Logic

Chapter 1

Propositional Logic

1.1 The Language of Propositional Logic

Definition 1.1. An **alphabet** for propositional logic is a pair $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C})$, where each component is as follows.

- $\mathcal{V}$ is a countably infinite set of **propositional variables**.
- $\mathcal{C}$ is a finite set of **connectives** with

  $$\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_i,$$

  where $\mathcal{C}_i$ is the set of connectives of arity i.

Remark. In the default setting, we usually let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_0 &= \{\bot, \top\} \\ \mathcal{C}_1 &= \{\neg\} \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{C}_j = \varnothing$ for $j \geq 3$.

Definition 1.2. The language $\mathcal{L}$ of **formulas** over alphabet $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C})$ is the minimal set that satisfies the following statements.

- Each propositional variable in $\mathcal{V}$ is a formula.
- If $\star$ is a connective in $\mathcal{C}_k$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are formulas, then $\star\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_k$ is a formula.

1.2 Truth Assignment

Definition 1.3. A **truth assignment** is a function $\tau : \mathcal{V} \to \{0,1\}$. It can be extended to $\bar{\tau} : \mathcal{L} \to \{0,1\}$ by assigning each connective with arity k to a boolean function from $\{0,1\}^k$ to $\{0,1\}$.

Remark. By convention, we use the truth table as follows.

$\bar{\tau}(\bot)$	$\bar{\tau}(\top)$
0	1

$\bar{\tau}(\alpha)$	$\bar{\tau}(\neg\alpha)$
0	1
1	0

$\bar{\tau}(\alpha)$	$\bar{\tau}(\beta)$	$\bar{\tau}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$	$\bar{\tau}(\alpha \vee \beta)$	$\bar{\tau}(\alpha \to \beta)$	$\bar{\tau}(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$
0	0	0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 1.1: Truth Table

Definition 1.4. We say that a truth assignment τ **satisfies** a formula α if $\bar{\tau}(\alpha) = 1$. Also, we say that τ satisfies a set Σ of formulas if it satisfies each formula in Σ.

Definition 1.5. Let Σ be a set of formulas and let α be a formula. We say that Σ **tautologically implies** α, denoted by $\Sigma \models \alpha$, if every truth assignment satisfying Σ also satisfies α.

1.3 Proof System

Definition 1.6. The collection Λ of **axioms** consists of the formulas listed below, where α, β, γ are formulas.

(A1) $\alpha \to (\beta \to \alpha)$.

(A2) $(\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$.

(A3) $(\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \beta)$.

Definition 1.7. A **proof** of a formula α from a collection Γ of formulas is a sequence of formulas

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$$

satisfying the following properties.

(a) $\alpha_n = \alpha$.

(b) For $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, either $\alpha_k \in \Lambda \cup \Gamma$ or there exist $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ with $\alpha_j = \alpha_i \to \alpha_k$.

If there exists a proof of φ from Γ, we write $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$. If $\varnothing \vdash \varphi$, we write $\vdash \varphi$ for short.

Theorem 1.8 (Law of Identity). For any formula α, we have $\vdash \alpha \to \alpha$.

Proof. We have a proof of $\alpha \to \alpha$ as follows.

(1) $(\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)) \to ((\alpha \to (\alpha \to \alpha)) \to (\alpha \to \alpha))$. (A2)

(2) $\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)$. (A1)

(3) $(\alpha \to (\alpha \to \alpha)) \to (\alpha \to \alpha)$. (1, 2)

(4) $\alpha \to (\alpha \to \alpha)$. (A1)

(5) $\alpha \to \alpha$. (3, 4)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash \alpha \to \alpha$. $\square$

Theorem 1.9 (Duns Scotus Law). For any formula α and β, we have $\vdash \neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \beta)$.

Proof. We have a proof of $\neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \beta)$ as follows.

(1) $((\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \beta)) \to (\neg\alpha \to ((\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \beta)))$. (A1)

(2) $(\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \beta)$. (A3)

(3) $\neg\alpha \to ((\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \beta))$. (1, 2)

(4) $(\neg\alpha \to ((\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \beta))) \to ((\neg\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)) \to (\neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \beta)))$. (A2)

(5) $(\neg\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)) \to (\neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \beta))$. (3, 4)

(6) $\neg\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)$. (A1)

(7) $\neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \beta)$. (5, 6)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash \neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \beta)$. $\square$

Theorem 1.10 (Modus Ponens). For any formula α and β, we have $\vdash \alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)$.

Proof. We have a proof of $\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)$ as follows.

(1) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \beta)$. (Theorem 1.8)

(2) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \beta)) \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta))$. (A2)

(3) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)$. (1, 2)

(4) $(((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)) \to (\alpha \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)))$. (A1)

(5) $\alpha \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta))$. (3, 4)

(6) $(\alpha \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta))) \to ((\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha)) \to (\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)))$. (A2)

(7) $(\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha)) \to (\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta))$. (5, 6)

(8) $\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \alpha)$. (A1)

(9) $\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)$. (7, 8)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash \alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)$. $\square$

Theorem 1.11 (Hypothetical Syllogism). For any formulas α, β and γ, we have $\vdash (\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$.

Proof. We have a proof of $(\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$ as follows.

(1) $(\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$. (A2)

(2) $((\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))) \to ((\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))))$. (A1)

(3) $(\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma)))$. (1, 2)

(4) $((\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma)))) \to (((\beta \to \gamma) \to (\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma))) \to ((\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))))$. (A2)

(5) $((\beta \to \gamma) \to (\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma))) \to ((\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma)))$. (3, 4)

(6) $(\beta \to \gamma) \to (\alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma))$. (A1)

(7) $(\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$. (5, 6)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash (\beta \to \gamma) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma))$. $\square$

Theorem 1.12 (Clavius's Law). For any formula α, we have $\vdash (\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha$.

Proof. We have a proof of $(\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha$ as follows.

(1) $(\neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha))) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha)))$. (A2)

(2) $\neg\alpha \to (\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha))$. (Theorem 1.9)

(3) $(\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha))$. (1, 2)

(4) $(\neg\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)$. (A3)

(5) $((\neg\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)) \to (((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha))) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)))$. (Theorem 1.11)

(6) $((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \neg(\neg\alpha \to \alpha))) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha))$. (4, 5)

(7) $(\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)$. (3, 6)

(8) $((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)) \to (((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha))$. (A2)

(9) $((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to ((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha)$ (7, 8)

(10) $(\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\alpha \to \alpha)$. (Theorem 1.8)

(11) $(\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha$. (9, 10)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash (\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha$. □

Theorem 1.13 (Elimination of Double Negation). For any formula α, we have $\vdash \neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha$.

Proof. We have a proof of $\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha$ as follows.

(1) $((\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to (\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha))$. (Theorem 1.11)

(2) $(\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha$. (Theorem 1.12)

(3) $(\neg\neg\alpha \to (\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha)$. (1, 2)

(4) $\neg\neg\alpha \to (\neg\alpha \to \alpha)$. (Theorem 1.9)

(5) $\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha$. (3, 4)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash \neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha$. □

Theorem 1.14 (Introduction of Double Negation). For any formula α, we have $\vdash \alpha \to \neg\neg\alpha$.

Proof. We have a proof of $\alpha \to \neg\neg\alpha$ as follows.

(1) $(\neg\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\alpha) \to (\alpha \to \neg\neg\alpha)$. (A3)

(2) $\neg\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\alpha$. (Theorem 1.13)

(3) $\alpha \to \neg\neg\alpha$. (1, 2)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash \alpha \to \neg\neg\alpha$. □

Theorem 1.15 (Law of Contraposition). For any formulas α and β, we have $\vdash (\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)$.

Proof. We have a proof of $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)$ as follows.

(1) $(\beta \to \neg\neg\beta) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta))$. (Theorem 1.11)

(2) $\beta \to \neg\neg\beta$. (Theorem 1.14)

(3) $(\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)$. (1, 2)

(4) $((\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)))$. (A1)

(5) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta))$. (3, 4)

(6) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta))$. (Theorem 1.11)

(7) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta))) \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta)))$. (A2)

(8) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta))$. (6, 7)

(9) $(\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha))$. (A1)

(10) $\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha$. (Theorem 1.13)

(11) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \alpha)$. (9, 10)

(12) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta)$. (8, 11)

(13) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to ((\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta))) \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)))$. (A2)

(14) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \beta)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta))$. (5, 13)

(15) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)$. (12, 14)

(16) $((\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)) \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)))$. (Theorem 1.11)

(17) $(\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)$. (A3)

(18) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\neg\alpha \to \neg\neg\beta)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha))$. (16, 17)

(19) $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)$. (15, 18)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash (\alpha \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg\alpha)$. □

Theorem 1.16. For any formulas α and β, we have $\vdash \alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))$.

Proof. We have a proof of $\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))$ as follows.

(1) $((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))$. (Theorem 1.15)

(2) $(((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))) \to (\alpha \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))))$. (A1)

(3) $\alpha \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta)))$. (1, 2)

(4) $(\alpha \to (((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta) \to (\neg\beta \to (\neg(\alpha \to \beta))))) \to ((\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)) \to$
$(\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to (\neg(\alpha \to \beta)))))$. (A2)

(5) $(\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)) \to (\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to (\neg(\alpha \to \beta))))$. (3, 4)

(6) $\alpha \to ((\alpha \to \beta) \to \beta)$. (Theorem 1.10)

(7) $\alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))$. (5, 6)

Thus, we can conclude that $\vdash \alpha \to (\neg\beta \to \neg(\alpha \to \beta))$. $\square$

1.4 Soundness and Completeness

Theorem 1.17 (Deduction Theorem). Let Γ be a set of formulas and let α and β be formulas. If $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$, then $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta$.

Proof. If $\beta \in \Lambda \cup \Gamma$, then we have $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta_k$ since $\vdash \beta_k \to (\alpha \to \beta_k)$. Furthermore, if $\beta = \alpha$, then we also have $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta$ since $\vdash \beta \to \beta$ by Theorem 1.8. Thus, one only needs to consider the case that $\beta \notin \Lambda \cup \Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}$.

Suppose that $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)$ is a proof of β from $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we prove that $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta_k$ by induction on k. The induction basis holds for $k = 1$ since $\beta_1 \in \Lambda \cup \Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}$. For the induction step, let $k \geq 2$ and assume that $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell < k$. We have proved for the case that $\beta \in \Lambda \cup \Gamma \cup \{\alpha\}$, and thus we assume without loss of generality that there exist $1 \leq i < k$ and $1 \leq j < k$ such that $\beta_j = \beta_i \to \beta_k$. Note that $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta_i$ and $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to (\beta_i \to \beta_k)$ hold by induction hypothesis. Therefore, since

$$\vdash (\alpha \to (\beta_i \to \beta_k)) \to ((\alpha \to \beta_i) \to (\alpha \to \beta_k)),$$

we can conclude that $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \to \beta_k$, which completes the proof. $\square$