

# Multiple Regression and ANOVA (Ch. 9.2)

Yifan Zhu

Iowa State University

## Multiple Regression and ANOVA

Sums of squares

Advanced inference for multiple regression

The  $F$ -test statistic and  $R^2$

- ▶ **Analysis of variance (ANOVA)**: the use of sums of squares to construct a test statistic for comparing nested models.
- ▶ **Nested models**: a pair of models such that one contains all the parameters of the other.
  - ▶ Examples:
    - ▶ Full model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$  with the reduced model:  $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ .
    - ▶ Full model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_{2,i} + \varepsilon_i$  with the reduced model:  $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \varepsilon_i$

# Sums of Squares

- ▶ **Total sum of squares (SST)**: the total amount of variation in the response.

$$SST = \sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- ▶ **Regression sum of squares (SSR)**: the amount of variation in response explained by the model.

$$SSR = \sum_i (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

- ▶ **Error sum of squares (SSE)**: the amount of variation in the response *not* explained by the model.

$$SSE = \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

# Properties of Sums of Squares

- ▶ They add up:

$$SST = SSR + SSE$$

- ▶ We can use them to calculate  $R^2$ :

$$\underline{R^2} = \frac{SST - SSE}{SST} = \frac{SSR}{SST}$$

- ▶ We can calculate the **mean squared error (MSE)**:

$$\underline{MSE} = \frac{1}{n - p} SSE$$

which satisfies:

$$\underline{E(MSE)} = \underline{\sigma^2}$$

$$\underline{MSE} = \underline{s_{LF}^2} \text{ for simple linear regression and } \underline{s_{SF}^2} \text{ for multiple regression.}$$

- ▶ The **regression mean square (MSR)** is:

$$MSR = \frac{1}{\underline{p - 1}} SSR \rightarrow \# \text{ of predictors.}$$

# Inference: deciding between nested models

- ▶ Suppose I have the full model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_{2,i} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} x_{p-1,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

*p parameters. (p-1) predictors.*

- ▶ And an intercept-only reduced model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

*1 parameter.*

- ▶ I want to do a hypothesis test to decide if the full model works better than the reduced model.
  - ▶ Does the full model explain significantly more variation in the response than the reduced model?
  - ▶ This is a job for the sums of squares.

# The hypothesis test: intercept-only model vs. full model

- the reduced model is true.*
- ▶  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$

▶  $H_a$  : not all of the  $\beta_i$ 's  $= 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ )

2.  $\alpha$  is some sensible value ( $< 0.1$ ).

3. The test statistic is:
- in SLR:  $p=2$ ,  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ ,  $H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$ .*

$$F = \frac{SSR/(p-1)}{SSE/(n-p)} = \frac{MSR}{MSE} \sim F_{p-1, n-p}$$

*$F = \frac{SSR/1}{SSE/(n-2)}$*

Assume:

- ▶  $H_0$  is true.

▶ The full model is valid with the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$
- Reject  $H_0$  if observed  $F > F_{p-1, n-p, 1-\alpha}$ . Or use the p-value:  $P(F_{p-1, n-p} > \text{observed } F)$ ; reject  $H_0$  when p-value is small.



# Example: stack loss

1. Consider a chemical plant that makes nitric acid from ammonia.
2. We want to predict stack loss ( $y$ , 10 times the % ammonia that escapes from the absorption column) using:
  - ▶  $x_1$ : air flow, the rate of operation of the plant
  - ▶  $x_2$ , inlet temperature of the cooling water
  - ▶  $x_3$ : (% circulating acid - 50%)  $\times 10$



## Example: stack loss

$i$ , Observation Number	$x_{1i}$ , Air Flow	$x_{2i}$ , Cooling Water Inlet Temperature	$x_{3i}$ , Acid Concentration	$y_i$ , Stack Loss
1	80	27	88	37
2	62	22	87	18
3	62	23	87	18
4	62	24	93	19
5	62	24	93	20
6	58	23	87	15
7	58	18	80	14
8	58	18	89	14
9	58	17	88	13
10	58	18	82	11
11	58	19	93	12
12	50	18	89	8
13	50	18	86	7
14	50	19	72	8
15	50	19	79	8
16	50	20	80	9
17	56	20	82	15

## Example: stack loss

- ▶ Given:
  - ▶  $n = 17$
  - ▶  $y$ : stack loss of nitrogen from the chemical plant.
  - ▶  $x_1$ : air flow, the rate of operation of the plant
  - ▶  $x_2$ , inlet temperature of the cooling water
  - ▶  $x_3$ : (% circulating acid - 50%)  $\times 10$
- ▶ We'll test the full model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_{2,i} + \beta_3 x_{3,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

against the reduced model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

# Example: stack loss

full model.

$\uparrow$   $p$  parameters

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_{p-1} + \varepsilon$$

reduced model  $\rightarrow$  1 parameter.

$$y = \beta_0 + \varepsilon \Rightarrow \hat{y}_i = \bar{y}$$

$$k = p - 1$$

1.  $\blacktriangleright H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$

$\blacktriangleright$  Not all of the  $\beta_i$ 's are 0,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

$$SSR_f = SSR_r \quad SSR_r = \sum (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = \sum (\bar{y} - \bar{y})^2 = 0$$

$$(SSR_f - SSR_r) / k = SSR / (p - 1)$$

2.  $\alpha = 0.05$

3. The test statistic is:

are for the full model.  $\rightarrow SSR_f$   
 $\rightarrow SSE_f$

$$F = \frac{SSR / (p - 1)}{SSE / (n - p)} = \frac{MSR}{MSE} \sim F_{p-1, n-p}$$

Assume:

- $\blacktriangleright H_0$  is true.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The full model is valid with the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $F > F_{p-1, n-p, 1-\alpha} = F_{4-1, 17-4, 1-0.05} = F_{3,13,0.95} = 3.41$ .

Table B.6.

## Example: stack loss

4. In JMP, fit the full model and look at the **ANOVA** table:

Analysis of Variance					
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Model	3	795.83449	265.278	169.0432	
Error	13	20.40080	1.569		
C. Total	16	816.23529			

Handwritten annotations on the ANOVA table:

- # of predictors =  $p - 1$  (points to Model DF)
- $n - p$  (points to Error DF)
- $SSR$  (points to Model Sum of Squares)
- $SSE$  (points to Error Sum of Squares)
- $MSR$  (points to Model Mean Square)
- $MSE$  (points to Error Mean Square)
- obs.  $F$  (points to F Ratio)
- $p$ -value (points to Prob > F)

by reading directly from the table, we can see:

- ▶  $p - 1 = 3$ ,  $n - p = 13$ ,  $n - 1 = 16$
  - ▶  $SSR = 795.83$ ,  $SSE = 20.4$ ,  $SST = 816.24$
  - ▶  $MSR = SSR / (p - 1) = 795.83 / 3 = 265.28$
  - ▶  $MSE = SSE / (n - p) = 20.4 / 13 = 1.57$
  - ▶  $observed F = MSR / MSE = 265.78 / 1.57 = 169.04$
  - ▶ Prob>F gives the  $p$ -value,  
 $P(F_{3,13} > observed F) < 0.0001$ .
5. With  $F = 169.04 > 3.41$ , we reject  $H_0$  and conclude  $H_a$ .
6. There is overwhelming evidence that at least one of air flow, inlet temperature, and % circulating acid is important in explaining the variation in stack loss.

# What if I want to compare different nested models?

if  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, X_{i3}, \dots, X_{ik}$  are not used in the reduced model.  
 $\Rightarrow \beta_{i1} = \beta_{i2} = \dots = \beta_{ik} = 0$ .

- $H_0 : \beta_{i1} = \beta_{i2} = \dots = \beta_{ik} = 0$
  - ~~$H_a : \text{not all of } \beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{ik} \text{ are } 0.$~~
  - (For example,  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$  vs  $H_a : \text{either } \beta_2 \text{ or } \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ or both.}$  The model is  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \beta_2 x_{i,2} + \beta_3 x_{i,3} + \beta_4 x_{i,4} + \varepsilon_i$ , and  $k = 2$ )

$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \beta_4 x_{i,4} \leftarrow \text{reduced model.}$

- $\alpha$  is some sensible value.  $\rightarrow$  difference in the # of parameters in the reduced and full models.
- The test statistic is:

$$F = \frac{(\text{extra variation explained by the full model over the reduced model.})}{\text{SSE}_f / (n - p)} \sim F_{k, n-p}$$

$\rightarrow$  extra # of parameters.

- $SSR_r$  is for the reduced model and  $SSR_f$  is for the full model.
- Of course, we assume  $H_0$  is true and the full model is valid with the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

# What if I want to compare different nested models?

4. We can construct a combined ANOVA table:

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Reg (full)	$SSR_f$	$p - 1$		
Reg (reduced)	$SSR_r$	$p - k - 1$		
→ Reg (full   red)	$SSR_f - SSR_r$	$k$	$\frac{SSR_f - SSR_r}{k}$	$\frac{MSR_{f r}}{MSE_f}$
Error	$SSE_f$	$n - p$	$\frac{SSE_f}{n - p}$	
Total	$SST$	$n - 1$		

5. Reject  $H_0$  if observed  $F > F_{p-k-1, n-p, 1-\alpha}$ . Or use the p-value:  
 $P(F_{p-k-1, n-p} > \text{observed } F)$ ; reject  $H_0$  when p-value is small.

## Example: stack loss

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \beta_2 X_{i,2} + \beta_3 X_{i,3} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1}$$

1.
  - ▶  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$
  - ▶  $H_a : \text{either } \beta_2 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_3 \neq 0$
2.  $\alpha = 0.05$
3. The test statistic is:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{(SSR_f - SSR_r)/k}{SSE_f/(n-p)} = \frac{(SSR_f - SSR_r)/2}{SSE_f/(17-4)} \\ &= \frac{(SSR_f - SSR_r)/2}{SSE_f/13} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Assume  $H_0$  is true and the full model is valid with the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- ▶ Then,  $F \sim F_{k, n-p} = F_{2,13}$ .
- ▶ I will reject  $H_0$  if  $F > F_{2,13,0.95} = 3.81$ .

## Example: stack loss

4. Look at the ANOVA tables in JMP for both the full model ( $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \beta_2 x_{2,i} + \beta_3 x_{3,i} + \varepsilon_i$ ):

▼ Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	3	795.83449	265.278	169.0432
Error	13	20.40080	1.569	Prob > F
C. Total	16	816.23529		<.0001*

Multiple  
Regression and  
ANOVA

Sums of squares

Advanced inference  
for multiple regression

The  $F$ -test statistic  
and  $R^2$

and the reduced model ( $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \varepsilon_i$ ):

▼ Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	1	775.48219	775.482	285.4318
Error	15	40.75311	2.717	Prob > F
C. Total	16	816.23529		<.0001*



## Example: stack loss

I construct a different ANOVA table for this test:

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Reg (full)	795.83	4		
Reg (reduced)	775.48	2		
Reg (full   red)	20.35	2	10.18	6.48
Error	20.4	13	1.57	
Total	SST	16		

Multiple  
Regression and  
ANOVA  
Sums of squares  
Advanced inference  
for multiple regression  
The  $F$ -test statistic  
and  $R^2$

5. With  $\text{observed}F = 6.48 > 3.81$ , I reject  $H_0$  and conclude  $H_a$ .
6. There is enough evidence to conclude that at least one of inlet temperature and % circulating acid is associated with stack loss.

## Example: stack loss

reduced model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_3 X_{3,i} + \epsilon_i$$

- Attempt to eliminate inlet temperature ( $x_2$ ) from the model at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Here is the ANOVA table for the full model:

Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	3	795.83449	265.278	169.0432
Error	13	20.40080	1.569	Prob > F
C. Total	16	816.23529		<.0001*

and for the reduced model:

Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	2	776.84496	388.422	138.0520
Error	14	39.39033	2.814	Prob > F
C. Total	16	816.23529		<.0001*

## Example: stack loss

1.  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0, H_a : \beta_2 \neq 0$
2.  $\alpha = 0.05$
3. The test statistic is:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{(SSR_f - SSR_r)/\textcircled{1}}{SSE_f/(n-p)} = \frac{SSR_f - SSR_r}{\underline{SSE_f/(17-4)}} \\ &= \frac{SSR_f - SSR_r}{SSE_f/13} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Assume  $H_0$  is true and the full model is valid with the  $\varepsilon_i$ 's iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- ▶ Then,  $F \sim F_k, n-p = \underline{F_{1,13}}$ .
- ▶ I will reject  $H_0$  if  $F > \underline{F_{1,13,0.95} = 4.67}$ .

## Example: stack loss

4. I construct a different ANOVA table for this test:

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Reg (full)	795.83	4		
Reg (reduced)	776.84	3		
Reg (full   red)	18.99	1	18.99	12.10
Error	20.4	13	1.57	
Total	SST	16		

5. With observed  $F = 12.10$   $> 4.67$ , we reject  $H_0$ .
6. There is enough evidence to conclude that stack loss varies with inlet temperature.

## Example: stack loss

- ▶ The  $F$  test for eliminating one parameter is analogous to the  $t$  test from before:

Parameter Estimates				
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t
Intercept	-37.65246	4.732051	-7.96	<.0001*
x1	0.7976856	0.067439	11.83	<.0001*
$\beta_2 \rightarrow$ x2	0.5773405	0.165969	3.48	0.0041*
x3	-0.06706	0.061603	-1.09	0.2961

- ▶ The  $t$  statistic for  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$  vs.  $H_a : \beta_2 \neq 0$  is 3.48.
- ▶ But  $3.48^2 = 12.1$ , which is our  $F$  statistic from the ANOVA test!

- ▶ Fun fact:

*F-test and t-test are equivalent for testing  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$  vs  $H_a : \beta_2 \neq 0$  - a two-sided test for one parameter*

$$F_{1, \nu} = t_{\nu}^2$$

*note: the ANOVA F-test is always a two-sided test.*

# The F test statistic and $R^2$

- ▶ If  $F$  is the test statistic from a test of  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$  vs.  $H_a$  : not all of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{p-1}$  are 0, then  $F$  can be expressed in terms of the coefficient of determination of the full model:

$$F = \frac{R^2/(p-1)}{(1-R^2)/(n-p)}$$

- ▶ For the stack loss example, the full model's  $R^2 = 0.975$ , and so:

$$F = \frac{0.975/(4-1)}{(1-0.975)/(17-4)} = \underline{\underline{169}}$$

# The F test statistic and $R^2$

▼ Summary of Fit				
RSquare		0.975006		
RSquare Adj		0.969238		
Root Mean Square Error		1.252714		
Mean of Response		14.47059		
Observations (or Sum Wgts)		17		
▼ Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	3	795.83449	265.278	169.0432
Error	13	20.40080	1.569	Prob > F
C. Total	16	816.23529		<.0001*

# The F test statistic and $R^2$

- For  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$  vs.  $H_a : \text{not all of } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{p-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{SSR \frac{1}{p-1}}{SSE \frac{1}{n-p}} = \frac{\frac{SSR}{SST} \frac{1}{p-1}}{\frac{SSE}{SST} \frac{1}{n-p}} = \frac{\frac{SSR}{SST} \frac{1}{p-1}}{\frac{SST-SSR}{SST} \frac{1}{n-p}} = \frac{\frac{SSR}{SST} \frac{1}{p-1}}{\left(1 - \frac{SSR}{SST}\right) \frac{1}{n-p}} \\ &= \frac{R^2 \frac{1}{p-1}}{(1 - R^2) \frac{1}{n-p}} \end{aligned}$$



# The F test statistic and $R^2$

- ▶ If  $F$  is the test statistic from a test of  $H_0 : \beta_{l_1} = \beta_{l_2} = \cdots = \beta_{l_k} = 0$  vs.  $H_a$  : not all of  $\beta_{l_1}, \beta_{l_2}, \dots, \beta_{l_k}$  are 0, then  $F$  can be expressed in terms of the coefficient of determination of the full model ( $R_f^2$ ) and that of the reduced model ( $R_r^2$ ):

$$F = \frac{(R_f^2 - R_r^2)/k}{(1 - R_f^2)/(n - p)}$$

- ▶ For the stack loss example when we tested  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ ,  $R_f^2 = 0.975$  and  $R_r^2 = 0.95$ .

$$F = \frac{(0.975 - 0.95)/2}{(1 - 0.975)/(17 - 4)} = 6.50$$

which is close to the test statistic of 6.48 that we calculated before.

# The F test statistic and $R^2$

- When we tested  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ ,  $R_r^2$  was 0.9517, so:

$$F = \frac{(0.975 - 0.9517)/1}{(1 - 0.975)/(17 - 4)} = \underline{12.117}$$

which is close to the test statistic of 12.10 that was  
calculated directly from the ANOVA table.

# The F test statistic and $R^2$

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{(SSR_f - SSR_r) \frac{1}{k}}{SSE_f \frac{1}{n-p}} = \frac{\frac{SSR_f - SSR_r}{SST} \frac{1}{k}}{\frac{SSE_f}{SST} \frac{1}{n-p}} = \frac{\left( \frac{SSR_f}{SST} - \frac{SSR_r}{SST} \right) \frac{1}{k}}{\frac{SST - SSR_f}{SST} \frac{1}{n-p}} \\ &= \frac{\left( \frac{SSR_f}{SST} - \frac{SSR_r}{SST} \right) \frac{1}{k}}{\left( 1 - \frac{SSR_f}{SST} \right) \frac{1}{n-p}} = \frac{(R_f^2 - R_r^2) \frac{1}{k}}{(1 - R_f^2) \frac{1}{n-p}} \end{aligned}$$

*Handwritten notes: Red circles around  $\frac{SSR_f}{SST}$  and  $\frac{SSR_r}{SST}$  in the first line, with arrows pointing to  $R_f^2$  and  $R_r^2$  above them. Red circles around the entire fractions in the second line.*