On the Recursive Teaching Dimension of VC Classes

Farnam Mansouri, Advisor: Dr. Adish Singla

Sharif University of Technology fmansouri@ce.sharif.edu

November 22, 2019

Overview

- Basic Definitions
- 2 Exponential Upper Bound on RTD
- 3 Lower Bound on Worst-Case RTD
- Quadratic Upper Bound on RTD

Basic Definitions

Definition

A (x,y) concept class is a concept class, which every x instances of it has maximum y patterns.

Definition

$$f(x,y) = max_{C \in (x,y)} TD_{min}(C)$$

Exponential Upper Bound on RTD

Theorem

If
$$VCD(C) = d$$
, Then $TS_{min}(C) = 2^{d+1}(d-2) + d + 4$.

Proof.

We'll prove by induction, base of induction is clear. We'll define $C_b^Y = \{c \in C : C_{|Y} = b\}$, imagine $k = 2^d(d-1) + 1$. we'll denote Y^* , b^* , smallest size C_b^Y which is not empty and |Y| = |b| = k. Without loss of generality imagine $Y^* = [k]$ and $b^* = 0$. If $VCD(C_{b^*}^{Y^*}) \leq d-1$, the by induction we'll drive $TS_{min}(C) \leq TS_{min}(C_{b^*}^{Y^*}) + k \leq 2^{d+1}(d-2) + d + 4$. By the sake of contradiction imagine $VCD(C_{b^*}^{Y^*}) = d$. consider a the size d set in $[n] \setminus Y^*$, which is shattered, then for all i between 1 and k $C_1^{x_i}$ must miss a pattern p_i in a, or else VC-Dimension will be d+1.

Exponential Upper Bound on RTD (cont.)

Proof.

number of possible patterns is 2^d , and $k = 2^d(d-1) + 1$, so there exist a pattern p, which d of $p_i = p$. Replace those indexes in Y^* with a, since non of those indexes had pattern p, the number of concepts in new $C_{b^*}^{Y^*}$ is strictly less than the previous one, which is in contradiction with our assumption.



Exponential Upper Bound on RTD (cont.)

0	0	0	0	0	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} & \underline{0} & \underline{0} \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & 1 & 1 \end{array} $
1					10~41
	1				D
		1			⊅ €
			1		>
				1	10>-01

Figure 1: An illustration for the proof of Lemma 4, $\mathrm{TS_{min}}(\mathcal{C}) \leq 6$ when d=2. We prove by contradiction that the smallest nonempty set $\mathcal{C}^{F^*}_{b^*}$, after fixing five bits, has $\mathrm{VCD}(\mathcal{C}^{F^*}_{b^*})=1$, where $Y^*=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and $b^*=0$. In this example, we have $Z=\{6,7\}, Y'=\{2,3,4,6,7\}$ and b'=0. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{Y'}_0$ is indeed a nonempty proper subset of $\mathcal{C}^{Y^*}_0$.

Lower Bound on Worst-Case RTD

Warmuth represented a concept classes C_W which, $RTD(C_W) = 3$, and $VCD(C_W) = 2$. Here we a concept class is represented which $RTD(C_O) = 5$, and $VCD(C_O) = 3$. note that succinct representation is a representation that for every concept we have all of the rotations of it in concept class, and C_O have 100 concepts.

Lower Bound on Worst-Case RTD (cont.)

x_1	$ x_2 $	$ x_3 $	x_4	$ x_5 $	$ x_6 $	x_7	x_8	$ x_9 $	$ x_{10} $	x ₁₁	x_{12}
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1

Figure 4: The succinct representation of a concept class C_0 with $RTD(C_0) = 5$ and $VCD(C_0) = 3$. The teaching set of each concept is marked with underline.

Quadratic Upper Bound on RTD

Lemma

For any x,y,z, that $y \le 2^x - 1$, and $z \le 2y + 1$ following inequality holds:

$$f(x+1,z) \le f(x,y) + \lceil \frac{(y+1)(x-1)+1}{2y-z+2} \rceil$$

Proof.

Imagine C is a (x+1,z), just like previous section, we'll denote Y^*,b^* , smallest size C_b^Y which is not empty and |Y|=|b|=k. Without loss of generality imagine $Y^*=[k]$ and $b^*=0$. Now, we only need to prove $C_{b^*}^{Y^*}$ is (x,y) class. Assume for the sake of contradiction that previous statement is false, it means that there exist a $|Z| \le x$, which $|\{c_{|Z}: c \in C_{b^*}^{Y^*}\}| \ge y+1$ (Generally assume that $Z \cap Y^*=\varnothing$, otherwise consider $Z \setminus Y^*$, instead of $Z \in Y^*$ cannot be empty)).

Quadratic Upper Bound on RTD

Proof.

Now Define,

$$C_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z} = \{c_{|Z} : c \in C_{b^*}^{Y^*}\}.$$

Since C is (x+1,z) class, the projection of C on the set $Z \cup \{w\}$ has no more than z patterns. Thus

$$|C_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}| + |C_1^{\{w\},Z}| \le z$$

we know $|C_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}|$, so $|C_1^{\{w\},Z}| \le z - y - 1$. Now find $\tilde{C}_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z} \subseteq C_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}$ so that $|\tilde{C}_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}| = y + 1$. $\forall W \in Y^* : |\tilde{C}_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z} \setminus C_1^{\{w\},Z}| \ge 2y + 2 - z \ge 1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{w \in Y^*} \ge k(2y-z+2) > (y+1)(x-1) = |\tilde{C}_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}|(x-1) \ge |\tilde{C}_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}|(|Z|-1).$$

Quadratic Upper Bound on RTD (cont.)

Proof.

It then follows from the Pigeonhole Principle that there exists $W\subseteq Y^*$ such that |W|=|Z| and $\bigcap_{w\in W}(C_{b^*}^{Y^*,Z}\setminus C_1^{\{w\},Z})\neq\varnothing$. Pick any string in it called s, now just like previous section $C_{0\circ s}^{(Y^*\setminus W)\cup Z}$ is a non empty and proper subset of $C_{b^*}^{Y^*}$, which is contradiction.

Corollary

$$\forall 1 < \alpha < 2 : f(X, \lfloor \alpha^x \rfloor) \le \frac{(x-1)^2}{4-2\alpha} + \frac{3-2\alpha}{4-2\alpha}.(x-1). = o(X^2)$$

Lemma

For some constant c for every x > cd, $(\frac{ex}{d})^d \le \alpha^x$.

Quadratic Upper Bound on RTD (cont.)

Theorem

 $RTD(C) = O(VCD(C)^2).$

Proof.

By Saur's lemma we know that every concept class with VC-Dimension d, is $(x,(\frac{ex}{d})^d)$, after using previous lemma we'll drive that $\forall x>cd$, every concept class with VC-Dimension d is $(x,\lfloor\alpha^x\rfloor)$ class. Using the corollary we'll drive $TD_{min}(C) \leq O(x^2) = O((cd)^2) = O(d^2)$.

The End