Low-lying collective excited states in non-integrable system based on stationary phase approximation to the path integral

Fang Ni[1] and Takashi Nakatsukasa[2, 1, 3]

[1] Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8571, Japan
[2] Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8577, Japan
[3] iTHES Research Group, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan

Background: This part would describe the context needed to understand what the paper is about.

Purpose: This part would state the purpose of the present paper.

Method: This part describe the methods used in the paper.

Results: This part would summarize the results.

Conclusions: This part would state the conclusions of the paper.

I. INTRODUCTION

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. One-dimensional adiabatic self-consistent collective coordinate method

We review the one-dimensional adiabatic self-consistent collective coordinate method (ASCC) briefly. The classical Hamilton's equation which is identical to the TDHFB equation is described by canonical variables $\{\xi^\alpha, \pi_\alpha\}$. If we assume the collective motion is slow motion, it allows us to expand momenta π up to second order. The multi-dimensional Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = V(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}B^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)\pi_\alpha\pi_\beta \tag{II.1}$$

with potential $V(\xi)$ and reciprocal mass parameter $B^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)$ defined by

$$V(\xi) = \mathcal{H}(\xi, \pi = 0) \tag{II.2}$$

$$B^{\alpha\beta}(\xi) = \left.\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}(\xi, \pi)}{\partial\pi_\alpha\partial\pi_\beta}\right|_{\pi=0}. \tag{II.3}$$

If there is a one-dimensional collective motion which is decoupled from other intrinsic motions, the collective motion can be described by a set of canonical variable $\{q, p\}$, the one dimensional collective Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_{coll} = \bar{V}(q) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{B}^{-1}(q)p^2. \tag{II.4}$$

To obtain the collective Hamiltonian, we need to consider extended adiabatic transformation

$$q = q^1 = f^1(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}f^{(1)1\alpha\beta}(\xi)\pi_\alpha\pi_\beta \tag{II.5}$$

$$\xi^\alpha = g^\alpha(q) + \frac{1}{2}g^{(1)\alpha 11}(\xi)p_1 p_1 \tag{II.6}$$

and

$$p_1 = g^\alpha_{,1}\pi_\alpha \tag{II.7}$$

$$\pi_\alpha = f^1_{,\alpha}p_1, \tag{II.8}$$

where the index 1 indicates the collective degree of freedom, and the comma indicates the partial derivative ($f^1_{,\alpha} = \partial f^1/\partial\xi^\alpha$). With these relations, collective potential $\bar{V}(q)$ and collective mass parameter $\bar{B}(q)$ can be transformed by

$$\bar{V}(q) = V(\xi) \tag{II.9}$$

$$\bar{B}^{-1}(q) = f^1_{,\alpha}B^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)f^1_{,\beta}, \tag{II.10}$$

Before showing the ASCC basic equations, we consider the constants of motion in TDHFB dynamics. Since nuclei is self-bound systems without external potential, the ground state obtained from mean-field theory violates the symmetry (e.g. deformation, pairing). In such system, the constants of motion corresponding the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) mode emerges in TDHFB dynamics. Therefore, we are mostly interested in the systems with collective motion separated from NG mode.

We know that the most of conserved quantities, such as total angular momentum J and total particle number N, are not only expressed by one-body Hermitian operators, but also has real matrix elements in the quasi-particle basis. Such classical variable $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding to the conserved quantity can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi, \pi) = f^I(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}f^{(1)I\alpha\beta}(\xi)\pi_\alpha\pi_\beta. \tag{II.11}$$

The index I indicates the degree of freedom for NG mode. Since the conserved quantity must fulfill $\{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H}\}_{PB} = 0$, it leads

$$f^I_{,\alpha}B^{\alpha\beta} - f^{(1)I\alpha\beta}V_{,\alpha} = 0. \tag{II.12}$$

Including the NG mode, $f^1(\xi)$ and $g^\alpha(q)$ are obtained by solving ASCC basic equations

$$\delta H_M(\xi, \pi) = 0 \tag{II.13}$$

$$\tilde{B}^{\beta\gamma}V_{;\gamma\alpha}f^1_{,\beta} = \omega^2 f^1_{,\alpha}, \qquad \tilde{B}^{\beta\gamma}V_{;\gamma\alpha}g^\alpha_1 = \omega^2 g^\beta_{,1}. \tag{II.14}$$

The first equation (II.13) is called moving-frame HFB equation. The moving-frame Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_M$ with constraints on collective coordinate and constant of motion is

$$\mathcal{H}_M(\xi, \pi) = \mathcal{H}(\xi, \pi) - \lambda_1 q^1(\xi, \pi) - \lambda_I \mathcal{P}(\xi, \pi). \tag{II.15}$$

The second equation (II.14) is called moving-frame QRPA equation. $\tilde{B}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the modified mass parameter

$$\tilde{B}^{\alpha\beta}(\xi) = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}_M}{\partial \pi_\alpha \partial \pi_\beta}$$
$$= B^{\alpha\beta}(\xi) - \lambda_I f^{(1)I\alpha\beta}(\xi) - \lambda_1 f^{(1)1\alpha\beta}(\xi). \tag{II.16}$$

and the covariant derivative $V_{;\gamma\alpha}$ is defined by

$$V_{;\alpha\beta} = V_{,\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} V_{,\gamma}, \tag{II.17}$$

where the affine connection is $\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha\delta}(B_{\delta\beta,\gamma} + B_{\delta\gamma,\beta} - B_{\beta\gamma,\delta})$. If we assume that the collective coordinate q is geodesic, $\tilde{B}^{\beta\gamma} V_{;\gamma\alpha}$ can be simplified after several steps

$$\tilde{B}^{\alpha\gamma} V_{;\gamma\beta} = \tilde{B}^{\alpha\gamma}(V_{,\gamma\beta} - \lambda_I f^I_{,\gamma\beta}) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}^{\alpha\gamma}_{,\beta} V_{,\gamma}. \tag{II.18}$$

We discuss the practical solution to derive the one-dimensional collective coordinate. We neglect $f^{(1)1\alpha\beta}(\xi)$ because it is supposed to be negligibly small in the previous study. On the other hand, from (II.12) and (II.14), $f^{(1)I\alpha\beta}(\xi)$ is necessary information to promise $\tilde{B}^{\beta\gamma} V_{;\gamma\alpha} f^I_{,\beta} = 0$, which means zero mode. In most of case, we know the explicit form of $\mathcal{P}(\xi, \pi)$. The procedures to obtain the collective path are (see Figure **??**):

1. Find the energy minimum point in energy surface by solving (II.13) (normal HFB equation). We usually set $q^1 = 0$ at the energy minimum point.

2. Using (II.18), diagonalize (II.14) and choose the lowest mode basically*.

3. The right eigenvector $g^\alpha_{,1}$ tell us the direction which system moves in energy surface. Use the relation $d\xi^\alpha = g^\alpha_{,1} dq^1$ to decide the neighborhood point $q^1 \to q^1 + dq^1$ in the collective path.

4. Find the non-equilibrium energy minimum point by solving (II.13) at the neighborhood point, and obtain the chemical potential $\lambda_I(q)$.

5. Iterate (2)~(4) under the same direction in energy surface.

6. Do (2)~(5) for the opposite direction of the collective path in energy surface.

The scale of the collective coordinate is not unique because of the uncertainty of the eigenvectors in (II.14). To unify the scale, the simplest procedure is to keep the collective mass parameter $\bar{B}^{-1}(q) = 1$ by renormalizing eigenvectors in (II.10).

---

* When eigenvalues cross on the collective path, the choice of adiabatic path or diabatic path is a critical problem

B. Stationary-phase approximation to the path integral

In integrable system, we can apply the stationary phase approximation to the path integral (SPA) to obtain collective excited states. The concept of SPA is introduced in the previous study. Because the one-dimensional collective path extracted from TDHFB degrees of freedom is integrable system, SPA is supposed to be available for non-integrable system via ASCC.

Based on SPA, the k-th excited state $|\psi_k\rangle$ can be constructed by a periodic TDHFB trajectory

$$|\psi_k\rangle = \oint d\mu(Z^{(k)}) |Z^{(k)}\rangle e^{i\mathcal{T}[Z^{(k)}]/\hbar}. \tag{II.19}$$

The integration indicates we integrate a periodic TDHFB trajecotry for one period in TDHFB phase space. The invariant measure $d\mu(Z)$ is defined by the unity condition $\int d\mu(Z) |Z\rangle \langle Z| = 1$. The action integral $\mathcal{T}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}[Z] \equiv \int_0^t \langle Z(t')|i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}|Z(t')\rangle \, dt'. \tag{II.20}$$

We try to combine SPA with ASCC. The key point is to find the correspondence between TDHFB phase space and collective subspace in a TDHFB trajectory (See Fig. 1). We consider the time-dependent state vector $|Z(t)\rangle$ is in a collective subspace. With pairing correlation, $|Z(t)\rangle$ can be expressed as

$$|Z(t)\rangle = |\Phi, J; q, p\rangle = e^{-i\Phi\hat{J}} |J; q, p\rangle, \tag{II.21}$$

where Φ is the total gauge angle and $J = N/2$ is the conjugate momentum corresponding total particle number. The second equal sign indicates an intrinsic state $|J; q, p\rangle$ rotates in the gauge space. Since $[H, J] = 0$, the classical Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \langle \Phi, J; q, p|H|\Phi, J; q, p\rangle$$
$$= \langle J; q, p|H|J; q, p\rangle \equiv \mathcal{H}_J(q, p) \tag{II.22}$$

has no dependence of Φ. Therefore, the classical Hamiltonian is the function of (q, p) in a fixed particle number system, and correspond to (II.4). From ASCC, we can obtain the static state vector at each point of q, namely $|J; q, p = 0\rangle$. To construct $|J; q, p\rangle$, we use (II.8) at (q, p) which we want to know in the collective phase space. Usually, we are interested in the points $(q^{(k)}, p^{(k)})$ at the k-th TDHFB trajectory. Such k-th TDHFB trajectory is obtained from EBK quantization condition (k: integer)

$$\mathcal{T}_\circ[Z^{(k)}] = \oint \langle Z^{(k)}(t')|i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}|Z^{(k)}(t')\rangle \, dt'$$
$$= \oint p^{(k)} dq^{(k)} = 2k\pi. \tag{II.23}$$

The procedures to obtain excited states from SPA via ASCC are:

1. Obtain the one-dimensional collective coordinate from ASCC.

FIG. 1: For a TDHFB trajectory, the correspondence between TDHFB phase space and collective subspace.

2. Using collective Hamiltonian, obtain k-th excitation energy and TDHFB trajectory under EBK quantization condition (II.23).

3. For k-th TDHFB trajectory, construct the state vector $|J; q, p\rangle$ by using (II.8).

4. Calculate action integral (III.22) in k-th TDHFB trajectory.

5. Using (II.19), obtain k-th excited state.

III. APPLICATION IN PAIRING MODEL

We study the low-lying excited 0^+ states in multi-level pairing model by applying SPA via ASCC. The Hamiltonian of the pairing model is given in terms of single-particle energies ϵ_l and the pairing strength g as

$$H = \sum_l \epsilon_l n_l - g \sum_{l,l'} S_l^+ S_{l'}^-$$
$$= \sum_l \epsilon_l (2S_l^0 + \Omega_l) - gS^+ S^-, \quad \text{(III.1)}$$

where we use the SU(2) quasi-spin operators, $\boldsymbol{S} = \sum_l \boldsymbol{S}_l$, with

$$S_l^0 = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_m a_{lm}^\dagger a_{lm} - \Omega_l), \quad \text{(III.2)}$$

$$S_l^+ = \sum_{m>0} a_{lm}^\dagger a_{l\overline{m}}^\dagger, \quad S_l^- = S_l^{+\dagger}. \quad \text{(III.3)}$$

Each single-particle energy ϵ_l possesses $(2\Omega_l)$-fold degeneracy ($\Omega_l = j_l + 1/2$) and $\sum_{m>0}$ indicates the summation over $m = 1/2, 3/2, \cdots,$ and $\Omega_l - 1/2$. The occupation number of each level l is given by $n_l = \sum_m a_{lm}^\dagger a_{lm} = 2S_l^0 + \Omega_l,$. The quasi-spin operators satisfy the commutation relations

$$[S_l^0, S_{l'}^\pm] = \pm \delta_{ll'} S_l^\pm, \quad [S_l^+, S_{l'}^-] = 2\delta_{ll'} S_l^0. \quad \text{(III.4)}$$

The magnitude of quasi-spin for each level is $S_l = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega_l - \nu_l)$, where ν_l is the seniority quantum number, namely the number of unpaired particle at each level l. In the present study, we only consider seniority zero states with $\nu = \sum_l \nu_l = 0$. The residual two-body interaction only consists of monopole pairing interaction which couples

two particles to zero angular momentum. We obtain exact solutions either by solving Richardson equation [? ? ?] or by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian using the quasi-spin symmetry.

A. Classical form of TDHFB Hamiltonian

The coherent state for the seniority $\nu = 0$ states ($S_l = \Omega_l/2$) is constructed as

$$|Z(t)\rangle = \prod_l \left(1 + |Z_l(t)|^2\right)^{-\Omega_l/2} \exp[Z_l(t) S_l^+] |0\rangle \quad \text{(III.5)}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum (zero particle) state, $Z_l(t)$ are time-dependent complex variables which describe motion of the system. In the SU(2) quasi-spin representation, $|0\rangle = \prod_l |S_l, -S_l\rangle$. The coherent state $|Z(t)\rangle$ is a superposition of states with different particle numbers without unpaired particles. In the present pairing model, the coherent state is the same as the time-dependent BCS wave function with $Z_l(t) = v_l(t)/u_l(t)$, where $(u_l(t), v_l(t))$ are the time-dependent BCS u, v factors.

The TDHFB equation can be derived from the time-dependent variational principle (we set $\hbar = 1$),

$$\delta \mathcal{S} = 0, \quad \mathcal{S} \equiv \int \mathcal{L}(t) dt = \int \langle Z(t)|i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H|Z(t)\rangle dt. \quad \text{(III.6)}$$

After transformation of the complex variables $Z_l = \tan\frac{\theta_l}{2} e^{-i\chi_l}$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$) and several steps of the derivation, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ and the expectation value of Hamiltonian become

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \sum_l \frac{\Omega_l}{2}(1 - \cos\theta_l)\dot{\chi}_l - \mathcal{H}(Z, Z^*), \quad \text{(III.7)}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(Z, Z^*) \equiv \langle Z|H|Z\rangle$$
$$= \sum_l \epsilon_l \Omega_l (1 - \cos\theta_l) - \frac{g}{4}\sum_l \Omega_l[\Omega_l(1 - \cos^2\theta_l) + (1 - \cos\theta_l)^2]$$
$$- \frac{g}{4}\sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \Omega_{l_1} \Omega_{l_2} \sqrt{(1 - \cos^2\theta_{l_1})(1 - \cos^2\theta_{l_2})} e^{-i(\chi_{l_1} - \chi_{l_2})}. \quad \text{(III.8)}$$

Here, we choose χ_l as canonical coordinates. Their conjugate momenta are given by

$$j_l \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\chi}_l} = \frac{\Omega_l}{2}(1 - \cos\theta_l). \quad \text{(III.9)}$$

χ_l represent a kind of gauge angle of each level, and j_l are related to the occupation number of each level, $2j_l = n_l$. Therefore, the TDHFB Hamiltonian can be represented by canonical variables $\mathcal{H}(Z, Z^*) = \mathcal{H}(\{\chi_l\}, \{j_l\})$, and the TDHFB equation is equivalent to the Hamilton's equation

$$\dot{\chi}_l = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial j_l}, \quad \dot{j}_l = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \chi_l}. \quad \text{(III.10)}$$

B. Application into ASCC

We construct 1D collective subspace from ASCC theory. Because the first order with respect to χ_l is zero in TDHFB Hamiltonian, we assume j_l as coordinates and χ_l as conjugate momenta. The canonical variables are $(j_l, -\chi_l)$ and fulfill $\{j_l, -\chi'_l\}_{PB} = \delta_{ll'}$. We expand the classical Hamiltonian up to second order with respect to χ_l. The classical Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H}(\{\chi_l\}, \{\pi_l\}) \approx V(j) + \frac{1}{2}B^{\alpha\beta}(j)\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta, \qquad \text{(III.11)}$$

where potential $V(j)$ and reciprocal mass parameter $B^{\alpha\beta}(j)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
V(j) =& \mathcal{H}(\chi = 0, j) \\
=& \sum_l 2\epsilon_l j_l - g\sum_l \left(\Omega_l j_l - j_l^2 + \frac{j_l^2}{\Omega_l}\right) \\
& - g\sum_{l_1 \neq l_2} \sqrt{j_{l_1} j_{l_2}(\Omega_{l_1} - j_{l_1})(\Omega_{l_2} - j_{l_2})}
\end{aligned} \quad \text{(III.12)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{\alpha\beta}(j) =& \left.\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}}{\partial\chi_\alpha \partial\chi_\beta}\right|_{\chi=0} \\
=& \begin{cases} 2g\sum_{l\neq\alpha}\sqrt{j_l j_\alpha(\Omega_l - j_l)(\Omega_\alpha - j_\alpha)} & (\alpha = \beta) \\ -2g\sqrt{j_\alpha j_\beta(\Omega_\alpha - j_\alpha)(\Omega_\beta - j_\beta)} & (\alpha \neq \beta) \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$
$$\text{(III.13)}$$

Using the TDHFB Hamiltonian, we can apply ASCC explained in Sec. II A by replacing $\xi \to j$ and $\pi \to -\chi$.

We consider the treatment for the constant of motion in pairing model. In the TDHFB Hamiltonian, there is no dependence about the total gauge angle

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{L}\sum_l \chi_l. \qquad \text{(III.14)}$$

It indicates the conjugate momentum

$$J \equiv \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\Phi}} = \sum_l j_l = \frac{N}{2} \qquad \text{(III.15)}$$

is conserved quantity. From this equation, we can find J is not dependent of χ, which means $f^{(1)I\alpha\beta}$ in (II.11) is zero. Therefore, $B^{\beta\gamma}V_{;\gamma\alpha}f^I_{,\beta} = 0$ is promised exactly. Also, the second derivative of J with respect to j vanishes, which indicates $f^I_{,\gamma\beta}$ in (II.18) is zero. It indicates the chemical potential $\lambda_I(q)$ is not necessary information to calculate the matrix element in moving-frame QRPA equation.

With the properties of the constant of motion, we discuss the practical solution of ASCC in pairing model. If we ignore the higher order term $f^{(1)1\alpha\beta}$, we can practice local harmonic equation (LHE)

$$B^{\beta\gamma}V_{;\gamma\alpha}f^1_{,\beta} = \omega^2 f^1_{,\alpha}, \qquad B^{\beta\gamma}V_{;\gamma\alpha}g^\alpha_{,1} = \omega^2 g^\beta_{,1}, \quad \text{(III.16)}$$

which simplifies the moving-frame QRPA equation (II.14) by replacing $\tilde{B}^{\beta\gamma}$ into $B^{\beta\gamma}$. Under (III.16), the

eigenvalue of spurious mode corresponding pairing rotation is exact zero. Moreover, we can skip the Step. 4 in Sec. II A. After obtain the energy minimum point by solving normal HFB equation, we only use (III.16) one after another to construct the one-dimensional collective Hamiltonian without moving-frame HFB equation (II.13). It arises the uncertainty of the total gauge angle Φ during obtaining the collective path. To arrange the eigenvectors with $\Phi(q) = -\frac{1}{L}p_1\sum_l f^1_{,l} = 0$, we correct the eigenvectors by

$$f^1_{,\alpha} \to f^1_{,\alpha} - \frac{1}{L}\sum_l f^1_{,l} \qquad \text{(III.17)}$$

after solving (III.16) at each point of q.

C. Application into SPA

We derive the explicit form of excited states in (II.19). From (II.21) and (III.15), the state vector $|\Phi, J; q, p\rangle$ becomes

$$|\Phi, J; q, p\rangle = \sum_{\{j_l\}} e^{-i\Phi\sum_l j_l}|J; q, p\rangle. \qquad \text{(III.18)}$$

Here, $|J; q, p\rangle$ can be expanded in SU(2) quasispin basis

$$|J; q, p\rangle = \sum_{\{j_l\}} A_{j_l}(q, p)|\cdots; S_l, -S_l + k_l, \cdots\rangle, \quad \text{(III.19)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{j_l}(q, p) =& \prod_l \left(\frac{1 - \cos\theta_l}{2}\right)^{j_l/2} \left(\frac{1 + \cos\theta_l}{2}\right)^{(\Omega_l - j_l)/2} \\
& \times \sqrt{\frac{(\Omega_l)!}{j_l!(\Omega_l - j_l)!}} e^{-ij_l\chi_l}
\end{aligned} \quad \text{(III.20)}$$

is in fixed gauge angle ($\Phi = 0$).

Due to J is conserved quantity, the action integral can be divided into two terms

$$\mathcal{T}(\Phi, J; q, p) = \mathcal{T}_{\text{intr}}(t) + J\Phi, \qquad \text{(III.21)}$$

where the intrinsic action integral $\mathcal{T}_{\text{intr}}(t)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\text{intr}}(t) =& \int \langle J; q, p|i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|J; q, p\rangle\,dt \\
=& \int \sum_l j_l\,d\chi_l.
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(III.22)}$$

The important point is $\mathcal{T}_{\text{intr}}(t) \neq \int p\,dq$ at each t. For closed TDHFB trajectory, only $\mathcal{T}_{\text{intr}}(t)$ contributes to the excited states. In SU(2) representation, the invariant

measure is

$$d\mu(Z) = \prod_l \frac{\Omega_l + 1}{\pi} (1 + |Z_l|^2)^{-2} d\text{Re}Z d\text{Im}Z$$

$$= \prod_l \frac{\Omega_l + 1}{4\pi} d\cos\theta_l d\chi_l$$

$$= \prod_l \frac{-(1 + \Omega_l^{-1})}{2\pi} d\chi_l dj_l$$

$$= \left[\prod_l \frac{-(1 + \Omega_l^{-1})}{2\pi}\right] d\Phi dJ dq dp$$

$$\times dQ_1 \cdots dQ_{l-2} dP_1 \cdots dP_{l-2} \qquad \text{(III.23)}$$

where (Q_i, P_i) are the other canonical variables decoupled from the collective coordinates. From the third line to the forth line, we used that Jacobian equals one in canonical transformation. The part of the invariant measure which contributes to the excited states is

$$d\mu(\Phi, J; q, p) \propto d\Phi dJ dq dp = d\Phi dJ dE dt. \qquad \text{(III.24)}$$

Under EBK quantization condition (II.23), the k-th excited state becomes

$$|\tilde{\phi}_k\rangle \propto \oint d\Phi \oint dt \, |\Phi, J; q, p\rangle e^{i\mathcal{T}(\Phi, J; q, p)}$$

$$= \sum_{\{j_l\}} \oint d\Phi e^{i(J - \sum_l j_l)\Phi}$$

$$\times \oint dt e^{i\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{intr}}(t)} A_{j_l}(q, p) |\cdots; S_l, -S_l + j_l, \cdots\rangle$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\{j_l\} \in (\sum_{j_l} = J)} C_{j_l} |\cdots; S_l, -S_l + j_l, \cdots\rangle \qquad \text{(III.25)}$$

where

$$C_{j_l} = \oint dt e^{i\mathcal{T}_{\text{intr}}(t)} A_{j_l}(q(t), p(t)). \qquad \text{(III.26)}$$

IV.   RESULTS

We apply ASCC + SPA to study the multi-level system in pairing model. The TDHFB degrees of freedom equals the number of single particle levels, including the constant of motion (pairing rotation). First, we confirm the dynamics is identical between ASCC + SPA and adiabatic TDHFB in two-level (one-dimensional TDHFB) system. Next, we discuss the dynamics in non-integrable (more than three-level) system.

A.   Confirmation in one-dimensional TDHFB system

In two-level system, the classical Hamiltonian in (III.8) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{l=1,2} \epsilon_l \Omega_l (1 - \cos\theta_l)$$

$$- \frac{g}{4} \sum_{l=1,2} \Omega_l [\Omega_l (1 - \cos^2\theta_l) + (1 - \cos\theta_l)^2]$$

$$- \frac{g}{2} \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \sqrt{(1 - \cos^2\theta_{l_1})(1 - \cos^2\theta_{l_2})} \cos(\chi_2 - \chi_1). \qquad \text{(IV.1)}$$

If we define the canonical coordinate $\phi = \chi_2 - \chi_1$, it attributes to one-dimensional system. The conjugate momentum is $j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = \{\Omega_2(1 - \cos\theta_2) - \Omega_1(1 - \cos\theta_1)\}/4$. The adiabatic TDHFB (ATDHFB) means that we expand the Hamiltonian with respect to χ up to second order

$$\mathcal{H}(\phi, j) \approx V(j) + \frac{1}{2} B^{-1}(j)\phi^2, \qquad \text{(IV.2)}$$

where $V(j) = \mathcal{H}(\phi = 0, j)$ and $B^{-1}(j) = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}}{\partial \phi^2}\big|_{\phi=0}$. Because the time-dependent pairing vibrational mode is explicitly decoupled from pairing rotational mode, ASCC must be identical with ATDHFB. Furthermore, the excited states from SPA are also identical from both approaches.

We study the system with equal degeneracy $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = 50$, $g/(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) = 0.02$, and $N = 100$. In ASCC calculation, we set the mesh size of collective coordinate $dq = 0.02$. We compared the excited 0^+ states from one-dimensional ATDHFB and ASCC with each other. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show the TDHFB trajectory in phase space and action integral $\mathcal{T}$ as a function of time t, corresponding to $|0_2^+\rangle$, respectively. All of the mesh points obtained from ASCC are on the trajectory obtained from ATDHFB. The obtained excited 0^+ states from SPA are shown in Fig. 4. The occupation probability can be decomposed into $2n$-particle-$2n$-hole components. We can find the results from ATDHFB and ASCC are identical for all states. Therefore, the theoretical framework of ASCC + SPA has no problem.

B.   Three-level system

The simplest non-integrable system is three-level system. We have one trivial motion and two time-dependent degrees of freedom. We study the system with $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \Omega_3 = 8$, $\epsilon_1 = -1$, $\epsilon_2 = 0$, $\epsilon_3 = 1.5$, and $g = 0.2$.

### C.   Realistic single-particle level system

V.   CONCLUSION

FIG. 2: aa

FIG. 3: aa

FIG. 4: Occupation probability in excited 0^+ states as a function of j for $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = 50$, $N = 100$, and $x = 2$ system. The two vertical bars at each j from the left to the right represent the squared components of the wave functions from ATDHFB+SPA and ASCC+SPA calculations, respectively. The left end of the horizontal axis at $j = j_{\min}$ corresponds to a component with $(n_1, n_2) = (N, 0)$. The next at $j = j_{\min} + 1$ corresponds to the one with $(n_1, n_2) = (N - 2, 2)$, and so on.