

Algebraic Geometry: Coursework 1.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$$

a). The closure of A in \mathbb{A}^n , denoted \bar{A} is:

$$\bar{A} = A \cup \{\text{all limit points of } A\}.$$

Alternatively, the closure of A is the intersection of all closed sets containing A , or the smallest closed set containing A .

b) We will show that the smallest closed set containing A is $V(\mathbb{I}(A))$. First, note that in the Zariski topology, closed sets are exactly closed affine algebraic varieties. $V(\mathbb{I}(A))$ is clearly a closed affine algebraic variety, and so is closed under the Zariski topology. We have:

$$V(\mathbb{I}(A)) = V(\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in A\})$$

$$= \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(z) = 0 \ \forall f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ such that } f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in A\}.$$

So $V(\mathbb{I}(A))$ is the set of all zeroes of all polynomials which vanish on A , so we must have $A \subseteq V(\mathbb{I}(A))$. It remains to show that $V(\mathbb{I}(A))$ is the smallest such Zariski-closed set.

Suppose not: Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be a Zariski-closed set such that: $A \subseteq B \subsetneq V(\mathbb{I}(A))$. Then we must have that

$B = V(\{g_i\}_{i \in I})$, for some $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. By assumption, $A \subseteq B$, i.e. $A \subseteq V(\{g_i\}_{i \in I})$. This means, by definition, that every g_i vanishes on all of A , i.e. $g_i \in \mathbb{I}(A) \ \forall i \in I$.

Hence, we have ~~$\bigcap_{i \in I} V(g_i) \subseteq A$~~ $\{g_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathbb{I}(A)$.

Then, as Hilbert's correspondence is inclusion-reversing, we have $V(\mathbb{I}(A)) \subseteq V(\{g_i\}_{i \in I}) = B$. However, this contradicts our assumption, so we must have that $V(\mathbb{I}(A))$ is the smallest Zariski-closed set containing A , i.e. is the Zariski closure of A .

c). Let $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. This is closed in the Euclidean topology (as it contains its boundary), so in this topology $B = \overline{B}$.

B is not a closed affine algebraic variety as it is a set of infinite cardinality which is not the entire complex plane. Thus, B is not closed in the Zariski topology, so $B \neq \overline{B}$.

Ergo, the closure of B in the Euclidean topology does not coincide with the closure of B in the Zariski topology.

(2)

a) We first define an open cover. Let X be a topological space and let $A \subset X$. A collection of sets $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover for A if B_i is, for all $i \in I$, an open set in X , and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$.

We can now define compactness.

Let $C \subset X$. C is compact if every open cover of C has a finite subcover, i.e. if $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of C , then C can be covered by a finite subcollection of these open sets, i.e. there exist $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ (without loss of generality) such that $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j$.

b) First, note that \mathbb{C}^2 with the Euclidean topology is a metric space. In a metric space a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded. Thus, $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{C}^2 - y^3)$ is not compact in the Euclidean topology as it is not a bounded set.

In the Zariski topology, we will prove the more general result that any subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is compact.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of V . Each $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ is by definition the complement of some closed affine algebraic variety, call it V_i . Pick some $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$, and then if $V_i \cap V = \emptyset$, $V \subseteq B_i$, so B_i is a finite subcover and we are done. Otherwise, we can iterate this process to get (without loss of generality) V_1, \dots, V_n and B_1, \dots, B_n . As before, if $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_n = \emptyset$, then $V \subseteq B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ and thus $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ is a finite subcover. If this isn't the case, we get the inclusion chain:

$$\dots \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_2 \cap V_1 \subseteq V,$$

and so using Hilbert's correspondence,

$$\mathcal{I}(V_1) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{I}(V_1 \cap V_2) \subseteq \dots$$

By Hilbert's Basis theorem, $([x_1, \dots, x_m])$ is Noetherian, so this chain of ideals must stabilise, assume at $\mathcal{I}(V_1 \cap V_m)$.

As, for every B_j we pick, $V_j \cap V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_m \subseteq V \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m$, we have two cases to consider:

- $V_j \cap V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_m = V \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m$. In this case, the intersection never shrinks to the empty set, so $V \notin B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots$, contradicting that \mathcal{B} is an open cover for V ;

- $V_j \cap V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_m \subseteq V \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m$. In this case, as Hilbert's correspondence is inclusion reversing, we get $\mathcal{I}(V \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(V_j \cap V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_m)$, which contradicts our assumption that the chain of ideals had stabilised.

Hence, there must be some n such that $V \cap V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n = \emptyset$, meaning $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ is a finite subcover. As

$V(x^2 - y^3)$ is a subset of A^4 , this general result immediately implies that $V(x^2 - y^3)$ is compact in the Zariski topology.

(3)

a) First, we recall that a curve is the zero-set of a single non-constant polynomial. Let $W = V(x^2 - y^2) \subseteq A^2$. Then by theorem 2.24, $\dim W = 2-1=1$. Hence, W is irreducible, as we have by the definition of dimension, that $W = W_0 \supseteq W_1 = \{pt\}$ is the largest chain of irreducible subvarieties, i.e. W has no irreducible subvarieties, i.e. W is itself irreducible.

Define the morphism $\varphi: A^2 \rightarrow A^2$, $(x,y) \mapsto (x^2, y^2)$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(W) = \varphi^{-1}(V(x^2 - y^2)) = V(x-y, x+y) = V(x+y) \cup V(x-y)$, so the pre-image of W under φ is not irreducible, as $\varphi^{-1}(W)$ can be decomposed.

b) Let X be irreducible, but suppose the closure of X , \bar{X} , is not. Then:

$\bar{X} = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ for some closed sets Y_1, Y_2 . Then we have:

$$X = X \cap \bar{X} = X \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2) = (X \cap Y_1) \cup (X \cap Y_2),$$

i.e.

$$X = (Y_1 \cap X) \cup (Y_2 \cap X)$$

which would imply that X is reducible, a contradiction and as X is irreducible without loss of generality we must then have

$X \subseteq Y_1$, but as Y_1 is a closed set, this would imply $\bar{X} \subseteq Y_1$ (equivalently $\bar{X} \subseteq Y_2$), which precisely gives that \bar{X} is itself irreducible.

c) Let V, W be two closed affine algebraic varieties, and assume V is irreducible. Also, suppose $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism. Then, by theorem 2.39, there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^*: \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$.

As V is irreducible, $\mathbb{I}(V)$ is prime (by theorem 2.16). Hence, $\mathbb{C}[V] = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\mathbb{I}(V)}$

is an integral domain.

Using a result in the notes, as φ is an isomorphism, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is also an isomorphism.

Now, let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ such that $ab = 0 \in \mathbb{C}[W]$.

Then, using the properties of isomorphisms, we have

$\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b) = \Phi(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}[V]$.
 As $\mathbb{C}[V]$ is an integral domain, we must have

either $\Phi(a) = 0$ or $\Phi(b) = 0$. Then, as Φ is an isomorphism, either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ (in $\mathbb{C}[W]$), and
 Hence, $\mathbb{C}[W] = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\mathbb{I}(W)}$

is an integral domain. Hence, $\mathbb{I}(W)$ is a prime ideal,
 so by theorem 2.16, W is irreducible, i.e. isomorphisms
 preserve irreducibility.

Now, assume $\dim(V) = d$. Then, there exists a chain of subvarieties:

$$V = V_d \supseteq V_{d-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_0 = \{\text{pt}\}.$$

As ψ is an isomorphism, it is inclusion preserving:

$$\psi(V) = W = \psi(V_d) \supseteq \psi(V_{d-1}) \supseteq \dots \supseteq \psi(V_0) = \psi(\{\text{pt}\}).$$

By the above work, we know ψ preserves the irreducibility of (sub) varieties, so then $\dim(W) \geq d$.

Assume there exists $e > d$ such that $\dim(W) = e$. Then there exists a chain of irreducible subvarieties:

$$W = W_e \supseteq W_{e-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq W_0 = \{\text{pt}\}.$$

Note that ψ^{-1} exists and is an isomorphism as ψ is an isomorphism. Thus:

$$\psi^{-1}(W) = V = \psi^{-1}(W_e) \supseteq \psi(W_{e-1}) \supseteq \dots \supseteq \psi(W_0) = \psi^{-1}(\{\text{pt}\}).$$

But this implies that $\dim(V) = e > d$, which is a contradiction.
 Hence, $\dim(W) = d$, i.e. isomorphisms preserve dimension.

d) We note that we can use the relations
 $y = zx$, $y^2 - x^2(x+1) = 0$ to substitute in and
 show $x^2(z^2 - x - 1) = 0$ and hence that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(zx-y, y^2 - x^2(x+1)) &= \mathbb{V}(zx-y, x^2(z^2 - x - 1)) \\ &= \mathbb{V}(zx-y, x^2) \cup \mathbb{V}(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1) = \mathbb{V}(zx-y, x) \cup \mathbb{V}(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1) \\ &= \mathbb{V}(x, y) \cup \mathbb{V}(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1). \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

As $(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(x, y)) \cong \mathbb{C}[z]$ is an integral domain, (x, y) must be prime and so $\mathbb{V}(x, y)$ is irreducible.

Similarly, we consider the map $\psi: \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t]$, $x \mapsto t^2 - 1$, $y \mapsto t^3 - t$, $z \mapsto t$. It is easy to see that ψ is surjective and $\ker \psi = (zx-y, z^2 - x - 1)$. By the isomorphism theorems, we thus have:

$$\frac{(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z])}{(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1)} \cong \mathbb{C}[t].$$

As $\mathbb{C}[t]$ is an integral domain, $(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1)$ is prime and thus $\mathbb{V}(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1)$ is irreducible. Hence, the irreducible decomposition is:

$$\mathbb{V}(zx-y, y^2 - x^2(x+1)) = \mathbb{V}(x, y) \cup \mathbb{V}(zx-y, z^2 - x - 1). \quad (*).$$

(4)

a) First, we note that as V is Zariski-closed and $A^n \setminus V \neq \emptyset$, we ~~then~~ have that V is a finite subset, i.e. $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq A^n$. We will index the components of each v_i thusly:

$$v_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in}).$$

Similarly, we let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. It is then easy to see that the polynomial

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - v_{i1}) \cdots \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - v_{in})$$

vanishes on all of V , i.e. $g \in \mathbb{I}(V)$. This is because for every $v \in V$, at least one bracket in the above expansion will evaluate to 0. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - v_{i1}) \cdots \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - v_{in}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n [\prod_{i=1}^n (a_j - v_{ji})], \end{aligned}$$

which generally will not ~~be~~ evaluate to 1. However, we can guarantee this evaluation by multiplying by a constant term:

$$\alpha = [\prod_{j=1}^n [\prod_{i=1}^n (a_j - v_{ji})]]^{-1},$$

which is possible as $a_i, v_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}$, and \mathbb{C} is a field.

Now, let $S(\underline{x}) = \alpha \cdot g(\underline{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, $\forall v \in V$

$$S(v) = \alpha \cdot g(v) = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0, \text{ so } S \in \mathbb{I}(V). \text{ Further,}$$

$$S(a) = \alpha \cdot g(a) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n [\prod_{i=1}^n (a_j - v_{ji})]}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\prod_{i=1}^n (a_j - v_{ji})]} = 1,$$

so this polynomial ~~satisfies~~ satisfies the required conditions. (I feel like there should be a less constructive solution, this is the only intuition I had).

b)(i) Let $I = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ and assume $W(g) \supseteq W(I)$. Then

$W(g) \supseteq W(S_1, \dots, S_k) = W(S_1) \cap \dots \cap W(S_k)$ in A^n . We note that the same inclusion applies in A^{n+1} , i.e. $\forall a \in A^{n+1}$, if

$S_i(a) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $g(a) = 0$. Now, let

$a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in W(S_1, \dots, S_k) \subseteq A^{n+1}$. Then $a_{n+1} \cdot g(a) - 1 = -1 \neq 0$, and hence $W(a_{n+1} \cdot g - 1) \cap W(S_1, \dots, S_k) = \emptyset$. Let $J = (S_1, \dots, S_k, x_{n+1} \cdot g - 1)$. Then we have $W(J) = W(S_1, \dots, S_k, x_{n+1} \cdot g - 1) = W(S_1, \dots, S_k) \cap W(x_{n+1} \cdot g - 1) = \emptyset$. Using the Nullstellensatz, we then have

$$\mathbb{I}(W(J)) = \mathbb{I}(\emptyset) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] = \sqrt{J}.$$

From here, we see that $I \in \sqrt{J}$, and hence that $I \subseteq J$. So we now have that J is an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ which contains the multiplicative identity, hence

$$J = (S_1, \dots, S_k, x_{n+1} \cdot g - 1) = (\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]).$$

(ii)

ver

⑤

a) We first note that $\varphi^*: \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$ is injective if and only if $\ker \varphi^*$ is trivial. Further, we note that $\varphi(V)$ being dense in W is precisely the same as the closure of $\varphi(V)$, $\overline{\varphi(V)}$, being W . We recall the form of φ^* :
$$\varphi^*: \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\mathbb{I}(W)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\mathbb{I}(V)}, g \mapsto g \circ \varphi.$$

We see that $\ker \varphi^*$ being trivial is precisely the same as the map $\psi: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V], s \mapsto s \circ \varphi$ having $\ker \psi = \mathbb{I}(W)$. We define a further map:

$$\theta: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], s \mapsto s \circ \varphi.$$

We now note that $\ker(\psi) = \theta^{-1}(\mathbb{I}(V))$, so $\ker \varphi^*$ is trivial (i.e. φ^* is injective) if and only if $\theta^{-1}(\mathbb{I}(V)) = \mathbb{I}(W)$:
$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{-1}(\mathbb{I}(V)) &= \{s \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : s \circ \varphi(w) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V\} \\ &= \{s \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : s(w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in \varphi(V)\} = \mathbb{I}(\varphi(V)).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can see φ^* is injective if and only if $\mathbb{I}(W) = \mathbb{I}(\varphi(V))$. Also, we have the implication chain:
$$\mathbb{I}(W) = \mathbb{I}(\varphi(V)) \Leftrightarrow W(\mathbb{I}(W)) = W(\mathbb{I}(\varphi(V))) \Leftrightarrow W(\mathbb{I}(\varphi(V))) = W.$$

By question (1b), $W(\mathbb{I}(\varphi(V))) = \varphi(V)$. So, we can conclude that φ^* is injective if and only if $\varphi(V)$ is dense in W .

b) We will prove one implication. Assume that $\varphi^*: \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$ is surjective. Then, by the homomorphism theorem, $\frac{\mathbb{C}[W]}{\ker \varphi^*} \cong \text{im } \varphi^*$.

Now, let $\pi: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W]$ be the quotient map. Then using the correspondence theorem, we know the preimage of $\ker \varphi^*$ under π is an ideal, I , of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ containing $\mathbb{I}(W)$, and

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{I} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[W]}{\ker \varphi^*} \cong \text{im } \varphi^* = \mathbb{C}[V]$$

By definition, $\mathbb{I}(W) \subset I$, so, by Hilbert's correspondence, $W = V(\mathbb{I}(W)) \supseteq V(I) =: U$.

As I is the preimage of $\mathbb{I}(V)$ under the map $\mathbb{I}: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $S \mapsto S \circ \varphi$, (note that this is distinct from φ^* as it is defined over the whole polynomial rings) I is radical. Thus, by the Nullstellensatz, $\mathbb{I}(V(I)) = I$. By our definition of the coordinate rings, we hence have

$$\mathbb{C}[U] = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{I(U)} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{\mathbb{I}(V(I))} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{I}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{C}[U] = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{I} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[W]}{\ker \varphi^*} \cong \text{im } \varphi^* = \mathbb{C}[V],$$

i.e. $\mathbb{C}[U] \cong \mathbb{C}[V]$. But by a result in the notes, $\mathbb{C}[U] \cong \mathbb{C}[V]$ if and only if $U \cong V$. Thus, if φ^* is surjective, then φ defines an isomorphism between V and some algebraic subvariety of W .