Blake Farman

Research Statement

School of Sciences
University of Louisiana Monroe
farman@ulm.edu
https://ulm.edu/~farman

Background

Derived Categories and Fourier-Mukai Transformations

Derived categories were initially conceived by Grothendieck as a device for maintaining cohomological data during his reformulation of algebraic geometry through scheme theory, and were fleshed out by his student, Verdier, in his thesis [Ver77]. While originally devised as a mere book keeping device, over time these objects have been recognized as the key to linking algebraic geometry to a broad range of subjects, both within and without mathematics. As such, the study of derived categories has risen to prominence as a central subfield of algebraic geometry.

As is the case for any category associated to a mathematical object, a natural question that arises is this: how much information about the original object is stored in the category? For schemes X and Y, this naturally manifests itself as a question asking how much information about the original schemes can be extracted from an equivalence, $\mathrm{D}(X) \to \mathrm{D}(Y)$, between their derived categories of quasi-coherent sheaves. For a general functor, one quickly finds oneself grasping at little more than abstract nonsense. While this may initially appear disheartening, history suggests a plausible attack.

Indeed, one takes inspiration from the simpler case of unital rings and their categories of modules. While it is known in general that non-isomorphic rings may generate equivalent categories of modules, the method of attack by Morita [Mor58] yields a surprising classifying result: any additive equivalence of module categories is naturally isomorphic to tensoring with a bimodule.

With this in mind, one constructs the analogous geometric functor, called a *Fourier-Mukai transform*, with a *kernel* $K \in \mathrm{D}(X \times Y)$ playing the role of the bimodule, and poses the question anew: are all such equivalences of this form? Relative to a generic functor between derived categories, this is a remarkably simple object, and a positive answer would be incredibly powerful. Unfortunately, the structure of the derived category is too pathological to admit such a statement. However, if one is willing to shift perspective by passing to a higher categorical structure, this becomes true in the more abstract context under natural assumptions on the schemes.

Noncommutative Projective Schemes

Suppose, for example, that one is interested in studying a commutative graded k-algebra, $A = k \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$, that is finitely generated in degree one. The edicts of modern algebraic geometry suggest that one should consider passing to the projective space $X = \mathrm{Proj}\, A$, and studying its category $\mathrm{Qcoh}\, X$ of quasi-coherent sheaves. If one wishes to relax the condition that A is a commutative, then unfortunately many of these constructions become inaccessible in general.

However, a famous result of Serre suggests a path: $\mathrm{Qcoh}\,(X)$ is equivalent to the quotient of the category of graded A-modules, $\mathrm{Gr}\,(A)$, by the subcategory of torsion

modules, $\mathrm{Tors}\,(A)$, in the sense of [Gab62]. In the noncommutative situation, these categories retain the same properties as their commutative counterparts, leading Artin and Zhang [AZ94] to define the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a Noncommutative Projective Scheme to be the category $\mathrm{QGr}\,(A) = \mathrm{Gr}\,(A)\,/\,\mathrm{Tors}\,(A)$ in an effort to harness the power of the modern geometric approach in this noncommutative setting.

While these schemes do not, in general, admit a space on which to do traditional geometry, they have proven effective in providing a way to adapt familiar geometric tools from the commutative setting to the study of noncommutative algebras. If one subscribes to this principle, then their importance in the commutative setting should suggest that derived categories will play a leading role in this study. However, developments in this area are conspicuously absent, suggesting that, as in the commutative setting, the primary stumbling block is the absence of Fourier-Mukai kernels. Having such a statement for the case of noncommutative projective schemes therefore seems of high priority.

Past Accomplishments

Fourier-Mukai Kernels for Noncommutative Projective Schemes

In order to attack the problem of providing Fourier-Mukai kernels for noncommutative projective schemes, it is natural to abstract the problem to the higher categorical structure of differential graded (dg) categories. Indeed, working within the homotopy category of the 2-category of all small dg-categories over a commutative ring, $\mathrm{Ho}\,(\mathrm{dgcat}_k)$, we gain access to the incredibly elegant reformulation of Fourier-Mukai transformations at the level of pre-triangulated dg categories through the framework of Toën's derived Morita theory [Toë07].

Consider first the case of varieties X and Y, for which Toën provides two critical pieces of data:

1. (**existence of an internal Hom**) the localization of the category of all small dg-categories at quasi-equivalences, $\mathrm{Ho}\,(\mathrm{dgcat}_k)$, admits an internal Hom, $\mathbf{R}\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$, and

2. (**geometric recognition**) the subcategory of the Hom between the dg-enhancements of $\mathrm{D}(X)$ and $\mathrm{D}(Y)$ consisting of quasi-functors commuting with coproducts is isomorphic in $\mathrm{Ho}\,(\mathrm{dgcat}_k)$ to the enhancement of the derived category of the product, $X \times Y$,
$$\mathbf{R}\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_c\left(\mathcal{D}(X), \mathcal{D}(Y)\right) \cong \mathcal{D}\left(X \times Y\right).$$

It is first important to observe what data Toën's machinery does and does not provide. For a general triangulated functor, $F\colon \mathrm{D}(X) \to \mathrm{D}(Y)$, (1) yields no new geometric information in that it provides no guidance on establishing (2). However, if the functor in question admits a lift to a dg quasi-functor, then by (2) it must be an integral transform and, moreover, it must be geometric in origin.

Complementing the machinery of Toën, Lunts and Orlov have established that triangulated equivalences between derived categories of abelian categories lift to quasi-equivalences of their associated dg-categories [LO10]. For varieties, in light of geometric recognition, the combination of these two results states that triangulated equivalences $F\colon \mathrm{D}(X) \to \mathrm{D}(Y)$ are necessarily geometric in origin.

Within the realm of noncommutative projective schemes, combining Toën's internal Hom with Lunts and Orlov's uniqueness of differential graded enhancements immediately allows one to conclude that any equivalence $F\colon \mathrm{D}(X) \to \mathrm{D}(Y)$ yields a quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathcal{D}(Y)$ at the differential graded level. Unfortunately, as in the case of varieties, one obtains no new information by simply viewing this equivalence as an object of the highly abstract internal Hom category. One therefore requires a noncommutative projective analogue of geometric recognition.

In this direction, the most basic questions with which one must grapple are:

> **Question.**
>
> 1. For noncommutative projective schemes, X and Y, what noncommutative projective scheme plays the role of the product, $X \times Y$?
>
> 2. What is an integral transform in noncommutative projective geometry?
>
> 3. Does geometric recognition hold for X and Y (and $X \times Y$)?

For the first, there really can be only one honest noncommutative projective scheme deserving of the name: the Segre product. The second remains separate from the dg structure, and no such creature has been observed in the literature. However, even a glance at the simpler question of graded Morita theory [Zha96] indicates that the situation is already more complicated for noncommutative projective schemes.

Finally, the answer to geometric recognition is positive under cohomological restrictions on X and Y. We provide these conditions on a pair of rings, which we refer to as a *delightful couple*, a notion of integral transform, and establish geometric recognition for noncommutative projective schemes under these conditions in [BF21]. A version of the main theorem from the article is

> **Theorem** ([BF21]). *Let X and Y be noncommutative projective schemes associated to a delightful couple, A and B, over a field, k. If A and B are both generated in degree one, then geometric recognition holds for X and Y. That is, there exists a quasi-equivalence*
> $$\mathbf{R}\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_c(\mathcal{D}(X), \mathcal{D}(Y)) \cong \mathcal{D}(X \times Y).$$

This geometric recognition holds for a general delightful couple, although one must step slightly outside the bounds of noncommutative projective schemes, without losing the (noncommutative) geometry, to obtain the correct product.

As an immediate corollary, one obtains that equivalences between noncommutative projective schemes are necessarily (noncommutative) geometric in nature, along the lines of Rickard [Ric89] or Orlov [Orl97]. Note that this statement makes no reference to differential graded categories, and one recovers the analogous result for projective varieties by restricting to commutative rings.

> **Theorem** ([BF21]). *Let X and Y be noncommutative projective schemes associated to a delightful couple, A and B, over a field, k. If there is a triangulated equivalence $F\colon \mathrm{D}(X) \to \mathrm{D}(Y)$, then there exists an object K of $\mathrm{D}(X \times Y)$ whose associated integral transform, Φ_K, is an equivalence. That is, X and Y are Fourier-Mukai partners.*

Future Work

As the introduction of Fourier-Mukai kernels to noncommutative algebraic geometry is a new development, there are a great many questions suggested by the commutative case that need to be addressed. Some questions include

1. How far away from isomorphic are derived equivalent noncommutative projective schemes?

   > **Objective 1.** *If X and X' are derived equivalent noncommutative $\mathbb{P}^2 s$, then $X \cong X'$.*

   These surfaces have been classified [ATVdB07, Ste96, Ste97, SVdB01] using moduli of point modules, which appear to align well with the methods of [BO95] in the commutative case. Successfully importing such methods should also provide insight into higher dimensional analogues.

2. Can moduli spaces and birational geometry of noncommutative varieties be encoded in their derived categories?

   > **Objective 2.** *Prove a semi-orthogonal decomposition along the lines of [BO95] using Van den Bergh's blowing-up [VdB01].*

3. Can Bridgeland stability be ported over from the commutative case?

   > **Objective 3.** *Develop Bridgeland stability for noncommutative surfaces.*

   Constructions for the Sklyanin algebras [LZ13] give practical guidance that seems to suggest this should be feasible in noncommutative geometry.

References

[ATVdB07] Michael Artin, John Tate, and Michel Van den Bergh, *Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Springer, 2007, pp. 33–85.

[AZ94] Michael Artin and J.J. Zhang, *Noncommutative projective schemes*, Adv. Math. **109** (1994), no. 2, 228–287.

[BF21] Matthew Ballard and Blake Farman, *Kernels for noncommutative projective schemes*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **15** (2021), no. 4, 1129–1180. MR 4357063

[BO95] Alexei Bondal and Dmitri Orlov, *Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties*, arXiv preprint alg-geom/9506012 (1995).

[Gab62] Pierre Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **90** (1962), 323–448.

[LO10] Valery Lunts and Dmitri Orlov, *Uniqueness of enhancement for triangulated categories*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **23** (2010), no. 3, 853–908.

[LZ13] Chunyi Li and Xiaolei Zhao, *The mmp for deformations of hilbert schemes of points on the projective plane*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.1748 (2013).

[Mor58] Kiiti Morita, *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Science Reports of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Section A **6** (1958), no. 150, 83–142.

[Orl97] D. O. Orlov, *Equivalences of derived categories and k3 surfaces*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **84** (1997), no. 5, 1361–1381.

[Ric89] Jeremy Rickard, *Morita theory for derived categories*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **2** (1989), no. 3, 436–456.

[Ste96] Darin R Stephenson, *Artin–schelter regular algebras of global dimension three*, Journal of Algebra **183** (1996), no. 1, 55–73.

[Ste97] Darin Stephenson, *Algebras associated to elliptic curves*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **349** (1997), no. 6, 2317–2340.

[SVdB01] J Stafford and Michel Van den Bergh, *Noncommutative curves and noncommutative surfaces*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **38** (2001), no. 2, 171–216.

[Toë07] Bertrand Toën, *The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived morita theory*, Inventiones mathematicae **167** (2007), no. 3, 615–667.

[VdB01] Michel Van den Bergh, *Blowing up of non-commutative smooth surfaces*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **154** (2001).

[Ver77] Jean-Louis Verdier, *Catégories dérivées*, Cohomologie étale (1977), 262–311.

[Zha96] JJ Zhang, *Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **3** (1996), no. 2, 281–311.