# The limit and boundary characteristic classes in Borel-Moore motivic homology

Fangzhou Jin joint with Peng Sun and Enlin Yang

February 7, 2024

### Algebraic cycles and Chow groups

- X separated of finite type over a field
- group of *n*-dimensional (raw) **algebraic cycles**

$$Z_n(X) = \bigoplus_W \mathbb{Z}[W]$$

for  $W \subset X$  subvariety of dimension n

• **Chow group** of algebraic *n*-dimensional cycles

$$CH_n(X) = Z_n(X)/\{\text{rational equivalence}\}\$$

• Chow group of *n*-codimensional cycles

$$CH^n(X) = CH_{\dim(X)-n}(X)$$

• proper covariance, flat/lci contravariance

### Chern classes in Chow groups, after Grothendieck

#### Theorem (Grothendieck)

E/X rank n vector bundle,  $\xi=e(\mathcal{O}(-1))\in CH^1(\mathbb{P}(E))$ Then cup-products with powers of  $\xi$  induce an isomorphism

$$(1,\xi,\cdots,\xi^{n-1}): CH^*(X)\oplus\cdots\oplus CH^*(X)\simeq CH^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

and there are unique classes  $c_i(E) \in CH^i(X)$  such that

$$\xi^{n} - c_{1}(E)\xi^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n}c_{n}(E) = 0$$

X/k smooth, **(total) Chern class** 

$$c(X) = c(TX) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} c_i(TX) \in \mathit{CH}_*(X)$$

Question: what about singular varieties?

 $k = \mathbb{C}$ : Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class

### The Nash blow-up

- X/k possibly singular, dim(X) = d,  $X_0 \subset X$  smooth locus
- $i: X \to N$  closed embedding, N/k smooth
- $\phi: X_0 \to Gr(d.i^*TN)_{|X_0}$  induced by  $TX_0$
- Nash blow-up  $\widetilde{X} = \overline{\phi(X_0)} \subset \mathit{Gr}(d.i^*TN)_{|X_0}$
- $\nu: \widetilde{X} \to X$  proper birational
- $\widetilde{\Omega}$  =restriction of the tautological bundle on  $Gr(d.i^*TN)$  =locally free rank d sheaf on  $\widetilde{X}$
- ullet  $u^*\Omega^1_X o \widetilde{\Omega}$  canonical sheaf map

#### The Mather Chern class

Mather Chern class

$$c_M(X) = \nu_*(c(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\vee}) \cap [\widetilde{X}]) \in CH_*(X)$$

• linearization:

$$c_M: Z_*(X) \to CH_*(X)$$
  
$$\sum n_i V_i \mapsto \sum n_i c_M(V_i)$$

• c<sub>M</sub> is nice, but need modification for better functoriality

#### Constructible functions

- $X/\mathbb{C}$ , Cons(X) =group of **constructible functions** on X =  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of  $1_Z$  for  $Z \subset X$  subvariety
- $X \mapsto Cons(X)$  covariant for  $f: X \to Y$  proper:  $p \in Y$ ,

$$f_*1_W(p) = \chi(f^{-1}(p) \cap W)$$

 $\chi =$ topological Euler characteristic

#### The local Euler obstruction

• Local Euler obstruction:  $p \in X$ ,

$$Eu_p(X) = \int_{
u^{-1}(p)} c(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\vee}_{|
u^{-1}(p)}) \cap s(
u^{-1}(p), \widetilde{X}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(Gonzalez-Sprinberg-Verdier formula)

• linearization:

$$Eu: Z_*(X) \stackrel{\sim}{ o} Cons(X)$$
  
$$\sum n_i V_i \mapsto (p \mapsto \sum n_i Eu_p(V_i))$$

### The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class

#### Theorem (MacPherson, Deligne-Grothendieck conjecture)

The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (CSM) class

$$c_X^{SM}: Cons(X) \xrightarrow{Eu^{-1}} Z_*(X) \xrightarrow{c_M} CH_*(X)$$

is the unique natural transformation  $Cons(-) o CH_*$  commuting with proper push-forwards such that for X smooth

$$c_X^{SM}(1_X)=c(TX)$$

- Riemann-Roch type formula
- MacPherson's original construction and proof use transcendental methods
- Aluffi: algebraic reconstruction of the CSM class

### Category of compactifications

- Category of compactifications Cpt(X):
  - Objects:  $X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} k$ , j open immersion with dense image,  $\pi$  proper
  - Morphisms: proper morphisms  $\overline{X} \to \overline{X}'$  making the obvious diagram commute
- Cpt(X) is non-empty (Nagata), cofiltered

### Limit Chow groups

Limit Chow groups:

$$ICH_*(X) = \lim_{\overline{X} \in Cpt(X)} CH_*(\overline{X})$$

- $\alpha \in ICH_*(X) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha_{\overline{X}} \in CH_*(\overline{X}))_{\overline{X} \in Cpt(X)}$ , compatible with proper push-forwards
- canonical map  $ICH_*(X) \to CH_*(X)$ , isomorphism if X proper
- covariance:  $f: X \to Y \Rightarrow f_*: ICH_*(X) \to ICH_*(Y)$ In particular: deg :  $ICH_*(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$

### The pro-CSM class

#### Theorem (Aluffi)

Assume resolution of singularities and factorization of birational maps over k. There is a unique way to define classes  $lc^{SM}(X) \in ICH_*(X)$  (pro-CSM classes) such that

• (Additivity)  $X = \coprod U_i$  stratification,  $\iota_i : U_i \to X$ 

$$lc^{SM}(X) = \sum \iota_{i*} lc^{SM}(U_i)$$

• (Normalization) X smooth proper,  $D \subset X$  snc divisor,  $j: X-D \to X$  open complement,

$$j_*lc^{SM}(X-D)=c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)^\vee)$$

- $ICH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_*(X)$  sends  $Ic^{SM}$  to  $c^{SM}$
- In the sequel, we focus on the 0-dimensional part

### Motivic homotopy

motivic stable homotopy category

$$\mathsf{SH}(X) = L_{\mathbb{P}^1} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathit{Sh}((\mathit{Sm}/X)_{\mathit{Nis}}, \mathit{sSets})$$

six functors compatible with étale realization

$$\mathbf{SH}_c(X) \to D^b_{ctf}(X_{et}, \Lambda)$$

• Chow groups are representable as Borel-Moore theories:  $f: X \to k$ ,  $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z} = \text{motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum}$ 

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}^{BM}(X/k) = [\mathbb{1}_X, f^! \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}] \simeq CH_0(X)$$

More generally, limit Borel-Moore theories

$$I\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k) = \lim_{\overline{X} \in Cpt(X)} \mathbb{E}^{BM}(\overline{X}/k)$$

#### The characteristic class

- Classical: SGA5, Kashiwara-Schapira, Abbes-Saito
- Motivic: Olsson, J.-Yang, Cisinski, J.
- Lu-Zheng: generalized trace map in the (2-)category of correspondences
- $f: X \to k, K \in \mathbf{SH}_c(X), \mathbb{1}_k \to \mathbb{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$  $\delta: X \to X \times_k X, \mathbb{D}(K) = \underline{Hom}(K, f! \mathbb{1}_k)$
- $\mathbb{E}$ -valued characteristic class  $C_X(K,\mathbb{E}) \in \mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k)$

$$1_{X} \to \underline{Hom}(K, K) \simeq \delta^{!}(\mathbb{D}(K) \boxtimes_{k} K) \to \delta^{*}(\mathbb{D}(K) \boxtimes_{k} K)$$
$$= \mathbb{D}(K) \otimes_{k} K \simeq K \otimes_{k} \mathbb{D}(K) \to f^{!}1_{k} \to f^{!}\mathbb{E}$$

### Properties of the characteristic class

- Compatibility with proper push-forwards (Lefschetz-Verdier formula) and étale pullbacks special case: motivic Gauss-Bonnet formula (Levine, Déglise-J.-Khan)
- Additivity along distinguished triangles (May, Groth-Ponto-Shulman, J.-Yang)

#### Limit characteristic classes

#### Definition

Limit characteristic class (with/without compact support):

$$IC_X(K, \mathbb{E}) = (C_{\overline{X}}(j_*K, \mathbb{E}))_{X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \in Cpt(X)} \in I\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k)$$

$$IC_X^{\mathrm{c}}(K,\mathbb{E}) = (C_{\overline{X}}(j_!K,\mathbb{E}))_{X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \in Cpt(X)} \in I\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k)$$

### Properties of limit characteristic classes

- IC and IC<sup>c</sup> are additive along distinguished triangles
- (Push-forward formula)  $f: Y \to X$ ,

$$f_*IC_Y(K) = IC_X(f_*K)$$

$$f_*IC_Y^{\mathrm{c}}(K) = IC_X^{\mathrm{c}}(f_!K)$$

•  $I\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k) \to \mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k)$  sends both  $IC_X(K,\mathbb{E})$  and  $IC_X^c(K,\mathbb{E})$  to  $C_X(K,\mathbb{E})$ 

### Comparison with the pro-CSM class

#### Theorem (J.-Sun-Yang)

$$Ic_0^{SM}(X) = IC_X^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{1}_X, \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}) \in ICH_0(X/k)$$

• Consequently,  $IC_X^c(\mathbb{1}_X, \mathbf{H}^{MW}\mathbb{Z}) \in ICH_0^{MW}(X/k)$  is a quadratic refinement of the pro-CSM class (independently defined by Azouri)

### More comparison

### Lemma (JSY)

$$IC_X(K, \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}) = IC_X^{\mathrm{c}}(K, \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z})$$

- reflects the fact  $\chi(M) = \chi_c(M)$  for any complex manifold M, or more generally for any stratified space with only even-dimensional strata (cf. Laumon for étale sheaves)
- fails for  $\mathbf{H}^{MW}\mathbb{Z}$  in place of  $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$  (Levine)

### Boundary Borel-Moore theories

#### Definition

#### **Boundary Borel-Moore theories**

$$b\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k) = \lim_{\overline{X} \in Cpt(X)} \mathbb{E}^{BM}(\overline{X} - X/k)$$

- X proper  $\Rightarrow b\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k) = 0$
- $f: Y \to X$  proper  $\Rightarrow f_*: b\mathbb{E}^{BM}(Y/k) \to b\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k)$
- ullet canonical map  $b\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k) o I\mathbb{E}^{BM}(X/k)$

In the sequel we focus on the case  $\mathbb{E}=\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e. the **boundary** Chow group of 0-cycles  $bCH_0$  (Kato-Saito)

### The Kato-Saito-Swan class

- char(k) = p, U/k smooth connected,  $\mathcal{F}/U$  smooth sheaf
- $f: V \to U$  finite Galois trivializing  $\mathcal{F}$ , G = Gal(V/U),  $\mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow M \in \Lambda[G] \mathsf{Mod}$

### Definition (Kato-Saito-Swan class)

$$\mathsf{Sw}_U^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{|G|} f_* \sum_{\sigma \in G_{(p)} \setminus \{1\}} \left( \dim M^{\sigma} - \frac{\dim M^{\sigma^p}/M^{\sigma}}{p-1} \right) \\ \cdot \left( V \times_U V \setminus \Delta_V, \Delta_V \right)_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{log}} \in \mathit{bCH}_0(U)$$

#### Theorem (Kato-Saito)

$$\chi_c(\mathcal{F}) = \mathsf{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \chi_c(\Lambda) - \mathsf{deg}(\mathsf{Sw}_U^{KS}(\mathcal{F}))$$

 generalizes the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula to higher dimensions

### The localized characteristic class

- Abbes-Saito for étale sheaves, J.-Yang in the motivic/quadratic setting
- $f: X \to k$  smooth,  $i: Z \to X$  nowhere dense closed,  $\delta: X \to X \times_k X$  with open complement  $\gamma$

$$\delta^{\Delta} = \delta^{!}(-\otimes \gamma_{*}\mathbb{1}) : \mathbf{SH}(X \times X) \to \mathbf{SH}(X)$$

•  $K \in \mathbf{SH}_c(X)$  such that  $K_{|X-Z|}$  is dualizable, then the class

$$i_* \mathbb{1}_Z \to i_* i^* \delta^{\Delta} \delta_* \mathbb{1}_X \to i_* i^* \delta^{\Delta} (\mathbb{D}(K) \boxtimes_k K)$$
  
$$\simeq \delta^{\Delta} (\mathbb{D}(K) \boxtimes_k K) \to \delta^{\Delta} \delta_* f^! \mathbf{H} \mathbb{Z}$$

lifts to a unique class  $C_X^Z(K) \in CH_0(Z)$ , the **localized** characteristic class

### Theorem (Abbes-Saito, J.-Yang)

$$C_X(K) = \operatorname{rk}(K) \cdot C_X(\mathbb{1}_X) + i_* C_X^Z(K)$$

### Saito's uniqueness conjecture

### Conjecture (T. Saito)

The three approaches

- via  $Sw^{KS}(\mathcal{F})$
- via  $C_X^Z(K)$
- via characteristic cycles

yield the same Swan class for étale sheaves

 $\bullet$  Umezaki-Yang-Zhao, Yang-Zhao: compare  $\mathsf{Sw}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathsf{Sw}^{\mathit{CC}}(\mathcal{F})$ 

### Functoriality of the localized characteristic class

X, Y smooth, U = X - Z, Cartesian diagram

$$V \stackrel{l}{\rightarrow} Y \stackrel{k}{\leftarrow} W$$

$$g \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f \qquad \qquad \downarrow h$$

$$U \stackrel{j}{\rightarrow} X \stackrel{i}{\leftarrow} Z.$$

• (Pullback) g étale,  $K \in \mathbf{SH}_c(X)$ ,  $K_{|U}$  dualizable,  $\mathsf{rk}(K) = 0 \Rightarrow$ 

$$h^!C_X^Z(K)=C_Y^W(f^*K)\in CH_0(W)$$

• (Push-forward) f proper, g smooth,  $K \in \mathbf{SH}_c(Y)$ ,  $K_{|V|}$  dualizable,  $\mathrm{rk}(K) = 0 \Rightarrow$ 

$$h_*C_Y^W(K)=C_X^Z(g_*K)\in CH_0(Z)$$

Additivity along distinguished triangles

### Boudary characteristic class

- Assume the category of smooth compactifications  $Cpt^{Sm}(X)$  is cofinal in Cpt(X) (Known if  $\dim(X) \leq 3$  or under resolution of singularities)
- $K \in \mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{rig}}_{c}(X)$ , dualizable

### Definition (Boundary characteristic class (with compact support))

$$\begin{split} bC_X^{\mathrm{c}}(K) &= \big(C_{\overline{X}}^{\overline{X}-X}(j_!K) - \mathsf{rk}(K) \cdot C_{\overline{X}}^{\overline{X}-X}(j_!\mathbb{1}_X)\big)_X \xrightarrow{j}_{\overline{X} \in \mathit{Cpt}^{Sm}(X)} \\ &\in \mathit{bCH}_0(X) \end{split}$$

- well-defined by the pullback formula
- $bCH_0(X) o ICH_0(X)$  sends  $bC_X^c(K)$  to  $IC_X^c(K) \operatorname{rk}(K) \cdot IC_X^c(\mathbb{1}_X)$

### Comparison with the Kato-Saito-Swan class

#### Conjecture (JSY)

Under resolution of singularities, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{K}_0(\mathsf{SH}^{\mathrm{rig}}_c(X)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_0(\mathsf{D}^{b,\mathrm{rig}}_c(X,\Lambda)) \\ & bC_X^{\mathrm{c}} \bigg| & -\mathsf{Sw}_X^{\mathrm{KS}} & \bigg| bC_X^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & bCH_0(X) &\longrightarrow b\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{et}}\Lambda^{BM}(X/k). \end{array}$$

#### Theorem (JSY)

The lower triangle in the above diagram commutes

 proof uses push-forward formula, Brauer theory and an argument of T. Saito

## Thank you!