

2.2.3.4)



中央财经大学

Central University Of Finance And Economics

地址: 北京市海淀区学院南路39号

Add: 39 South College Rd, Haidian District Beijing, China

$$\text{证: } L(x, y, \lambda) = \alpha/n(x-x_0) + \beta/n(y-y_0) + \lambda[I - px - qy]$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\alpha}{x-x_0} - \lambda p \stackrel{\approx 0}{=} 0 \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{x_0} + x_0 = x & (4) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\beta}{y-y_0} - \lambda q \stackrel{\approx 0}{=} 0 \rightarrow \frac{\beta}{x_0} + y_0 = y & (5) \end{cases}$$

$$I - px - qy \stackrel{\approx 0}{=} 0$$

由(4)(5)得

$$I - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} - px_0 - \frac{\beta}{\lambda} - qy_0 = 0$$

$$I - px_0 - qy_0 = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{I - px_0 - qy_0}{\alpha + \beta}$$

$$\text{代入} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} (I - px_0 - qy_0) + \beta \cdot x_0 = px$$

$$\text{即 } \alpha I + \beta px_0 - \alpha qy_0 = px$$

$$\text{同理可证 } \beta I - \beta px_0 + \alpha qy_0 = qy$$

证毕



中央财经大学

Central University Of Finance And Economics

地址: 北京市海淀区学院南路39号

Add: 39 South College Rd, Haidian District Beijing, China

$$3.3. \quad L = F(x) + \lambda [C - G(x)]$$

$$F(x) = \sum \beta_j x_j - i p x_1, \quad G(x) = \sum x_j$$

$$\begin{cases} L_j(x) = a_j - \beta_j x_j - \lambda \leq 0 \\ x_j \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} L_j(\lambda) = C - G(x) \geq 0 \\ \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

(i) 总未使用 即证  $C - G(x) > 0$

下面仅讨论  $G(x)$  最大情况, 即  $x_j > 0$

$$x_j = \frac{a_j - \lambda}{\beta_j}$$

$$\sum x_j = H - \lambda \cdot k$$

$$\text{又 } C > H$$

$$\therefore C > \sum x_j \text{ 即 } C - G(x) > 0$$

(ii) 由 (i)  $C > H$  时  $x_j = \frac{a_j - \lambda}{\beta_j}$  即为正值

下面讨论  $C < H$  情况

$$\text{此时 } \lambda > 0, \quad C = \sum x_j$$

$$\text{又 } H - \sum x_j - \lambda k \leq 0$$

$$\lambda \geq \frac{H - C}{k}$$

$$x_j \geq \frac{a_j - \lambda}{\beta_j}$$

$$\text{当 } a_j > \lambda \text{ 时, } x_j > 0$$

$$\text{此时 } \lambda = \frac{H - C}{k}$$

$$\text{又 } a_j > \frac{H - C}{k}$$

$$\therefore x_j > 0, \text{ 每个 } x_j \text{ 均严格满足}$$

(iii) 计  $\lambda$  为最优条件下

易知, 当  $x_j = 0$  时

$$a_j < \lambda$$

当  $x_j > 0$  时

$$a_j' = \lambda + \beta_j x_j > \lambda$$

因此  $a_j < a_j'$  得证



中央财经大学

Central University of Finance and Economics

地址: 北京市海淀区学院南路39号  
Add: 39 South College Rd, Haidian District Beijing, China

$$L = W(U^1(x_1, \dots, x_1, q), \dots, U^C(x_{c1}, \dots, x_{c, q})) + \sum_g \pi_g [\phi_g - \sum_c x_{cg}] \\ + \sum_f \lambda_f [Z_f - \sum_g z_{fg}]$$

(1) 此时  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{cg}} = \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial U^c}\right) \left(\frac{dU^c}{dx_{cg}}\right) - \pi_g$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{fg}} = \pi_g \cdot \frac{\partial \phi_g}{\partial z_{fg}} - \lambda_f$$

- 阶分配条件相同,  $\pi_g$  代表商品  $g$  的边际价值  
 $\lambda_f$  代表要素  $f$  的边际价值

(2) 可以分散化

由  $\pi_g \frac{\partial \phi_g}{\partial z_{fg}} - \lambda_f = 0$  得

企业仅保证产出等于要素投入, 即达到帕累托最优

(3) 总结:  $\sum \pi_g x_{cg}$

$$I_c = \pi_1 x_{c1} + \pi_2 x_{c2} \dots \pi_g x_{cg}$$

$$\sum I_c = \sum_g \pi_g \cdot \sum_c x_{cg}$$

由假设知  
在最优下  $\sum_c x_{cg} = x_g$

$$\text{因此 } I_c = \sum_g \pi_g x_g$$