

Discuss C++ Template Downcast

Table of Contents

- [Original Discuss](#)
- [The problem](#)
- [My answer to the problem](#)
 - [First we discuss ff](#)
 - [Then we discuss f](#)

This is a discuss in C board in bbs.sjtu.edu.cn, about type down-cast in C++ template.

[Original Discuss](#)

<http://bbs.sjtu.edu.cn/bbstcon,board,C,reid,1330078933,file,M.1330078933.A.html>

[The problem](#)

Today I read a book about we can do cast-down in template, so I write this to

test:

```
1  template <bool _Test, class _Type = void>
2  struct enable_if { };
3
4  template<class _Type>
5  struct enable_if<true, _Type> {
6      typedef _Type type;
7  };
8
9  class A { };
10 class B : A { };
11
12 template <typename T>
13 struct traits { static int const value = false; };
14
15 template <>
16 struct traits<A> { static int const value = true; };
17
18 template <typename T>
19 void f(T, typename enable_if<traits<T>::value>::type* = 0)
20 { }
21
22 template <>
23 void f<A>(A, enable_if<traits<A>::value>::type*) { }
24
25
26 template <typename T>
27 class BB {};
28
29 template <typename T>
30 class DD : public BB<T> {};
31
32 template <typename T> void ff(BB<T>) {};
33
34 int main(int argc, char * argv[])
35 {
36     A a; B b;
37     DD<long> dd;
38     //f(b);
39     ff(dd);
40 }
```

It is strange when `f` it don't allow my specified `f<A>`` .

But in `ff` it allowed `ff<BB<long>>`` .

Tested under VC10 and GCC3.4

My answer to the problem

Let's think ourself as compiler to see what happened there.

Define mark # : $A\#B$ is the instantiated result when we put B into the parameter T of $A<T>$.

First we discuss ff

```
1 DD<long> dd;
```

After this sentence, the compiler saw the instantiation of $DD<long>$, so it instantiate $DD\#long$, and also $BB\#long$.

```
1 ff(dd);
```

This sentence required the compiler to calculate set of overloading functions.

Step 1 we need to infer T of $ff<T>$ from argument $DD\#long \rightarrow BB<T>$.
Based on the inference rule:

Argument with type :code:`class_template_name<T>` can be use to infer :code:`T`.

So compiler inferred T as $long$. Here if it is not BB but CC which is complete un-related, we can also infer, as long as CC is a template like $CC<T>$.

Step 2 Template Specialization Resolution. There is only one template here so we matched $ff<T>$.

Step 3 Template Instantiation

After inferred $long \rightarrow T$, compiler instantiated $ff\#long$.

Set of available overloading functions : $\{ff\#long\}$

Then overloading resolution found the only match $ff\#long$, checked its real parameter $DD\#long$ can be down-cast to formal parameter $BB\#long$.

Then we discuss f

```
1 f(b);
```

Calculate set of overloading functions.

Step 1 infer all template parameters for template `f` . According to inference rule:

```
Parameter with type T can be used to infer T .
```

So `B -> T` is inferred.

Step 2 Template Specialization Resolution.

Here `B` is not `A` so we can not apply specialization of `f<A>` , remaining `f<T>` as the only alternative.

Step 3 Template Instantiation.

When we put `B` into `f<T>` to instantiate as `f#B` , we need to instantiate `traits#B`` .

There is no specialization for `B` so we use template `traits<T>` , `traits#B::value=false` , so `enable_if#false` didn't contains a type , an error occurred.

The only template is mismatch, available overloading functions is empty set. So we got an error.