

Canadian Election Study, 1965Canadian Election Study, 1965

Social Science Data Centre, Queen's University

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V1 - ICPR STUDY NUMBER-7225

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V2 - INTERVIEW NUMBER

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V3 - INTEGER WEIGHT VARIABLE

Value	Label
3	unweighted
4	weighted 1.33
6	double weighted

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V4 - DECIMAL WEIGHT VARIABLE

Value	Label
100.00	unweighted
133.00	weighted 1.33
200.00	double weighted

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V5 - PROVINCE OF INTERVIEW

Value	Label
0	P.E.I.
1	Nova Scotia
2	New Brunswick
3	Newfoundland
4	Quebec
5	Ontario
6	Manitoba
7	Saskatchewan
8	Alberta
9	British Columbia

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V6 - R'S CONSTITUENCY

Value	Label
1	St. John's West
2	St. John's East
3	CapeBretonN&Victoria
4	Colchester-Hants
5	Cumberland
6	Halifax
7	Kings
8	Charlotte
9	Northumbrlnd-Mirmich
10	Restigouche-Madawska
11	Royal
12	Saint John-Albert

13	Westmorland
14	Beauce
15	Beauharnois-Salaberry
16	Bellechasse
17	Chambly-Rouville
18	Chicoutimi
19	Drummond-Arthabaska
20	Gatineau
21	Hull
22	JolietteAsmptnMntclm
23	Levis
24	Longueuil
25	Matapedia-Matane
26	Megantic
27	Portneuf
28	Quebec-Ouest
29	Quebec-Sud
30	Quebec-Montmorency
31	Richmond-Wolfe
32	Rimouski
33	St Hyacinthe-Bagot
34	St Jean-Iberville-Naperville
35	St Maurice-Lafleche
36	Shefford
37	Sherbrooke
38	Terrebonne
39	Trois-Rivieres
40	Cartier
41	Jacques-Cartier-LaSalle
42	Laval
43	Mercier
44	Mont-Royal
45	Notre-Dame-de-Grace
46	Outremont-Saint Jean
47	Saint-Denis
48	Saint-Jacques
49	Algoma West
50	Brantford
51	Brant-Haldimand
52	Bruce
53	Carleton
54	Durham
55	Essex East
56	Essex South
57	Essex West
58	Hamilton South
59	Hamilton West
60	Hastings-Frontenac
61	Lambton-Kent
62	London
63	Middlesex East
64	Niagara Falls
65	Nickel Belt

66	Norfolk
67	Ontario
68	Peel
69	Perth
70	Peterborough
71	Port Arthur
72	Prince Edward Lennox
73	Renfrew South
74	Russell
75	Stormont
76	Sudbury
77	Welland
78	Wellington-Huron
79	Wellington-South
80	Wentworth
81	York Centre
82	York Humber
83	York Scarborough
84	York West
85	Broadview
86	Eglinton
87	Parkdale
88	Rosedale
89	Brandon-Souris
90	Portage-Neepawa
91	St Boniface
92	Springfield
93	Winnipeg North
94	Winnipeg Nrth Centre
95	Winnipeg South
96	Winnipeg Sth Centre
97	Moose Mountain
98	Qu'appelle
99	Regina City
100	Rosetown-Biggarr
101	Rosthern
102	Saskatoon
103	Bow River
104	Calgary North
105	Calgary South
106	Edmonton East
107	Edmonton-Strathcona
108	Edmonton-West
109	Medicine Hat
110	Red Deer
111	Burnaby Coquitlam
112	Coast-Capilano
113	Fraser Valley
114	New Westminster
115	Vancouver Centre
116	Vancouver East
117	Vancouver Quadra
118	Victoria

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V7 - SIZE OF PLACE

Missing Values: 99

Value	Label
1	city, 500,000+
2	suburb of city 500k+
11	city, 250k-499999
12	suburb of city <490k
21	city, 100k-249999
22	suburb of city <249k
31	city, 50000-99999
40	town, 10000-49999
50	town, 2500-9999
60	village under 2500
70	rural route address
99 M	not available

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M8.1 - MOST IMP PBMS FOR CANADA

Missing Values: 90, 92, 99

Value	Label
11	taxes,inflation,prices, etc
12	economic,financial policy,etc
13	other general economic
14	unemployment,automation
15	agricultural problems
16	old age pension,pension plans
17	family allowances
18	health,welfare,medicare
19	oth soc secur prblms
20	educational problems
21	religious
22	moral(changed values
23	USA reltns,econ influence
24	communism
25	war,nuclear,Viet Nam
26	other foreign reltns
27	ethnic relations
28	national unity
29	fed-prov relations
30	majority government
31	no stabilty,leadrshp
32	party structure
33	public administratn
34	corruption & scandal
35	socialism
36	oth political problems
37	immigratn,Indians,race
38	capital punishment
39	labour-managmnt prblms
40	other social problms
81	all other problems
90 M	irrelevant,no special problem
92 M	inap, no 2nd response

99 M DK,NA  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
M8.2 - MOST IMP PBMS FOR CANADA  
Missing Values: 90, 92, 99

Value	Label
11	taxes,inflation,prices, etc
12	economic,financial policy,etc
13	other general economic
14	unemployment,automation
15	agricultural problems
16	old age pension,pension plans
17	family allowances
18	health,welfare,medicare
19	oth soc secur prblms
20	educational problems
21	religious
22	moral(changed values
23	USA reltns,econ influence
24	communism
25	war,nuclear,Viet Nam
26	other foreign reltns
27	ethnic relations
28	national unity
29	fed-prov relations
30	majority government
31	no stabilty,leadrshp
32	party structure
33	public administratn
34	corruption & scandal
35	socialism
36	oth political problems
37	immigratn,Indians,race
38	capital punishment
39	labour-managmnt prblms
40	other social problems
81	all other problems
90 M	irrelevant,no special problem
92 M	inap, no 2nd response
99 M	DK,NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
M9.1 - PBM SPECIAL CONCERN TO R

Value	Label
11	taxes,inflation,prices, etc
12	economic,financial policy,etc
13	other general economic
14	unemployment,automation
15	agricultural problems
16	old age pension,pension plans
17	family allowances
18	health,welfare,medicare
19	oth soc secur prblms
20	educational problems
21	religious

22	moral(changed values
23	USA reltns,econ influence
24	communism
25	war,nuclear,Viet Nam
26	other foreign reltns
27	ethnic relations
28	national unity
29	fed-prov relations
30	majority government
31	no stabilty,leadrshp
32	party structure
33	public administratn
34	corruption & scandal
35	socialism
36	oth political problems
37	immigratn,Indians,race
38	capital punishment
39	labour-managmnt prblms
40	other social problms
81	all other problems
90	irrelevant,no special problem
92	inap, no 2nd response
99	DK,NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M9.2 - PBM SPECIAL CONCERN TO R

Value	Label
11	taxes,inflation,prices, etc
12	economic,financial policy,etc
13	other general economic
14	unemployment,automation
15	agricultural problems
16	old age pension,pension plans
17	family allowances
18	health,welfare,medicare
19	oth soc secur prblms
20	educational problems
21	religious
22	moral(changed values
23	USA reltns,econ influence
24	communism
25	war,nuclear,Viet Nam
26	other foreign reltns
27	ethnic relations
28	national unity
29	fed-prov relations
30	majority government
31	no stabilty,leadrshp
32	party structure
33	public administratn
34	corruption & scandal
35	socialism
36	oth political problems
37	immigratn,Indians,race

38	capital punishment
39	labour-managmnt prblms
40	other social problems
81	all other problems
90	irrelevant,no special problem
92	inap, no 2nd response
99	DK,NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V10 - R'S FLGS ABT ELCTN RSLTS

Missing Values: 0

Value	Label
0 M	DK,NA,refused
1	displsd,useless elec
2	displeased,lost
3	displeased,other
4	neutral,expectd outcome
5	indifferent
6	feelings unknown
7	neutral,other
8	pleased,party won
9	pleased,other

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V11 - XR'S REASON FR OPINION

Missing Values: 0

Value	Label
0 M	DK,NA,refused
1	displsd,useless elec
2	displeased,lost
3	displeased,other
4	neutral,expectd outcome
5	indifferent
6	feelings unknown
7	neutral,other
8	pleased,party won
9	pleased,other

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V12 - WCH GOVT HANDLES PBMS

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	federal government
2	provincial governmnt
3	both handle them
4	neither
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V13 - ANY PVNL GOVT MORE PWRFL

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V14 - XNEWFOUNDLAND POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	Nfld more powerful
5	Nfld not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V15 - XPRINCE ED ILND POWERFL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	PEI more powerful
5	PEI not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V16 - XNOVA SCOTIA POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	N.S. more powerful
5	N.S. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V17 - XNEW BRUNSWICK POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	N.B. more powerful
5	N.B. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V18 - XQUEBEC POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	Que. more powerful
5	Que. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V19 - XONTARIO POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	Ont. more powerful
5	Ont. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA



Canadian Election Study, 1965

V20 - XMANITOBA POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	Man. more powerful
5	Man. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V21 - XSASKATCHEWAN POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	Sask. more powerful
5	Sask. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V22 - XALBERTA POWERFUL?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	Alta. more powerful
5	Alta. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V23 - XBRITISH COLMBIA POWERFL

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	Inap.
1	B.C. more powerful
5	B.C. not mentioned
8 M	DK which more powrfl
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V24 - LVL GOVT MOST IMP TO R

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	federal government
2	provincial governmnt
3	both important
4	neither
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M25.1 - IMP ISSUES IN ELECTION

Missing Values: 90, 92, 99

Value	Label
11	old age pension
12	family allowances
13	health,welfr,medicare

14	oth social security
15	govt corruptn,scandl
16	agricultural issues
17	ethnic rltns,seprtsm
18	flag
19	USA influence
20	taxes,inflatn,prices
21	commerce,trade,econ dev
22	othr economic issues
23	unemplymnt,automatn
24	majority government
25	educational issues
26	lack govt leadership
27	lack govt stability
28	other issues
90 M	irrelevant,refused
92 M	inap,no 2nd response
99 M	no response,DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

#### M25.2 - IMP ISSUES IN ELECTION

Missing Values: 90, 92, 99

Value	Label
11	old age pension
12	family allowances
13	health,welfr,medicare
14	oth social security
15	govt corruptn,scandl
16	agricultural issues
17	ethnic rltns,seprtsm
18	flag
19	USA influence
20	taxes,inflatn,prices
21	commerce,trade,econ dev
22	othr economic issues
23	unemplymnt,automatn
24	majority government
25	educational issues
26	lack govt leadership
27	lack govt stability
28	other issues
90 M	irrelevant,refused
92 M	inap,no 2nd response
99 M	no response,DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

#### V26 - SCL WLFR ISUS-IMPC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V27 - ECONOMIC ISUS-IMPC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V28 - ENGLH-FN ISUS-IMPC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V29 - FLAG ISSUE-IMPORTNC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V30 - CANADIAN UNITY-IMPC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V31 - GOVT CORRUPTN-IMPC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V32 - MAJORITY GOVT ISSUE-IMPC

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V33 - STRONG LDR ISU-IMPC ELCN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very important
2	fairly important
3	not too important
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V34 - GOVT FORMN-IMPC MJRY PTY

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	much difference
2	some difference
3	little difference
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V35 - VT OTR PTY IF MJRY GOVT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very likely
2	somewhat likely
3	unlikely
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V36 - DEGREE INTST IN POLITICS

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	a good deal
2	some
3	not much
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V37 - CROOKED PEOPLE IN GOVT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	quite a few
2	not very many
3	hardly any
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V38 - TAX MONEY WASTED BY GOVT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	a good deal
2	some
3	not much
8 M	DK

9 M NA  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V39 - TST GOVT TO DO RGT THING  
 Missing Values: 8, 9  

Value	Label
1	always
2	most of the time
3	some of the time
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V40 - GOVT TREAT PEOPLE EQUAL  
 Missing Values: 8, 9  

Value	Label
1	give all fair break
2	listen big shots
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V41 - GOVT PPL KNOW WHAT TO DO  
 Missing Values: 8, 9  

Value	Label
1	know what theyre doing
2	dont know what theyre doing
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V42 - VOTING EFCV POLTL WEAPON  
 Missing Values: 8, 9  

Value	Label
1	agree
2	disagree
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V43 - PUB OFFICIALS DON'T CARE  
 Missing Values: 8, 9  

Value	Label
1	agree
2	disagree
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V44 - POLT COMPLCD FOR PEOPLE  
 Missing Values: 8, 9  

Value	Label
1	agree
2	disagree
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V45 - PPL LK ME NO POLTL PWR  
 Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	agree
2	disagree
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V46 - MP'S LOSE TOUCH WITH PPL

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	agree
2	disagree
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V47 - INTLCLS TOO STNG IN GOVT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	agree
2	disagree
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V48 - PSNT FINANCL SATISFACTN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	pretty satisfied
2	somewhat satisfied
3	unsatisfied
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V49 - CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SIT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	getting better
2	getting worse
3	stayed same
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V50 - PSNT EMPLT SITUATION BTR

Missing Values: 7, 8, 9

Value	Label
1	much better
2	somewhat better
3	about the same
4	somewhat worse
5	much worse
7 M	not applicable
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V51 - FUTURE FINANCL SIT BTR?

Missing Values: 8, 9  
Value      Label  
1      stay the same  
2      get better  
3      get worse  
7      not applicable  
8 M      DK  
9 M      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V52 - ELCTN RSLT AFCT FIN SIT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value      Label  
1      yes  
2      no  
8 M      DK  
9 M      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V53 - XELCTN MK FIN SIT BTR/WS

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value      Label  
0 M      not applicable  
1      better  
2      worse  
8 M      DK  
9 M      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V54 - R UNEMPLOYED IN LAST YR?

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value      Label  
1      yes  
2      no  
8 M      DK  
9 M      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V55 - FAMILY MBR UEMPLD LST YR

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value      Label  
1      yes  
2      no  
8 M      DK  
9 M      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V56 - FAM MBR UEMPLD LST 3 YR

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value      Label  
1      yes  
2      no  
8 M      DK  
9 M      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V57 - ANY PRVC/RGN BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value      Label

1 yes  
2 no  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V58 - XNEWFOUNDLAND BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Nfld better off
5	no,Nfld not mentiond
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V59 - XPRNC ED ILND BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,PEI better off
5	no,PEI not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V60 - XNOVA SCOTIA BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,N.S. better off
5	no,NS not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V61 - XNEWBRUNSWICK BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,N.B. better off
5	no,NB not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V62 - XQUEBEC BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Que. better off
5	no,Que not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V63 - XONTARIO BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
-------	-------



0 M some,DK which  
1 yes,Ont. better off  
5 no,Ont not mentioned  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V64 - XMANITOBA BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value Label

0 M some,DK which  
1 yes,Man. better off  
5 no,Mtb not mentioned  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V65 - XSASKATCHEWAN BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value Label

0 M some,DK which  
1 yes,Sask better off  
5 no,Sask not mentiond  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V66 - XALBERTA BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value Label

0 M some,DK which  
1 yes,Alta better off  
5 no,Alta not mentiond  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V67 - XBRIT COLMBIA BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value Label

0 M some,DK which  
1 yes,B.C. better off  
5 no,BC not mentioned  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V68 - XMARITIMES BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value Label

0 M some,DK which  
1 ys,Martms bettr off  
5 Maritimes not mentnd  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V69 - XPRAIRIES BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	y,Prairies bttr off
5	Prairies not mentiond
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V70 - XSPECIFC AREA BETTER OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	y,region better off
5	region not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V71 - ANY PRVC/RGN WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V72 - XNEWFOUNDLAND WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Nfld worse off
5	no,Nfld not mentiond
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V73 - XPRINC ED ILND WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,PEI worse off
5	no,PEI not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V74 - XNOVA SCOTIA WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,N.S. worse off
5	no,NS not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V75 - XNEW BRUNSWICK WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,N.B. worse off
5	no,NB not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V76 - XQUEBEC WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Que. worse off
5	no,Que not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V77 - XONTARIO WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Ont. worse off
5	no,Ont not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V78 - XMANITOBA WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Man. worse off
5	no,Mtb not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V79 - XSASKATCHEWAN WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Sask worse off
5	no,Sask not mentiond
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V80 - XALBERTA WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,Alta worse off
5	no,Alta not mentiond
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V81 - XBRIT COLMBIA WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8  
Value      Label  
    0 M    some,DK which  
    1      yes,B.C. worse off  
    5      no,BC not mentioned  
    8 M    DK  
    9      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V82 - XMARITIMES WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8  
Value      Label  
    0 M    some,DK which  
    1      y,Maritims worse off  
    5      Maritimes not mentnd  
    8 M    DK  
    9      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V83 - XPRAIRIES WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8  
Value      Label  
    0 M    some,DK which  
    1      y,Prairies worse off  
    5      Prairies not mentnd  
    8 M    DK  
    9      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V84 - XSPECIFIC AREA WORSE OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8  
Value      Label  
    0 M    some,DK which  
    1      yes,region worse off  
    5      region not mentioned  
    8 M    DK  
    9      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V85 - R'S OWN PVNC BTR/WRS OFF

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    not applicable  
    1      better off  
    2      worse off  
    3      about average  
    8 M    DK  
    9 M    NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V86 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-NWFNDLD

Missing Values: 8  
Value      Label  
    0      some,DK which  
    1      yes,Nfld mentioned  
    5      no,Nfld not mentiond  
    8 M    DK  
    9      NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V87 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-P.E ILND

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
0	some,DK which
1	yes,PEI mentioned
5	no,PEI not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V88 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-NOVASCOT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
0	some,DK which
1	yes,N.S. mentioned
5	no,NS not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V89 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-NEWBNSWK

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
0	some,DK which
1	yes,N.B. mentioned
5	no,NB not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V90 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-QUEBEC

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
0	some,DK which
1	yes,Que. mentioned
5	no,Que not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V91 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-ONTARIO

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
0	some,DK which
1	yes,Ont. mentioned
5	no,Ont not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V92 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-MANITOBA

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
0	some,DK which
1	yes,Man. mentioned
5	no,Man not mentioned
8 M	DK

9 NA  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V93 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-SASKTWN  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 0 some,DK which  
 1 yes,Sask mentioned  
 5 no,Sask not mentiond  
 8 M DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V94 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-ALBERTA  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 0 some,DK which  
 1 yes,Alta mentioned  
 5 no,Alta not mentiond  
 8 M DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V95 - WOULD LIKE LIVE-BRTCOLMB  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 0 some,DK which  
 1 yes,B.C. mentioned  
 5 no,BC not mentioned  
 8 M DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V96 - WOULD NOT LIVE-NWFNDLD  
 Missing Values: 0, 8  
 Value Label  
 0 M some,DK which  
 1 yes,Nfld mentioned  
 5 no,Nfld not mentiond  
 8 M DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V97 - WOULD NOT LIVE-P.E ISLND  
 Missing Values: 0, 8  
 Value Label  
 0 M some,DK which  
 1 yes,PEI mentioned  
 5 no,PEI not mentioned  
 8 M DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V98 - WOULD NOT LIVE-NOVA SCOT  
 Missing Values: 0, 8  
 Value Label  
 0 M some,DK which  
 1 yes,N.S. mentioned  
 5 no,NS not mentioned

8	M	DK
9		NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V99 - WOULD NOT LIVE-NEW BNSWK

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0	M some,DK which
1	yes,N.B. mentioned
5	no,NB not mentioned
8	M DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V100 - WOULD NOT LIVE-QUEBEC

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0	M some,DK which
1	yes,Que. mentioned
5	no,Que not mentioned
8	M DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V101 - WOULD NOT LIVE-ONTARIO

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0	M some,DK which
1	yes,Ont. mentioned
5	no,Ont not mentioned
8	M DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V102 - WOULD NOT LIVE-MANITOBA

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0	M some,DK which
1	yes,Man. mentioned
5	no,Man not mentioned
8	M DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V103 - WOULD NOT LIVE-SASKTWN

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0	M some,DK which
1	yes,Sask mentioned
5	no,Sask not mentiond
8	M DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V104 - WOULD NOT LIVE-ALBERTA

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0	M some,DK which
1	yes,Alta mentioned

5 no,Alta not mentiond  
8 M DK  
9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V105 - WOULD NOT LIVE-BRT COLMB

Missing Values: 0, 8

Value	Label
0 M	some,DK which
1	yes,B.C. mentioned
5	no,BC not mentioned
8 M	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V106 - DIEFENBAKR-MARTIME CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V107 - DIEFENBAKER-QUEBEC CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V108 - DIEFENBAKR-ONTARIO CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V109 - DIEFENBAKR-PRAIRIE CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V110 - DIEFENBAKR-BRTCOLD CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all



1 yes  
5 no  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V111 - PEARSON-MARITIMES CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V112 - PEARSON-QUEBEC CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V113 - PEARSON-ONTARIO CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V114 - PEARSON-PRAIRIE CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V115 - PEARSON-BRTCOLUMB CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V116 - DOUGLAS-MARITIMES CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

0 M same connectn to all  
1 yes  
5 no  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V117 - DOUGLAS-QUEBEC CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V118 - DOUGLAS-ONTARIO CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V119 - DOUGLAS-PRAIRIE CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V120 - DOUGLAS-BRTCOLUMB CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V121 - THOMPSON-MARITIMES CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V122 - THOMPSON-QUEBEC CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V123 - THOMPSON-ONTARIO CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V124 - THOMPSON-PRAIRIES CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V125 - THOMPSON-BRTCOLMBA CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V126 - CAQUETTE-MARITIMES CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V127 - CAQUETTE-QUEBEC CONNECTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V128 - CAQUETTE-ONTARIO CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V129 - CAQUETTE-PRAIRIES CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V130 - CAQUETTE-BRTCOLMBA CNCTN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	same connectn to all
1	yes
5	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M131.1 - BEST PRIME MINISTER

Value	Label
1	John Diefenbaker
2	Lester Pearson
3	Tommy Douglas
4	Robert Thompson
5	Real Caouette
6	George Hees
7	Paul Martin
8	Robert Winters
9	John Robarts
10	David Fulton
11	Mitchell Sharpe
12	Jean Lesage
13	Rene Levesque
80	misc mentions
90	>2 mentions
92	no 2nd mention
96	cant answer,no idea
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M131.2 - BEST PRIME MINISTER

Value	Label
1	John Diefenbaker
2	Lester Pearson
3	Tommy Douglas

4	Robert Thompson
5	Real Caouette
6	George Hees
7	Paul Martin
8	Robert Winters
9	John Robarts
10	David Fulton
11	Mitchell Sharpe
12	Jean Lesage
13	Rene Levesque
80	misc mentions
90	>2 mentions
92	no 2nd mention
96	cant answer,no idea
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V132 - DFRNC BTWN FEDERAL PTYS

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	much difference
2	some difference
3	little difference
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V133 - FEDERAL PTYS MOST ALIKE

Value	Label
12	Liberal-Conservative
13	Liberal-NDP
14	Liberal-Soc Credit
15	Liberal-Creditiste
16	Liberal-CCF
18	Liberal-other
23	Conservative-NDP
24	Conservative-SocCred
25	Conservative-Credtst
26	Conservative-CCF
28	Conservative-other
34	NDP-Soc Cred
35	NDP-Creditiste
36	NDP-CCF
38	NDP-other
45	SocCred-Creditiste
46	SocCred-CCF
48	SocCred-other
56	Creditiste-CCF
58	Creditiste-other
68	CCF-other
81	all the same
82	all different
83	1 party mentioned
84	>2 parties mentioned

98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V134 - FEDERAL PTYS LEAST ALIKE

Value	Label
12	Liberal-Conservative
13	Liberal-NDP
14	Liberal-Soc Credit
15	Liberal-Creditiste
16	Liberal-CCF
18	Liberal-other
23	Conservative-NDP
24	Conservative-SocCred
25	Conservative-Credtst
26	Conservative-CCF
28	Conservative-other
34	NDP-Soc Cred
35	NDP-Creditiste
36	NDP-CCF
38	NDP-other
45	SocCred-Creditiste
46	SocCred-CCF
48	SocCred-other
56	Creditiste-CCF
58	Creditiste-other
68	CCF-other
81	all the same
82	all different
83	1 party mentioned
84	>2 parties mentioned
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V135 - WCH PTY GOVERNS MATTERS

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	great deal
2	some difference
3	no difference
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V136 - IDEAL PTY-OLD/MODERN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	out of date
7	modern
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V137 - IDEAL PTY-CMPTNT/INCMPTN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	competent

7 incompetent  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V138 - IDEAL PTY-POWERFUL/WEAK  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	powerful
7	weak

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V139 - IDEAL PTY-FOOLISH/WISE  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	foolish
7	wise

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V140 - IDEAL PTY-MID/WRKG CLS  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	for middle class
7	for working class

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V141 - IDEAL PTY-UNITED/SPLIT  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	united
7	split

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V142 - IDEAL PTY-BAD/GOOD  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	bad
7	good

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V143 - IDEAL PTY-LEFT/RIGHT  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	left wing
7	right wing

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V144 - IDEAL PTY-STG/WEAK MIND  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	strong-minded
7	weak-minded

 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V145 - IDEAL PTY-HONEST/DHONEST

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	honest
7	dishonest
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V146 - IDEAL PTY-DULL/EXCITING

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	dull
7	exciting
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V147 - IDEAL PTY-YOUNG/OLD

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	young
7	old
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V148 - IDEAL PTY-SLOW/FAST

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	slow
7	fast
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V149 - LBRL PTY-OLD/MODERN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	out of date
7	modern
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V150 - LBRL PTY-CMPTNT/INCMPTNT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	competent
7	incompetent
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V151 - LBRL PTY-POWERFUL/WEAK

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	powerful
7	weak
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V152 - LBRL PTY-FOOLISH/WISE

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	foolish
7	wise



8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V153 - LBRL PTY-MIDDLE/WRKG CLS  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 for middle class  
7 for working class  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V154 - LBRL PTY-UNITED/SPLIT  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 united  
7 split  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V155 - LBRL PTY-BAD/GOOD  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 bad  
7 good  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V156 - LBRL PTY-LEFT/RIGHT  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 left wing  
7 right wing  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V157 - LBRL PTY-STNG/WEAK MIND  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 strong-minded  
7 weak-minded  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V158 - LBRL PTY-HONEST/DHONEST  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 honest  
7 dishonest  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V159 - LBRL PTY-DULL/EXCITING  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 dull  
7 exciting  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V160 - LBRL PTY-YOUNG/OLD  
Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	young
7	old
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V161 - LBRL PTY-SLOW/FAST

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	slow
7	fast
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V162 - CNSVTV PTY-OLD/MODERN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	out of date
7	modern
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V163 - CNSVTV PTY-CMPTN/INCMPN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	competent
7	incompetent
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V164 - CNSVTV PTY-POWERFUL/WEAK

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	powerful
7	weak
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V165 - CNSVTV PTY-FOOLISH/WISE

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	foolish
7	wise
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V166 - CNSVTV PTY-MID/WRKG CLS

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	for middle class
7	for working class
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V167 - CNSVTV PTY-UNITED/SPLIT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	united
7	split
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V168 - CNSVTV PTY-BAD/GOOD

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	bad
---	-----

7	good
---	------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V169 - CNSVTV PTY-LEFT/RIGHT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	left wing
---	-----------

7	right wing
---	------------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V170 - CNSVTV PTY-STG/WEAK MIND

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	strong-minded
---	---------------

7	weak-minded
---	-------------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V171 - CNSVTV PTY-HONEST/DHONST

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	honest
---	--------

7	dishonest
---	-----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V172 - CNSVTV PTY-DULL/EXCITING

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	dull
---	------

7	exciting
---	----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V173 - CNSVTV PTY-YOUNG/OLD

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	young
---	-------

7	old
---	-----

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V174 - CNSVTV PTY-SLOW/FAST

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	slow
---	------

7	fast
---	------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V175 - NDP PTY-OLD/MODERN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
-------	-------

	1	out of date
	7	modern
	8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V176 - NDP PTY-CMPTNT/INCMPTNT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	competent
7	incompetent
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V177 - NDP PTY-POWERFUL/WEAK

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	powerful
7	weak
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V178 - NDP PTY-FOOLISH/WISE

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	foolish
7	wise
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V179 - NDP PTY-MIDDLE/WORKG CLS

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	for middle class
7	for working class
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V180 - NDP PTY-UNITED/SPLIT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	united
7	split
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V181 - NDP PTY-BAD/GOOD

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	bad
7	good
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V182 - NDP PTY-LEFT/RIGHT

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	left wing
7	right wing
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V183 - NDP PTY-STRONG/WEAK MIND  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	strong-minded
7	weak-minded
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V184 - NDP PTY-HONEST/DISHONEST  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	honest
7	dishonest
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V185 - NDP PTY-DULL/EXCITING  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	dull
7	exciting
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V186 - NDP PTY-YOUNG/OLD  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	young
7	old
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V187 - NDP PTY-SLOW/FAST  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	slow
7	fast
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V188 - SOCRDT PTY-OLD/MODERN  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	out of date
7	modern
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V189 - SOCRDT PTY-CMPTN/INCMPTN  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	competent
7	incompetent
8 M	DK

 Canadian Election Study, 1965

V190 - SOCRDT PTY-POWERFUL/WEAK  
 Missing Values: 8  

Value	Label
1	powerful

7 weak  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V191 - SOCRDT PTY-FOOLISH/WISE  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 foolish  
 7 wise  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V192 - SOCRDT PTY-MID/WRKG CLS  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 for middle class  
 7 for working class  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V193 - SOCRDT PTY-UNITED/SPLIT  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 united  
 7 split  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V194 - SOCRDT PTY-BAD/GOOD  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 bad  
 7 good  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V195 - SOCRDT PTY-LEFT/RIGHT  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 left wing  
 7 right wing  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V196 - SOCRDT PTY-STG/WEAK MIND  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 strong-minded  
 7 weak-minded  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V197 - SOCRDT PTY-HONST/DHONEST  
 Missing Values: 8  
 Value Label  
 1 honest  
 7 dishonest  
 8 M DK  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V198 - SOCRDT PTY-DULL/EXCITING

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	dull
7	exciting
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V199 - SOCRDT PTY-YOUNG/OLD

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	young
7	old
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V200 - SOCRDT PTY-SLOW/FAST

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	slow
7	fast
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V201 - CRDTST PTY-OLD/MODERN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	out of date
7	modern
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V202 - CRDTST PTY-CMPTN/INCMPTN

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	competent
7	incompetent
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V203 - CRDTST PTY-POWERFUL/WEAK

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	powerful
7	weak
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V204 - CRDTST PTY-FOOLISH/WISE

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	foolish
7	wise
8 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V205 - CRDTST PTY-MID/WRKG CLS

Missing Values: 8

Value	Label
1	for middle class
7	for working class

8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V206 - CRDTST PTY-UNITED/SPLIT  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 united  
7 split  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V207 - CRDTST PTY-BAD/GOOD  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 bad  
7 good  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V208 - CRDTST PTY-LEFT/RIGHT  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 left wing  
7 right wing  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V209 - CRDTST PTY-STG/WEAK MIND  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 strong-minded  
7 weak-minded  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V210 - CRDTST PTY-HONST/DHONEST  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 honest  
7 dishonest  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V211 - CRDTST PTY-DULL/EXCITING  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 dull  
7 exciting  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V212 - CRDTST PTY-YOUNG/OLD  
Missing Values: 8  
Value Label  
1 young  
7 old  
8 M DK  
Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V213 - CRDTST PTY-SLOW/FAST  
Missing Values: 8



Value	Label
-------	-------

1	slow
---	------

7	fast
---	------

8 M	DK
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V214 - LIBERAL MP-JOB PERFRMANCE

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	pretty good
---	-------------

2	so-so
---	-------

3	not good
---	----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

9 M	NA
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V215 - CNSVTV MP-JOB PERFRMANCE

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	pretty good
---	-------------

2	so-so
---	-------

3	not good
---	----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

9 M	NA
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V216 - NDP MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	pretty good
---	-------------

2	so-so
---	-------

3	not good
---	----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

9 M	NA
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V217 - SOCRDT MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	pretty good
---	-------------

2	so-so
---	-------

3	not good
---	----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

9 M	NA
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V218 - CRDTST MP-JOB PERFORMANCE

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	pretty good
---	-------------

2	so-so
---	-------

3	not good
---	----------

8 M	DK
-----	----

9 M	NA
-----	----

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V219 - XCMPR CRDTST PTY TO OTRS

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
-------	-------

0 M inapplicable  
1 much better  
2 somewhat better  
3 about the same  
4 somewhat worse  
5 much worse  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V220 - XCRDTST COME PWR-10 YRS

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label

0 M inapplicable  
1 very good  
2 fairly good  
3 little chance  
4 no chance  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V221 - R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

Missing Values: 98, 99

Value Label

10 Conservative  
20 Liberal  
30 NDP  
40 Social Credit  
50 Creditiste  
60 Union Nationale  
70 other party  
80 DK  
98 M NA  
99 M inapplicable

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V222 - XSTRENGTH-R'S PARTY ID

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label

0 M inapplicable  
1 very strongly  
2 fairly strongly  
3 not very strongly  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V223 - XR'S PAST PARTY ID

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value Label

0 no,never felt closer  
10 yes,Conservative  
20 yes,Liberal  
30 yes,NDP  
40 yes,Social Credit  
50 yes,Creditiste

80 yes,other  
91 M inapplicable  
97 refused  
98 M DK  
99 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V224 - XLEVEL PTY ID-NATL/PVNCL

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	national only
2	provincial only
3	both
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V225 - XPVNCL PTY ID-NATL LVL 1

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value	Label
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	NDP
40	Social Credit
50	Creditiste
60	Union Nationale
70	other
80	none
91 M	inapplicable
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V226 - XNATL PTY ID-PVNCL LVL 1

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value	Label
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	NDP
40	Social Credit
50	Creditiste
60	Union Nationale
70	other
80	none
91 M	inapplicable
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V227 - NN.ID-CLOSER TO WCH PTY

Value	Label
0	no,never felt closer
10	yes,Conservative
20	yes,Liberal
30	yes,NDP
40	yes,Social Credit

50	yes,Creditiste
80	yes,other
91	inapplicable
97	refused
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V228 - XNN.ID-PTY CHOICE NAT/PV

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	national only
2	provincial only
3	both
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V229 - XNN.ID,PV LVL-NATL LVL 1

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value	Label
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	NDP
40	Social Credit
50	Creditiste
60	Union Nationale
70	other
80	none
91 M	inapplicable
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V230 - XNN.ID,NATL LVL-PV LVL 1

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value	Label
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	NDP
40	Social Credit
50	Creditiste
60	Union Nationale
70	other
80	none
91 M	inapplicable
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V231 - XNN.ID-PST PTY CHOICE/ID

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value	Label
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	NDP

40	Social Credit
50	Creditiste
60	Union Nationale
70	other
80	none
91 M	inapplicable
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V232 - XTRUE NN.ID-EVER CLS PTY

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V233 - XWHEN R'S PTY ID CHANGED

Missing Values: 91, 99

Value	Label
1	during last campaign
2	<1yr before last campaign
3	1-2 yrs before
4	3-5 yrs before
5	6-9 yrs before
6	10-14 yrs before
7	15-20 yrs before
8	20 yrs +
91 M	inapplicable
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V234 - XREASON R CHANGD PTY ID

Missing Values: 91, 99

Value	Label
11	party leaders
12	candidate
13	party
14	platform,program
15	majority
16	lack of leadership
17	others
18	lack of stability
19	needed change in govt
20	party had no chance
21	other non-political reason
22	unkept promises
23	unemployment
91 M	inapplicable
98	irrelevant answers
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V235 - SECOND CHOICE FDRL PTY

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
0	no 2nd choice
1	Conservative
2	Liberal
3	NDP
4	Social Credit
5	Creditiste
6	other
7	refused
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V236 - LAST CHOICE FEDERAL PTY

Missing Values: 7, 8, 9

Value	Label
0	no 2nd choice
1	Conservative
2	Liberal
3	NDP
4	Social Credit
5	Creditiste
6	other
7 M	refused
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V237 - WCH MOST IMP VT:LDR,PTY

Missing Values: 80, 98

Value	Label
1	party
2	leader
3	party & leader
4	candidate
5	party & candidate
6	leader & candidate
8	program
9	party & program
10	leader & program
12	candidate & program
80 M	other combinations
98 M	DK

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V238 - VOTE WN BAD CAND,GD PTY

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	candidate
2	other party
3	wont vote
4	it depends,qualified
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V239 - VOTE WN BAD PLCY,GD PTY

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	candidate
2	other party
3	wont vote
4	it depends,qualified
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V240 - FATHER'S INTST IN POLT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very interested
2	somewhat interested
3	paid no attention
4	didnt live w father
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V241 - FATHER'S PTY PREFERENCE

Value	Label
0	no preference
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	CCF
40	Social Credit
60	other
80	not Canadian party
91	not applicable
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V242 - MOTHER'S INTST IN POLT

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	very interested
2	somewhat interested
3	paid no attention
4	didnt live w mother
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V243 - MOTHER'S PTY PREFERENCE

Value	Label
0	no preference
10	Conservative
20	Liberal
30	CCF
40	Social Credit
60	other
80	not Canadian party
91	not applicable

98 DK

99 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V244 - RMBR NAME ELECTED CAND

Missing Values: 9

Value Label

1 yes, correct

2 yes, incorrect

3 no, dont remember

4 not sure

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V245 - RMBR PARTY ELECTED CAND

Missing Values: 9

Value Label

1 yes, correct

2 yes, incorrect

3 no, dont know

4 not sure

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V246 - READ/HEARD ABOUT CAND

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value Label

1 yes

2 not heard anything

3 not sure

8 M DK

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V247 - XTYPE OF INFO R/H ABT MP

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value Label

0 M inapplicable

1 specific mention

2 vague answer

8 cant remember,refused

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V248 - WAS CANDIDATE INCUMBENT

Missing Values: 9

Value Label

1 in parliamnt,correct

2 in parliament,incrct

3 not in prlmt,correct

4 not in prlmnt,incrct

5 other

8 DK

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V249 - XCAND AIDED CONSTITUENCY

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label



0 M inapplicable  
1 yes  
2 did nothing  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V250 - XCAND AIDED R/R'S FAMILY

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 yes  
2 done nothing  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M251.1 - OTHER DIST CANDS--NAME

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 correct  
2 incorrect  
4 knows party,DK name  
8 DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M251.2 - OTHER DIST CANDS--NAME

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 correct  
2 incorrect  
4 knows party,DK name  
8 DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M251.3 - OTHER DIST CANDS--NAME

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 correct  
2 incorrect  
4 knows party,DK name  
8 DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M251.4 - OTHER DIST CANDS--NAME

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 correct  
2 incorrect  
4 knows party,DK name  
8 DK

9 M NA  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 M252.1 - OTHER DIST CANDS--PARTY  
 Missing Values: 0, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 correct  
 2 incorrect  
 4 knows name,DK party  
 8 DK  
 9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 M252.2 - OTHER DIST CANDS--PARTY  
 Missing Values: 0, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 correct  
 2 incorrect  
 4 knows name,DK party  
 8 DK  
 9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 M252.3 - OTHER DIST CANDS--PARTY  
 Missing Values: 0, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 correct  
 2 incorrect  
 4 knows name,DK party  
 8 DK  
 9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 M252.4 - OTHER DIST CANDS--PARTY  
 Missing Values: 0, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 correct  
 2 incorrect  
 4 knows name,DK party  
 8 DK  
 9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 M253.1 - OTHER DIST CANDS--RD/HRD  
 Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 yes  
 2 no  
 3 not sure  
 8 M DK  
 9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 M253.2 - OTHER DIST CANDS--RD/HRD

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
3	not sure
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M253.3 - OTHER DIST CANDS--RD/HRD

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
3	not sure
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

M253.4 - OTHER DIST CANDS--RD/HRD

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
3	not sure
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V254 - RGLR VTR IN FDRL ELCTN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
0	inapplicable
1	voted in all
2	voted in most
3	voted in some
4	voted in none
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V255 - XFDRL ELCTN-VT SAME PTY?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	voted same party
2	votd diffrent parties
3	voted in some
4	voted in none
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V256 - XFDRL ELCN-VT WCH SM PTY

Missing Values: 0, 7, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	Conservative
2	Liberal
3	NDP
4	Social Credit
5	Union Nationale
6	other
7 M	refused
8	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V257 - RGLR VTR IN PVNCL ELCTN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
0	inapplicable
1	voted in all
2	voted in most
3	voted in some
4	voted in none
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V258 - XPVNL ELCTN-VT SAME PTY?

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	voted same party
2	votd diffrent parties
3	voted in some
4	voted in none
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V259 - XPVNL ELCN-VT WCH SM PTY

Missing Values: 0, 7, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	Conservative
2	Liberal
3	NDP
4	Social Credit
5	Union Nationale
6	other
7 M	refused
8	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V260 - QUEBEC VT '62 FDRL ELCTN

Value	Label
0	did not vote
11	voted,Conservative
12	voted,Liberal

13	voted,NDP
14	voted,Social Credit
16	voted,other party
17	voted,refused name party
18	voted,DK which party
19	voted,NA which party
91	inapplicable
96	ineligible to vote
97	refused
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V261 - VOTE IN 1963 FDRL ELCTN

Value	Label
0	did not vote
11	voted,Conservative
12	voted,Liberal
13	voted,NDP
14	voted,SocCred(Caouette)
15	voted,SocCred(Thompson)
16	voted,other party
17	voted,refused name party
18	voted,DK which party
19	voted,NA which party
91	inapplicable
96	ineligible to vote
97	refused
98	DK
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V262 - VOTE IN 1965 FDRL ELCTN

Missing Values: 97, 98, 99

Value	Label
0	did not vote
11	voted,Conservative
12	voted,Liberal
13	voted,NDP
14	voted,Social Credit
16	voted,other party
17	voted,refused name party
18	voted,DK which party
19	voted,NA which party
91	inapplicable
96	ineligible to vote
97 M	refused
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V263 - XWN R DECIDED HOW TO VT

Missing Values: 80, 90, 91

Value	Label
0	prior to start of election
10	always vote same

20	early in campaign
30	during campaign
40	late in campaign
50	few days before
60	election day
70	in polling booth
80 M	others
90 M	irrelevant answers
91 M	inapplicable
99	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V264 - XEASY/NOT DCSN 1965 VOTE

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	easy decision
2	not easy decision
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V265 - XWHY '65 VT DCSN NT EASY

Missing Values: 91, 97, 99

Value	Label
11	no difference betw parties
12	majority government
13	corruption
14	leader
15	party had no chance
16	other
17	candidate
18	past performance
19	lack of information
20	other
21	both candidates good
91 M	inapplicable
97 M	irrelevant,refuses
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V266 - XUSED AID REMEMBER CAND

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V267 - Y CHNG VT FROM 63 TO 65

Missing Values: 91, 97, 99

Value	Label
11	party had no chance
12	disenchanted w party
13	give new party chance
14	party leader(s)

15	candidate,program
16	majority government
17	corruption
18	social security issue
19	others
20	needed change in govt
21	others [sic]
22	party platform,program
23	non-political reasons
91 M	inapplicable
97 M	irrelevant answers
99 M	DK,NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V268 - R'S REASON FOR 1965 VOTE

Missing Values: 91, 97, 99

Value	Label
11	traditional vote
12	needed change
13	majority
14	social security
15	scandal
16	others
17	party
18	party leader(s)
19	party platform,program
20	candidate
21	others [sic]
22	non-political reasons
23	no special reason
24	give new party chance
91 M	inapplicable
97 M	irrelevant,refused
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V269 - VOTE LAST PROVNCL ELCTN

Missing Values: 97, 98, 99

Value	Label
0	did not vote
11	Conservative
12	Liberal
13	NDP/CCF
14	Social Credit
15	Union Nationale
16	other
97 M	refused
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V270 - MOST IMP TYPE ELECTION

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	national elections
2	provincial elections

3	local elections
4	national&provincial
5	national&local
6	provincial&local
7	all equally
8 M	cant choose
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V271 - CAMPAIGN MTGS ATTENDED

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	yes,attended meetings
2	no,didnt attend
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V272 - X# CAMPAIGN MTGS ATTENDD

Missing Values: 91, 98, 99

Value	Label
0	no meetings
1	one meeting attended
2	two meetings attended
91 M	inapplicable
98 M	DK
99 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V273 - READ PARTY MATERIAL

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V274 - ANY PTY CANVASSED HOME?

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	no
2	yes
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V275 - XCANVASSED BY CNSVTV

Value	Label
0	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no, other party did
7	some, but DK which
8	DK if party called
9	NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V276 - XCANVASSED BY LIBERALS

Value	Label
-------	-------



0	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no, other party did
7	some, but DK which
8	DK if party called
9	NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V277 - XCANVASSED BY NDP

Value	Label
0	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no, other party did
7	some, but DK which
8	DK if party called
9	NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V278 - XCANVASSED BY SCLCREDIT

Value	Label
0	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no, other party did
7	some, but DK which
8	DK if party called
9	NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V279 - XCANVASSED BY OTHER PTY

Value	Label
0	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no, other party did
7	some, but DK which
8	DK if party called
9	NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V280 - DID R HELP A PTY/CAND

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V281 - TRY TO INFLUENCE OTR VT

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8	DK
9	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V282 - IS R MBR POLTL ORGN

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8	DK

9 NA  
 Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V283 - R/H ABT (FDRL)FUND RAISE  
 Value Label  
 1 yes  
 2 no  
 8 DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V284 - R CANVASSED FOR CNTRBN  
 Value Label  
 1 yes  
 2 no  
 8 DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V285 - WAS HSLD ASKD FOR CNTRBN  
 Value Label  
 1 yes  
 2 no  
 8 DK  
 9 NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V286 - XWAS R ASKED FOR CNTRBN  
 Missing Values: 0, 8  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 R only was asked  
 2 R & family member asked  
 3 family member only  
 8 M DK who was asked

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V287 - XCNSVTV ASKD R FR CNTRBN  
 Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 yes  
 5 no, other party did  
 8 M DK which party  
 9 M NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V288 - XLBRL ASKED R FOR CNTRBN  
 Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
 Value Label  
 0 M inapplicable  
 1 yes  
 5 no, other party did  
 8 M DK which party  
 9 M NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
 V289 - XNDP ASKED R FOR CNTRBN  
 Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
 Value Label

0 M inapplicable  
1 yes  
5 no, other party did  
8 M DK which party  
9 M NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V290 - XSOCRDT ASKD R PR CNTRBN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 yes  
5 no, other party did  
8 M DK which party  
9 M NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V291 - XOTR PTY ASKD R FR CNTRB

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 yes  
5 no, other party did  
8 M DK which party  
9 M NA which party

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V292 - XHOW R APRCHD FR CNTRBN

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 letter  
2 telephone  
3 in person  
4 at dinner,meeting  
5 other  
7 combination of above  
8 M DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V293 - X R KNEW MONEY CANVASSER

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value Label  
0 M inapplicable  
1 canvasser not known  
2 relative  
3 friend  
4 colleague  
5 neighbour  
6 other  
8 DK  
9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V294 - PTY R CONTRIBUTED TO

Missing Values: 9

Value Label

0	no,didnt contribute
1	yes,to Conservatives
2	yes,to Liberals
3	yes,to NDP
4	yes,to Social Credit
5	yes,to other party
6	yes,to Liberals & NDP
8	yes,NA which party
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V295 - OTR IN FAMILY CONTRIBUTE

Missing Values: 18, 98, 99

Value	Label
0	no one contributed
11	yes,to Conservatives
12	yes,to Liberals
13	yes,to NDP
14	yes,to Social Credit
15	yes,to Creditiste
16	yes,to other party
17	yes,DK party
18 M	DK
19	yes,NA which party
98 M	DK if gave
99 M	NA if gave

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V296 - WLD R HAVE CNTRBD IF ASK

Missing Values: 0, 8, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	would hve contributed
2	would not have
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V297 - R WLD GV MNY/TIME TO PTY

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	give money
2	give time
3	prefer both equally
4	dont do either
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V298 - ATTD ABT BUSN&UN CNTRBN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	good from business
2	bad from business
3	good from unions
4	bad from unions
5	good from both

6	not good from either
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V299 - SHLD CNTRBN DCTBL INC-TX

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	in favour of it
2	against it
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V300 - SHLD PTY PUBLISH XPENSES

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	should publish
2	should not
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V301 - PTY CNTRBR SHLD BE KNOWN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V302 - SHLD PTY REVEAL \$ CNTRBN

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V303 - SHLD GOVT PAY CMPN XPNS

Missing Values: 8, 9

Value	Label
1	all
2	some
3	none
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V304 - R'S MARITAL STATUS

Missing Values: 9

Value	Label
1	married
2	widowed
3	separated
4	divorced
5	single

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V305 - WHO IS MAIN WAGE EARNER

Missing Values: 9

Value	Label
1	R, male head
2	Rs husband
3	Rs father
4	Rs father-in-law
5	R, female head
6	Rs wife
7	other male
8	other female

9 M NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V306 - MAIN EARNER'S OCCUPATION

Missing Values: 99

Value	Label
10	professional
20	owners,managers
32	sales people
37	clerical,white collr
40	skilled labour
60	armed forces
69	service,protective
70	unskilled labour
80	farmers
90	NA
94	unemployed
95	pensioned,retired
96	widows,spinsters

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V307 - R'S YEARS OF SCHOOLING

Value	Label
0	no schooling
1	one year of schooling
2	two yrs of schooling

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V308 - R'S SCL CLASS-OWN RANKG

Missing Values: 6, 8, 9

Value	Label
1	upper class
2	upper-middle class
3	middle class
4	working class
5	lower class
6 M	no such thing
8 M	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V309 - R'S CHURCH PREFERENCE

Value	Label
0	none

10	Presbyterian
11	Lutheran
12	Baptist
15	United Church
16	Anglican
19	other Protestant
20	Roman Catholic
30	Jewish
70	Ukrainian Catholic
71	Greek Orthodox
79	all other

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V310 - X R'S CHURCH ATTENDANCE

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	at least weekly
2	2-3 times monthly
3	once a month
4	a few times a year
5	never
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V311 - R'S ETHNIC ORIGIN

Value	Label
200	North America
300	British Isles
312	France
315	Germany
317	Netherlands
320	Scandinavia
333	Hungary
336	Poland
337	Ukraine,Russia
351	Italy
390	other European
590	Asiatic
999	all other

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V312 - R'S PLACE OF BIRTH

Value	Label
199	United States
209	Canada
300	British Isles
315	Germany
317	Netherlands
333	Hungary
336	Poland
337	Russia
351	Italy
390	other European
999	all other

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V313 - XYR IMMIGRATED TO CANADA

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	1946-1960
2	1939-1945
3	before 1939
4	after 1960
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V314 - LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME

Missing Values: 9

Value	Label
1	English
2	French
3	Romance,Greek
4	Germanic,Latvn,Lithn
5	Slavic language
6	Scandinavn,FinnoUgrc
7	other non-European
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V315 - SIZE PLACE R GREW UP

Missing Values: 9

Value	Label
1	farm
2	village,small town
3	city,suburb
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V316 - LIVED IN OTHER PROVINCES

Value	Label
1	yes
2	no

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V317 - XR LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no
9 M	NA which ones

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V318 - XR LIVED IN PRNC ED ILND

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
5	no
9 M	NA which ones

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V319 - XR LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA

Missing Values: 0, 9



	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones
Canadian Election Study, 1965		
V320 - XR LIVED IN N.BRUNSWICK		
Missing Values: 0, 9		
	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones
Canadian Election Study, 1965		
V321 - XR LIVED IN QUEBEC		
Missing Values: 0, 9		
	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones
Canadian Election Study, 1965		
V322 - XR LIVED IN ONTARIO		
Missing Values: 0, 9		
	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones
Canadian Election Study, 1965		
V323 - XR LIVED IN MANITOBA		
Missing Values: 0, 9		
	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones
Canadian Election Study, 1965		
V324 - XR LIVED IN SASKATHEWAN		
Missing Values: 0, 9		
	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones
Canadian Election Study, 1965		
V325 - XR LIVED IN ALBERTA		
Missing Values: 0, 9		
	Value	Label
	0 M	inapplicable
	1	yes
	5	no
	9 M	NA which ones

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V326 - XR LIVED IN BRITCOLOMBIA  
Missing Values: 0, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    inapplicable  
    1      yes  
    5      no  
    9 M    NA which ones

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V327 - R/H.H MBR LABOR UNION  
Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    inapplicable  
    1      yes  
    5      no  
    8 M    DK  
    9 M    NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V328 - R/H.H MBR TRADE ASCN  
Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    inapplicable  
    1      yes  
    5      no  
    8 M    DK  
    9 M    NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V329 - R/H.H MBR FARM ORGN  
Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    inapplicable  
    1      yes  
    5      no  
    8 M    DK  
    9 M    NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V330 - R/H.H MBR PRFNL ASCN  
Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    inapplicable  
    1      yes  
    5      no  
    8 M    DK  
    9 M    NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965  
V331 - R/H.H MBR OTR VLNTY ASCN  
Missing Values: 0, 8, 9  
Value      Label  
    0 M    inapplicable  
    1      yes  
    5      no  
    8 M    DK  
    9 M    NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V332 - XR VISITED OTHER'S HOME

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
8	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V333 - XOTHERS VISITED R'S HOME

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
8	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V334 - XR HAS BEEN OUT W OTHERS

Missing Values: 0, 9

Value	Label
0 M	inapplicable
1	yes
2	no
8	DK
9 M	NA

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V335 - R'S AGE

Missing Values: 0

Value	Label
0 M	NA
21	twenty-one years
22	twenty-two years

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V336 - R'S FAMILY INCOME

Missing Values: 97

Value	Label
1	under \$1,000
2	\$1,000-\$1,999
3	\$2,000-\$2,999
4	\$3,000-\$3,999
5	\$4,000-\$4,999
6	\$5,000-\$5,999
7	\$6,000-\$6,999
8	\$7,000-\$7,999
9	\$8,000-\$9,999
10	\$10,000-\$14,999
11	\$15,000 or over
97 M	DK,refused

Canadian Election Study, 1965

V337 - R'S SEX

Value	Label
-------	-------

- 1 male
- 2 female

HTML Created Using Visual Basic v. 5.0  
Author: Jeff Moon, Social Science Data Centre,  
Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario,  
December, 1999