## **Business Data Mining Homework Session 1**

- 2.1 Assuming that data mining techniques are to be used in the following cases, identify whether the task required is supervised or unsupervised learning.
  - **a.** Deciding whether to issue a loan to an applicant based on demographic and financial data (with reference to a database of similar data on prior customers).
  - **b.** In an online bookstore, making recommendations to customers concerning additional items to buy based on the buying patterns in prior transactions.
  - **c.** Identifying a network data packet as dangerous (virus, hacker attack) based on comparison to other packets whose threat status is known.
  - d. Identifying segments of similar customers.
  - **e.** Predicting whether a company will go bankrupt based on comparing its financial data to those of similar bankrupt and nonbankrupt firms.
  - f. Estimating the repair time required for an aircraft based on a trouble ticket.
  - g. Automated sorting of mail by zip code scanning.
  - **h.** Printing of custom discount coupons at the conclusion of a grocery store checkout based on what you just bought and what others have bought previously.
- 2.6 In fitting a model to classify prospects as purchasers or nonpurchasers, a certain company drew the training data from internal data that include demographic and purchase information. Future data to be classified will be lists purchased from other sources, with demographic (but not purchase) data included. It was found that "refund issued" was a useful predictor in the training data. Why is this not an appropriate variable to include in the model?
- **2.8** Normalize the data in Table 2.17, showing calculations.

 Age
 Income (\$)

 25
 49,000

 56
 156,000

 65
 99,000

 32
 192,000

 41
 39,000

 49
 57,000

2.9 Statistical distance between records can be measured in several ways. Consider Euclidean distance, measured as the square root of the sum of the squared differences. For the first two records in Table 2.17, it is

$$\sqrt{(25-56)^2+(49,000-156,000)^2}$$
.

Can normalizing the data change which two records are farthest from each other in terms of Euclidean distance?

4.4 Chemical Features of Wine. Table 4.13 shows the PCA output on data (non-normalized) in which the variables represent chemical characteristics of wine, and each case is a different wine.

### **TABLE 4.13**

### PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS OF NON-NORMALIZED WINE DATA



code for running PCA on the wine data

```
wine.df <- read.csv("Wine.csv")
pcs.cor <- prcomp(wine.df[,-1])
summary(pcs.cor)
pcs.cor$rot[,1:4]</pre>
```

# Output

> summary(pcs.cor)

### importance of components:

```
PC1
                                    PC2
                                            PC3
                                                   PC4
                                                           PC5
                      314.9632 13.13527 3.07215 2.23409 1.10853
Standard deviation
Proportion of Variance 0.9981 0.00174 0.00009 0.00005 0.00001
Cumulative Proportion
                        0.9981 0.99983 0.99992 0.99997 0.99998
                          PC6
                                PC7
                                      PC8
                                              PC9
                                                    PC10
Standard deviation
                      0.91710 0.5282 0.3891 0.3348 0.2678
Proportion of Variance 0.00001 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
Cumulative Proportion 0.99999 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
                        PC11 PC12
                                       PC13
                      0.1938 0.1452 0.09057
Standard deviation
Proportion of Variance 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000
Cumulative Proportion 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000
```

### > pcs.cor\$rot[,1:4]

```
PC1
                                            PC2
Alcohol
                    -0.0016592647 -1.203406e-03 -0.016873809 0.141446778
                     0.0006810156 -2.154982e-03 -0.122003373 0.160389543
Malic_Acid
                    -0.0001949057 -4.593693e-03 -0.051987430 -0.009772810
Ash
                     0.0046713006 -2.645039e-02 -0.938593003 -0.330965260
Ash_Alcalinity
Magnesium
                    -0.0178680075 -9.993442e-01 0.029780248 -0.005393756
                    -0.0009898297 -8.779622e-04 0.040484644 -0.074584656
Total_Phenols
                    -0.0015672883 5.185073e-05 0.085443339 -0.169086724
Flavanoids
Nonflavanoid_Phenols 0.0001230867 1.354479e-03 -0.013510780 0.010805561
                    -0.0006006078 -5.004400e-03 0.024659382 -0.050120952
Proanthocyanins
Color_Intensity
                    -0.0023271432 -1.510035e-02 -0.291398464 0.878893693
Hue
                    -0.0001713800 7.626731e-04 0.025977662 -0.060034945
                    -0.0007049316 3.495364e-03 0.070323969 -0.178200254
OD280_OD315
Proline
                    -0.9998229365 1.777381e-02 -0.004528682 -0.003112916
```

### 114 DIMENSION REDUCTION

- **a.** The data are in the file *Wine.csv*. Consider the rows labeled "Proportion of Variance." Explain why the value for PC1 is so much greater than that of any other column.
- **b.** Comment on the use of normalization (standardization) in part (a).