

Job-Applicator Plan

April 25, 2025

Contents

1	Overview/General	3
1.1	Core Vision	3
1.2	Agent Architecture	3
1.3	State Management	4
1.4	Tech Stack	4
1.4.1	Core Technologies	4
1.4.2	Data Management	4
1.4.3	Frontend & UI	4
1.4.4	AI & NLP Components	5
1.4.5	Development Tools	5
2	Profile Manager	6
2.1	Purpose & Functionality	6
2.2	Current Implementation	6
2.2.1	User Preferences Management	6
2.2.2	Credential Management	7
2.3	User Interface Integration	7
2.4	Planned Enhancements	7
3	Job Finder	8
3.1	Architecture & Components	8
3.1.1	Component Structure	8
3.1.2	Search Workflow	8
3.2	LinkedIn Integration	8
3.3	State Management & Integration	9

3.4	Job Filtering & Ranking	9
3.5	Future Expansions	9
4	Job Applicator	10
4.1	Purpose & Functionality	10
4.2	Current Implementation Status	10
4.3	Form Matching Architecture	10
4.4	Planned Application Workflow	11
4.5	Integration with LangGraph	11
4.6	Future Development	11
5	Failed Approaches	12
5.1	LinkedIn Worker Implementation Complexity	12
5.2	Keyword Matching Strategy Evolution	12
5.3	Initial Agent Implementation (Pre-LangGraph)	13
5.3.1	Inheritance-Based Agent Architecture	13
5.4	Direct MCP Integration	13
5.5	Credential Management Evolution	14
5.6	Direct Browser Automation	14
5.7	Lessons Learned	15
6	Linear Progress	16
6.1	Phase 1: Initial Repository Setup (April 2025)	16
6.2	Phase 2: Credential and Preference Management (April 7-8, 2025)	16
6.3	Phase 3: LinkedIn Integration Attempt (April 8-10, 2025)	17
6.4	Phase 4: Approach Pivot and Resolution (April 10, 2025)	17
6.5	Phase 5: MCP Overhaul Planning (April 10, 2025)	17
6.6	Phase 6: LinkedIn Search Enhancement (April 22, 2025)	18
6.7	Development Patterns and Repository Dynamics	18
6.8	Future Development Roadmap	18
7	Rough	20

1 Overview/General

This project implements an autonomous job application system leveraging a multi-agent architecture and the Message Control Protocol (MCP) to automate the job search and application process. The system creates a network of specialized AI agents capable of discovering relevant job opportunities, managing a user's professional profile, evaluating job fit, and streamlining the application process across various platforms with minimal human intervention.

1.1 Core Vision

The job-applicator system targets three critical pain points in the modern job application process:

- **Unified Profile Management:** Maintaining a consistent and updated personal profile—skills, projects, and experience—across resumes, LinkedIn, GitHub, and other platforms.
- **Intelligent Job Discovery:** Automatically finding the most relevant opportunities by considering not just job descriptions, but signals like alumni presence, recruiter engagement, and job freshness.
- **Automated Application Execution:** Eliminating repetitive tasks like customizing resumes and filling out similar application forms across platforms while improving semantic matching beyond basic keyword filtering.

1.2 Agent Architecture

The system employs a three-tier agent hierarchy facilitated by the Message Control Protocol (MCP) for structured communication:

1. **Coordinator Agent:** The top-level agent responsible for orchestrating the overall workflow, managing high-level task allocation, and serving as the central communication hub.
2. **Manager Agents:** Middle-tier agents overseeing specific functional domains (Job Search, Profile Management, Application Processing) and breaking tasks down into subtasks for Worker Agents.
3. **Worker Agents:** Foundation-level agents performing specialized, tool-based tasks by interfacing with specific external services or data sources.

This hierarchical architecture promotes modularity, specialization, and efficient information flow between components.

1.3 State Management

The system uses a central JobState Pydantic model to manage application state across all agents, containing:

- Current action indicators (`current_action`)
- Message history (`msgs`)
- Platform authentication status (`plat_auth_status`)
- Session information (`plat_sesh_info`)
- Search results per platform (`srch_res`)
- Collected job listings (`scraped_jobs`)

This shared state design allows for effective communication between agents while maintaining a single source of truth.

1.4 Tech Stack

1.4.1 Core Technologies

- **Python 3.11+:** Primary programming language
- **Conda:** Environment management
- **LangGraph:** Graph-based agent workflows with state management
- **Pydantic:** Data validation and state modeling
- **Playwright:** Web automation for LinkedIn interaction

1.4.2 Data Management

- **JSON:** Current storage for credentials and preferences
- **Cryptography:** Secure credential encryption/decryption
- **Planned SQLite/DuckDB:** Future structured data storage

1.4.3 Frontend & UI

- **Streamlit:** User interface for configuration and monitoring

1.4.4 AI & NLP Components

- **Sentence-Transformers:** Local text embeddings
- **LLM Integration:** Planned GPT/Claude API integration for advanced semantic understanding

1.4.5 Development Tools

- **Git:** Version control
- **Pytest:** Testing framework
- **Logging:** Custom logger implementation

2 Profile Manager

The Profile Manager is a key component of the job-applicator system responsible for unified management of the user's professional profile across multiple platforms.

2.1 Purpose & Functionality

The Profile Manager serves several critical functions:

- Centralizing user profile data across platforms (resume, LinkedIn, GitHub)
- Maintaining consistent professional information between job applications
- Supporting customization of profile elements for specific job applications
- Securely storing credentials for accessing various platforms

2.2 Current Implementation

2.2.1 User Preferences Management

The current system uses a JSON-based approach to store user preferences in `data/usr_prefs.json`. These preferences include:

- **Modality preferences:** Remote, On-site, or Hybrid work
- **Employment types:** Full-time, Contract, etc.
- **Location preferences:** Geographical areas of interest (USA, etc.)
- **Job titles:** Target roles like "Python Developer" or "Software Engineer"
- **Experience level:** Mid-Level, Senior, etc.
- **Salary expectations:** Numerical range (\$80,000-\$120,000)

This data is accessed through utilities in `utils/frontend/secgeneral.py` which implements loading and saving functionality.

2.2.2 Credential Management

The system implements robust credential management through `utils/credmanager.py`, featuring:

- **Secure encryption:** Using Fernet symmetric encryption with PBKDF2 key derivation
- **Multi-platform support:** Mapping credentials to different platforms (LinkedIn, Indeed, etc.)
- **Environment-based security:** Optional environment variables for encryption keys
- **Credential reuse:** Ability to use the same credentials across multiple platforms

Credentials are stored in `data/usr_creds.json` in an encrypted format, with mappings between platforms and credential sets.

2.3 User Interface Integration

Profile Management is exposed through the Streamlit-based frontend in:

- **Preferences tab:** For job preferences configuration
- **Keywords tab:** For skills and expertise management
- **Credentials tab:** For platform login management

The UI is designed to be user-friendly with intuitive input forms and toggle options for sensitive data like passwords.

2.4 Planned Enhancements

Future enhancements to the Profile Manager include:

- **Resume parsing:** Automatic extraction of skills and experience
- **LinkedIn profile integration:** Synchronizing with LinkedIn profile data
- **GitHub profile analysis:** Incorporating project and contribution data
- **Skill proficiency modeling:** Quantitative measurement of skill levels
- **Semantic profile representation:** Vector embeddings for semantic matching

These enhancements will provide a more comprehensive and intelligent profile management system for improved job matching and application customization.

3 Job Finder

The Job Finder component is the core job discovery engine of the job-applicator system, responsible for identifying relevant job opportunities across various platforms and filtering them according to user preferences.

3.1 Architecture & Components

3.1.1 Component Structure

The Job Finder follows the system's agent hierarchy with:

- **Search Manager (`SrchMgr`):** Orchestrates the search process across platforms
- **Platform-specific workers:** Currently implementing LinkedIn search worker (`SrchWrkrLkdn`)
- **Authentication tools:** Platform-specific authentication utilities

This modular design allows for extensibility to additional job platforms in the future.

3.1.2 Search Workflow

As implemented in `src/graphs/SrchMgr.py`, the search process follows a defined workflow:

1. Initial request handling by `run_search`, checking for supported platforms
2. Platform-specific authentication via workers (e.g., `init_srchwrkrldn`)
3. Job search execution using authenticated sessions
4. Parsing and initial filtering of results
5. Aggregation of results back to the main workflow

The workflow is implemented using LangGraph's StateGraph for flexible state transitions.

3.2 LinkedIn Integration

The current implementation focuses primarily on LinkedIn job search, with:

- **Authentication:** Using stored credentials from `data/usr_creds.json` managed through the `credmanager.py` utility
- **Session management:** Persistent sessions using stored cookies in `data/browser_lkdn.json`

- **Job search execution:** Using Playwright for browser automation

The LinkedIn worker agent (`SrchWrkrLkdn`) is responsible for all LinkedIn-specific operations.

3.3 State Management & Integration

The Job Finder interacts with the central `JobState` object, specifically:

- Reading search parameters from `JobState` for search configuration
- Updating authentication status in `plat_auth_status`
- Storing session information in `plat_sesh_info`
- Recording search results in `srch_res`
- Populating discovered jobs in `scraped_jobs`

This ensures transparent state sharing with other system components.

3.4 Job Filtering & Ranking

The Job Finder implements preference-based filtering using:

- **Hard constraints:** Filtering based on modality, employment type, location, etc.
- **User preferences:** Matching job titles and salary ranges from `usr_prefs.json`
- **Planned semantic matching:** Future implementation of embedding-based relevance scoring

The filtered jobs are then made available for further processing by the Job Applicator component.

3.5 Future Expansions

Planned enhancements to the Job Finder include:

- **Additional platforms:** Indeed, Glassdoor, company career pages
- **Advanced filtering:** Using NLP for better understanding of job requirements
- **Proactive discovery:** Identifying opportunities based on company research
- **Scheduler integration:** Periodic job searches in the background
- **Search optimization:** Learning from user feedback to improve search parameters

These enhancements will create a more comprehensive job discovery system that can identify the most relevant opportunities across the job market.

4 Job Applicator

The Job Applicator component is responsible for evaluating job opportunities and automating the application process, functioning as the execution arm of the system that interacts with job application interfaces.

4.1 Purpose & Functionality

The Job Applicator serves several critical functions:

- Evaluating job matches against user profile and preferences
- Generating customized application materials (resume variants, cover letters)
- Automating form completion across different application interfaces
- Tracking application status and progress

4.2 Current Implementation Status

The Job Applicator is currently in early stages of implementation:

- Basic structure defined in `AgtCoord.py` as `job_apply_entry` function
- Placeholder integration with the coordinator workflow
- Initial state tracking infrastructure in `JobState`

The frontend includes a "Routines" section (`secroutine.py`) designated for application management, currently marked as under construction.

4.3 Form Matching Architecture

Based on the architectural documentation, the form matching system will use:

- **Semantic Understanding:** LLMs to comprehend the intent behind form fields
- **Profile-Field Mapping:** Intelligent mapping between user profile data and application form fields
- **Validation Logic:** Ensuring all required fields are properly completed
- **Human-in-the-Loop Options:** Checkpoints for user confirmation before submission

The system will learn from past form completions to improve accuracy over time.

4.4 Planned Application Workflow

The full Job Applicator workflow will include:

1. **Job Evaluation:** In-depth evaluation of job fit using semantic matching
2. **Document Customization:** Creating tailored resumes and cover letters
3. **Application Form Recognition:** Identifying and parsing application form structure
4. **Form Auto-filling:** Mapping profile data to form fields
5. **Submission Management:** Handling the actual submission process
6. **Status Tracking:** Monitoring application status post-submission

4.5 Integration with LangGraph

The application process will be implemented as a LangGraph workflow, with nodes for:

- **Profile Parsing:** Converting profile data into structured format
- **Application Form Recognition:** Web scraping and form field identification
- **Content Generation:** Creating customized application content
- **Form Filling:** Automating form completion
- **Submission:** Handling the actual submission process

This graph-based architecture will allow for conditional branching based on application requirements.

4.6 Future Development

Key areas for future development include:

- **Form Recognition:** Advanced recognition of different application form types
- **Resume Tailoring:** AI-powered customization of resumes for specific jobs
- **Cover Letter Generation:** Creating personalized cover letters
- **Application Tracking:** Monitoring submission status
- **Interview Scheduling:** Managing interview invitations

These enhancements will create a truly autonomous application system that handles the entire process from job discovery to application submission.

5 Failed Approaches

Throughout the development of the job-applicator system, several approaches were explored, implemented, and ultimately abandoned or significantly refactored. An analysis of the repository dynamics and commit history provides clear insights into these evolutionary paths and architectural pivots.

5.1 LinkedIn Worker Implementation Complexity

As documented in the repository's commit history, a major implementation approach was explicitly abandoned in April 2025 with the commit message *"midway abandoning this approach due to complexity"* related to Issue #3 (Job Search Framework - LinkedIn Job Searcher):

- **Initial Approach:** Direct scraping of LinkedIn job listings using complex browser manipulation techniques
- **Complexity Factors:**
 - Handling dynamic content loading
 - Managing authentication state across sessions
 - Dealing with LinkedIn's interface changes
 - Building robust error recovery mechanisms
- **Resolution:** The approach was replaced with a simpler, more maintainable solution using Playwright and cookie persistence as seen in `data/browser_lkdn.json`

This pivot is reflected in the pull request #4 which closed issue #3 with a note of "LinkedIn agent partial implementation."

5.2 Keyword Matching Strategy Evolution

The commit history reveals an evolution in job matching approaches:

- **Initial Strategy:** Based on commit *"#3 overhaul of keyword match is ongoing in testSearch.py"*, an earlier approach used direct keyword matching
- **Problems Encountered:**
 - Insufficient semantic understanding
 - Poor handling of skill variations and synonyms

- Limited ability to assess role relevance beyond exact matches
- **Transition:** Evolved to a preference-based approach, as indicated by commit *"#3 Preference matcher step 1 done"*

This transition directly informed the current preference-based filtering approach implemented in the Job Finder component.

5.3 Initial Agent Implementation (Pre-LangGraph)

5.3.1 Inheritance-Based Agent Architecture

The initial agent architecture (found in `archive/agents/`) used a class-based inheritance model:

- **Base Agent Class** (`AgtBase.py`): Provided common functionality and identification
- **Specialized Agent Subclasses:** Implemented specific functionality through inheritance
- **Manual Message Passing:** Explicit message handling between agents

This approach was abandoned due to:

- Tight coupling between agent components
- Difficulty in maintaining complex workflows
- Limited flexibility for dynamic behavior
- Challenges in state synchronization across agents

The system was refactored to use LangGraph's graph-driven architecture, which provides looser coupling, state management, and more flexible workflow definitions, as indicated by the open issue #5 "MCP Overhaul."

5.4 Direct MCP Integration

The initial Message Control Protocol implementation (`archive/mcp/messages.py`) attempted to:

- Create a custom message passing protocol
- Implement direct communication channels between agents
- Define strict message templates for all agent interactions

This approach was discontinued in favor of:

- Using LangGraph’s built-in state management for communication
- Simplifying the message structure with the JobState Pydantic model
- Adopting a more centralized state approach versus distributed messaging

5.5 Credential Management Evolution

The commit history reveals a significant evolution in credential management:

- **Initial Implementation:** Basic credential storage in plaintext format (commit *"Basic chromedriver frontend setup done to store preferences and linkedin credentials"*)
- **Recognized Issues:** Security vulnerabilities and limited platform support
- **Reimplementation:** Complete overhaul with encrypted credential storage (commit *"Massive changes to environment yml, storage of usr data now encrypted, frontend UI"*)
- **Final Refinement:** Improved UI for credential management (commit *"Frontend credential storage successful UI workable, move on"*)

This evolution resulted in the current secure credential management system implemented in `utils/credmanager.py`.

5.6 Direct Browser Automation

Early approaches to LinkedIn integration focused on direct browser automation with:

- Custom browser session management
- Direct DOM manipulation for interaction
- Handcrafted selectors for page elements

This was replaced with:

- More robust Playwright-based automation
- Cookie-based session persistence (`browser_lkdn.json`)
- More reliable interaction patterns

5.7 Lessons Learned

These failed approaches, clearly documented in the repository history, yielded valuable insights that have shaped the current implementation:

- **Incremental Development:** The commit history shows a pattern of starting with minimal viable functionality and iteratively improving
- **Issue-Driven Development:** Issues like #3 and #5 drove focused development efforts with clear objectives
- **Willingness to Pivot:** Explicit acknowledgment of approach abandonment demonstrates adaptation to discovered complexity
- **Frontend-Backend Coordination:** UI changes were made in tandem with backend changes to maintain consistency
- **Centralized State Management:** Evolution toward unified state management across components

These lessons continue to inform the ongoing development of the system, as reflected in the current issues like #6 "LinkedIn Search Functionality," which builds on lessons from previous implementation attempts.

6 Linear Progress

The job-applicator project has evolved through several clearly defined development phases, as evidenced by the repository's commit history, issue tracking, and branch management. This section outlines the chronological progression of the project from concept to current implementation, informed by actual development activities tracked in the GitHub repository.

6.1 Phase 1: Initial Repository Setup (April 2025)

The project began with the creation of the repository and initial framework setup:

- Repository initialization (commit *"Initial commit"* on April 7, 2025)
- Addition of project scaffolding, environment configuration, and README (commit *"README and env yml files added #1"*)
- Issue #1 created for project setup and infrastructure
- Basic frontend scaffolding (commit *"Basic chromedriver frontend setup done to store preferences and linkedin credentials"*)

This initial phase established the foundation for further development while tracking progress through Issue #1.

6.2 Phase 2: Credential and Preference Management (April 7-8, 2025)

The second phase focused on secure credential management and user preferences:

- Implementation of encrypted credential storage (commit *"Massive changes to environment yml, storage of usr data now encrypted, frontend UI"*)
- Refinement of credential management UI (commit *"Frontend credential storage succesful UI workable, move on"*)
- Completion of setup phase, closing of Issue #1 via Pull Request #2 (commit *"PR #2 closes #1"* on April 8)
- Creation of Issue #3 for Job Search Framework development

This phase created the secure infrastructure needed for storing and managing user credentials and preferences.

6.3 Phase 3: LinkedIn Integration Attempt (April 8-10, 2025)

The third phase focused on implementing LinkedIn job search capabilities:

- Documentation updates (commit *"#3 Updated plan document before starting"*)
- Frontend preferences storage modifications (commit *"#3 Frontend changes to account for new preference storage method"*)
- Implementation of LinkedIn authentication (commit *"#3 Linkedin search login and navigation to preferences works"*)
- Initial keyword matching development (commit *"#3 Bakwaas test"*)
- Preference matching implementation (commit *"#3 Preference matcher step 1 done"*)

This phase was characterized by incremental progress on the LinkedIn integration tied to Issue #3, focusing on authentication and preference matching.

6.4 Phase 4: Approach Pivot and Resolution (April 10, 2025)

The fourth phase involved a significant pivot in implementation approach:

- Keyword matching refactoring attempt (commit *"#3 overhaul of keyword match is ongoing in testSearch.py"*)
- Explicit abandonment of initial approach (commit *"#3 midway abandoning this approach due to complexity"*)
- Completion of LinkedIn agent with revised approach (Pull Request #4)
- Closure of Issue #3 through merge of PR #4 (commit *"Merge pull request #4 from farzanmrz/ft/3-linkedin-agent"*)

This phase demonstrated adaptive development practices, with recognition of complexity issues leading to a redesigned implementation approach.

6.5 Phase 5: MCP Overhaul Planning (April 10, 2025)

The fifth phase initiated planning for architectural improvements:

- Creation of Issue #5 "MCP Overhaul" for framework restructuring

- Planning for integration with LangGraph and AI models
- Preparation for transitioning from class-based to graph-based architecture

This phase represented a strategic planning period focused on architectural refinement to address limitations encountered in earlier phases.

6.6 Phase 6: LinkedIn Search Enhancement (April 22, 2025)

The current phase focuses on enhancing the LinkedIn search capabilities:

- Creation of Issue #6 "LinkedIn Search Functionality"
- Focus on implementing agentic workflow in the LinkedIn searcher agent
- Development of advanced filtering for search results
- Implementation of extraction capabilities for search results

This ongoing phase builds upon earlier LinkedIn integration work, adding more sophisticated capabilities based on lessons learned from previous implementations.

6.7 Development Patterns and Repository Dynamics

Analysis of the repository reveals several key patterns in the development process:

- **Issue-Driven Development:** Each major feature begins with an issue (#1, #3, #5, #6)
- **Feature Branch Workflow:** Development of specific features occurs in dedicated branches (e.g., *ft/3-linkedin-agent*)
- **Iterative Refinement:** Commitment to acknowledging and addressing complexity issues
- **Pull Request Integration:** Formal code review and integration through pull requests
- **Strategic Abandonment:** Willingness to pivot when approaches prove too complex

6.8 Future Development Roadmap

Based on current project trajectory and open issues, the planned progression includes:

- **Completion of MCP Overhaul (Issue #5):** Full integration with LangGraph for improved agent coordination

- **Enhanced LinkedIn Search (Issue #6):** Completion of agentic workflow and advanced filtering
- **Additional Job Platforms:** Expansion beyond LinkedIn to other job sources
- **Advanced Semantic Matching:** Implementation of AI-powered job evaluation
- **Application Automation:** Development of form recognition and filling capabilities

This roadmap, informed by actual repository activity and open issues, will guide the continued evolution of the system toward a fully automated job application solution.

7 Rough