STAT 510 Homework 5

Yifan Zhu

February 14, 2017

- 1. (a) $\mu_1 = \text{Intercept} \Rightarrow \text{BLUE}(\mu_1) = \text{BLUE}(\text{Intercept}) = 351$
 - (b) $\mu_2 = \text{Intercept} + \text{dose2} \Rightarrow \text{BLUE}(\mu_2) = \text{BLUE}(\text{Intercept}) + \text{BLUE}(\text{dose2}) = 351 10 = 341$
 - (c) $\widehat{Var}(\hat{\mu}_2) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2}, \widehat{Var}(\text{Intercept}) = \widehat{Var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2} = \widehat{Var}(\hat{\mu}_2), \text{ then } se(\hat{\mu}_2) = se(\text{Intercept}) = 6.576.$
 - (d) $t = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2}{se(\hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2)} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2}} = \frac{-\texttt{dose2}}{se(\texttt{dose2})} = \frac{10}{9.301} = 1.075.$

Distribution is $t_5(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$.

p-value is 0.331406. Since p-value is large, there is no significant evidence that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

- (e) $F = \left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_3 \hat{\mu}_4}{se(\hat{\mu}_3 \hat{\mu}_4)}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{-6 + 17}{9.301}\right)^2 = 1.399.$
- (f) $F = \frac{(1038.5 432.5)/3}{432.5/5} = 2.3353$. The degrees of freedom is (3,5).

The p-value is 0.1907591, thus there is no significant evidence that full model can fit better than simple regression model. Thus a simple regression model is adequate here.

(g)

$$\mu_{1} = \beta_{0} + 0\beta_{1}$$

$$\mu_{2} = \beta_{0} + 2\beta_{1}$$

$$\mu_{3} = \beta_{0} + 4\beta_{1}$$

$$\mu_{4} = \beta_{0} + 8\beta_{1}$$

$$\mu_{5} = \beta_{0} + 16\beta_{1}$$

 \iff

$$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 2\beta_1$$

$$\mu_3 - \mu_2 = 2\beta_1$$

$$\mu_4 - \mu_3 = 4\beta_1$$

$$\mu_5 - \mu_4 = 8\beta_1$$

 \iff

$$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = \mu_3 - \mu_2$$
$$2(\mu_3 - \mu_2) = \mu_4 - \mu_3$$
$$2(\mu_4 - \mu_3) = \mu_5 - \mu_4$$

 \leftarrow

$$\mu_1 - 2\mu_2 - \mu_3 = 0$$
$$2\mu_2 - 3\mu_2 + \mu_4 = 0$$
$$2\mu_3 - 3\mu_4 + \mu_5 = 0$$

 \iff

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \\ \mu_4 \\ \mu_5 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus

$$m{C} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 2 & -3 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, m{d} = m{0}$$

(h)

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F Value	Pr(>F)
d dose Residuals	1 3 5	5899.6 607 432.5	5899.6 202.3 87.5	67.4 2.3	0.0004245 0.1907591

2. $X = XB^{-1}B \Rightarrow \text{Every column of } X \text{ is linear combination of columns of } XB^{-1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(XB^{-1}).$

 $XB^{-1} = XB^{-1} \Rightarrow$ Every column of XB^{-1} is linear combination of columns of $X \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(XB^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$.

Thus $C(X) = C(XB^{-1})$.

3.

$$P_X = P_W \iff P_X - P_W = 0 \iff (P_X - P_W)^T (P_X - P_W)$$

$$\begin{split} (P_X - P_W)^T (P_X - P_W) &= (P_X^T - P_W^T)(P_X - P_W) \\ &= P_X P_X - P_X P_W - P_W P_X + P_W P_W \\ &= P_X - P_X P_W - P_W P_X + P_W \end{split}$$

As C(X) = C(W), we have W = XB. Then $P_X P_W = P_X W (W^T W)^- W^T = P_X X B (W^T W)^- W^T = X B (W^T W)^T W^T = W (W^T W)^- W^T = P_W$. In the same way we can prove $P_W P_X = P_X$. Then

$$(P_X - P_W)^T (P_X - P_W) = P_X - P_W - P_X + P_W = 0$$

Thus $P_X = P_W$.

4. (a)

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \tau_1 - \tau_2$, hence it is estimable.

$$\boldsymbol{X}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each column of X^* is linear combination of columns of X. We can also get every column of X with linear combination of columns of X^* . Thus $C(X) = C(X^*)$. Also, columns of X^* are orthogonal.

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \lambda_1 + \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \\ \mu + \lambda_1 + \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2} \\ \frac{\tau_1 - \tau_2}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

(e)
$$\boldsymbol{X}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_1 & \boldsymbol{x}_2 & \boldsymbol{x}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, then

$$(X^*)^T m{X} = egin{bmatrix} m{x}_1^T \ m{x}_2^T \ m{x}_3^T \end{bmatrix} m{x}_1 & m{x}_2 & m{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} m{x}_1^T m{x}_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & m{x}_2^T m{x}_2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & m{x}_3^T m{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 4 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}^*)^T \boldsymbol{X}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AP_X = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/4 & -1/4 & -1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & -1/4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$OLSE(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = \mathbf{AP_Xy} = \frac{1}{4}y_{111} + \frac{1}{4}y_{112} - \frac{1}{4}y_{121} - \frac{1}{4}y_{122} + \frac{1}{4}y_{211} + \frac{1}{4}y_{212} - \frac{1}{4}y_{221} - \frac{1}{4}y_{222}$$