

RECAP

Randomized Algorithm

new instruction: RANDOM(S)

- $X = \text{RANDOM}(S)$ is a random variable with uniform distribution on S :

$$\forall s \in S : \Pr(X = s) = \frac{1}{|S|}$$

- r.v.'s associated to different calls to RANDOM are independent
- Returned value, time (and even correctness) become r.v.'s

- RANDOMIZED APPROXIMATION ALGORITHM: $A_{\pi}^R(i)$ returns $s_A \in \mathcal{X}(i)$, s r.v.

- approximation ratio $\rho(i)$ of $A_{\pi}^R(i) = s_A$ is defined as
$$\rho(i) = \max \left\{ \frac{E(c(s_A))}{c(s^*)}, \frac{c(s^*)}{E(c(s_A))} \right\}$$

3-CNF-SAT-related optimization problem:

MAX-3-CNF-SAT

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m C_j \rangle$$

with $C_j = y_j^1 \vee y_j^2 \vee y_j^3$, y_j^k literals

of different variables (thus

$$y_j^{k_1} \neq \bar{y}_j^{k_2}, k_1 \neq k_2)$$

determine the maximum number of clauses that can be satisfied
by a truth assignment $\vec{b} \in \{0, 1\}^n$

DECISION VERSION

3-CNF-MAX-SAT

$$\begin{cases} \text{I: } \langle \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m C_j, k \leq m \rangle \\ \text{Q: } \exists \vec{b} \in \{0, 1\}^n \text{ satisfying } \geq k \\ \text{clauses?} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $3\text{-CNF-SAT} \leq_p 3\text{-CNF-MAX-SAT}$

$$(\mathcal{I}(\langle \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m C_j \rangle) = \langle \varphi, m \rangle)$$

(prove correctness of reduction as an EXERCISE)

Simple randomized algorithm for 3-CNF-MAX-SAT: create a random truth assignment \mathcal{B} and count the number of clauses true under \mathcal{B} :

APPROX-3CNF-MAX-SAT (ϕ)

* Let $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m C_j$ *

for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n do $j \leftarrow 1$
 $b_i \leftarrow \text{RANDOM}(\{0, 1\})$;

$\gamma \leftarrow 0$

for $j \leftarrow 1$ to m do

if $(C_j(\mathcal{B}) = 1)$ then $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + 1$

return γ

RUNNING TIME : $\Theta(n+m) = \Theta(k\phi)$

APPROXIMATION RATIO Let $Y_j(\mathcal{B})$ be an indicator variable:

$$Y_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C_j(\mathcal{B}) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We know that $Y_j = 0$ if and only if the three literals of $C_j: y_j^1, y_j^2, y_j^3$ are all false under \mathcal{B} :

e.g. $y_j^1 = x_k$ is false under \mathcal{B} when $b_k = 0$
 and $y_j^1 = \bar{x}_n$ is false under \mathcal{B} when $b_k = 1$

Since each b_i is set to a random value:

$$\Pr(y_j^i(\mathcal{B}) = 0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since the literals come from different variables and coin flips are independent:

$$\Pr(Y_j = 0) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8}$$

$$y_j^1 = 0 \quad y_j^2 = 0 \quad y_j^3 = 0$$

$$= 0 \cdot \Pr(0) + 1 \cdot \Pr(1) = \Pr(1)$$

Hence $\Pr(Y_j = 1) = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8} = \mathbb{E}[Y_j]$

Let Y be the number of satisfied clauses (e.g., the value returned by $A_{3CNF}(\langle \phi \rangle)$). We have:

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j \quad (1 \text{ iff } 1 \text{ only when } C_j(\mathcal{B}) = 1)$$

Then $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^m Y_j\right] = \frac{7}{8} m$

$$\mathbb{E}[C(S_{A_{3CNF}})]$$

We have: $\rho = \frac{C(S^*)}{\mathbb{E}[C(S_{A_{3CNF}})]} \leq \frac{m}{\frac{7}{8} m} = \frac{8}{7}.$

REMARK There is also a deterministic
 $\frac{8}{7}$ -approximation algorithm for
3-CNF-MAX-SAT but it is much more
complex!

The algorithm is a DERANDOMIZATION
of A_{3CNF} yielding a deterministic
 $\frac{8}{7}$ -approximation

Håstad in 1997 proved that there cannot
exist a ρ -approximation algorithm for
3-CNF-MAX-SAT, with $\rho < \frac{8}{7}$ unless $P=NP$

MORALE Often the power of randomiza-
tion allows the development of
very simple and efficient algorithms!