_3) - P(E_3 \cap E_1) + P(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3)$ .

#### 1.3 Sample Spaces with Equally Likely Outcomes

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$  be a finite sample space and let P be a probability function such that  $P(\{\omega_i\}) = P(\{\omega_j\})$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then for each event  $E \subseteq \Omega$  with |E| = k, we have

$$P(E) = \frac{k}{n}.$$

*Proof.* Let p denote the probability of each elementary event  $\{\omega_i\}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then we have

$$1 = P(\Omega) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{\omega_i\}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(\{\omega_i\}) = np.$$

Thus,

$$p = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let  $E = \{\omega_{i_1}, \ldots, \omega_{i_k}\}$ . Then

$$P(E) = P\left(\bigcup_{r=1}^{k} \{\omega_{i_r}\}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} P(\{\omega_{i_r}\}) = \frac{k}{n}.$$

# Conditional Probability and Independence

#### 2.1 Conditional Probability

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a probability space. If E is an event with P(E) > 0, then define

$$P(F \mid E) = \frac{P(E \cap F)}{P(E)}$$

for any event F.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a probability space. If E is an event with P(E) > 0, then the function  $P_E : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  is a probability function if

$$P_E(F) = P(F \mid E)$$

for any event F.

*Proof.* For events E and F,

$$P_E(F) = \frac{P(E \cap F)}{P(E)} \ge 0.$$

Moreover,

$$P_E(\Omega) = \frac{P(E \cap \Omega)}{P(E)} = \frac{P(E)}{P(E)} = 1.$$

If  $F_1, F_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of events that are piecewise mutually exclusive, then

$$P_E\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \frac{P\left(E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right)}{P(E)} = \frac{P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E \cap F_i)\right)}{P(E)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(E \cap F_i)}{P(E)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_E(F_i).$$

Thus,  $P_E$  is a probability function.

#### 2.2 Bayes' Formula

**Definition.** A partition of  $\Omega$  is a family of nonempty events such that each element in  $\Omega$  is in exactly one of these events.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  form a partition of  $\Omega$  such that  $P(E_i) > 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then for any event F,

$$P(F) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(F \mid E_i) P(E_i).$$

*Proof.* Since

$$F = F \cap \Omega = F \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (F \cap E_i),$$

it follows that

$$P(F) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (F \cap E_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(F \cap E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(F \mid E_i) P(E_i).$$

**Theorem 2.3** (Bayes' Formula). Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  form a partition of  $\Omega$  such that  $P(E_j) > 0$  for each  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then for any event F with P(F) > 0, for any  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , we have

$$P(E_i \mid F) = \frac{P(F \mid E_i)P(E_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(F \mid E_i)P(E_i)}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$P(E_i \mid F) = \frac{P(E_i \cap F)}{P(F)} = \frac{P(F \mid E_i)P(E_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n P(F \mid E_j)P(E_j)}.$$

#### 2.3 Independence

**Definition.** Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  be events in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ .

•  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  are independent if

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} E_i\right) = \prod_{i\in I} P(E_i)$$

holds for any nonempty subset I of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

•  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  are dependent if they are not independent.

**Definition.** Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  and F be events in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ , where P(F) > 0. Then  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$  are independent given event F if

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} E_i \mid F\right) = \prod_{i\in I} P(E_i \mid F)$$

holds for any nonempty subset I of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

# Discrete Random Variables

#### 3.1 Discrete Random Variables

**Definition.** Let  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Then X is called a random variable if  $X^{-1}(S) \in \Sigma$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ , where

$$X^{-1}(S) = \{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in S \}.$$

Remark. Since each  $S \in \mathcal{B}$  is mapped to a event  $X^{-1}(S) \in \Sigma$ , we will use conditions related to ramdom variables to denote events. For example,

$$P(-1 \le X \le 1) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega : -1 \le X(\omega) \le 1\}).$$

**Definition.** A random variable X is a discrete random variable if there is a countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P(X \in S) = 1$ .

**Definition.** Let X be a random variable in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . The probability mass function  $p_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of X is defined as

$$p_X(x) = P(X = x)$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 3.2 Expectation and Variance

**Definition.** Let X be a discrete random variable in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Then the *expectation* of X, denoted by E[X], is defined as follows.

• If X is nonnegative, i.e,  $X(\omega) \geq 0$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then

$$E[X] = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \cdot p_X(x).$$

• Otherwise, we define  $E[X] = E[X^+] - E[X^-]$ , where  $X^+ = \max\{X,0\}$  and  $X^- = \max\{-X,0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let X and Y be discrete random variables in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . If both E[X] and E[Y] exist, then the following statements are true.

- (a) E[aX] = aE[X] for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y].

Proof.

(a) First, suppose that  $a \geq 0$ . If X is nonnegative, then so is aX. Thus, we have

$$E[aX] = \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} ax \cdot p_X(x) = aE[X].$$

If X is not nonnegative, by the fact that  $(aX)^+ = aX^+$  and  $(aX)^- = aX^-$ , we have

$$E[aX] = E[aX^{+}] - E[aX^{-}] = aE[X^{+}] - aE[X^{-}] = aE[X].$$

since  $X^+$  and  $X^-$  are nonnegative. Thus the statement holds for  $a \ge 0$ .

For the case that a < 0, note that since  $(-X)^+ = X^-$  and  $(-X)^- = X^+$ , it follows that

$$E[-X] = E[X^{-}] - E[X^{+}] = -E[X].$$

Thus, we have

$$E[aX] = E[(-a)(-X)] = -aE[-X] = aE[X].$$

(b) To be completed.

**Definition.** Let X be a discrete random variable in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Then the *variance* of X is defined as

$$Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2].$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let X be a discrete random variable. Then  $Var(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2$ . *Proof.* It is proved by

$$Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^{2}]$$

$$= E[X^{2} - 2X \cdot E[X] + (E[X])^{2}]$$

$$= E[X^{2}] - 2E[X] \cdot E[X] + (E[X])^{2}$$

$$= E[X^{2}] - (E[X])^{2}.$$

#### 3.3 Bernoulli and Binomial Random Variables

**Definition.** Let  $0 \le p \le 1$ . A random variable X is called a Bernoulli random variable with parameter p if  $p_X(1) = p$  and  $p_X(0) = 1 - p$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let X be a Bernoulli random variable with parameter p.

- (a) E[X] = p.
- (b) Var(X) = p(1-p).

*Proof.* Since p(0) + p(1) = 1, we have p(x) = 0 for  $x \notin \{0, 1\}$ .

(a) We have

$$E[X] = \sum_{x: p_X(x) > 0} x \cdot p_X(X) = 1 \cdot p + 0 \cdot (1 - p) = p.$$

(b) By (a), we have

$$Var(X) = E[X^{2}] - (E[X])^{2}$$

$$= (1^{2} \cdot p + 0^{2} \cdot (1 - p)) - p^{2}$$

$$= p - p^{2}$$

$$= p(1 - p).$$

**Definition.** Let n be a nonnegative integer and  $0 \le p \le 1$ . A random variable X is called a *binomial random variable* with parameter (n, p), if

$$p_X(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$

for each  $x \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let X be a binomial random variable with parameter (n, p).

- (a) E[X] = np.
- (b) Var(X) = np(1-p).

*Proof.* We have p(x) = 0 for  $x \notin \{0, ..., n\}$  because

$$\sum_{x=0}^{n} \binom{n}{x} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x} = (p+(1-p))^{n} = 1.$$

Also, we have the fact that

$$E[X^{k}] = \sum_{x=0}^{n} x^{k} \binom{n}{x} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{n} x^{k} \binom{n}{x} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x}$$

$$= np \sum_{x=1}^{n} x^{k-1} \binom{n-1}{x-1} p^{x-1} (1-p)^{n-x}$$

$$= np \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} (y+1)^{k-1} \binom{n-1}{y} p^{y} (1-p)^{(n-1)-y}$$
(\*)

holds for positive integer k.

(a) By (\*), the expectation of X is given by

$$E[X] = np \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose y} p^y (1-p)^{(n-1)-y} = np.$$

(b) By (\*), we have

$$E[X^{2}] = np \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} (y+1) \binom{n-1}{y} p^{y} (1-p)^{(n-1)-y} = np((n-1)p+1).$$

Thus, the variance of X is given by

$$Var(X) = E[X^{2}] - (E[X])^{2}$$

$$= np((n-1)p+1) - (np)^{2}$$

$$= np(1-p).$$

#### 3.4 Poisson Random Variables

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\lambda > 0$ . For integer  $n \geq \lambda$ , let  $X_n$  be a binomial random variable with parameter  $(n, \lambda/n)$ . Then for nonnegative integer x, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{X_n}(x) = e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}.$$

*Proof.* For  $n \geq \lambda$ , we have

$$p_{X_n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(n-x)! \cdot x!} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^x \left(\frac{n-\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-x}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-x)! \cdot (n-\lambda)^x} \cdot \left(\frac{n-\lambda}{n}\right)^n$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^x \frac{n+1-i}{n-\lambda} \cdot \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{X_n}(x) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^x \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n+1-i}{n-\lambda} \right) \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{n} \right)^n = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot e^{-\lambda}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $\lambda > 0$ . A random variable X is called a *Poisson random variable* with parameter  $\lambda$ , if

$$p_X(x) = e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^x}{r!}$$

holds for any nonnegative integer x.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let X be a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ .

- (a)  $E[X] = \lambda$ .
- (b)  $Var(X) = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* We have  $p_X(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  because

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} p_X(x) = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} = e^{-\lambda} \cdot e^{\lambda} = 1.$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that  $e^t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k / k!$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) We have

$$E[X] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{x-1}}{(x-1)!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^y}{y!} = \lambda.$$

(b) Since

$$\begin{split} E[X^2] &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x^2 \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x^2 \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \\ &= \lambda \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x-1}}{(x-1)!} \\ &= \lambda \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} (y+1) \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!} \\ &= \lambda (\lambda+1), \end{split}$$

we have

$$Var(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \lambda(\lambda + 1) - \lambda^2 = \lambda.$$

# 3.5 Geometric and Negative Binomial Random Variables

**Definition.** Let  $0 \le p \le 1$ . A random variable X is called a geometric random variable with parameter p, if

$$p_X(x) = p \cdot (1-p)^{x-1}$$

holds for any positive integer x.

**Definition.** Let r be a nonnegative integer and  $0 \le p \le 1$ . A random variable X is called a *negative binomial random variable* with parameter (r, p), if

$$p_X(x) = {x-1 \choose r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}$$

holds for any integer  $x \geq r$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let X be a negative binomial random variable with parameter (r, p).

- (a) E[X] = r/p.
- (b)  $Var(X) = r(1-p)/p^2$ .

*Proof.* We have  $p_X(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin \{r, r+1, r+2, \dots\}$  because

$$\sum_{x=r}^{\infty} p_X(x) = \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} {x-1 \choose r-1} p^r (1-p)^{x-r}$$

$$= \sum_{x=r}^{\infty} {r \choose x-r} p^r (-(1-p))^{x-r}$$

$$= p^r \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} {r \choose y} (-(1-p))^y$$

$$= p^r (1-(1-p))^{-r}$$

$$= 1,$$

where the second equality follows from

$$\begin{pmatrix} x-1 \\ r-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x-1 \\ x-r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -r \\ x-r \end{pmatrix} \cdot (-1)^{x-r}.$$

- (a) To be completed.
- (b) To be completed.

#### 3.6 Hypergeometric Random Variables

**Definition.** Let n, K, N be nonnegative integers with  $n \leq N$  and  $K \leq N$ . A random variable X is called a hypergeometric random variable with parameter (n, K, N) if

$$p_X(x) = \frac{\binom{K}{x} \binom{N-K}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$

holds for any integer  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, K\}$ .

Remark. A hypergeometric random variable with parameter (1, K, N) is a Bernoulli random variable with parameter K/N.

Remark. A hypergeometric random variable X with parameter (n, K, N) can be seen as the number of successes in n draws without replacement from a population of size N that contains K objects that represent success.

Remark. If N and K are large compared to n, then a hypergeometric random variable X with parameter (n, K, N) behaves like a binomial random variable with parameter (n, K/N).

#### Continuous Random Variables

#### 4.1 Continuous Random Variables

**Definition.** A random variable X is a continuous random variable if there exists a nonnegative function  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$P(X \in S) = \int_{S} f_X(x) dx$$

holds for any  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ . The function  $f_X$  is called a *probability density function* of X.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let X be a continuous random variable in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Then  $p_X(a) = 0$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* It is proved by

$$p_X(a) = P(X = a) = \int_a^a f_X(x) dx = 0.$$

**Definition.** The cumulative distribution function  $F_X$  of a random variable X is defined by

$$F_X(x) = P(X \le x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let X be a continuous random variable in a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . If  $f_X$  is a probability density function of X, then

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t)dt.$$

holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t)dt.$$

#### 4.2 Expectation and Variance

#### 4.3 Uniform Random Variables

**Definition.** Let a, b be real numbers with a < b. A continuous random variable X is called a *uniform random variable* with parameters (a, b) if the function  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a}, & \text{if } a \le x \le b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a probability density function of X.

#### 4.4 Normal Random Variables

**Definition.** Let  $\mu, \sigma$  be real numbers with  $\sigma \geq 0$ . A continuous random variable X is called a *normal random variable* with parameters  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  if the function  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a probability density function of X.

# Jointly Distributed Random Variables

#### 5.1 Jointly Distributed Random Variables

**Definition.** Let X and Y be random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ .

• The function  $p_{X,Y}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X = x \text{ and } Y = y)$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  is the joint probability mass function of X and Y.

• If there exists a nonnegative function  $f_{X,Y}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\iint\limits_{S} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = P((X,Y) \in S)$$

holds for all  $S \in \mathcal{B}^2$ , then  $f_{X,Y}$  is a joint probability density function of X and Y.

• The function  $F_{X,Y}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X \le x \text{ and } Y \le y)$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  is the joint cumulative distribution function of X and Y.

**Definition.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ .

• The function  $p: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$p(x_1, ..., x_n) = P(X_i = x_i \text{ for } i \in \{1, ..., n\})$$

for  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  is the joint probability mass function of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .

• If there exists a nonnegative function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int \cdots \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = P((X_1, \dots, X_n) \in S)$$

holds for all  $S \in \mathcal{B}^n$ , then f is a joint probability density function of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .

• The function  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$F(x_1, ..., x_n) = P(X_i \le x_i \text{ for } i \in \{1, ..., n\})$$

for  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  is the joint cumulative distribution function of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .

#### 5.2 Independent Random Variables

**Definition.** Let X and Y be random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Then X and Y are *independent* if the events  $X \in S_1$  and  $Y \in S_2$  are independent for any  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Then  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  are independent if the events  $X_1 \in S_1, X_2 \in S_2, \ldots, X_n \in S_n$  are independent for any  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n \in \mathcal{B}$ .

#### 5.3 Sums of Independent Random Variables

**Theorem 5.1.** Let X and Y be independent continuous random variables and Z = X + Y. Then  $f_Z : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(z - x) \, dx$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  is a probability density function of Z, where  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$  are probability density functions of X and Y, respectively.

*Proof.* We have

$$F_{Z}(z) = P(X + Y \le z)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{z-x} f_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dy \, dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{z} f_{X,Y}(x, u - x) \, du \, dx \qquad (u = x + y)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, u - x) \, dx \, du.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dz}F_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(z-x) dx = f_Z(z),$$

implying  $f_Z$  is a probability density function of Z.