## Project 4

## ECE 5367, Fall 2019

## Stone Preston, Truong Dinh, Faranak Abri

Both SIFT and SURF methods were used to match a reference stop sign image to a similar stop sign in various images. Accuracy was measured by taking the ratio of matched features to the total number of features in the reference image.

$$\%$$
 Accurracy =  $\frac{\text{# of matched features}}{\text{# of reference features}} \times 100 \%$ 

This measure of accuracy does have its flaws, as matched features are not always correct. However, comparing the relative accuracies of SIFT and SURF provides a good baseline measure for performance. SIFT displayed better matching capabilities with this image set than SURF. Both methods performed poorly with perspective transforms that warped the stop sign significantly.

	Deformation	SIFT Matched Features	SIFT Accuracy	SURF Matched Features	SURF Accuracy
1.	Scale with Occlusion	(1915) Accuracy is 23.913%.	23.91 %	STOP	3.60 %

2.	Scale/Perspective	(3/15) Accurscy is 36,00%	34.05%	STOP	10.81 %
3.	Perspective	(415) Accuracy is 3423195	36.23%	STOP	34.23 %
4.	Scale/Perspective	(\$15) Accuracy is 11.5942%	11.59%	STOP	2.70 %

5.	Perspective with shadows	COST SET AMORES & GLOUD.	43.47%	STOP	12.61%
6.	Scale/Perspective	STOP	5.79%	STOP STOP	4.50 %
7.	Scale	(Int) BY Annaton & E./Mills	63.76%	STOP STOP	15.32 %

8.	Scale/Perspective with Occlusion	OFFE SET ACCURATE MODES.	34.05%	STOP	51 %
9.	Scale	STOP	57.97%	STOP STOP	22 %
10.	Scale/Perspective	190% SPT Assurance in 26.440%	30.43%	STOP	71 %

11.	Scale/Perspective	THIS SPI AMERICAN ESTADOS	28.98%	STOP	AND A LIBERT	8.11 %
12.	Scale/Rotation/Perspective		34.06 %	STOP	Sept. 10.1005	18.92 %
13.	Scale/Rotation/Perspective	IDEA WIT Account to Day.	50 %	STOP	acy it is received.	9.91 %

