

# Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods

Stat 580: Statistical Computing

- Theme: [Black - White](#)
- [Printable version](#)

# General information

- A series of random variables  $\{Y_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  has the Markov property if the conditional distribution of  $Y_i$ , given all the previous observations  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}$  depends only on  $Y_{i-1}$ .
- In these two slides, we assume that the state space is countable for ease of exposition.
- If  $Y_i$  has a discrete sample space (state space), this is the same as
$$P(Y_i = k | Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{i-1}) = P(Y_i = k | Y_{i-1} = j) = P_{jk},$$
where  $P_{jk}$  is the probability that the variable "jumps" from state  $j$  to state  $k$ , known as transition probability.
- We only focus on the homogeneous case when  $P_{jk}$  does not depend on  $i$ .
- Transition matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_{jk}\}$
- $\{Y_1, \dots\}$  is called a Markov chain, characterized by  $\mathbf{P}$ .

# General information

- If  $P$  possesses the following properties:
  1. Irreducibility: the Markov chain is not made up of smaller cycles
  2. Aperiodicity:  $P(X_j = k | X_0 = k) > 0$  and  $P(X_{j+1} = k | X_0 = k) > 0$
  3. Recurrence: the Markov chain returns to its original state with probability 1.
- Then a stationary distribution (limiting equilibrium distribution) exists, denoted as  $\pi$  or  $f$ .

# Weather example

In the summer, each day in Ames is either sunny or rainy. A sunny day is followed by another sunny day with probability 0.7, whereas a rainy day is followed by a sunny day with probability 0.4. It rains on Monday (M). Make weather forecasts for Tuesday (T), Wednesday (W), and Thursday (H), using a homogeneous Markov chain model.

- Forecast for Tuesday (one-step transition)

$$P(\text{T sunny} \mid \text{M rainy}) = 0.4$$

$$P(\text{T rainy} \mid \text{M rainy}) = 0.6$$

- Forecast for Wednesday (two-step transition): e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{W sunny} \mid \text{M rainy}) &= P(\text{W sunny} \mid \text{T rainy, M rainy})P(\text{T rainy} \mid \text{M rainy}) \\ &\quad + P(\text{W sunny} \mid \text{T sunny, M rainy})P(\text{T sunny} \mid \text{M rainy}) \\ &= (0.4)(0.6) + (0.7)(0.4) = 0.52 \end{aligned}$$

# Weather example

- let "sunny" and "rainy" be state 1 and 2 respectively.
- Using matrix notation,

- the transition matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Forecast for Tuesday (one-step transition): second row of  $P$ 
  - can be regarded as  $(0, 1)P$
- Forecast for Wednesday (two-step transition): second row of  $P^2$ 
  - can be regarded as  $(0, 1)P^2$

# Weather example

- Forecast for 14 days later:

$$\mathbf{P}^{14} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5714286 & 0.4285714 \\ 0.5714285 & 0.4285715 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Forecast for 30 days later:

$$\mathbf{P}^{30} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0.5714286 & 0.4285714 \\ 0.5714286 & 0.4285714 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4/7 & 3/7 \\ 4/7 & 3/7 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Note that

$$(p, 1 - p) \begin{pmatrix} 4/7 & 3/7 \\ 4/7 & 3/7 \end{pmatrix} = (4/7, 3/7)$$

- no matter what the starting distribution  $(p, 1 - p)$  is, the distribution after long time converge to  $\pi = (4/7, 3/7)$  (stationary distribution)

# Markov Chain Monte Carlo

- often, the goal is to generate a series of observations whose stationary distribution  $\pi$  (or  $f$ ) is proportional to the unnormalized posterior distribution (mainly for Bayesian methods)
- these observations are correlated, so we may need to "skip every  $m$  observations" to get an (approximate) *iid* sample
- also, it will take some time for the chain to reach its equilibrium state, so throw away the first e.g. 10000 observations (known as burn-in).

# Gibbs sampling

- goal: sample from joint distribution
- applicable when the conditional distributions of variables (given others) can be easily constructed (and sampled) from
- basic form for three components: for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , do
  - Generate  $X_i$  from  $f(x|y = Y_{i-1}, z = Z_{i-1})$ .
  - Generate  $Y_i$  from  $f(y|x = X_i, z = Z_{i-1})$ .
  - Generate  $Z_i$  from  $f(z|x = X_i, y = Y_i)$ .
- then the triple  $(X_i, Y_i, Z_i)$  forms a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is the joint distribution of  $X, Y, Z$
- note that any or all of the three components  $(X, Y, Z)$  may be multivariate



# Example

Suppose we are trying to simulate from  $f(x, y) = \binom{n}{x} y^{x+n-1} (1 - y)^{n-x+\beta-1}$ , where  $x$  is an integer from 0 to  $n$ , and  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

- $f(x|y) \propto \binom{n}{x} y^x (1 - y)^{n-x}$ , which is  $\text{Binomial}(n, y)$ .
- $f(y|x) \propto y^{x+\alpha-1} (1 - y)^{n-x+\beta-1}$ , which is  $\text{Beta}(x + \alpha, n + \beta)$ .
- To use Gibbs sampling, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , do
  1. generate  $Y_i$  from  $f(y|x = X_{i-1})$
  2. generate  $X_i$  from  $f(x|y = Y_i)$

# Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- a dependent version of the rejection algorithm (depend on previous draw)
- target stationary distribution  $f(x)$
- need a proposal distribution with density  $q(y|x)$
- The algorithm: Choose  $X_0$ . The algorithm generates  $X_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots$ , as follows.
  1. Generate  $Y_i$  from  $q(y|X = x_{i-1})$  and  $U_i$  from  $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$ .
  2. Evaluate  $r(X_{i-1}, Y_i)$  where
$$r(x, y) = \min \left\{ \frac{f(y)q(x|y)}{f(x)q(y|x)}, 1 \right\}.$$
  3. If  $U_i \leq r(X_{i-1}, Y_i)$ , then set  $X_i = Y_i$ . If not, then set  $X_i = X_{i-1}$ .

# Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- If we only know the density  $f(x)$  up to a constant  $c$  (i.e.,  $f(x) = cp(x)$ ), we can still use Metropolis-Hastings.
- Common choices for  $q(y|x)$  is  $\mathcal{N}(x, b^2)$  for some  $b > 0$ . In this case,  $q$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $q(y|x) = q(x|y)$ , and  $r$  simplifies to

$$r(x, y) = \min \left\{ \frac{f(y)}{f(x)}, 1 \right\}.$$

- $X_i$  can be a vector of random variables, or other object like a tree structured "data point".

# Example

Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$  be the distribution we are trying to generate from (Cauchy distribution).

- Take  $q(y|x)$  as  $\mathcal{N}(x, b^2)$ . So

$$r(x, y) = \min \left\{ \frac{f(y)}{f(x)}, 1 \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + y^2}, 1 \right\}$$

- The algorithm is:

1. Draw  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(X_{i-1}, b^2)$ .

2. Set

$$X_i = \begin{cases} Y_i & \text{with probability } r(X_{i-1}, Y_i), \\ X_{i-1} & \text{with probability } 1 - r(X_{i-1}, Y_i). \end{cases}$$

# Example

- If  $b$  is small (e.g.,  $b = 0.1$ ), the chain takes small steps and does not "explore" much of the sample space.
- If  $b$  is too small, the chain will be highly correlated.
- If  $b$  is large (e.g.,  $b = 10$ ), the proposals ( $Y_i$ ) are often far in the tails, making  $r$  small and hence we often reject the proposal.
- For this example  $b = 1$  is about the right choice.

# Why does it work?

- Let  $p(x, y)$  be the probability of making a transition from  $x$  to  $y$ .
- Our goal is to show that  $f(x)$  in the algorithm satisfies  $f(x) = \int f(y)p(y, x)dy$ , which implies  $f(x)$  is a stationary distribution of the chain.

- Consider two points  $x$  and  $y$ . Either:

$$f(x)q(y|x) < f(y)q(x|y),$$

or

$$f(x)q(y|x) > f(y)q(x|y).$$

We ignore ties, which happen with probability 0 for continuous distributions.

# Why does it work?

- Without loss of generality, assume that  $f(x)q(y|x) > f(y)q(x|y)$ . Then,

$$r(x, y) = \frac{f(y)}{f(x)} \frac{q(x|y)}{q(y|x)}$$

and

$$r(y, x) = 1$$

since we have assumed already (WLOG) that the numerator is larger than the denominator.

# Why does it work?

- $p(x, y)$  is the probability of jumping from  $x$  to  $y$ , which happens in two stages. We first generate  $y$  and then we must accept it. Mathematically,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, y) &= \underbrace{q(y|x)}_{\text{probability of generating } y} \cdot \underbrace{r(x, y)}_{\text{probability of accepting}} \\ &= q(y|x) \frac{f(y)}{f(x)} \frac{q(x|y)}{q(y|x)} \\ &= \frac{f(y)}{f(x)} q(x|y), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$f(x)p(x, y) = f(y)q(x|y).$$



# Why does it work?

- Similarly,

$$p(y, x) = q(x|y)r(y, x) = q(x|y),$$

and therefore,

$$f(x)p(x, y) = f(y)p(y, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int f(x)p(x, y)dy = \int f(y)p(y, x)dy$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \int p(x, y)dy = \int f(y)p(y, x)dy$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \int f(y)p(y, x)dy$$