

# W'21 CS 584/684 Algorithm Design & Analysis


Fang Song


#### Lecture 13

- Amortized analysis
- Network flow

#### Recap: flow network


- Abstraction for material flowing through the edges.
  - G = (V, E) directed graph, no parallel edges.
  - Two distinguished nodes: s = source, t = sink.
  - c(e): capacity of edge e,  $\forall e \in E$ .





#### Flows


- Definition. An s-t flow is a function  $f:E\to\mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying
  - [Capacity]  $\forall e \in E : 0 \le f(e) \le c(e)$ .
  - [Conservation]  $\forall v \in V \{s, t\}$ :  $\sum_{e \text{ into } v} f(e) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} f(e)$
- Definition. The value of a flow f is  $v(f) := \sum_{e} f(e)$



#### Cuts

- Recall. A cut is a subset of vertices.
- ullet Def. s-t cut: (A,B=V-A) partition of V with  $s\in A$  and  $t\in B$ .


• Def. Capacity of cut (A, B):  $cap(A, B) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} c(e)$ 



#### How do they relate?

## Max flow Min cut

- Find s t flow of maximum value. Find s t cut of minimum capacity.



#### Useful observations

• Flow-value lemma. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s - t cut. Then the net flow across the cut is equal to the amount leaving s (i.e., value of flow).

$$\sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ into } A} f(e) = v(f)$$

- Weak duality. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s t cut. Then the value of the flow is at most the capacity of the cut.  $v(f) \le cap(A, B)$
- © Corollary of weak duality. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s t cut. If v(f) = cap(A, B), then f is a max flow, and (A, B) a min cut.

#### Max-flow Min-cut theorem

Theorem. Value of max flow = capacity of min cut.

[Strong duality]

A constructive proof: augmenting path

#### Residual graph

- ullet Original edge:  $e = (u, v) \in E$ 
  - Capacity c(e), low f(e).


#### Residual edge: "undo" flow


- $e = (u, v) \text{ and } e^R = (v, u)$
- Residual capacity with flow *f*:

$$C_f(u,v) = \begin{cases} c(u,v) - f(u,v) & \text{if } (u,v) \in E\\ f(v,u) & \text{if } (v,u) \in E \end{cases}$$

#### • Residual graph $G_f = (V, E_f)$


- · Residual edges with positive residual capacity.
- $E_f = \{e : f(e) < c(e)\} \cup \{e^R : f(e) > 0\}.$





#### Augmenting path

- ullet Definition. An augmenting path is a simple  $s \leadsto t$  path in residual graph  $G_f$ .
- $^{\odot}$  Definition. The bottleneck capacity of an augmenting path P is the minimum residual capacity of any edge in P.



Which augmenting path has the highest bottleneck capacity?

#### Augmenting path theorem

- ullet Theorem. f is a max flow iff. NO augmenting paths  $s \leadsto t$  in  $G_f$ . A.k.a. Algorithmic max-flow min-cut theorem.
- ullet Proof. We show the following equivalence ( $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a$ )
  - a. f is a max flow.
  - b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to f).
  - b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to f).

    c. There exists a cut (A, B) such that cap(A, B) = v(f).

    duality. Also implies (A, B) a min-cut.

Corollary of weak

 $\bullet$  N.B.  $a \Leftrightarrow c$  is Max-flow min-cut Theorem: value of max flow = capacity of min cut.

## Augmenting path theorem: proof

- a. f is a max flow.
- b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to *f*).
- c. There exists a cut (A, B) such that cap(A, B) = v(f).
- $a \Rightarrow b$ . We show contrapositive  $\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a$ .
  - If  $\exists$  augmenting path, we can find a new flow f' with larger flow value below.
    - $\delta \leftarrow$  bottleneck capacity of augmenting path P.

For each 
$$e \in P, f'(e) := \begin{cases} f(e) + \delta & \text{if } e \in E \\ f(e) - \delta & \text{if } e^R \in E \end{cases}$$

- Exercise. Verify f' is a feasible flow (capacity and conservation hold).
- $v(f') = v(f) + \delta > v(f)$  because only first edge in *P* leaves *s*.

## Augmenting path theorem: proof cont'd

- a. f is a max flow.
- b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to *f*).
- c. There exists a cut (A, B) such that cap(A, B) = v(f).
- $\bullet$   $b \Rightarrow c$ . Assuming  $G_f$  has no augmenting path.
  - Let A be the set of nodes reachable from s in  $G_f$ .
  - Clearly  $s \in A$ ,  $t \notin A$ . (A, B = S A) is an s t cut.
  - Obs. On edges of  $G_f$  go from A to B.

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ into } A} f(e)$$

$$= \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e) - 0 = cap(A, B)$$

$$= \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e) - 0 = cap(A, B)$$

Edge (v, w) with  $v \in B$ ,  $w \in A$ 

must have f(e) = 0Edge (v, w) with  $v \in A, w \in B$ 


must have f(e) = c(e)


## Ford-Fulkerson algorithm


## Ford-Fulkerson augmenting path algorithm

```
 \frac{\text{Augment}(f,c,P)}{\delta \leftarrow \text{bottleneck}} \text{ capacity of augmenting path } P. 
 \text{For each } e \in P 
 \text{If } e \in E, f'(e) = f(e) + \delta 
 \text{Else } f'(e) = f(e) - \delta 
 \text{Return } f'
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Ford-Fulkerson}(G, s, t, c) \\ & \textbf{For each } e \in E \\ & f(e) \leftarrow 0, G_f \leftarrow \text{residual graph} \\ & \textbf{While there is an augmenting path } P \text{ in } G_f \\ & f \leftarrow \text{Augment}(f, c, P) \\ & \text{Update } G_f \\ & \text{Return } f' \end{aligned}
```

































































































2

4

S

3

5

t

$$v(f) = 19$$

$$10/10 2/0 8/7 6/6$$

$$10/9 \rightarrow 3 9/9 \rightarrow 5 -10/10 \rightarrow t$$

Cut 
$$(A = \{s,3\}, B = S - A), cap(A, B) = 19$$

## Fork-Fulkerson algorithm: summary so far

Ford-Fulkerson
While you can
Greedily push flow
Update residual graph

- © Correctness. Augment path theorem.
- Running time. Does it terminate at all?

## Fork-Fulkerson algorithm: analysis

- ullet Assumption. All capacities are integers between 1 and C.
- Invariant. Every flow value f(e) and every residual capacity  $c_f(e)$  remains an integer throughout the algorithm.
- ullet Theorem. Ford-Fulkerson terminates in at most nC iterations.
- Proof.
  - Each augmentation increases flow value by at least 1.
  - There are at most *nC* units of capacity leaving source *s*.

Running time: O(mnc). Space O(m+n).

Find an augmenting path in O(m) time (by BFS/DFS)

More to come on further concerns/improvements ...

• Integrality theorem. All If all capacities are integres, then there is a max flow f where every flow value f(e) is an integer.

#### Scratch