

STAT 501 Homework 2

Multinomial

February 10, 2018

1. (a) The conditional density of $\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{X}_2$ is $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, so $A\mathbf{X}$ is also a Multinomial random variable. Then we set $A = [\mathbf{1}_{1 \times q} \quad \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (p-q)}]$, we have $A\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{1}_{1 \times q} \quad \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (p-q)}] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{X}_1$. So $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim N_q$, and

$$E(\mathbf{X}_1) = E(A\mathbf{X}) = A E(\mathbf{X}) = A\boldsymbol{\mu} = [\mathbf{1}_{1 \times q} \quad \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (p-q)}] \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_1$$

and

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{X}_1) = \text{Var}(A\mathbf{X}) = A \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) A^T = A\boldsymbol{\Sigma} A^T = [\mathbf{1}_{1 \times q} \quad \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (p-q)}] \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{q \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(p-q) \times 1} \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}$$

Hence $\mathbf{X}_1 \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11})$

- (b) The density of \mathbf{X}_1 conditioning on \mathbf{X}_2 is

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_2) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)}{f(\mathbf{x}_2)} = \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x}_2)}$$

We know

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^p \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)$$

And from (a) we know

$$f(\mathbf{x}_2) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^p \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22})} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \right)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_2) &= \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x}_2)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^p \{\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})/\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22})\}} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \{(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{V} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Taking determinant on both sides, we have

$$\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \det(\mathbf{V}) \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}) \Rightarrow \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})/\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}) = \det(\mathbf{V})$$

Also we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{-1} & -\mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \\ -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \mathbf{V}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) &= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T & (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{-1} & -\mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \\ -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \mathbf{V}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \\
&\quad - (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)
\end{aligned}$$

As $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is symmetric, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}^T$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1})^T$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Above} &= (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2))^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \\
&\quad - (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2))^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) \\
&= (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2))^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^p \det(\mathbf{V})} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2))^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)) \right)$$

So the distribution of \mathbf{X}_1 given \mathbf{X}_2 is multinormal. The expected value is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ and the variance covariance matrix is $\mathbf{V} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_2 \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21})$$

(c) For all $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\mathbf{X} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{X} \sim N_1(\mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{t})$. Thus

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = E[\exp(\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{X})] = M_{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{X}}(1) = \exp(\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{1}^2) = \exp(\mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{t} / 2)$$

2. $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$, then $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{Z} \sim N_p$. And

$$E(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{Z}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} E(\mathbf{Z}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{Z}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \text{Var}(\mathbf{Z}) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{I}_p \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T = \mathbf{I}_p$$

Thus

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{Z} \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$$

3. (a) Since \mathbf{B} is positive definite, we have $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{P}^T$, where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^p$, $\lambda_i > 0$, and $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T = \mathbf{I}_p$. Thus

$$|\mathbf{B}| = |\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{P}^T| = |\mathbf{P}| |\boldsymbol{\Lambda}| |\mathbf{P}^T| = |\boldsymbol{\Lambda}| |\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T| = |\boldsymbol{\Lambda}| = \prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i$$

and

$$\text{trace}(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i$$

Thus

$$f(\mathbf{B}) = n^{n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \right)^{n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \right) \right\}$$

Taking the log of f , we have

$$\log(f(\mathbf{B})) = \frac{n}{2} \log n + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \log(\lambda_i) - \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i$$

So

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} - \frac{n}{2}$$

Let $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i} = 0$, we have $\lambda_i = 1$. And

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \right|_{\lambda_i=1} = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \Big|_{\lambda_i=1} = -\frac{n}{2} < 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = 0$$

So the Hessian matrix

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\mathbf{1}} = -\frac{n}{2} \mathbf{I}_p$$

is negative definite. So $\lambda_i = 1$ gives the maximum of f . Thus

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{P}^T = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{I}_p \mathbf{P}^T = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T = \mathbf{I}_p$$

i. We know the log likelihood is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}; \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = -\frac{n}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{np}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

So

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (-(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-T})(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = n \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

And

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = -\frac{n}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

Set $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathbf{0}$, since $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$ is full rank, then

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

Then plug in $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ into $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ and set it to be $\mathbf{0}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} &= -\frac{n}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} = \mathbf{0} \\ \Rightarrow -n + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \Rightarrow n \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \\ \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T}{n} \end{aligned}$$