RECAR · Primality of random musers (useful for PSA): check for base-2 pseudoprimality determinishe test: (2 = 1 mod n) - probabilistic analysis based on Pomerance's Theorem (the density of base-2 psandprimes & veuishing) · Miller-Pash primality test: randomized search for a certificate of compositeness! on sugut in (fixed): Determine the existence of: Lae Itu: 2 × 1 moder (n 15 not a base-a pseudoprine) 2 not effective for Cornichael numbers (YaE IIII: 24-1=1 moder) 2. XE An-21, - 29: X= 1 word n (nontrivial square root of web)

How does MR(n) dieck for nowhinse square roots of 1! To check for centificate of type 1, MR(u) must compute for rondonn volues of e. Recoll that 400-Exp is based on squarror During some derotions of modulor exponentiation the elponitu clecks if monthinial roots of unity are spatted Specifically, let n odt (or otherwise (n=2, prime) v (n=2k, K>1, composele) Write $(n-s) = u \cdot 2^t$, und $\frac{1}{t^2}$ (2ⁿ⁻¹)=(2ⁿ)² under as ((2ⁿ moder)) moder ou de 2 mader: di æ di, * di, moder We check for type-2 certificales during these to spudning ops. The search for both types of certificates is summarised by the following PSEUDOCONE

CERTIFICATE (a, n) 2 n odd y * Let n-1=2.u, t>1, u odd 1 $\{(n-1)_{2} = (e_{k}, e_{k}, \dots, e_{k}, 0, \dots, e_{k})\}$ 2 m-1 = au. et = (au) et graving
ops on 24 HOD-EXP (2, M, M) 1 92 50 mog w 3 for lat to t do 9, 0(9.9) mag v if (9,=1) then if ((d + 1) 1 (d + 2 1)) then return COXPOSITE else return nonvittnESS return composité 2° 71 mas n'y type

HP (M,S)

if (M=2) then return PLHÉ

if even (M) then teturn COMPOSITE

for i & 1 to 5 do

a & PANDON (31,2,..., M-1)

if cretificate (a,M) = composite

then return composite

return PRIME

RUNNIUG TIME: Bosiocely, $\leq S$ executions of NOD_EXR(θ , u): $T_{\mu\nu}(|\langle uz|, s \rangle) = O(s \cdot |\langle uz|^3)$

COLLECTIVESS HP (m) may be incorrect only when it says that m is Pripe while m is in fact composite (one-sided)

The analysis shows that this is unlikely because every a E Ith = ?!.., unj is a nonprimality outfrote will prosability , of (when n is composite).

We will only prove correctivess for non-Cormichael's numbers (see CLRS for full proof)

We need some FACTS in group theory DET Given 2 (multiplicative) finite group (G,.), 2 subgroup Got C us a nonempty susset 6 cc: (ch.) is a group FACTI G'SG is a subgroup of G GF(G' & Ø) N (· Us closed over G') 7007: XEG: X, x, ..., x & G':- 31 & K<h: X = X = 0 X = e & G'
- if x ≠ e: x h-k-1= x-1= e & G' FACT 2 (Lagrange's Theorem) (up proof) Let (G,.) be a finile (multiplicative) group. Then for each subgroup 6'of c the conducatiby (order) of a subgroup of a finite group always doubs the cardinality of the group! Coldletty Any proper subgroup & ch of a finite proup & is such that 18,18,10T PROOF The largest divisor of 101 smaller than 161 is 161: IGI=KIE' , K>1 (G' C G') = 1G1=1G1 < 1G1

COLLECTNESS PROOF (non Cormichael members only) Assume that n is composite (for n prime, HR(u) is a Euroys correct) souce u is not Cormichael: 3 be 2 1 : bu-1 \$ 1 mod u ousider the set of NOV-WITNESSES

on In: (CERT.G, N)=97 on Zm: NW= Zee # : (8 =1) modu 1 (no noutrivial root of 4 discovered in the exponentiation of 2) We have NW C Jactin: 2 = 1 modujc Zin We prove but H = { 2 e III : 2 = 1 moder y 15 a proper subgroup of An:

0. 1 e H = D H + 18 e H: 20 = 1 mod u

1. Closure: if a, b e H: 20 = 1 mod u

15 - 1 = 1 mod u Merefre (24-12") = (25) = 1 mod 4 = opeh

Also: HCZX since 3 b EZX: LES Lund u $|NW| \le |H| \le |\mathbb{Z}_{N}^{*}|/2 \le (n-2)/2$ Therefore: $=\frac{|NW|}{M-1}\leq \frac{M-1}{2(M-1)}=\frac{1}{2}$ Pr (ae NW) 12,1 We our prove the same result for Carmidiales munders 8~ (50mm) = 7 (here we use martinize square roots) M conclusion: Pr(MR(u) incorrect) < Ph (HL(u) returns PHHE when is composite) = $Pr(s extractions from NW) < (\frac{1}{2})^2$