

# Discuss C++ Template Downcast

## Table of Contents

- Original Discuss
- The problem
- My answer to the problem
  - First we discuss ff
  - Then we discuss f

This is a discuss in C board in [bbs.sjtu.edu.cn](http://bbs.sjtu.edu.cn), about type down-cast in C++ template.

## Original Discuss

<http://bbs.sjtu.edu.cn/bbstcon,board,C,reid,1330078933,file,M.>

# The problem

Today I read a book about we can do cast-down in template, so I write this to test:

```
1  template <bool _Test, class _Type = void>
2  struct enable_if {};
3
4  template<class _Type>
5  struct enable_if<true, _Type> {
6      typedef _Type type;
7  };
8
9  class A {};
10 class B : A {};
11
12 template <typename T>
13 struct traits { static int const value = false; };
14
15 template <>
16 struct traits<A> { static int const value = true; };
17
18 template <typename T>
19 void f(T, typename enable_if<traits<T>::value>::type* = 0) {}
20
21 template <>
22 void f<A>(A, enable_if<traits<A>::value>::type*) {}
23
24
25
26 template <typename T>
27 class BB {};
28
29 template <typename T>
```

```

30  class DD : public BB<T> {};
31
32  template <typename T> void ff(BB<T>) {};
33
34  int main(int argc, char * argv[])
35  {
36      A a; B b;
37      DD<long> dd;
38      //f(b);
39      ff(dd);
40  }

```

It is strange when `f` it don't allow my specified `f<A>` .

But in `ff` it allowed `ff<BB<long>>` .

Tested under VC10 and GCC3.4

## My answer to the problem

Let's think ourself as compiler to see what happened there.

Define mark `#` : `A#B` is the instantiated result when we put `B` into the parameter `T` of `A<T>` .

## First we discuss ff

```

1  DD<long> dd;

```

After this sentence, the compiler saw the instantiation of `DD<long>` , so it instantiate `DD#long` , and also `BB#long` .

```
1 ff(dd);
```

This sentence required the compiler to calculate set of overloading functions.

Step 1 we need to infer  $T$  of  $\text{ff}<T>$  from argument  $\text{DD}\#long \rightarrow \text{BB}<T>$  . Based on the inference rule:

Argument with type :code:`class\_template\_name<T>` can be use to infer :code:`T` .

So compiler inferred  $T$  as  $long$  . Here if it is not  $\text{BB}$  but  $\text{CC}$  which is complete un-related, we can also infer, as long as  $\text{CC}$  is a template like  $\text{CC}<T>$  .

Step 2 Template Specialization Resolution. There is only one template here so we matched  $\text{ff}<T>$  .

Step 3 Template Instantiation

After inferred  $long \rightarrow T$  , compiler instantiated  $\text{ff}\#long$  .

Set of available overloading functions :  $\{\text{ff}\#long\}$

Then overloading resolution found the only match  $\text{ff}\#long$  , checked its real parameter  $\text{DD}\#long$  can be down-cast to formal parameter  $\text{BB}\#long$  .

## Then we discuss f

```
1 f(b);
```

Calculate set of overloading functions.

Step 1 infer all template parameters for template  $f$  . According to inference rule:

Parameter with type T can be used to infer T 。

So  $B \rightarrow T$  is inferred.

Step 2 Template Specialization Resolution.

Here B is not A so we can not apply specialization of  $f\langle A \rangle$  , remaining  $f\langle T \rangle$  as the only alternative.

Step 3 Template Instantiation.

When we put B into  $f\langle T \rangle$  to instantiate as  $f\#B$  , we need to instantiate  $\text{traits}\#B`$  .

There is no specialization for B so we use template  $\text{traits}\langle T \rangle$  ,  $\text{traits}\#B::\text{value}=\text{false}$  , so  $\text{enable\_if}\#false$  didn't contains a type , an error occurred.

The only template is mismatch, available overloading functions is empty set. So we got an error.