Optimizations for statistical applications I

Stat 580: Statistical Computing

- Theme: Black White
 - Printable version

Motivating example: likelihood inference

- Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be an iid sample from $f(x|\theta^*)$ where the true parameter value θ^* is unknown.
- The likelihood function is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of θ is the maximizer of $L(\theta)$.

- Usually it is easier to work with the log likelihood $l(\theta) = \log L(\theta)$.
- Typically, maximization of $l(\theta)$ is done by solving $l'(\theta)$, the score function.

Motivating example: likelihood inference

• For any θ

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\{l'(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} = 0$$

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\{l'(\boldsymbol{\theta})l'(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T\} = -E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\{l''(\boldsymbol{\theta})\},$$
 where $E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is expectation w.r.t. $f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

- Fisher Information: $I(\theta) = -E_{\theta}\{l''(\theta)\}$
- Observed Fisher Information: $-l''(\theta)$

Motivating example: likelihood inference

- If $\dim(\theta) = 1$, $I(\theta)$ is a nonnegative number. If $\dim(\theta) > 1$, $I(\theta)$ is a nonnegative definite matrix.
- $I(\theta)$ sets the limit on how accurate an unbiased estimate of θ can be.
- As $n \to \infty$, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{MLE}} \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, nI(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^{-1})$

Working with Derivatives

- Suppose g(x) is a differentiable function, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
- To find its (local) maximum or minimum, one method is to solve the equation g'(x) = 0, where

$$g'(x) = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n}\right)^T$$

That is, this is equivalent to solving f(x) = 0 where f = g'.

Univariate Case: Newton's Method

- A fast approach for solving f(x) = 0:
 - 1. Start with an initial estimate x_0 .
 - 2. For t = 0, 1, ..., compute $x_{t+1} = x_t + h_t$, where $h_t = \frac{-f(x_t)}{f'(x_t)}$
 - 3. Continue until convergence:

$$\frac{|x_{t+1} - x_t|}{|x_t + \Delta|} < \varepsilon$$

where Δ is small (e.g., 0.00005).

Univariate Case: Newton's Method

- Also known as Newton-Raphson method
- Need to specify x_0
- If f(x) = 0 has multiple roots, end result will depend on x_0
- Iteration cannot continue if $f'(x_t) = 0$. Try a different initial value if this happens.

Why does it work?

- Let x^0 be the true solution and \tilde{x} be an approximation of x^0 .
- Taylor expansion:

$$f(x) = f(\tilde{x}) + (x - \tilde{x})f'(\tilde{x}) + \frac{(x - \tilde{x})^2}{2}f''(\hat{x}),$$

where \hat{x} lies between x and \tilde{x} .

• Since $f(x^0) = 0$, we have

$$0 = f(\tilde{x}) + (x^0 - \tilde{x})f'(\tilde{x}) + \frac{(x^0 - \tilde{x})^2}{2}f''(\hat{x})$$

• If x^0 and \tilde{x} are close, the last term can be ignored:

$$0 \approx f(\tilde{x}) + (x^0 - \tilde{x})f'(\tilde{x}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x^0 \approx \tilde{x} - \frac{f(\tilde{x})}{f'(\tilde{x})}$$

Optimization with Newton's method

- Can be applied to optimize g by applying Newton's method to f = g'.
- Both g' (gradient) and g'' (Hessian) are needed.
- Computation of g'' could be difficult, especially for multi-dimensional function. Many variants of Newton's method avoid the computation of g''.

To maximize $g(x) = \frac{\log x}{1+x}$, first find

$$f(x) = g'(x) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{x} - \log x}{(1 + x)^2}$$
$$f'(x) = g''(x) = \frac{-(3 + \frac{4}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2} - 2\log x)}{(1 + x)^3}$$

Therefore,

$$h_t = \frac{(x_t + 1)(1 + \frac{1}{x_t} - \log x_t)}{3 + \frac{4}{x_t} + \frac{1}{x_t^2} - 2\log x_t}$$

A simpler formula: note that solving f(x) = 0 is the same as solving $1 + \frac{1}{x} - \log(x) = 0$. Treat $1 + \frac{1}{x} - \log(x)$ as a new function.

Then,

$$h_t = x_t - \frac{x_t^2 \log x_t}{1 + x_t} \implies x_{t+1} = 2x_t - \frac{x_t^2 \log x_t}{1 + x_t}.$$

To maximize log likelihood $l(\theta)$:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{l(\theta_t)}{l''(\theta_t)}$$

Consider the model with shift $p(x|\theta) = p(x - \theta)$. Given observations x_1, \dots, x_n iid $\sim p(x|\theta)$,

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(x_i - \theta)$$

$$l'(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p'(x_i - \theta)}{p(x_i - \theta)}$$

$$l''(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p''(x_i - \theta)}{p(x_i - \theta)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{p'(x_i - \theta)}{p(x_i - \theta)} \right\}^2$$

Secant Method

- Approximate f'(x) by $\frac{f(x_t)-f(x_{t-1})}{x_t-x_{t-1}}$.
- The Newton's method becomes the secant method:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \frac{f(x_t)(x_t - x_{t-1})}{f(x_t) - f(x_{t-1})}.$$

We need to specify x_0 and x_1 to begin the iterations.

• Remember that f' = g''

Fisher Scoring

- Another variant of Newton's method
- Specific for MLE
- Replace the Hessian $l''(\theta)$ by its expectation, i.e., Fisher information:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{l'(\theta_t)}{I(\theta_t)}.$$

• In practice, use Fisher Scoring at the beginning to make rapid improvement, then Newton's method for refinement near the end.

Continuing from $p(x|\theta) = p(x - \theta)$, using Fisher Scoring. We need to compute $I(\theta) = -E_{\theta}\{l''(\theta)\}.$

$$I(\theta) = -nE_{\theta} \left[\frac{p''(x-\theta)}{p(x-\theta)} - \left\{ \frac{p'(x-\theta)}{p(x-\theta)} \right\}^{2} \right]$$

$$= -n \int \left[\frac{p''(x-\theta)}{p(x-\theta)} - \left\{ \frac{p'(x-\theta)}{p(x-\theta)} \right\}^{2} \right] p(x-\theta) dx$$

$$= -n \int p''(x-\theta) dx + n \int \frac{\{p'(x-\theta)\}^{2}}{p(x-\theta)} dx$$

$$= -n \frac{d^{2}}{d\theta^{2}} \int p(x-\theta) dx + n \int \frac{p'(x)^{2}}{p(x)} dx$$

$$= -n \frac{d^{2}}{d\theta^{2}} 1 + n \int \frac{p'(x)^{2}}{p(x)} dx$$

$$= 0 + n \int \frac{p'(x)^{2}}{p(x)} dx$$

Multivariate Case: Newton's Method

- Now, g is a function of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)^T$.
- Generalization is straight forward. To maximize or minimize g(x), use $x_{t+1} = x_t \{g''(x_t)\}^{-1}g'(x_t)$ where
 - g''(x) is a $p \times p$ matrix with (i,j)-th element as $\frac{\partial^2 g(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$
 - $g'(x) = \left[\frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_p}\right]^T$, a $p \times 1$ vector.
 - note: need to compute the inverse of $g''(x_t)$.

Multivariate Case: Newton's Method

- If $g''(x_t)$ is near-singular, try replacing it with $M_t = g''(x_t) + \alpha I$, where α is as small as possible (increase it until M_t is stable).
- When p is big, to speed up the process, only update $g''(x_t)$ every second iteration. That is, every other time, we are using $g''(x_t)$ and otherwise, we use $g''(x_{t-1})$.

Multivariate Case: Fisher Scoring

• Use $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + I(\theta_t)^{-1}l'(\theta_t)$.

Multivariate Case: Other Newton-like Methods

- Computing g''(x) or $\{g''(x)\}^{-1}$ could be hard.
- The idea is to replace g''(x) by some easily computable matrix, say M(x). Then:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - M(x_t)^{-1} g'(x_t).$$

Multivariate Case: Steepest Ascent Method

• Set $M(x_t) = -\alpha_t^{-1}I_p$, where I_p is the $p \times p$ identity matrix and $\alpha_t > 0$ is the step size which can shrink to ensure ascent. Then:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + \alpha_t g'(x_t).$$

- If at step t, the original step turns out to be downhill; i.e., if $g(x_{t+1}) < g(x)$, the updating can be backtracked by halving α_t .
- Also known as steepest descent (for minimization).

Gauss-Newton Method

- Want to maximize $g(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i f_i(\theta)\}^2$ where each $f_i(\theta)$ is differentiable.
- First consider linear regression:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\theta} + \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where $f_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\theta}$ in this case.

- The least squares estimator of θ maximizes $g(\theta)$ with $f_i(\hat{\theta}) = x_i^T \hat{\theta}$.
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y}$ where $\boldsymbol{X} = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)^T$ and $\boldsymbol{Y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$.
- Gauss-Newton uses a similar idea for nonlinear $f_i(\theta)$.

Gauss-Newton Method

- Let θ^* be the unknown maximizer of $g(\theta)$.
- Consider $h(u) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i f_i(\theta u)\}^2$.
- h(u) is maximized by $u^* = \theta^* \theta$ (u^* is unknown).
- If θ is near θ^* , $u^* \approx 0$ and by Taylor expansion of h(u), u^* should be close to the maximizer of

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i - f_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - f_i'(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \boldsymbol{u}\}^2.$$

Now, this resembles the classical linear regression problem with $y_i = y_i - f_i(\theta), x_i^T = f_i'(\theta)^T$, and $\theta = u$.

Gauss-Newton Method

• We have

$$\boldsymbol{u}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}^* - \boldsymbol{\theta} \approx (\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A})^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{Z}$$

where

$$A = A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \{f_1'(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, f_n'(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}^T$$

and

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \{y_1 - f_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, y_n - f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}^T.$$

• The updating formula is

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_t + (\boldsymbol{A}_t^T \boldsymbol{A}_t)^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}_t^T \boldsymbol{Z}_t$$

where $A_t = A(\theta_t)$ and $Z_t = Z(\theta_t)$.