How is Mercury Correlated with Dissolved Organic Carbon in Streams?

Faraz Khan^{1,1,*}, Britt Hall^{1,1}

^aDepartment Street City State Zip

3 Abstract

Levels of dissolved organic carbon and mercury in streams.

4 Keywords: methylmercury, dissolved organic carbon

5 1. Introduction

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is highly associated with methylmercury production in freshwater ecosystems. The use of optical instruments such as spectrometers in the study of DOC have led to a greater understanding of the chemical characteristics and origin of DOC. State of the art emission excitation matrices analysis have resulted in a greater understanding of DOC and its associations with MeHg in various freshwater systems such as streams. (Graham et al., 2013).

11 2. Methods

To test these hypotheses, I will sample urban artificial wetlands and wet ponds from sites across Regina and Saskatoon, using methods derived from Strickman and Mitchell (2017). I will then use sediment samples from these sites in mercury methylation assays involving isotope dilution-gas chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Samples will be enriched with a stable Hg isotope to determine the Hg methylation rate constants, ambient Hg, and MeHg concentrations.

3. Results

Figure ?? is generated using an R chunk.


Email addresses: farazkhan@uregina.ca (Faraz Khan), britt.hall@uregina.ca (Britt Hall)

^bDepartment Street City State Zip

^{*}Corresponding author

mercurydata

```
## # A tibble: 29 x 5
         \verb|collection_site| collection_month| \verb|mean_doc| mean_streamwater_m^-| chironomid_mehg|
28
                                                                     <dbl>
   ##
         <chr>
                          <chr>
                                               <dbl>
                                                                                      <dbl>
      1 Bartlett Brook
                                                 3.82
                                                                      0.23
                                                                                      337.
   ##
                          May
       2 Bartlett Brook
                                                 3.82
                                                                      0.23
                                                                                      413.
                          June
31
   ##
       3 Bartlett Brook
                          July
                                                 3.82
                                                                      0.23
                                                                                      379.
32
   ## 4 Beck Brook
                                                2.09
                                                                      0.03
                                                                                       83.4
                          May
   ## 5 Beck Brook
                          June
                                                 2.09
                                                                      0.03
                                                                                       86.8
                                                                                      137.
   ## 6 Beck Brook
                          July
                                                2.09
                                                                      0.03
   ## 7 Blodgett North May
                                                8.68
                                                                      0.57
                                                                                      378.
   ## 8 Blodgett North
                                                8.68
                                                                      0.57
                                                                                      438.
                          June
                                                                                      364.
   ## 9 Blodgett North
                                                8.68
                                                                      0.57
                          July
   ## 10 Blodgett South May
                                                3.82
                                                                      0.11
                                                                                      316.
   ## # ... with 19 more rows
```



41

setwd("~/ldpminiproject")

4. Discussion

The results may be able to influence landscape planning and facilitate further insight into the relationship
between methylmercury and bioavailability of inorganic mercury in urban environments. A great understanding of the interactions in our built environment and how it contributes to human caused ubiquitous
ecological disruption (Policy Horizons 2018) can ensure that we, as a society, move towards more sustainable models of development. Benthic invertebrates are commonly used as indicators of stream water quality
(Lescord et al., 2018). Baetis sp. (Ephemeroptera) are used as stream quality indicators for catchments
(Waiser, 2006).

50 References

Andrew M. Graham, George R. Aiken, and Cynthia C. Gilmour. Effect of dissolved organic matter source and character on microbial Hg methylation in Hg-S-DOM solutions. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47(11):5746–5754, 2013. ISSN 0013936X. doi: 10.1021/es400414a.

Gretchen L. Lescord, Erik J.S. Emilson, Tom A. Johnston, Brian A. Branfireun, and John M. Gunn. Optical Properties of
Dissolved Organic Matter and Their Relation to Mercury Concentrations in Water and Biota Across a Remote Freshwater
Drainage Basin. Environmental Science and Technology, 52(6):3344–3353, 2018. ISSN 15205851. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.
7b05348.

Marley J Waiser. Relationship between hydrological characteristics and dissolved organic carbon concentration and mass in northern prairie wetlands using a conservative tracer approach. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 111(G2), jun 2006. ISSN 0148-0227. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000088. URL https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000088.