### Problem Set #4

### Boosting

In stepwise fit-forward (least squares) regression, in each iteration a simple regressor is fit to the residuals obtained by the ensemble model up to that iteration. As a result, it is easy to see that after this regressor is added, the new residuals are uncorrelated with its predictions, due to a general property of least squares regression. Advice: Make sure you can actually show it (no need to turn in the proof).

We will now investigate a similar phenomenon that occurs with weak classifiers in AdaBoost. Here, we assume that the weights are normalized after each update, so that

$$\sum W_i^{(t)} = 1$$

for each boosting round t.

#### Problem 1

**Problem 1** [15 points] Consider an ensemble classifier  $H(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(\mathbf{x})$  constructed by T rounds of AdaBoost on N training examples. Now we add next classifier  $h_{T+1}$  to the ensemble, by minimizing the training error weighted by  $W_1^{(T)}, \dots, W_N^{(T)}$ , compute  $\alpha_{T+1}$ , and update the weights. Show that the training error of the just added  $h_{T+1}$  (note not the error of  $H_{T+1}$ ) weighted by the updated weights  $W_1^{(T+1)}, \dots, W_N^{(T+1)}$ , is exactly 1/2.

Now, with that fact in mind, is it possible that AdaBoost would select the same classifier again in the immediately following round, i.e., can we have  $h_t = h_{t+1}$  for some t? Can we have  $h_{t+k} = h_t$  for some k > 1? Explain why or why not.

End of problem 1

Let 
$$A^{(t+1)} = \{i \mid h_{t+1}(x_i) \neq y_i \}$$
,  
training error of  $h_{t+1}$   
=  $\sum W_i^{(t+1)}$ 

$$\frac{\text{divide d}^{T+1}}{\sum_{j \in A^{T+1}} W_{j}^{(T)} + \sum_{j \notin A^{T+1}} W_{j}^{(T)} \cdot e^{-2d(T+1)}}$$

$$=\frac{\sum\limits_{j\in V_{(k_1)}}M_{j,(k_1)}}{\sum\limits_{j\in V_{(k_1)}}M_{j,(k_1)}}+\sum\limits_{j\in V_{(k_1)}}M_{j,(k_1)}}\cdot\frac{\sum\limits_{j\in V_{(k_1)}}M_{j,(k_1)}}{\sum\limits_{j\in V_{(k_1)}}M_{j,(k_1)}}$$

٦)

. We can't have her = he

· If here = he ,

A(e+1)= {i | hen(xi) \*4;}
= {i | he(xi) \*4;}

÷ \ (4)

· Then,  $\mathcal{E}_{t+1} = \sum_{i \in A^{(t+1)}} W_i^{(t)}$   $= \sum_{i \in A^{(t+1)}} W_i^{(t)}$ 

= 1 (by the previous result)

Thus,  $d_{441} = \pm \log \frac{1-240}{2441} = \pm \log 1 = 0$ .

So, We are not adding here to our combined classifier H.

## [ With help frui Haichinin Wang]

. Me can have heth = pe

For example, when the data are not linearly separable:

Second, to not

Misclassify point 2,

We need to classify 264

to gether, which will

Misclassify either or?

Say we choose he

Third, to currectly

Clausify 3 & 2,

We can only chouse

h, which will misduit

4.

· Fourth, point (is

the only point whole

weight has not been

inchemsed. So we'll

chouse he to micclarly it. 40

· Fifth, ho can only be one of hi, hz, hi, hz >> a repeat

Problem 2 [15 points]

Recal the expression of the vote strength  $\alpha_t$  for a weak classifier  $h_t$  in AdaBoost,

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  is the weighted training error of that weak classifier under the current weights at the beginning of iteration t in which it is chosen.

Show that  $(\overline{\bf l})$  minimizes the empirical exponential loss (i.e., exponential loss on the training data) assuming the selection of the given  $h_t$ .

End of problem

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-y_i \cdot H_{t-1}(x_i)} \cdot e^{-y_i \cdot d_t \cdot h_{t}(x_i)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i^{(t-1)} \cdot e^{-y_i \cdot d_t \cdot h_{t}(x_i)}$$

take derivative and set to zero:

take  $\log \frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\log \xi_{\ell} + d_{\ell} = \log (1-\xi_{\ell}) - d_{\ell}$$

$$d_{\ell} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1-\xi_{\ell}}{\xi_{\ell}}$$

# [With help from Hardman Wang ]

### 3 Optimal classification

We have seen in class that the  $\underline{\text{minimal risk for}}$  a particular joint distribution  $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$  under 0/1 loss

$$L_{0/1}(\hat{y}, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{y} = y, \\ 1 & \text{if } \hat{y} \neq y \end{cases}$$

is attained by the Bayes classifier  $h^*(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{argmax}_c p(c | \mathbf{x})$ . One may suspect that this bound is limited to deterministic classifiers. An attempt to "beat" this bound, then, could be based on the following, randomized classifier. Define, for any data point  $\mathbf{x}$ , a probability distribution  $q(c | \mathbf{x})$  over class labels c conditioned on the input  $\mathbf{x}$ . The resulting randomized classifier (for which q serves as a parameter), given a data point  $\mathbf{x}$ , draws a random class label from q.

$$h_r(\mathbf{x}; q) = c_r, \quad c_r \sim q(c \mid \mathbf{x}).$$

To express the risk of this classifier we need to take the expectation over all possible outcomes of the random decision:

$$R(h_r; q) = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \sum_{c'=1}^{C} L_{0/1}(c', c) \underline{q(c_r = c' | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}, y = c)} d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (2)

Problem 7 [15 points]

Show that for any q,

$$R(h_r; q) \ge R(h^*),$$

that is, that the risk of the randomized classifier  $h_r$  defined above is at least as high as the Bayes risk.

#### End of problem 7

Advice: As we saw in class, it is enough to show that the inequality holds for the conditional risk, i.e., that  $R(h_1|\mathbf{x}) \geq R(h^*|\mathbf{x})$  for any  $\mathbf{x}$ . To attack this problem, write out the expectation in (2), conditional on an  $\mathbf{x}$ , and think of the best possible distribution q (in hindight) that you could use to minimize it.

i Optimul Bayes

· Randon:

To minimize random risk,

let 
$$c^* = argmax \{p(y=c|x)\}$$
,

then the best random distribution is

 $q(cr|x) = \{q(cr=c^*|x) = 0\}$ 

Then,
$$R(hr(x)) = 1 - \sum_{c=1}^{C} q((r = c|x) \cdot p(y = c|x))$$

$$> 1 - \max_{c=1}^{C} \{p(y = c|x)\}$$

$$= R(h^*|x).$$