

ICSE 2012

目录

- June 6
 - Keynote 1
 - Cost Estimation for Distributed Software Project
 - Characterizing Logging Practices in Open-Source Software
 - Combine Functional and Imperative Pgrm for Multicore Sw: Scala & Java
 - Sound Empirical Evidence in Software

Testing

- Identifying Linux Bug Fixing Patch
- Active Refinement of Clone Anomaly

Reports

- June7

- Keynotes 2: Sustainability with Software
- An Industrial Perspective
 - Green IT
 - What can we do?
 - Green by IT
- On How Often code is cloned across
repositories
- Graph-based analysis and prediction for
sw evolution
 - graph are everywhere
 - predictors
 - Conclusion
- What make long term contributors:
willingness and opportunity in OSS
 - approach
 - summeray
- develop of auxiliary functions: should
you be agile?
 - experiment
 - research questions
 - result
- Static Detection of Resource Contention
Problems in Server-side script

- Amplifying Tests to Validate Exception Handling Code
- A tactic-centric approach automating traceability of quality concerns

June 6

Keynote 1

没怎么听懂，只记得讲到了finance is not money但是没听懂这个和软件有什么关系。

Cost Estimation for Distributed Software Project

讲到他们试图改善现有的模型去更精确地评估软件开发的开销。

他们会给PM建议之前的项目的历史数据，然后对于新项目，他们建议历史上已有的项目的的数据，从而帮助PM得到更精确的评估。他们试图尽量减少项目评估对

PM 的经验的需求，从而帮助即使经验很少的PM也能准确评估项目的开销。

他们的观点：

Context-specific solutions
needed!

我们需要更上下文相关的解决方案！

Early user participation is key!

早期用户的参与是关键

Characterizing Logging Practices in Open-Source Software

Common mistakes in logging messages

在日志记录中容易犯的错误

他们学习了历史上的log记录，然后试图找到重复修改的输出log的语句，确定log 中存在的问题。他们首先确定修改是事后修改。

通常的修改的比例（9027个修改）

45% 静态文本

27% 打印出的变量

26% 调试等级verbosity

2% 日志输出的位置

他们发现有调试等级的变化，是因为安全漏洞之类的原因，或者在开销和数据 之间的权衡。

大多数对log的变量的修改都是为了增加一个参数。他们之前的LogEnhancer是为了解决这个问题而提出的，通过静态检查，提醒程序员是否忘记了某个参数

对text的修改是因为要改掉过时的代码信息，避免误导用户。

他们的实验是采用了基于code clone 的技术，找到所有log语句，然后找不一致的clone，然后自动提出建议。

Combine Functional and Imperative Pgrm for Multicore Sw: Scala & Java

趋势：到处都是多核，但是并发程序呢？

他们研究的对象是Scala和Java，因为可以编译后确认JVM字节码的语义。

- **Java:**

- 共享内存
- 显示创建的线程
- 手动同步
- Wait/Notify机制

- **Scala:**

- 高阶函数
- Actors, 消息传递
- lists, filters, iterators
- while
- 共享状态, OO
- import java.* 能从java导入任何库
- auto type inference 自动类型推导

实验的参与者都经过4周的训练，实验项目是工业等级的开发项目

结果：

scala 的项目平均比java多花38%的时间，主要都是花在Test和debug上的时间。

程序员的经验和总体时间相关，但是对test和debug没有显著影响。

scala的为了让编程更有效率的设计，导致debug更困难。比如类型推导，debug 的时候需要手动推导，来理解正在发生什么。

scala的程序比java小，中位数2.6%，平均15.2%

- **性能比较：**
 - 单核：scala的线性程序的性能比java好
 - **4核：**
 - scala 7s @ 4 threads
 - java 4si @ 8 threads
 - **median**
 - 83s scala
 - 98s java
 - 32core: best scala 34s @ 64 threads
- **结论**
 - java有更好的scalability
- **scala类型推导**
 - 45%说对携带码有帮助
 - 85%说导致程序错误
- **调试**
 - 23%认为scala简单
 - 77%认为java简单

multi-paradigam are better

Sound Empirical Evidence in
Software Testing

Test data generation 测试数据自动生成

Large Empirical Studies - not always possible

For open source software - big enough

Identifying Linux Bug Fixing Patch

- **current practice:**
 - manual
- **Current research:**
 - keywords in commits
 - link bug reports in bugzilla

Try to solve classification problem

- **issue**
 - pre-identified
 - post-identified
- **data**
 - from commit log
- **feature extraction**
 - text pre-process stemmed non-stop words

- model learning

research questions

Active Refinement of Clone Anomaly Reports

motivating

- code clones, clone groups
- clone used to detect bugs
- anomaly : inconsistent clone group many anomaly clone are not bug, high false positive

approach

- reorder by sorted bug reports
-

June7

Keynotes 2: Sustainability with Software - An Industrial Perspective

Sustainability

- **Classic View: Independent view with overlap**
 - Social
 - Environment
 - Economic
- **Nested view**
 - **Environment**
 - **Social**
 - Economic

Triple bottom line

- **economic**
 - global business, networks , global econ
- **env**
 - natural res, climate change, population grow
- **social**
 - awareness, connectivity, accountability

Green IT

- **reduce IT energy**
 - more than 50% cooling - doing nothing
- **mini e-waste: not properly recycled**
 - 80% in EU
 - 75% in US
- foster dematerialization

In-Memory Technology: Expected Sustainable Benefits

What can we do?

- consider all software lifecycle phases in your design
- avoid energy expensive behavior in your codes
- design lean architectures

Green by IT

- 2% green IT
- 98% green IT

On How Often code is cloned across repositories

Line based hashing code clone detection

never do anything harder than sorting

hashing a window of 5 lines of normalized (tokenized) code, dropping 3/4 of the hashing

把ccfinder一个月的工作缩短到了3, 4天。没有比较 precision和recall。

14% type1

16% type2

17% type3 (not really type2)

Graph-based analysis and prediction for sw evolution

graph are everywhere

- internet topology
- social net
- chemistry
- biology

in sw - func call graph - module dependency graph

developer interaction graph - commit logs - bug reports

experiment 11 oss, 27~171 release, > 9 years

predictors

- **NodeRank**
 - similar to pagerank of google
 - measure relative importance of each node
 - **func call graph with noderank**
 - compare rank with severity scale on bugzilla
 - **correlation between noderank and BugSeverity**
 - func level 0.48 ~ 0.86 varies among projects.
 - model level > func level

- **ModularityRatio**
 - cohesion/coupling ratio:
IntraDep(M)/InterDep(M)
 - forecast maintenance effort
 - **use for**
 - identify modules that need redesign or refactoring
- **EditDistance**
 - bug-based developer collaboration graphs
 - $ED(G1, G2) = |V1| + |V2| - 2|V1 \cap V2| + |E1| + |E2| - 2|E1 \cap E2|$
 - **use for**
 - release planning
 - resource allocation

graph metrics

- **graph diameter**
 - average node degree indicates reuse
- clustering coefficient
- assortativity
- num of cycles

Conclusion

"Actionable intelligence" from graph evolution

- studie 11 large long-live projs
- predictors
- identify pivotal moments in evolution

What make long term contributors: willingness and opportunity in OSS

OSS don't work without contributors form community

mozilla (2000-2008)

$10^{2.2}$ LTC <- 2 order -> $10^{4.2}$ new contributors
<- 3.5 order -> $10^{7.7}$ users

gnome (1999-2007)

$10^{2.5}$ LTC <- 1.5 order -> $10^{4.0}$ new contributors
<- 3.5 order -> $10^{6.5}$ users

approach

- read issues of 20 LTC and 20 non-LTC
- suvery 56 (36 non-LTC and 20 LTC)
- extract practices published on project web

sites

summeray

- Ability/Willingness distinguishes LTCs
- **Environment**
 - **macro-climate**
 - popularity
 - **micro-climate**
 - attention
 - number of peers
 - performance of peers

regression model

newcomers to LTC conversion drops

actions in first month predicts LTCs

- 24% recall
- 37% precision

develop of auxiliary functions: should you be agile?

a empirical assessment of pair programming and
test-first programming

can agile help auxiliary functions?

experiment

- pair vs solo
- test-first vs test-last
- students vs professors

research questions

- r1: can pair help obtain more correct impl
- r2: can test-first
- r3: dst test1 encourage the impl or more test cases?
- r4: does test1 course more coverage

result

- **test-first**
 - higher coverage
 - non change with correctness
- **pair**
 - improve on correctness
 - longer total programming time

Static Detection of Resource Contention Problems in Server-side script

Addressed the race condition of accessing database or filesystem of PHP

Amplifying Tests to Validate Exception Handling Code

异常处理的代码不但难写，而且难以验证。各种组合情况难以估计，尤其是手机系统上。

A tactic-centric approach automating traceability of quality concerns

tactic traceability information models

