# Monte Carlo integrations

Stat 580: Statistical Computing

• Theme: Black - White

• Printable version

## **Monte Carlo integration**

- a numerical approximation for expectation
- often useful for multidimensional problems that require the estimation of  $\mu = E\{h(X)\}$ , where X is a random vector and h is a function
- simplest approach: approximate  $\mu$  by  $\hat{\mu}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h(X_i)$  where  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid copies of X
- properties:
  - $\hat{\mu}_m$  is consistent: by the Strong Law of Large Numbers, with probability 1,  $\hat{\mu}_m \to \mu$  as  $m \to \infty$
  - $\hat{\mu}_m$  is unbiased:  $E(\hat{\mu}_m) = \mu = E\{h(X)\}.$
  - $Var(\hat{\mu}_m) = Var\{h(X)\}/m$  and can be estimated by

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\mu}_m) = \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \{h(X_i) - \hat{\mu}_m\}^2.$$

## **Monte Carlo integration**

- we will see methods:
  - 1. that are applicable when  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  cannot be easily sampled
  - 2. that reduce  $Var(\hat{\mu}_m)$
- MC integration can be used to evaluate a "usual" integral  $I = \int_{\mathcal{X}} H(x) dx$ 
  - 1. the idea is to "factorize" H(x) = f(x)h(x) with f(x) as a pdf with support  $\supseteq \mathcal{X}$  (we take h(x) = 0 if  $x \notin \mathcal{X}$ .)
  - 2. approximate *I* by  $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(X_i)$ , where  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  are iid with pdf f(x)

#### **Example**

We want to compute

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log |x| e^{-\frac{(x+1)^2}{8}} dx.$$

Set

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x+1)^2}{8}}$$

and

$$h(x) = \sqrt{8\pi} \log |x|.$$

Note that f(x) is the pdf for  $\mathcal{N}(-1,4)$ , so the integral can be approximated by  $\frac{\sqrt{8\pi}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log |X_i|$  with  $\{X_i\}$  iid  $\sim \mathcal{N}(-1,4)$ .

## **Importance sampling**

## **Importance sampling**

• Same setup: want to estimate

$$\mu = \int h(x)f(x)dx$$
,  $f(x)$  is a pdf.

(the integral is taken over the region where the integrand is positive)

- but it is difficult to sample from f
- Rewrite

$$\mu = \int h(x) \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) dx,$$

where g is a pdf such that g(x) > 0 whenever f(x) > 0.

- Let X have density g(x).
- Then  $\mu = E\{h(X)w^*(X)\}\ \text{with } w^*(X) = \frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$ .

## Importance sampling

- Consider the following steps:
  - 1. Generate  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  iid  $\sim g(x)$ .
  - 2. Estimate  $\mu$  by  $\hat{\mu}_g = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h(X_i) w^*(X_i)$ .
- $\hat{\mu}_g$  is the importance sampling (IS) estimator of  $\mu$  associated with g.
- $w^*(X_i)$ 's are referred to as importance ratios
- Note that  $\hat{\mu}_g$  is a weighted sum of  $h(X_i)$ .
- If f = g, then  $\hat{\mu}_g$  is the ordinary MC estimator.

#### **Example**

We want to compute  $\mu = E(U^5)$  where  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ .

- the straightforward MC estimator:  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} U_i^5$ 
  - ullet oversample data  $U_i^5$  near the origin and undersample the data near 1
  - $Var(\hat{\mu}) = 0.0631/m$
- Use IS to put more weights near 1:
  - use  $g(x) = 5x^4$  for 0 < x < 1
  - the IS estimator:  $\hat{\mu}_g = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^5 w^*(X_i)$  where  $w^*(X_i) = 1/(5X_i^4)$
  - $Var(\hat{\mu}_g) = 0.00794/m$  (verify!)
  - resulting a variance reduction of 98.74%!
- the IS can be used as a variance reduction technique!

## **Properties**

- 1.  $\hat{\mu}_g$  is unbiased for  $\mu$ .
- 2.  $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}_g) = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Var}\{h(X)w^*(X)\}.$
- To reduce the variance of  $\hat{\mu}_g$ , g(x) should be in proportion to h(x)f(x) as much as possible.

## **Properties**

To show this, we need to reduce

$$E\left[\left\{h(X)\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}\right\}^2\right] = \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}_g) + \mu^2.$$

We can use

$$E\left[\left\{h(X)\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}\right\}^2\right] \ge \left[E\left\{h(X)\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}\right\}\right]^2.$$

The equality holds if  $h(x)\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  is a constant. That is, when  $g(x) \propto h(x)f(x)$ . (Why is it called importance sampling?)

## When f is only known up to a constant

• That means, f(x) = cq(x) with c > 0 unknown, then

$$\mu = \frac{E\{h(X)w^*(X)\}}{E\{w^*(X)\}}$$
 with  $w^*(X) = \frac{q(X)}{g(X)}$ .

- In this case, standardized weights have to be used in IS:
  - 1. Generate  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  iid from g(x).
  - 2. Estimate  $\mu$  by  $\hat{\mu}_g = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h(X_i) w(X_i)$  where  $w(X_i) = \frac{w^*(X_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^m w^*(X_j)/m}$ .

#### **Control variates**

#### **Control variates**

- We still want to compute  $\mu = E\{h(X)\}.$
- Suppose we know the exact value of  $\theta = E\{c(Y)\}$ , where c is a function of another random variable Y.
- The simple MC estimators for  $\mu$  and  $\theta$  are, respectively,

$$\hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(X_i), \qquad \hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c(Y_i).$$

- Of course, for  $\theta$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{MC}$  is unnecessary. However, it can be helpful for the estimation of  $\mu$ .
- How? Suppose h(X) and c(Y) are positively correlated. (If they are uncorrelated, this method is not useful.)

#### **Control variates**

- If we see  $\hat{\theta}_{MC} > \theta$ , then due to the positive correlation,  $\hat{\mu}_{MC}$  is more likely to be  $> \mu$ .
- Then we can decrease the value of  $\hat{\mu}_{MC}$  to obtain a better estimate.
- To be specific, suppose we can sample  $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$  iid.
- The control variate estimator for  $\mu$  is  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{CV}} = \hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}} b(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}} \theta),$  where b is a constant.
- $\hat{\mu}_{\rm CV}$  is unbiased and consistent (as MC estimators are unbiased and consistent).
- If b = 0, then  $\hat{\mu}_{CV} = \hat{\mu}_{MC}$ .
- Given a control variate c(Y), need to choose b (choosing c(Y) is harder)

#### Choice of b

- How should we choose *b*?
  - for any given b,

$$Var(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) = Var(\hat{\mu}_{MC}) + b^2 Var(\hat{\theta}_{MC}) - 2bCov(\hat{\mu}_{MC}, \hat{\theta}_{MC}).$$

• the minimum of  $Var(\hat{\mu}_{CV})$  happens when  $b = b^*$ , where

$$b^* = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{MC}}, \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MC}})}{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MC}})} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}\{h(X), c(Y)\}}{\operatorname{Var}\{c(Y)\}}.$$

- in practice  $b^*$  is unknown, but we can estimate it.
- plug  $b^*$  into  $Var(\hat{\mu}_{CV})$  to get  $Var(\hat{\mu}_{CV}^{opt})$ , and we can show the variance reduction factor is

$$\frac{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{CV}}^{\text{opt}})}{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}})} = 1 - \rho^2,$$

where  $\rho$  is the correlation coefficient between h(X) and c(Y).

#### Estimation of $b^*$

• the optimal  $b^*$  can be estimated by

$$\hat{b}_n = \frac{\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}}, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}})}{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}})},$$

where

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\widehat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MC}}) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ c(Y_i) - \widehat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MC}} \right\}^2$$

and

$$\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}}, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}}) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{h(X_i) - \hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}}\} \{c(Y_i) - \hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}}\}.$$

•  $\hat{b}_n$  is the slope of the least-squares regression line for  $(h(X_i), c(Y_i))$ , i = 1, ..., n

#### General idea

- Overall, the general idea for the control variate method is to search  $c(\mathbf{Y})$  such that
  - 1.  $E\{c(Y)\}$  is known.
  - 2. the scatterplot of  $(h(X_i), c(Y_i))$  shows strong correlation.
- In practice,  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MC}}$  and  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MC}}$  often depend on the same random variable; i.e.,  $Y_i = X_i$ .
- It is possible to use more than one control variate; i.e.,

$$\hat{\mu}_{CV} = \hat{\mu}_{MC} - b_1(\hat{\theta}_{1,MC} - \theta_1) - b_2(\hat{\theta}_{2,MC} - \theta_2).$$

#### **Example**

Let  $\mu = E(e^U)$  where  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ . Theoretical study of CV estimator with  $b^*$  (when m = 1):

- Use *U* as the control variate
- E(U) = 1/2,  $Cov(e^U, U) = 1 (e 1)/2 = 0.14086$  and Var(U) = 1/12
- the CV estimator:  $\hat{\mu}_{CV} = e^U b^*(U 1/2)$ , where  $b^* = 12(0.14086)$
- $Var(\hat{\mu}_{CV}) = 0.0039$  (verify!)
- resulting a variance reduction of 98.4% when compared to  $Var(e^U) = 0.242$