

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used for interviewer instructions throughout the questionnaire.

<1> Male  
<5> Female  
<8> Don't know

Code at this item begins timing the substantive portion of the interview.

<1> Enter respondent's answer verbatim please [specify]  
 <98> Don't Know    <99> Refused

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

<1> Very likely  
<3> Somewhat likely  
<5> Somewhat unlikely  
<7> Very unlikely  
  
<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

Item cpsch53 (variable CPSA3) is part of an experiment which measured time elapsed between the end of the questions read by the interviewer and the beginning of the respondent's answer. Item cpsch51 contained interviewer instructions on the correct procedure for recording the elapsed time. The DOS version of CASES records time in hundredths of a second.

[r] When the respondent gives you a substantive [n]  
[r] response, enter c again. [n]  
[r] [n]  
[r] After entering the second c the response categories [n]  
[r] will be displayed. [n]

<c> To continue

==>

>cpsa3<

```
[store <Reform Party> in rc3]
[if cpsprov eq <4>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]
```

If you do vote, which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the [fill rc3] or another party?

```
[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> AS YOU FINISH READING THE [n]
[r] LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! [n]
```

====>

>cpsch52< [start timer][no erase]

```
[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS[n]
[r] TO ANSWER [n]
```

====>

>cpsch53< [stop timer][record timer in cpsJF1][no erase]

```
<1> Conservative [goto cpsa5]
<2> Liberal [goto cpsa5]
<3> N.D.P [goto cpsa5]
<4> Reform Party [goto cpsa5]
<5> Bloc Québécois [goto cpsa5]
```

```
<0> Other (specify) [specify] [goto cpsa5]
```

```
<96> Will not vote [goto cpsb1]
<97> None [goto cpsa4]
```

```
<98> Don't Know [goto cpsa4] <99> Refused[goto cpsa7a]
```

====>

>cpsa4<

```
[store <> in rc4]
[store <Which party are you leaning toward now?> in rc3]
[if cpsch53 eq <97>]
[store <Is there a party you are> in rc3]
[store <leaning towards?> in rc4]
[endif]
```

```
[fill rc3]
[fill rc4]
```

```
[r] INTERVIEWER: do not read list [n]
```

```
<1> Conservative
<2> Liberal
<3> N.D.P
<4> Reform Party
<5> Bloc Québécois
```

```
<0> Other (specify) [specify]
<97> None [goto cpsa7a]
```

```
<98> Don't Know [goto cpsa7a]
<99> Refused [goto cpsa7a]
```

Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Québécois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

The CASES internal timer was initialized upon display of this item. Elapsed time was recorded in hundredths of a second. The [no erase] command keeps the previous item displayed on screen to ensure the interviewer can see all instructions as well as the text of the question during the experiment.

The CASES internal timer was halted upon display of this item. The elapsed time was stored in cpsJF1.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The text of this item was customized based on the respondents answer to item cpsch53. Respondents who answered "don't know" to cpsch53 were asked "Which party are you leaning toward now?". Respondents who answered "None" to cpsch53 were asked "Is there a party you are leaning towards?".

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

====> [goto cpsa6]

>cpsa5<

Is your choice final, or could you change your mind?

<1> final

<5> could change

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsa6<

```
[if cpsch53 eq <1>][store <the Conservative> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsch53 eq <2>][store <the Liberal> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsch53 eq <3>][store <the N.D.P> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsch53 eq <4>][store <the Reform> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsch53 eq <5>][store <the Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsch53 eq <0>][store <that> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsa4 eq <1>][store <the Conservative> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsa4 eq <2>][store <the Liberal> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsa4 eq <3>][store <the N.D.P> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsa4 eq <4>][store <the Reform> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsa4 eq <5>][store <the Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]
[if cpsa4 eq <0>][store <that> in rc3][endif]
```

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to either cpsch53 or cpsa4. Respondents who chose a party other than the listed options where asked "If that party ...".

If [fill rc3] party did not have a candidate, which other party would you vote for?

```
<1> Conservative
<2> Liberal
<3> N.D.P
<4> Reform Party
<5> Bloc Québécois
```

```
<0> Other (specify) [specify]
```

```
<96> would not vote [goto cpsb1]
<97> NONE
```

```
<98> Don't Know    <99> Refused
```

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsa7a<

For which party would you definitely NOT VOTE?

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER FIRST MENTION HERE    [n]

```
<1> won't vote: Conservative
<2> won't vote: Liberal
<3> won't vote: N.D.P
<4> won't vote: Reform
<5> won't vote: Bloc Québécois
```

```
<6> won't vote "minor" party (e.g., Communist, Rhinoceros, etc)
<7> won't vote "major" party (i.e., PC, Liberal, NDP)
```

```
<0> would consider voting for all parties [goto cpsb1]
```

```
<8> Don't Know [goto cpsb1]    <9> Refused [goto cpsb1]
```

====>

The version of CASES used for the 1993 Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Two separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST and SECOND mention. Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

>cpsa7b<

[r] INTERVIEWER: if required enter SECOND MENTION    [n]

```
<0> NO SECOND MENTION
```

```
<1> won't vote: Conservative
```

<2> won't vote: Liberal

<3> won't vote: N.D.P

<4> won't vote: Reform

<5> won't vote: Bloc Québécois

<6> won't vote "minor" party (e.g., Communist, Rhinoceros, etc)

<7> won't vote "major" party (i.e., PC, Liberal, NDP)

==>

## SECTION B: INTEREST AND CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES

>cpsb1<

Would you say that you are very interested, fairly interested,  
not very interested, or not at all interested in the campaign?

<1> very interested  
<3> fairly interested  
<5> not very interested  
<7> not at all interested

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsb2<

In the past week, were you contacted in person or by telephone by  
any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?

<1> yes  
<5> no [goto cpsb3]

<8> Don't know [goto cpsb3]    <9> Refused[goto cpsb3]

====>

>cpsb2a<

Which party were they from?

<1> Conservative party  
<2> Liberal party  
<3> N.D.P.  
<4> Reform Party  
<5> Bloc Québécois  
<6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know [goto cpsb3]    <99> Refused [goto cpsb3]

====>

>cpsb2b<

Any other party?

(Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the  
past week?)

<0> No [goto cpsb3]

<1> Conservative party  
<2> Liberal party  
<3> N.D.P.  
<4> Reform Party  
<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know [goto cpsb3]    <99> Refused [goto cpsb3]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.





>cpsb2c<

Any other party?

(Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)

<0> No [goto cpsb3]

<1> Conservative party

<2> Liberal party

<3> N.D.P.

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know [goto cpsb3]   <99> Refused [goto cpsb3]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsb3<

Over the past week, have you discussed politics with other people?

<1> yes

<5> no

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsb4<

Would you say you are VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the issues in the campaign?

<1> Very well informed

<3> Fairly well informed

<5> Not very well informed

<7> Not at all informed

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

## SECTION C: PERSONAL FINANCES AND THE ECONOMY

>cpsc1<

Would you say that you are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago?

<1> better off

<3> worse off [goto cpssc1b]

<5> same [goto cpssc2]

<8> Don't Know [goto cpssc2]   <9> Refused [goto cpssc2]

====>

>cpssc1a<

Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off?

<1> much better

<5> somewhat better

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====> [goto cpsc2]

>cpsc1b<

Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off?

<1> much worse

<5> somewhat worse

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsc2<

Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or just about the same as now?

<1> better year from now

<3> worse year from now [goto cpsc2b]

<5> same [goto cpsc3]

<8> Don't Know [goto cpsc3]    <9> Refused [goto cpsc3]

====>

>cpsc2a<

Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off?

<1> much better

<5> somewhat better

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====> [goto cpsc3]

>cpsc2b<

Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off?

<1> much worse

<5> somewhat worse

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsc3<

Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE either way?

<1> better off

<3> worse

<5> haven't made much difference

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

# SECTION D: LEADER AND PARTY EVALUATION

>cpsd1a<

Now I want to ask you how well informed you feel about the party leaders.

First, Kim Campbell.

Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell?

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsd1b<

And what about Jean Chretien?

Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien?

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsd1c<

Audrey McLaughlin?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsd1d< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsd1e][endif]

Preston Manning?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning?)

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about Preston Manning.

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====> [goto cpsch31]

>cpsd1e<

Lucien Bouchard?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard?)

<1> Very Well  
 <3> Fairly Well  
 <5> Not Very Well  
 <7> Not At all  
  
 <8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch31<

```
[if cpsprov eq <4>]   [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip leader ratings]
[if cpsd1a ge <7>]   [# goto party ratings]
[if cpsd1b ge <7>]
[if cpsd1c ge <7>]
[if cpsd1e ge <7>]
[goto cpsch32]
[endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
[if cpsprov ne <4>]   [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip leader ratings]
[if cpsd1a ge <7>]   [# goto party ratings]
[if cpsd1b ge <7>]
[if cpsd1c ge <7>]
[if cpsd1d ge <7>]
[goto cpsch32]
[endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
```

Only respondents from Quebec were asked about Lucien Bouchard.

Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader ratings and were asked to rate the political parties.

>cpsd2<

Now, I'll ask you to rate each leader on a scale that runs from 0 to 100.

Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that person UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that person FAVOURABLY. You may use any number from 0 to 100.

Enter <c> to continue====>

[# start randomized leader section here ]

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the party leaders. The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn12. Code at each leader item ensured that respondents who had previously indicated they were not at all informed about the leader, or had answered don't know or refused to that leader item, were not asked to rate that particular leader on the 0 to 100 scale.

Respondents in Quebec were not asked to rate Preston Manning, and respondents outside Quebec were not asked to rate Lucien Bouchard.

>cpsch16< [if cpsrn12 eq <01> goto cpsd2a]

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <02> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <03> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <04> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <05> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <06> goto cpsd2a]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <07> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <08> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <09> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <10> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <11> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <12> goto cpsd2b]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <13> goto cpsd2c]
[if cpsrn12 eq <14> goto cpsd2c]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <15> goto cpsd2c]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <16> goto cpsd2c]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <17> goto cpsd2c]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <18> goto cpsd2c]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <19> goto cpsd2d]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <20> goto cpsd2d]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <21> goto cpsd2d]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <22> goto cpsd2d]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <23> goto cpsd2d]  
[if cpsrn12 eq <24> goto cpsd2d]
```

>cpsd2a< [if cpsd1a ge <7> goto cpsch17]

How would you rate KIM CAMPBELL?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch17< [if cpsrn12 eq <01> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <02> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <03> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <04> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <05> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <06> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <07> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <08> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <09> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <10> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <11> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <12> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <13> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <14> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <15> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <16> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <17> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <18> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <19> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <20> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <21> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <22> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <23> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <24> goto cpsout1]

>cpsd2b< [if cpsd1b ge <7> goto cpsch18]

How would you rate JEAN CHRETIEN?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch18< [if cpsrn12 eq <01> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <02> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <03> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <04> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <05> goto cpsd2c]

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked to rate Kim Campbell.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.



[if cpsrn12 eq <06> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <07> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <08> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <09> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <10> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <11> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <12> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <13> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <14> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <15> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <16> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <17> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <18> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <19> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <20> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <21> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <22> goto cpsd2c]

[if cpsrn12 eq <23> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <24> goto cpsd2a]

>cpsd2c< [if cpsd1c ge <7> goto cpsch19]

How would you rate AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch19< [if cpsrn12 eq <01> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <02> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <03> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <04> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <05> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <06> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <07> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <08> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <09> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <10> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <11> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <12> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <13> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <14> goto cpsd2a]

[if cpsrn12 eq <15> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <16> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <17> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <18> goto cpsd2d]

[if cpsrn12 eq <19> goto cpsout1]

[if cpsrn12 eq <20> goto cpsd2b]

[if cpsrn12 eq <21> goto cpsout1]

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <22> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <23> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <24> goto cpsd2b]
```

```
>cpsd2d< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsd2e][endif]
[if cpsd1d ge <7>][goto cpsch20][endif]
```

How would you rate PRESTON MANNING?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

Respondents from Quebec were not asked to rate Preston Manning.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

```
>cpsd2e< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsch20][endif]
[if cpsd1e ge <7>][goto cpsch20][endif]
```

How would you rate LUCIEN BOUCHARD?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

```
>cpsch20< [if cpsrn12 eq <01> goto cpsout1]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <02> goto cpsd2c]
[if cpsrn12 eq <03> goto cpsout1]
[if cpsrn12 eq <04> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <05> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <06> goto cpsd2c]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <07> goto cpsout1]
[if cpsrn12 eq <08> goto cpsd2c]
[if cpsrn12 eq <09> goto cpsout1]
[if cpsrn12 eq <10> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <11> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <12> goto cpsd2c]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <13> goto cpsout1]
[if cpsrn12 eq <14> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <15> goto cpsout1]
[if cpsrn12 eq <16> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <17> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <18> goto cpsd2b]
```

```
[if cpsrn12 eq <19> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <20> goto cpsd2a]
[if cpsrn12 eq <21> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <22> goto cpsd2b]
[if cpsrn12 eq <23> goto cpsd2c]
[if cpsrn12 eq <24> goto cpsd2c]
```

```
[#end randomized leader section here]
```

```
>cpsout1< [goto cpsch32]
```

```
[#start randomized party section here]
```

```
>cpsch32<
```

Now, I'll ask you to rate each political party on a scale that runs from 0 to 100.

Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that party UNFAVOURABLY.  
Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that party FAVOURABLY.

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked to rate Lucien Bouchard.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the major political parties. The order of delivery of the parties was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn13.

Respondents in Quebec were not asked to rate the Reform Party, and respondents

You may use any number from 0 to 100.

Enter <c> to continue=====>

>cpsch21< [if cpsrn13 eq <01> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <02> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <03> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <04> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <05> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <06> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <07> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <08> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <09> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <10> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <11> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <12> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <13> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <14> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <15> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <16> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <17> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <18> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <19> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <20> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <21> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <22> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <23> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <24> goto cpsd2j]

>cpsd2g<

How would you rate the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

=====>

>cpsch22< [if cpsrn13 eq <01> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <02> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <03> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <04> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <05> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <06> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <07> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <08> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <09> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <10> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <11> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <12> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <13> goto cpsd2h]

```
[if cpsrn13 eq <14> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <15> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <16> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <17> goto cpsd2h]
[if cpsrn13 eq <18> goto cpsout]
```

```
[if cpsrn13 eq <19> goto cpsd2h]
[if cpsrn13 eq <20> goto cpsd2i]
[if cpsrn13 eq <21> goto cpsd2i]
[if cpsrn13 eq <22> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <23> goto cpsd2h]
[if cpsrn13 eq <24> goto cpsout]
```

>cpsd2h<

How would you rate the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch23< [if cpsrn13 eq <01> goto cpsd2i]

```
[if cpsrn13 eq <02> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <03> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <04> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <05> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <06> goto cpsd2i]
```

```
[if cpsrn13 eq <07> goto cpsd2g]
[if cpsrn13 eq <08> goto cpsd2g]
[if cpsrn13 eq <09> goto cpsd2i]
[if cpsrn13 eq <10> goto cpsd2i]
[if cpsrn13 eq <11> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <12> goto cpsd2j]
```

```
[if cpsrn13 eq <13> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <14> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <15> goto cpsd2g]
[if cpsrn13 eq <16> goto cpsd2j]
[if cpsrn13 eq <17> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <18> goto cpsd2g]
```

```
[if cpsrn13 eq <19> goto cpsd2i]
[if cpsrn13 eq <20> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <21> goto cpsd2g]
[if cpsrn13 eq <22> goto cpsd2i]
[if cpsrn13 eq <23> goto cpsout]
[if cpsrn13 eq <24> goto cpsd2g]
```

>cpsd2i<

How would you rate the FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and

100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch24< [if cpsrn13 eq <01> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <02> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <03> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <04> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <05> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <06> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <07> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <08> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <09> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <10> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <11> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <12> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <13> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <14> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <15> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <16> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <17> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <18> goto cpsd2j]

[if cpsrn13 eq <19> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <20> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <21> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <22> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <23> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <24> goto cpsd2h]

>cpsd2j< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsd2k][endif]

How would you rate THE REFORM PARTY?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

Respondents from Quebec were not asked to rate the Reform Party.

>cpsd2k< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsch25][endif]

How would you rate THE BLOC Québécois?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked to rate the Bloc Québécois.

>cpsch25< [if cpsrn13 eq <01> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <02> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <03> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <04> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <05> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <06> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <07> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <08> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <09> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <10> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <11> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <12> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <13> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <14> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <15> goto cpsout]

[if cpsrn13 eq <16> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <17> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <18> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <19> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <20> goto cpsd2g]

[if cpsrn13 eq <21> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <22> goto cpsd2h]

[if cpsrn13 eq <23> goto cpsd2i]

[if cpsrn13 eq <24> goto cpsd2i]

>cpsout< [# end randomized party section here]

>cpsd2f<

How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>



&gt;cpsd3&lt;

How important is it that Canada's Prime Minister speaks French really well. Is it VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

&lt;1&gt; Very important

&lt;3&gt; Somewhat important

&lt;5&gt; Not Very important

&lt;7&gt; Not important at all

&lt;8&gt; Don't know   &lt;9&gt; Refused

====&gt;

**SECTION E: PROVINCIAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS**

&gt;cpse1&lt;

What about economic conditions in [fill pppp].

The respondent's province was filled in the variable pppp.

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR economic conditions in [fill pppp] have gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

&lt;1&gt; gotten better

&lt;3&gt; stayed the same[goto cpse2]

&lt;5&gt; gotten worse [goto cpse1b]

&lt;8&gt; Don't Know[goto cpse2]   &lt;9&gt; Refused [goto cpse2]

====&gt;

&gt;cpse1a&lt;

Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?

&lt;1&gt; much better

&lt;5&gt; somewhat better

&lt;8&gt; Don't Know   &lt;9&gt; Refused

====&gt;[goto cpse2]

&gt;cpse1b&lt;

Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

&lt;1&gt; much worse

&lt;5&gt; somewhat worse

&lt;8&gt; Don't Know   &lt;9&gt; Refused

====&gt;

&gt;cpse2&lt;

Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made the [fill pppp] economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?

The respondent's province was filled in the variable pppp.

<1> better

<3> worse [goto cpse2b]

<5> no difference [goto cpse1a]

<8> Don't know[goto cpse1a]    <9> Refused [goto cpse1a]

====>

>cpse2a<

Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?

<1> much better  
<5> somewhat better

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>[goto cpse1a]

>cpse2b<

Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

<1> much worse  
<5> somewhat worse

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

## SECTION F: PLACEMENTS I

>cpsf1a< [if cpsrn1 eq <1>]

[store <promoting the French language in Canada> in rc3]

[store <to promote French> in rc1][endif]

[if cpsrn1 eq <2>]

[store <Quebec> in rc3]

[store <for Quebec> in rc1][endif]

Now let's talk about [fill rc3].

How much do you think should be done [fill rc1]:

MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more  
<3> About the same as now  
<4> Somewhat less  
<5> Much less

<0> Haven't thought much [goto cpsf2a]

<8> Don't know [goto cpsf2a]    <9> Refused [goto cpsf2a]

====>

>cpsf1b<

How much does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do [fill rc1]?

MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more  
<3> About the same as now  
<4> Somewhat less

A wording experiment was performed at item cpsf1a.

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn1=1) were asked the question "Now let's talk about promoting the French language in Canada. How much do you think should be done to promote French" A random half (cpsrn1=2) were asked " Now let's talk about Quebec. How much do you think should be done for Quebec"

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn1=1) were asked the question "How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to promote French"

A random half (cpsrn1=2) were asked "How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to for Quebec"

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsflc<

What does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do  
[fill rc1]?

(Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE,  
SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT  
LESS, or MUCH LESS [fill rc1]?)

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more  
<3> About the same as now  
<4> Somewhat less  
<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

A random half of the respondents (cpsm1=1) were asked the question "What does the Federal Conservative party want to do to promote French"

A random half (cpsm1=2) were asked "What does the Federal Conservative party want to do to for Quebec?"

>cpsfld<

The FEDERAL NDP?

(Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT  
MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH  
LESS [fill rc1]?)

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more  
<3> About the same as now  
<4> Somewhat less  
<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

In item cpsfld, the question read to ALL respondents was "The FEDERAL NDP?" This was the third item in the series and therefore the full text was not necessarily read to the respondent unless clarification was needed.

In one half of the cases the full text of the item read "Does the Federal NDP want to do ... to promote French?" In the other half (cpsm1=2) the text read "Does the Federal NDP want to do... for Quebec?"

>cpsfle< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsflf][endif]

The REFORM party?

(Does the Reform party want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE,  
ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS  
[fill rc1]?)

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more  
<3> About the same as now  
<4> Somewhat less  
<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

Respondents in Quebec were not asked about the Reform party.

In one half of the cases the full text of the item read "Does the Reform party want to do ... to promote French" In the other half (cpsm1=2) the text read "Does the Reform party want to do... for Quebec"

>cpsflf< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsf2a][endif]

The BLOC Québécois?

Only respondents in Quebec were asked about the Bloc Quebecois.

(Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS [fill rc1]?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf2a<

How about Canada's ties with the United States?

Should Canada's ties with the United States be MUCH CLOSER,  
SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT,  
MUCH MORE DISTANT or haven't you thought much about this?

<1> Much closer

<2> Somewhat closer

<3> About the same as now

<4> More distant

<5> Much more distant

<0> Haven't thought much [goto cpsf3a]

<8> Don't know [goto cpsf3a]   <9> Refused [goto cpsf3a]

====>

>cpsf2b<

Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want Canada to have MUCH  
CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT  
THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?

<1> Much closer

<2> Somewhat closer

<3> About the same as now

<4> More distant

<5> Much more distant

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf2c<

The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party?

(Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United  
States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE  
DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

<1> Much closer

<2> Somewhat closer

<3> About the same as now

<4> More distant

<5> Much more distant

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf2d<

The FEDERAL NDP?

(Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United  
States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE

DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

<1> Much closer

<2> Somewhat closer

<3> About the same as now

<4> More distant

<5> Much more distant

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>



>cpsf2e< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsf2f][endif]

The REFORM party?

(Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

<1> Much closer

<2> Somewhat closer

<3> About the same as now

<4> More distant

<5> Much more distant

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

Respondents in Quebec were not asked about the Reform Party.

>cpsf2f< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsf3a][endif]

The BLOC Québécois?

(Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

<1> Much closer

<2> Somewhat closer

<3> About the same as now

<4> More distant

<5> Much more distant

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

Only respondents in Quebec were asked about the Bloc Quebecois.

>cpsf3a<

How much do you think should be done for BUSINESS PEOPLE: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Businesses of all sizes, big and small      [n]

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<0> Haven't thought much [goto cpsg1]

<8> Don't know [goto cpsg1]    <9> Refused [goto cpsg1]

====>

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used for interviewer instructions throughout the questionnaire.

>cpsf3b<

What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for business people?

Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE

SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf3c<

The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party?

(Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf3d<

The FEDERAL NDP?

(Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf3e< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsf3f][endif]

The REFORM party?

(Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsf3f< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsg1][endif]

The BLOC Québécois?

(Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

Respondents in Quebec were not asked about the Reform Party.

Only respondents in Quebec were asked about the Bloc Québécois.

people?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

## SECTION G: POLICY I

&gt;cpsg1&lt;

In 1991 the Federal government adopted a new tax on goods and services, the GST. All things considered, are you VERY MUCH IN FAVOUR, SOMEWHAT IN FAVOUR, SOMEWHAT OPPOSED, or VERY MUCH OPPOSED to the GST?

&lt;1&gt; Very much in favour

&lt;3&gt; Somewhat in favour

&lt;5&gt; Somewhat opposed

&lt;7&gt; Very much opposed

&lt;8&gt; Don't know

&lt;9&gt; Refused

==&gt;

&gt;cpsg2a&lt;

For the following statements please tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE, or if you don't really know.

The GST is necessary to reduce the deficit.

&lt;1&gt; Strongly agree

&lt;3&gt; Somewhat agree

&lt;5&gt; Somewhat disagree

&lt;7&gt; Strongly disagree

&lt;8&gt; Don't know

&lt;9&gt; Refused

==&gt;

&gt;cpsg2b&lt;

The GST is unfair to poor people.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE, or don't you really know?)

&lt;1&gt; Strongly agree

&lt;3&gt; Somewhat agree

&lt;5&gt; Somewhat disagree

&lt;7&gt; Strongly disagree

&lt;8&gt; Don't know

&lt;9&gt; Refused

==&gt;

&gt;cpsg3a1&lt;

Do you happen to know which of the FEDERAL parties support the GST?

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIRST MENTION HERE [n]

&lt;0&gt; None [goto cpsg3b1]

&lt;1&gt; Conservative

&lt;2&gt; Liberal

The version of CASES used for the 1993 Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Five separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST through FIFTH mention. Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> All parties [goto cpsg4]

<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg3b1]

<99> Refused [goto cpsg3b1]

==>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsg3a2<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg3b1]

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg3b1]

<99> Refused [goto cpsg3b1]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsg3a3<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg3b1]

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg3b1]

<99> Refused [goto cpsg3b1]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsg3a4<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg3b1]

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg3b1]

<99> Refused [goto cpsg3b1]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsg3a5<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIFTH MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg3b1]

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg3b1]

<99> Refused [goto cpsg3b1]

==>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.



>cpsg3b1<

And which of the federal parties OPPOSE the GST?

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIRST MENTION HERE [n]

<0> None [goto cpsg4]  
 <1> Conservative  
 <2> Liberal  
 <3> N.D.P  
 <4> Reform Party  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other (specify) [specify]  
  
 <97> All parties [goto cpsg4]  
  
 <98> Don't Know [goto cpsg4]  
 <99> Refused [goto cpsg4]

====>

>cpsg3b2<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg4]  
  
 <1> Conservative  
 <2> Liberal  
 <3> N.D.P  
 <4> Reform Party  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other (specify) [specify]  
  
 <98> Don't Know [goto cpsg4]  
 <99> Refused [goto cpsg4]

====>

>cpsg3b3<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg4]  
  
 <1> Conservative  
 <2> Liberal  
 <3> N.D.P  
 <4> Reform Party  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other (specify) [specify]  
  
 <98> Don't Know [goto cpsg4]  
 <99> Refused [goto cpsg4]

====>

>cpsg3b4<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg4]

The version of CASES used for the 1993 Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Five separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST through FIFTH mention. Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

<1> Conservative  
<2> Liberal  
<3> N.D.P  
<4> Reform Party  
<5> Bloc Québécois  
<6> Other (specify) [specify]  
  
<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg4]  
<99> Refused [goto cpsg4]

==>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsg3b5<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIFTH MENTION HERE [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto cpsg4]

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto cpsg4]

<99> Refused [goto cpsg4]

====>

>cpsg4< [if cpsrn5 eq <1>][store <the GST> in rc3][endif]  
[if cpsrn5 eq <2>][store <a tax like the GST> in rc3][endif]

Do you think Canada can get by without [fill rc3]?

<1> Yes, get by without the GST

<5> No, cannot get by without GST

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg5<

Do you think Canada should admit MORE immigrants or FEWER  
immigrants than at present?

<1> more

<3> fewer

<5> depends/stay the same

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg6a< [if cpsrn10 eq <2>][goto cpsg6b][endif]  
[if cpsrn10 eq <3>][goto cpsg6c][endif]

Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three  
positions, which is closest to your own opinion:

One: abortion should NEVER be permitted,

Two: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by  
a doctor,

OR

Three: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE?

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

A wording experiment was performed at item cpsg4.

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn5=1) were asked the question "Do you think  
Canada can get by without the GST?" A random half (cpsrn5=2) were asked "Do  
you think Canada can get by without a tax like the GST?"

There were three different versions of the order in which the options to the abortion  
question were presented to the respondents.

A random third of the respondents (cpsrn10=1) were asked item cpsg6a, a random  
third (cpsrn10=2) were asked item cpsg6b, and a random third (cpsrn10=3) were  
asked cpsg6c.

<1> abortion never permitted

<2> permitted after need established

<3> woman's personal choice

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsg7a]

>cpsg6b<

Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion:

One: Abortion should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor,

Two: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE

OR

Three: should NEVER be permitted?

<1> permitted after need established

<2> woman's personal choice

<3> abortion never permitted

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsg7a]

>cpsg6c<

Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion:

One: Abortion should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE,

Two: abortion should NEVER be permitted,

OR

Three: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor?

<1> woman's personal choice

<2> abortion never permitted

<3> permitted after need established

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg7a<

Could you tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:

Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

==>

>cpsg7b<

Homosexual couples should be allowed to get legally married.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

>cpsg7c<

Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

>cpsg7d<

A woman is more likely to be assaulted by her male partner than by anyone else.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

>cpsg7e<

Only people who are legally married should be having children.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused





>cpsg7f<

The government's decision to buy new helicopters for the navy is basically the right one.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg7g<

Politicians are willing to say anything to get elected.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg7h<

Politicians are NO MORE corrupt than anybody else.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg7i<

Governments cannot do much to solve our economic problems.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused



>cpsg8a< [if cpsrn20 eq <2>][goto cpsg8b][endif]

Which comes closest to your own view:

One: Aboriginal people should have the right to make their own laws.

OR

Two: Aboriginal people should abide by the same laws as other Canadians.

<1> Make their own laws

<2> Abide by same laws as other Canadians

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsg11]

>cpsg8b<

Which comes closest to your own view:

One: Aboriginal people should abide by the same laws as other Canadians.

OR

Two: Aboriginal people should have the right to make their own laws.

<1> Abide by same laws as other Canadians

<2> Make their own laws

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg11< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsh1][endif]

What is your opinion on Quebec sovereignty, that is, Quebec is no longer a part of Canada?

Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.

<1> Very favourable

<3> Somewhat favourable

<5> Somewhat opposed

<7> Very opposed

<0> Neither favourable or opposed

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg12<

If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn20=1) were asked item cpsg8a, and a random half (cpsrn20=2) were asked item cpsg8b in which the order of the options was reversed.

Only respondents from Quebec were asked cpsg11.

living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?

<1> Get better      [goto cpsg13]

<3> Get worse      [goto cpsg14]

<5> Stay the same    [goto cpsg15]

<8> Don't know      [goto cpsg15]

<9> Refused          [goto cpsg15]

==>

>cpsg13<

A LOT better or only A LITTLE better?

<1> A lot

<5> A little

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsg15]

>cpsg14<

A LOT worse or only A LITTLE worse?

<1> A lot

<5> A little

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsg15<

In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?

<1> Yes, threatened

<5> No, not threatened

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

## SECTION H: NATIONAL ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

>cpsh1<

Now, I want to ask you about the economy in all of CANADA.

Would you say that over the PAST YEAR Canada's economy has  
GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN  
WORSE?

<1> gotten better

<3> stayed about same [goto cpsh2]

<5> gotten worse [goto cpsh1b]

<8> Don't Know [goto cpsh2]

<9> Refused [goto cpsh2]

====>

>cpsh1a<

Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?

<1> much better

<5> somewhat better

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====> [goto cph2]

>cps1b<

Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

<1> much worse

<5> somewhat worse

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cps2<

What about the NEXT 12 months? Do you expect Canada's economy to get BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?

<1> get better

<3> stay the same

<5> get worse

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cps3<

Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?

<1> better

<3> worse    [goto cps3b]

<5> haven't made much difference [goto cps4]

<8> Don't know [goto cps4]    <9> Refused [goto cps4]

====>

>cps3a<

Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?

<1> much better

<5> somewhat better

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>[goto cps4]

>cps3b<

Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

<1> much worse

<5> somewhat worse

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cps4<

What would you say the unemployment rate in CANADA is these days, approximately?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If respondent gives a non-numeric number ask:[n]  
[r] AND ABOUT HOW MUCH IS THAT? [n]

<1> Enter respondent's answer [specify]

<98> Don't Know      <99> Refused

====>

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.



>cps5<

What would you say the inflation rate in CANADA is these days, approximately?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If respondent gives a non-numeric number ask:[n]  
[r] AND ABOUT HOW MUCH IS THAT? [n]

<1> Enter respondent's answer [specify]

<98> Don't Know <99> Refused

====>

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cps6<

What would you say the federal government's deficit is, approximately?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If respondent gives a non-numeric number ask:[n]  
[r] AND ABOUT HOW MUCH IS THAT? [n]

<1> Enter respondent's answer [specify]

<98> Don't Know <99> Refused

====>

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

## SECTION I: LEADER TRAITS

>cpsi<

Now, we'd like to know about your impressions of the party leaders.

Type <c> to continue =====>

>cpsch5<

```
[if cpsprov eq <4>]
[if cpsd1a ge <7>] [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip leader ratings]
[if cpsd1b ge <7>] [# goto party ratings]
[if cpsd1c ge <7>]
[if cpsd1e ge <7>]
[goto cpsi6]
[endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
[if cpsprov ne <4>]
[if cpsd1a ge <7>] [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip leader ratings]
[if cpsd1b ge <7>] [# goto party ratings]
[if cpsd1c ge <7>]
[if cpsd1d ge <7>] [goto cpsi6]
[endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
```

Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

>cpsi1<

I would like you to tell me how well the following words fit each leader.

Type <c> to continue =====>

[# start randomized leader characteristics section here]

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents a battery of questions about

```
>cpsch26< [if cpsrn14 eq <01> goto cpsi1a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <02> goto cpsi1a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <03> goto cpsi1a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <04> goto cpsi1a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <05> goto cpsi1a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <06> goto cpsi1a]  
  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <07> goto cpsi2a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <08> goto cpsi2a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <09> goto cpsi2a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <10> goto cpsi2a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <11> goto cpsi2a]  
  [if cpsrn14 eq <12> goto cpsi2a]
```

[if cpsrn14 eq <13> goto cpsi3a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <14> goto cpsi3a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <15> goto cpsi3a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <16> goto cpsi3a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <17> goto cpsi3a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <18> goto cpsi3a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <19> goto cpsi4a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <20> goto cpsi4a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <21> goto cpsi4a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <22> goto cpsi4a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <23> goto cpsi4a]  
 [if cpsrn14 eq <24> goto cpsi4a]

>cpsi1a<[if cpsd1a ge <7> goto cpsch27]

(Now what about..)

KIM CAMPBELL.

Does "INTELLIGENT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well,  
 NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1b<

Does "ARROGANT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well,  
 NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1c<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY  
 well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1d<

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had  
 answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not questions about her.

"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?"

(Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Kim Campbell:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1e<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Kim Campbell:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1f<

"COMPASSIONATE?"

(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY  
well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1i<

Do you think Kim Campbell speaks French VERY well, FAIRLY well,  
NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi1j<

Do you happen to know what Kim Campbell's cabinet job was before  
she became Prime Minister?

<1> Enter respondent's answer verbatim please [specify]

<98> Don't Know/No  
<99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsch27< [if cpsrn14 eq <01> goto cpsi2a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <02> goto cpsi2a]

```
[if cpsrn14 eq <03> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <04> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <05> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <06> goto cpsi4a]
```

```
[if cpsrn14 eq <07> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <08> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <09> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <10> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <11> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <12> goto cpsout2]
```

```
[if cpsrn14 eq <13> goto cpsi2a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <14> goto cpsi4a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <15> goto cpsi4a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <16> goto cpsout2]
[if cpsrn14 eq <17> goto cpsi2a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <18> goto cpsout2]
```

```
[if cpsrn14 eq <19> goto cpsi2a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <20> goto cpsi3a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <21> goto cpsi3a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <22> goto cpsout2]
[if cpsrn14 eq <23> goto cpsi2a]
[if cpsrn14 eq <24> goto cpsout2]
```

```
>cpsi2a< [if cpsd1b ge <7>][goto cpsch28][endif]
```

(Now what about..)

JEAN CHRETIEN.

Does "INTELLIGENT" describe JEAN CHRETIEN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

```
<1> very well
<3> fairly well
<5> not very well
<7> not at all
```

```
<8> Don't Know   <9> Refused
```

```
====>
```

```
>cpsi2b<
```

Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

```
<1> very well
<3> fairly well
<5> not very well
<7> not at all
```

```
<8> Don't Know   <9> Refused
```

```
====>
```

```
>cpsi2c<
```

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

```
<1> very well
<3> fairly well
<5> not very well
<7> not at all
```

```
<8> Don't Know   <9> Refused
```

```
====>
```

```
>cpsi2d<
```

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.

"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?"

(Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Jean Chretien:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>



>cpsi2e<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Jean Chretien:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi2f<

"COMPASSIONATE?"

(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY  
well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch28< [if cpsrn14 eq <01> goto cpsi3a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <02> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <03> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <04> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <05> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <06> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <07> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <08> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <09> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <10> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <11> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <12> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <13> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <14> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <15> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <16> goto cpsi4a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <17> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <18> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <19> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <20> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <21> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <22> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <23> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <24> goto cpsi1a]

>cpsi3a< [if cpsd1c ge <7>][goto cpsch29][endif]

(Now what about...)

AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN.

Does "INTELLIGENT" describe AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

- <1> very well
- <3> fairly well
- <5> not very well
- <7> not at all
- <8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.

>cpsi3b<

Does "ARROGANT" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi3c<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY  
WELL, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi3d<

"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?"

(Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Audrey  
McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT  
ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi3e<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Audrey  
McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT  
ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi3f<

"COMPASSIONATE?"

(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Audrey McLaughlin:

VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch29< [if cpsrn14 eq <01> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <02> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <03> goto cpsi2a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <04> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <05> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <06> goto cpsi2a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <07> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <08> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <09> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <10> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <11> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <12> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <13> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <14> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <15> goto cpsi2a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <16> goto cpsi2a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <17> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <18> goto cpsi4a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <19> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <20> goto cpsi2a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <21> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <22> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <23> goto cpsi1a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <24> goto cpsi2a]

>cpsi4a< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsi5a][endif]

[if cpsd1d ge <7>][goto cpsch30][endif]

(Now what about..)

PRESTON MANNING.

Does "INTELLIGENT" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about Preston Manning.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi4b<

Does "ARROGANT" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well,  
NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>cpsi4c<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY  
well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>cpsi4d<

"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?"

(Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Preston Manning:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>cpsi4e<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Preston Manning:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>cpsi4f<

"COMPASSIONATE?"

(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Preston Manning: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>



>cpsi5a< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsch30][endif]  
 [if cpsd1e ge <7>][goto cpsch30][endif]

(Now what about..)

LUCIEN BOUCHARD.

Does "INTELLIGENT" describe LUCIEN BOUCHARD: VERY well,  
 FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi5b<

Does "ARROGANT" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well,  
 NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi5c<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY  
 well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi5d<

"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?"

(Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Lucien Bouchard:  
 VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
 <3> fairly well  
 <5> not very well  
 <7> not at all

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====>

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about Lucien Bouchard.  
 Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had  
 answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.



>cpsi5e<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Lucien Bouchard:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsi5f<

"COMPASSIONATE?"

(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch30< [if cpsrn14 eq <01> goto cpsout2]

[if cpsrn14 eq <02> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <03> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <04> goto cpsi2a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <05> goto cpsi2a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <06> goto cpsi3a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <07> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <08> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <09> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <10> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <11> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <12> goto cpsi3a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <13> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <14> goto cpsi2a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <15> goto cpsout2]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <16> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <17> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <18> goto cpsi2a]

[if cpsrn14 eq <19> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <20> goto cpsi1a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <21> goto cpsi2a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <22> goto cpsi2a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <23> goto cpsi3a]  
[if cpsrn14 eq <24> goto cpsi3a]

>cpsout2< [# end randomized characteristics section here]

>cpsi6<

In your opinion, which party leader would make the best Prime Minister?

<1> Kim Campbell

<2> Jean Chretien

<3> Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Preston Manning

<5> Lucien Bouchard

<6> None

<7> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know    <99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

## SECTION J: STRATEGIC CONTINGENCIES

>cpsj1<

Now let's talk about how the election is going for each party.

We will be using a scale which runs from 0 to 100, where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory. You may use any number from 0 to 100.

Type <c> to continue====>

>cpsch33< [if cpsrn15 eq <1> goto cpsch34] [# controls delivery of sections]  
[if cpsrn15 eq <2> goto cpsch41] [# order is riding/party or party/riding]

>cpsch34< [if cpsrn16 eq <01> goto cpsj1a] [# order of delivery is random]

[if cpsrn16 eq <02> goto cpsj1a]        [# within the block]

[if cpsrn16 eq <03> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsrn16 eq <04> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsrn16 eq <05> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsrn16 eq <06> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsrn16 eq <07> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <08> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <09> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <10> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <11> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <12> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <13> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <14> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <15> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <16> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <17> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <18> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <19> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <20> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <21> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <22> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <23> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <24> goto cpsj1d]

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the different parties' chances for victory at the national level and within the respondent's own riding.

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level.

The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

In the riding section respondents outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc Quebecois, and respondents in Quebec were not asked about Preston Manning. Regarding chances at the national level, all respondents were asked about all the parties. In the case of the Bloc Quebecois, which only ran candidates in the province of Quebec, respondents were asked about the Bloc's chances of winning a majority of seats in Quebec.

>cpsj1a<

The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch35< [if cpsrn16 eq <01> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <02> goto cpsj1b]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <03> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <04> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <05> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <06> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <07> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <08> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <09> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <10> goto cpsch39]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <11> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <12> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <13> goto cpsj1b]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <14> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <15> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <16> goto cpsch39]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <17> goto cpsj1b]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <18> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <19> goto cpsj1b]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <20> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <21> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <22> goto cpsch39]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <23> goto cpsj1b]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <24> goto cpsch39]

>cpsj1b<

The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch36< [if cpsrn16 eq <01> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <02> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <03> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <04> goto cpsch39]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <05> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <06> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <07> goto cpsj1a]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <08> goto cpsj1a]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <09> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <10> goto cpsj1c]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <11> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <12> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsrn16 eq <13> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <14> goto cpsch39]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <15> goto cpsj1a]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <16> goto cpsj1d]  
 [if cpsrn16 eq <17> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <18> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsrn16 eq <19> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <20> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <21> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsrn16 eq <22> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <23> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <24> goto cpsj1a]

>cpsj1c<

The NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch37< [if cpsm16 eq <01> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsm16 eq <02> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsm16 eq <03> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsm16 eq <04> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsm16 eq <05> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsm16 eq <06> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsm16 eq <07> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsm16 eq <08> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsm16 eq <09> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsm16 eq <10> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsm16 eq <11> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsm16 eq <12> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsm16 eq <13> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsm16 eq <14> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsm16 eq <15> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsm16 eq <16> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsm16 eq <17> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsm16 eq <18> goto cpsj1d]

[if cpsm16 eq <19> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsm16 eq <20> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsm16 eq <21> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsm16 eq <22> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsm16 eq <23> goto cpsj1a]

[if cpsm16 eq <24> goto cpsj1b]

>cpsj1d< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsj1e][endif]

[# Reform outside Quebec only]

The REFORM party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsj1e< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsch38][endif]

[# BQ to Quebec only]

The BLOC Québécois' chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

The Reform Party did not run candidates in Quebec.

The Bloc Québécois did not run candidates outside Quebec.



<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch38< [if cpsrn16 eq <01> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <02> goto cpsj1c]

[if cpsrn16 eq <03> goto cpsch39]

[if cpsrn16 eq <04> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <05> goto cpsj1b]

[if cpsrn16 eq <06> goto cpsj1c]

```
[if cpsrn16 eq <07> goto cpsch39]
[if cpsrn16 eq <08> goto cpsj1c]
[if cpsrn16 eq <09> goto cpsch39]
[if cpsrn16 eq <10> goto cpsj1a]
[if cpsrn16 eq <11> goto cpsj1a]
[if cpsrn16 eq <12> goto cpsj1c]
```

```
[if cpsrn16 eq <13> goto cpsch39]
[if cpsrn16 eq <14> goto cpsj1b]
[if cpsrn16 eq <15> goto cpsch39]
[if cpsrn16 eq <16> goto cpsj1a]
[if cpsrn16 eq <17> goto cpsj1a]
[if cpsrn16 eq <18> goto cpsj1b]
```

```
[if cpsrn16 eq <19> goto cpsj1a]
[if cpsrn16 eq <20> goto cpsj1a]
[if cpsrn16 eq <21> goto cpsj1b]
[if cpsrn16 eq <22> goto cpsj1b]
[if cpsrn16 eq <23> goto cpsj1c]
[if cpsrn16 eq <24> goto cpsj1c]
```

```
>cpsch39< [if cpsrn15 eq <1>]
    [goto cpsch41][endif] [# controls riding/party split]
[if cpsrn15 eq <2>]
    [goto cpsout3][endif]
```

Code at this item routed respondents who had completed both the party and the riding sections to the next section beginning at cpsj3.

[# NOTE: BQ is delivered to everyone in this section]

```
>cpsch41<
    [if cpsrn18 eq <01> goto cpsj2a] [#controls randomization]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <02> goto cpsj2a] [# of english canada parties]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <03> goto cpsj2a]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <04> goto cpsj2a]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <05> goto cpsj2a]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <06> goto cpsj2a]

    [if cpsrn18 eq <07> goto cpsj2b]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <08> goto cpsj2b]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <09> goto cpsj2b]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <10> goto cpsj2b]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <11> goto cpsj2b]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <12> goto cpsj2b]

    [if cpsrn18 eq <13> goto cpsj2c]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <14> goto cpsj2c]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <15> goto cpsj2c]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <16> goto cpsj2c]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <17> goto cpsj2c]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <18> goto cpsj2c]

    [if cpsrn18 eq <19> goto cpsj2d]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <20> goto cpsj2d]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <21> goto cpsj2d]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <22> goto cpsj2d]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <23> goto cpsj2d]
    [if cpsrn18 eq <24> goto cpsj2d]
```

```
>cpsj2a<
```

The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning the election

IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch42< [if cpsrn18 eq <01> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <02> goto cpsj2b]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <03> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <04> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <05> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <06> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <07> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <08> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <09> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <10> goto cpsj2e]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <11> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <12> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <13> goto cpsj2b]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <14> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <15> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <16> goto cpsj2e]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <17> goto cpsj2b]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <18> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <19> goto cpsj2b]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <20> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <21> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <22> goto cpsj2e]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <23> goto cpsj2b]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <24> goto cpsj2e]

>cpsj2b<

The LIBERAL party's chances of winning the election  
 IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch43< [if cpsrn18 eq <01> goto cpsj2c]

[if cpsrn18 eq <02> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <03> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <04> goto cpsj2e]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <05> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <06> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <07> goto cpsj2a]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <08> goto cpsj2a]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <09> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <10> goto cpsj2c]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <11> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <12> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <13> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <14> goto cpsj2e]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <15> goto cpsj2a]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <16> goto cpsj2d]  
 [if cpsrn18 eq <17> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <18> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <19> goto cpsj2c]

[if cpsrn18 eq <20> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <21> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <22> goto cpsj2c]

[if cpsrn18 eq <23> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <24> goto cpsj2a]

>cpsj2c<

The NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances of winning the election  
IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch44< [if cpsrn18 eq <01> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <02> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <03> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <04> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <05> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <06> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <07> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <08> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <09> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <10> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <11> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <12> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <13> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <14> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <15> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <16> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <17> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <18> goto cpsj2d]

[if cpsrn18 eq <19> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <20> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <21> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <22> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <23> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <24> goto cpsj2b]

>cpsj2d<

The REFORM party's chances of winning the election  
IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>cpsch45< [if cpsrn18 eq <01> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <02> goto cpsj2c]

[if cpsrn18 eq <03> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <04> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <05> goto cpsj2b]

[if cpsrn18 eq <06> goto cpsj2c]

[if cpsrn18 eq <07> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <08> goto cpsj2c]

[if cpsrn18 eq <09> goto cpsj2e]

[if cpsrn18 eq <10> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <11> goto cpsj2a]

[if cpsrn18 eq <12> goto cpsj2c]

```
[if cpsrn18 eq <13> goto cpsj2e]
[if cpsrn18 eq <14> goto cpsj2b]
[if cpsrn18 eq <15> goto cpsj2e]
[if cpsrn18 eq <16> goto cpsj2a]
[if cpsrn18 eq <17> goto cpsj2a]
[if cpsrn18 eq <18> goto cpsj2b]
```

```
[if cpsrn18 eq <19> goto cpsj2a]
[if cpsrn18 eq <20> goto cpsj2a]
[if cpsrn18 eq <21> goto cpsj2b]
[if cpsrn18 eq <22> goto cpsj2b]
[if cpsrn18 eq <23> goto cpsj2c]
[if cpsrn18 eq <24> goto cpsj2c]
```

>cpsj2e<

The BLOC Québécois' chances of winning the MAJORITY OF SEATS  
in QUEBEC?

(Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party,  
50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know      <999> Refused

====>

```
>cpsch48< [if cpsm15 eq <2>][goto cpsch34][endif]
[# riding/party order]
```

>cpsj3<

How important is it that [fill pppp] be represented in  
Ottawa by strong Cabinet Ministers?

Would you say VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY  
important or NOT important at all?

<1> Very important  
<3> Somewhat important  
<5> Not very important  
<7> Not important at all

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

## SECTION K: PLACEMENTS II

>cpsk1a<

Now we'd like to ask you about some groups.

First, how much power do you think TRADE UNIONS should have:  
MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about this?

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more

Code at this item routed respondents who had completed only the party section to the beginning of the riding section at cpsch34.

The respondent's province was filled in the variable pppp.



<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<0> Haven't thought much[goto cpsk2a]

<8> Don't know[goto cpsk2a]

<9> Refused [goto cpsk2a]

====>

>cpsk1b<

How much power does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want unions to have?

(MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk1c<

The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party?

(Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk1d<

The FEDERAL NDP?

(Does the FEDERAL NDP want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk1e< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsk1f][endif]

The REFORM party?

(Does the REFORM Party want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about the Reform party.

- <1> Much more
- <2> Somewhat more
- <3> About the same as now
- <4> Somewhat less
- <5> Much less
  
- <8> Don't know
- <9> Refused



Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc Quebecois.

>cpsk1f< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsk2a][endif]

The BLOC Québécois?

(Does the BLOC Québécois want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk2a<

How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<0> Haven't thought much [goto cpsk3a]

<8> Don't know [goto cpsk3a]

<9> Refused [goto cpsk3a]

====>

>cpsk2b<

What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for women?

(MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk2c<

The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party?

(Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now,

SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

>cpsk2d<

The FEDERAL NDP?

(Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE for women,  
SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS,  
or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk2e< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsk2f][endif]

The REFORM party?

(Does the REFORM party want to do MUCH MORE for women,  
SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS,  
or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about the Reform Party.

>cpsk2f< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsk3a][endif]

The BLOC Québécois?

(Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE for women,  
SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS,  
or MUCH LESS? )

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc Quebecois.

>cpsk3a<

How much do you think should be done for RACIAL MINORITIES:  
MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?

<1> Much more  
<2> Somewhat more  
<3> About the same as now  
<4> Somewhat less  
<5> Much less

<0> Haven't thought much [goto cpsl1]

<8> Don't know [goto cpsl1]

<9> Refused [goto cpsl1]

==>

>cpsk3b<

What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for racial minorities?

(MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk3c<

The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party?

(Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE,  
for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk3d<

The FEDERAL NDP?

(Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, for racial  
minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsk3e< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsk3f][endif]

The REFORM party?

(Does the REFORM party want to do MUCH MORE, for racial  
minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now,  
SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about the Reform party.



- <1> Much more
- <2> Somewhat more
- <3> About the same as now
- <4> Somewhat less
- <5> Much less
  
- <8> Don't know
- <9> Refused



Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc Quebecois.

>cpsk3f< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsl1][endif]

The BLOC Québécois?

(Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

<1> Much more

<2> Somewhat more

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat less

<5> Much less

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

## SECTION L: POLICY II

>cpsl1<

In 1988 Canada signed a Free Trade Agreement with the United States.  
All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?

<1> Support

<3> Oppose

<5> neither support or oppose

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

<0> unaware of agreement [goto cpsl3]

====>

>cpsl2a<

Here are two statements about the Canada-US Agreement.

The agreement is necessary to make sure we have a large market  
for our products.

Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT  
DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY  
KNOW?

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsl2b<

Unemployment has gone up BECAUSE of this agreement.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT

DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY  
KNOW? )

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

>cpsl3<

Canada and the United States have reached a NEW trade agreement which includes MEXICO. All things considered, do you support this agreement or do you oppose it?

<1> Support[goto cpsl3a]

<3> Oppose [goto cpsl3a]

<5> Neither Support nor Oppose [goto cpsl3b]

<8> Don't know[goto cpsl3b]    <9> Refused [goto cpsl4a]

<0> Unaware of agreement [goto cpsch60]

====>

>cpsl3a< [if cpsl3 eq <1>][store <support> in rc3][endif]  
[if cpsl3 eq <3>][store <oppose> in rc3][endif]

How strongly do you [fill rc3] this agreement? Would you say  
VERY STRONGLY, SOMEWHAT STRONGLY or NOT VERY  
STRONGLY?

<1> Very strongly

<3> Somewhat strongly

<5> Not very strongly

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>[goto cpsl4a]

>cpsl3b<

If you had to choose would you support or oppose this agreement?

<1> Support

<3> Oppose

<5> Neither support nor oppose

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsl4a<

Here are two statements about the new agreement that includes Mexico.

The first is: The NEW agreement is necessary to maintain Canada's  
position in the United States' market.

Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT  
DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY  
KNOW?

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

Code at this item customized the question text to read either "support" or "oppose" depending on the respondent's answer to item cpsl3.

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused



>cpsl4b<

Because of the NEW agreement unemployment in Canada will go up.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)

<1> Strongly agree  
<3> Somewhat agree  
<5> Somewhat disagree  
<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch60<

Canadian governments are running DEFICITS, they are spending more than they are raising in taxes.

<c> To continue

====>

>cpsch1< [if cpsrn2 eq <1>][goto cpsl6a][endif]  
[if cpsrn2 eq <2>][goto cpsl6b][endif]

>cpsl6a<

Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to help reduce the deficit?

<1> Yes, pay more taxes  
<5> No, not pay more taxes  
  
<7> It depends (only if R insists)  
  
<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch2< [if cpsrn2 eq <2>][goto cpsl5a][endif]

Code at this item performs an order experiment. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn2=1) were asked item cpsl6a then cpsl6b, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn2=2) were asked item cpsl6b then cpsl6a.

Code at this item routed respondents who had completed the order experiment to the next item.

>cpsl6b< [if cpsrn6 eq <1>][store <?> in rc3] [endif]  
[if cpsrn6 eq <2>][store <such as health care?> in rc3][endif]  
[if cpsrn6 eq <3>][store <such as welfare?> in rc3][endif]

Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to maintain our social programmes [fill rc3]

<1> Yes, pay more taxes  
<5> No, not pay more taxes  
  
<7> It depends/depends on the programme (only if R insists)

There were three versions of item cpsl6b depending on the value stored in cpsrn6. A random one third of the respondents (cpsrn6=1) were asked "...to maintain our social programmes?". A random one third (cpsrn6=2) were asked "...to maintain our social programmes such as health care?". A random third (cpsrn6=3) were asked "...to maintain our social programmes such as welfare?".

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch3<[if cpsm2 eq <2>][goto cpsl6a][endif]

Code at this item routed respondents who had answered cpsl6b first to item cpsl6a to complete the order experiment.

>cpsl5a< [if cpsrn7 eq <2>][goto cpsl5b][endif]

On the deficit, which comes closest to your own view?

One: We must reduce the deficit even if that means cutting programmes.

OR

Two: Governments must maintain programmes even if that means continuing to run a deficit.

<1> Reduce deficits

<2> Maintain programmes

<8> Don't know (includes depends on programme)

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsch99]

>cpsl5b<

On the deficit, which comes closest to your own view?

One: Governments must maintain programmes even if that means continuing to run a deficit.

OR

Two: We must reduce the deficit even if that means cutting programmes.

<1> Maintain programmes

<2> Reduce deficits

<8> Don't know (includes depends on programme)

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsch99<

If you HAD TO, would you cut spending in the following areas A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL.

Enter <c> to continue =====>

>cpsch6< [if cpsrn19 eq <1>][goto cpsl7a][endif]

[if cpsrn19 eq <2>][goto cpsl7b][endif]

[if cpsrn19 eq <3>][goto cpsl7c][endif]

[if cpsrn19 eq <4>][goto cpsl7d][endif]

[if cpsrn19 eq <5>][goto cpsl7e][endif]

[if cpsrn19 eq <6>][goto cpsl7f][endif]

[if cpsrn19 eq <7>][goto cpsl7g][endif]

>cpsl7a<

Defence?

(Should DEFENCE spending be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn7=1) were asked item cpsl5a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn7=2) were asked item cpsl5b in which the order of the options was reversed.

The next series of items asked respondents to indicate in what areas they would cut government spending. The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19.

A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.



<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch7< [if cpsm19 eq <2>][goto cpsl8a][endif]

>cpsl7b<

Welfare?

(Should Welfare be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch8< [if cpsm19 eq <3>][goto cpsl8a][endif]

>cpsl7c<

Pensions and Old Age Security?

(Should Pensions be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch9< [if cpsm19 eq <4>][goto cpsl8a][endif]

>cpsl7d<

Health Care?

(Should Health Care be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch10< [if cpsm19 eq <5>][goto cpsl8a][endif]

>cpsl7e<

Unemployment Insurance?

(Should Unemployment Insurance be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

```
>cpsch11<[if cpsm19 eq <6>][goto cpsl8a][endif]
```

>cpsl7f<

Education?

(Should Education be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch50< [if cpsrn19 eq <7>][goto cpsl8a][endif]

>cpsl7g<

Aid to developing countries?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Developing means poor    [n]

(Should Aid to poor countries be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> A lot

<3> Some

<5> Not at all

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsch12< [if cpsrn19 gt <1>][goto cpsl7a][endif]

>cpsl8a<

Suppose the Conservatives win the election, what do you think will happen to the deficit?

Would a Conservative government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?

<1> Much bigger

<2> Somewhat bigger

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat smaller

<5> Much smaller

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsl8b<

What if the Liberals win?

(Would a Liberal government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

Code at this item routed respondents who had begun the series of spending cut items anywhere other than cpsl7a back to the start of the series to complete all items.

SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

<1> Much bigger

<2> Somewhat bigger

<3> About the same as now

<4> Somewhat smaller

<5> Much smaller

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>cpsl8c<

And the NDP?

(Would an NDP government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

- <1> Much bigger
- <2> Somewhat bigger
- <3> About the same as now
- <4> Somewhat smaller
- <5> Much smaller
  
- <8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsl8d< [if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsl8e][endif]

And the Reform party?

(Would a Reform government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

- <1> Much bigger
- <2> Somewhat bigger
- <3> About the same as now
- <4> Somewhat smaller
- <5> Much smaller
  
- <8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsl8e< [if cpsprov ne <4>][goto cpsl9a] [endif]

And the Bloc Québécois?

(Would a government which included the Bloc make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

- <1> Much bigger
- <2> Somewhat bigger
- <3> About the same as now
- <4> Somewhat smaller
- <5> Much smaller
  
- <8> Don't know   <9> Refused

====>

>cpsl9a< [if cpsrn21 eq <2>][goto cpsl9b][endif]

As a general rule, the government should:

One: Reduce unemployment even if it means higher inflation

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about the Reform party.

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc Québécois.

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn21=1) were asked item cpsl9a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn21=2) were asked item cpsl9b in which the order of the options was reversed.

OR

Two: Control inflation even if it means higher unemployment.

<1> Reduce unemployment

<2> Control inflation

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsl10a]

>cpsl9b<

As a general rule, the government should:

One: Control inflation even if it means higher unemployment.

OR

Two: Reduce unemployment even if it means higher inflation

<1> Control inflation

<2> Reduce unemployment

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsl10a< [if cpsrn8 eq <2>][goto cpsl10b][endif]

Which comes closer to your own view:

One: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket.

OR

Two: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all.

<1> Not provide services to people who can pay

<2> Provide the same service to everyone

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsch4]

>cpsl10b<

Which comes closer to your own view:

One: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all.

OR

Two: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket.

<1> Provide the same service to everyone

<2> Not provide services to people who can pay

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsch4]

A random half of the respondents (cpsrn8=1) were asked item cpsl10a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn8=2) were asked item cpsl10b in which the order of the options was reversed.

Items cpsl11a and cpsl11b were part of a wording experiment. Each item delivered the same two options to respondents but in a different order. Each option had two



```
>cpsch4< [if cpsm3 eq <1>][store <a doctor> in rc3][endif]  
  [if cpsm3 eq <2>][store <a hospital> in rc3][endif]  
  [if cpsm4 eq <1>][store <low income> in rc1][endif]  
  [if cpsm4 eq <2>][store <some> in rc1][endif]
```



```
>cpsm1< [store <Reform> in rc3]
  [if cpsprov eq <4>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]
```

Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as  
a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, [fill rc3] or none of these?

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> AS YOU FINISH READING [n]

[r] THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! [n]

==>

The CASES internal timer was initialized upon display of this item. Elapsed time was recorded in hundredths of a second. The [no erase] command keeps the previous item displayed on screen to ensure the interviewer can see all instructions as well as the text of the question during the experiment.

>cpsch55< [start timer][no erase]  
 [r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> WHEN RESPONDENT [n]  
 [r] BEGINS TO ANSWER [n]

====>

>cpsch56< [stop timer][record timer in cpsJF2][no erase]

<1> Liberal  
 <2> Conservative  
 <3> N.D.P  
 <4> Reform  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
  
 <6> Other [goto cpsm5]  
  
 <7> None of these[goto cpsm3]  
  
 <8> Don't Know [goto cpsm3] <9> Refused[goto cpsm5]

====>

The CASES internal timer was halted upon display of this item. The elapsed time was stored in cpsJF2.

>cpsm2<  
 [if cpsch56 eq <1>][store <Liberal> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsch56 eq <2>][store <Conservative> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsch56 eq <3>][store <NDP> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsch56 eq <4>][store <Reform> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsch56 eq <5>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]

How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel, very strongly,  
 fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

<1> Very Strongly  
 <3> Fairly Strongly  
 <5> Not Very Strongly  
  
 <8> Don't know <9> Refused

====>[goto cpsm5]

>cpsm3<

Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to  
 one of the federal parties than to the others?

<1> Yes [goto cpsm4]  
 <5> No  
  
 <8> Don't know <9> Refused

====>[goto cpsm5]

>cpsm4<

Which party is that?

<1> Liberal  
 <2> Conservative

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to cpsch56.

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform

<6> Other

<7> None

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

&gt;cpsm5&lt;

Did you vote in the last Federal election in 1988?

&lt;1&gt; Yes [goto cpsm6]

&lt;5&gt; No

&lt;7&gt; not eligible (too young/not a Canadian Citizen)

&lt;8&gt; Don't know

&lt;9&gt; Refused

=====&gt;[goto cpsm7]

&gt;cpsm6&lt;

Which party did you vote for?

&lt;1&gt; Liberal

&lt;2&gt; Conservative

&lt;3&gt; N.D.P.

&lt;4&gt; Reform

&lt;5&gt; Other (specify)[specify]

&lt;98&gt; Don't know

&lt;99&gt; Refused

=====&gt;

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

&gt;cpsm7&lt; [store &lt;Conservative&gt; in rc3]

[if cpsprov eq &lt;4&gt;][store &lt;Parti Québécois&gt; in rc3][endif]

[if cpsprov eq &lt;9&gt;][store &lt;Social Credit&gt; in rc3][endif]

Thinking of provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, [fill rc3] , N.D.P, or none of these?

&lt;1&gt; Liberal

&lt;2&gt; Conservative

&lt;3&gt; N.D.P

&lt;4&gt; Social Credit

&lt;5&gt; Parti Québécois

&lt;6&gt; Other [goto cpsm11]

&lt;7&gt; None of these[goto cpsm9]

&lt;8&gt; Don't Know [goto cpsm9]

&lt;9&gt; Refused[goto cpsm11]

=====&gt;

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's province. The Conservative Party does not have provincial parties in Quebec (cpsprov=4) or British Columbia (cpsprov=9).

&gt;cpsm8&lt; [if cpsm7 is &lt;1&gt;][store &lt;Liberal&gt; in rc3] [endif]

[if cpsm7 is &lt;2&gt;] [store &lt;Conservative&gt; in rc3] [endif]

[if cpsm7 is &lt;3&gt;] [store &lt;NDP&gt; in rc3] [endif]

[if cpsm7 is &lt;4&gt;] [store &lt;Social Credit&gt; in rc3] [endif]

[if cpsm7 is &lt;5&gt;] [store &lt;Parti Québécois&gt; in rc3] [endif]

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to cpsm7.

How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

&lt;1&gt; Very Strongly

&lt;3&gt; Fairly Strongly

&lt;5&gt; Not Very Strongly

&lt;8&gt; Don't know

&lt;9&gt; Refused

====>[goto cpsm1 1]

>cpsm9<

Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to  
one of the provincial parties than to the others?

<1> Yes [goto cpsm10]

<5> No

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsm1 1]

>cpsm10<

Which party is that?

<1> Liberal  
 <2> Conservative  
 <3> N.D.P  
 <4> Social Credit  
 <5> Parti Québécois  
  
 <6> Other (specify)[specify]  
 <7> None  
  
 <98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsm11< [if cpsprov eq <0>]  
 [store <in 1993> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <1>]  
 [store <in 1993> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <2>]  
 [store <in 1993> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <3>]  
 [store <in 1991> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <4>]  
 [store <in 1991> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <5>]  
 [store <in 1990> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <6>]  
 [store <in 1990> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <7>]  
 [store <in 1991> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <8>]  
 [store <in 1993> in rc1][endif]  
 [if cpsprov eq <9>]  
 [store <in 1991> in rc1][endif]

Code at this item stores the respondent's province in pppp, and the date of the last provincial election in the respondent's province in rc4.

Did you vote in the last provincial election held in  
 [fill pppp][fill rc1].

<1> Yes [goto cpsm12]  
 <5> No  
  
 <7> Not eligible (too young/was not a Citizen)  
  
 <8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====> [goto cpsm13]

>cpsm12<

Which party did you vote for?

<1> Liberal  
 <2> Conservative  
 <3> N.D.P.  
 <4> Social Credit (BC ONLY)

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.



<5> Parti Québécois (QUEBEC ONLY)

<6> Confederation of Regions, (COR)

<0> other [specify]

<98> Don't know

<99> Refused

====>

```

>cpsm13< [store <> in rc3]
  [store <> in rc1]
  [store <> in rc5]
  [store <The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, t> in rc3]
  [store <> in rc5]
  [if cpsprov eq <9>]
    [store <The Social Credit party, t> in rc3][endif]
  [if cpsprov eq <4>]
    [store <I> in rc3]
    [store <Parti Québécois> in rc1]
    [store <> in rc5]
  [endif]
  [if cpsprov eq <3>]
    [store <Confederation of Regions Party (COR)> in rc5]
  [endif]

```

Code at this item stores the appropriate fills in rc3 rc4 and rc5, based on the respondent's province. The Conservative Party does not have provincial parties in Quebec (cpsprov=4) or British Columbia (cpsprov=9), the Parti Québécois exists in Quebec only (cpsprov=4) and the Confederation of Regions operates primarily in New Brunswick (cpsprov=3).

If a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for?

[fill rc3]he LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, [fill rc1], [fill rc5] or some other party?

```

<1> Liberal
<2> Conservative
<3> N.D.P.
<4> Social Credit (BC ONLY)
<5> Parti Québécois (QUEBEC ONLY)
<6> Confederation of Regions, COR

<8> Other (specify) [specify]

<9> Will not Vote
<0> Would spoil ballot

<98> Don't know [goto cpsm14] <99> Refused

```

```

====> [goto cpsm15]

```

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

```

>cpsm14<

```

Which party are you leaning toward?

```

<1> Liberal
<2> Conservative
<3> N.D.P.
<4> Social Credit (BC ONLY)
<5> Parti Québécois (QUEBEC ONLY)
<6> Confederation of Regions, COR

<0> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know          <99> Refused

```

```

====>

```

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

```

>cpsm15<

```

Did you vote on the constitutional referendum on October 26 1992?

```

<1> Yes [goto cpsm16]

```

<5> No

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsl1]

>cpsm16<

Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?

<1> Voted Yes

<5> Voted No

<7> Spoiled Ballot

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

## SECTION N: MEDIA USE

>cpsn1<

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the news on TV?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If R says "every day" code 7 please [n]

<0> None

<1-7> Enter number of days [goto cpsn1a]

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsn2]

>cpsn1a<

In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?

<1> yes

<5> no (includes did not watch TV)

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsn2<

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you listen to the news on the radio?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If R says "every day" code 7 please [n]

<0> None

<1-7> Enter number of days [goto cpsn2a]

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>[goto cpsn3]

>cpsn2a<

In the past week, did you hear any radio commercials for a

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

political party?

<1> yes

<5> no (includes does not listen to the radio)

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

==>

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

>cpsn3<

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a newspaper?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If R says "every day" code 7 please [n]

<0> None [goto cpsch77]

<1-7> Enter number of days

<8> Don't Know [goto cpsch77]

<9> Refused [goto cpsch77]

====>

```
>cpsch98< [if cpsprov ge <0>][if cpsprov le <3>]
  [goto cpsn3a][endif][endif]
[if cpsprov eq <4>][goto cpsn3b][endif]
[if cpsprov eq <5>][goto cpsn3c][endif]
[if cpsprov ge <6>][if cpsprov le <8>]
  [goto cpsn3d][endif][endif]
[if cpsprov eq <9>][goto cpsn3e][endif]
```

In order to simplify the interviewer's task, daily newspapers were grouped by region in separate items. Code at this item routed respondents to the appropriate item. The Toronto Star, Globe and Mail, and Financial Post were included in all items due to their national distribution. The Montreal papers were included in the Ontario listing due to distribution in Toronto and Ottawa.

>cpsn3a<

What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?

[r] INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?[n]

<1> Evening Times Globe      <11> Financial Post  
 <2> Fredericton Daily Gleaner      <16> Toronto Globe & Mail  
 <3> Halifax Chronicle Herald      <17> Toronto Star  
 <4> Moncton Times-Transcript

<0> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> None      <98> Don't Know      <99> Refused

====>[goto cpsch77]

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cpsn3b<

What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?

[r] INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?[n]

<5> Montreal La Presse      <11> Financial Post  
 <6> Montreal Le Devoir      <16> Toronto Globe & Mail  
 <7> Montreal Le Journal      <17> Toronto Star  
 <8> Montreal The Gazette

<9> Quebec Le Journal

<10> Quebec Le Soleil

<0> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> None      <98> Don't Know      <99> Refused

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

====>[goto cpsch77]





```
>cpsch77< [if cpsdate lt <100493>][goto cpsn6][endif]  
[goto cpsn5]
```

Code at this item ensured that the debate items were not asked prior to the debates themselves. The order of delivery of the items was French debates first, followed by English debates.

Code at this item ensured that the English debate item was not asked prior to the debate.

>cpsn4< [if cpsdate lt <100593>][goto cpsn6][endif]

Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?

<1> yes

<5> no

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused [goto cpsch79]

====>

>cpsn4a<

[store <> in rc3]

[if cpsn4 eq <1>][store <In your opinion, >in rc3][endif]

[if cpsn4 eq <5>][store <From what you have heard, >in rc3][endif]

[if cpsn4 eq <8>][store <From what you have heard, >in rc3][endif]

[fill rc3]which leader performed the BEST in that debate?

<1> Campbell

<3> Chretien

<5> McLaughlin

<7> Bouchard

<9> Manning

<98> Don't know

<99> Refused

====>

>cpsn4b<

And which leader performed the WORST?

<1> Campbell

<3> Chretien

<5> McLaughlin

<7> Bouchard

<9> Manning

<98> Don't know

<99> Refused

====>

>cpsch79< [goto cpsn6]

>cpsn5<

Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?

<1> yes

<5> no

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused [goto cpsch78]

====>

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to item cpsn4.

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to item cpsn5.

```
>cpsn5a< [store <> in rc3]
[if cpsn5 eq <1>][store <In your opinion, >in rc3][endif]
[if cpsn5 eq <5>][store <From what you have heard, >in rc3][endif]
[if cpsn5 eq <8>][store <From what you have heard, >in rc3][endif]
```

[fill rc3]which leader performed the BEST in that debate?

<1> Campbell

<3> Chretien

<5> McLaughlin

<7> Bouchard

<9> Manning

<98> Don't know

<99> Refused

====>

>cpsn5b<

And which leader performed the WORST?

- <1> Campbell
- <3> Chretien
- <5> McLaughlin
- <7> Bouchard
- <9> Manning
- <98> Don't know
- <99> Refused

====>

>cpsch78< [goto cpsn4]

>cpsn6<

IN THE PAST WEEK, have you read or heard any polls about how well the parties are doing?

- <1> Yes
- <5> No
- <8> Don't know
- <9> Refused

====>

>cpsn7<

What is your MAIN source of information about the election: TV, radio, newspapers, family, or friends?

- <1> Television
- <2> Radio
- <3> Newspapers
- <4> Family
- <5> Friends
- <8> Don't know [goto cpsage1]
- <9> Refused [goto cpsage1]

====>

>cpsn8< [if cpsn7 lt <4>][goto cpsage1][endif]

And between TV, radio, and newspapers? Which is MOST important?

- <1> Television
- <3> Radio
- <5> Newspapers
- <8> Don't know
- <9> Refused

====>

## SECTION O: BACKGROUND

>cpsage<

Respondents who chose family, friends, did not know or refused to item cpsn7 were asked item cpsn8.

Before we finish, we want to get a little information about your background to make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians.

First, in what year were you born?

<1875-1975> Enter year of birth please [goto cpsage2]

<9997> other [goto cpsage2]

<9998> Don't know

<9999> Refused

====>[goto cpso2]

```

>cpsage2< [if cpspanel eq <1>]
  [if cpsage ne <9997>]
    [goto cpso2]
  [endif][endif]
[if cpspanel eq <2>]
  [if pdob eq cpsage]
    [goto cpso2]
  [endif][endif]

```

Code at this item performed a check on the respondent's answer to cpsage. Respondents from the Random Digit Dialling component of the Election Study who were not 18 years of age were asked again at cpsage2 to verify their answer to cpsage. Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study had their answer to cpsage compared with the answer they gave during the Referendum study. A mismatch resulted in a double check at cpsage2.

I'm sorry, could you please tell me again in what year you were born?

<1875-1983> Enter year of birth please

====>[goto cpsch15]

```

>cpsch15<
  [if cpsage2 gt <1975>][goto cpsend][endif]
  [#terminate if less than 18] [goto cpso2]

```

Respondents who were not 18 years of age at time of interview were terminated after confirming their date of birth.

```

>cpso2<

```

Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?

<1> Married  
 <2> Living with partner  
 <3> Divorced  
 <4> Separated  
 <5> Widowed  
 <6> Never married

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

```

>cpso3< [if cpspanel eq <2>][goto cpsjob1][endif]
  [#skip if interviewed in referendum wave]

```

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.

What is the highest level of education that you have completed?

<1> No Schooling  
 <2> Some elementary school  
 <3> Completed elementary school  
  
 <4> Some secondary / high school  
 <5> Completed secondary / high school  
  
 <6> Some technical, community college, CEGEP, College Classique  
 <7> Completed technical, community college, CEGEP, College Classique  
  
 <8> Some university  
 <9> Bachelor's Degree  
 <10> Master's degree  
 <11> Professional degree or doctorate  
  
 <98> Don't Know    <99> Refused

====>

```

>cpsjob1<

```

Are you presently working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student,  
or a homemaker?

<1> working now (incl. on strike and any form of leave)[goto cpsjob3]

<2> laid off [goto cpsjob3]

<3> unemployed [goto cpsjob3]

<4> retired [goto cpsjob3]

<5> disabled [goto cpsjob2]

<6> student [goto cpsjob2]

<7> homemaker [goto cpsjob2]

<8> Don't Know [goto cpso9]    <9> Refused [goto cpso9]

====>

>cpsjob2< [#asked to handicapped, students, homemakers]

We would like to know if you are the main income earner in your household?

[r] INTERVIEWER: If required, explain that the person with the [n]  
 [r] most income including income from pensions, [n]  
 [r] investments and government assistance programs [n]  
 [r] along with any income earned from working is [n]  
 [r] the main income earner. [n]

<1> Yes [goto cpso9]

<5> No

<9> Refused [goto cpso9]

====>

>cpsjob3< [if cpsjob2 eq <5>][if cpso2 ge <3>]  
 [goto cpso9][endif][endif]  
 [store <> in rc3]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <1>][store <Are you> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <2>][store <Were you> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <3>][store <Were you> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <4>][store <Were you> in rc3][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]  
 [store <Are you> in rc3][endif][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]  
 [store <Are you> in rc3][endif][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]  
 [store <Are you> in rc3][endif][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]  
 [store <Is the main income earner> in rc3][endif][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]  
 [store <Is the main income earner> in rc3][endif][endif]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]  
 [store <Is the main income earner> in rc3][endif][endif]  
 [if cpso2 ge <1>][if cpso2 le <2>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]  
 [store <Is the main income earner> in rc3][endif][endif][endif]

[fill rc3] SELF-EMPLOYED?

[r] INTERVIEWER: This includes owners of companies, farmers who [n]  
 [r] own their property, freelance artists etc. [n]

<1> Yes

<5> No

<7> DOES NOT WORK [goto cpso9]

<8> Don't Know

<9> Refused

====>

>cpsjob4< [allow 4]  
 [store <> in rc1]  
 [store <> in rc5]  
 [if cpsjob1 eq <1>]  
 [store <is your main> in rc1]  
 [store <occupation?> in rc5]  
 [endif]

Respondents who were homemakers, students or disabled were asked if they were the main wage earner of the household.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3, based on the respondent's answer to item cpsjob1 or items cpso2 and cpsjob2.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

Code at this item stores the appropriate fills in rc4 and rc5 based on the respondent's answer to item cpsjob1 or items cpso2 and cpsjob2.



```
[if cpsjob1 eq <2>]
  [store <WAS your main> in rc1]
  [store <occupation?> in rc5]
[endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <3>]
  [store <WAS your main> in rc1]
  [store <occupation?> in rc5]
[endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <4>]
  [store <WAS your main> in rc1]
  [store <occupation?> in rc5]
[endif]
```

```

[if cpsjob1 eq <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]  [# student, disabled,homemaker]
  [store <Is your main occupation> in rc1]  [# is main income source]
  [store <or source of income?> in rc5]
[endif][endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]  [# student, disabled,homemaker]
  [store <Is your main occupation> in rc1]  [# is main income source]
  [store <or source of income?> in rc5]
[endif][endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]  [# student, disabled,homemaker]
  [store <Is your main occupation> in rc1]  [# is main income source]
  [store <or source of income?> in rc5]
[endif][endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
  [store <Is your family's principal wage earner's> in rc1]
  [store <main occupation?> in rc5]
[endif][endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
  [store <Is your family's principal wage earner's> in rc1]
  [store <main occupation?> in rc5]
[endif][endif]
[if cpsjob1 eq <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
  [store <Is your family's principal wage earner's> in rc1]
  [store <main occupation?> in rc5]
[endif][endif]
[if cpso2 ge <1>][if cpso2 le <2>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
  [store <Is your family's principal wage earner's> in rc1]
  [store <main occupation?> in rc5]
[endif][endif][endif]

```

What [fill rc1] [fill rc5]

[r] Do not accept "co-ordinator", "clerk", "works at bank", etc.[n]  
[r] ASK FOR job title, and job duties! [n]

<1> Enter verbatim [specify]

<9999> Refused

[r] CODER ONLY <1000-9998> CODER ONLY

[n]

====>[goto cpsjob5]

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

```

>cpsjob5< [store <> in rc1]
  [if cpsjob3 eq <1>][goto cpsjob6][endif] [#self employed skip]
  [if cpsjob1 is <1>][store <Do you> in rc1][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <2>][store <Did you> in rc1][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <3>][store <Did you> in rc1][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <4>][store <Did you> in rc1][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Do you> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Do you> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Do you> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
    [store <Does he/she> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
    [store <Does he/she> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 is <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Does he/she> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpso2 ge <1>][if cpso2 le <2>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
    [store <Does he/she> in rc1]
  [endif][endif][endif]

```

Code at this item stores the appropriate fills in rc4 based on the respondent's answer to item cpsjob1 or items cpso2 and cpsjob2.

[fill rc1] work ...

<1> ...for a private company, [goto cpsjob6]

<3> ...in the public sector, such as a hospital, [goto cpsjob6]  
school or municipality.

<5> ...in a government-owned company such as [goto cpsjob6]  
Canadian National

<7> ...or a Federal or Provincial government [goto cpsjob5a]  
ministry?

<8> Don't know [goto cpsjob6] <9> Refused [goto cpsjob6]

====>

>cpsjob5a<

Is that the federal or provincial government?

<1> federal

<5> provincial

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====>

>cpsjob6<

Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?

<1> Yes

<5> No

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused



```

>cpsjob7< [store <> in rc3]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <2> goto cps09]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <3> goto cps09]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <4> goto cps09]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <1>][store <Were you> in rc3][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Were you> in rc3]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Were you> in rc3]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <1>]
    [store <Were you> in rc3]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <5>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
    [store <Was your family's principal wage earner> in rc3]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <6>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
    [store <Was your family's principal wage earner> in rc3]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsjob1 eq <7>][if cpsjob2 eq <5>]
    [store <Was your family's principal wage earner> in rc3]
  [endif][endif]

```

Code at this item stores the appropriate fills in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to items cpsjob1 or cpsjob2.

[fill rc3] out of work  
or laid off at any time during the last year?

<1> Yes  
<5> No

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

>cps09<

What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic,  
Jewish, another religion, or none?

<1> Protestant [goto cps09a]  
<2> Catholic  
<3> Jewish

<5> Other religion (Non-Judeo Christian, specify)[specify]

<97> None

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>[goto cps010]

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cps09a<

What church or denomination is that?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Choose first category that seems relevant,      [n]  
[r]      eg., "Born again Baptist" is "Baptist"      [n]

<1> Anglican  
<2> United Church of Canada

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

- <3> Presbyterian
  - <4> Baptist
  - <5> Lutheran
  - <6> Christian Reformed
  - <7> Pentecostal/Fundamentalist/Born Again/Charismatic
  - <0> Other [specify]
- 
- <98> Don't know
  - <99> Refused



The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.

```
>cps010< [if cpspanel eq <2>][goto cps018][endif]
```

In your life, would you say God is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?

<1> Very important  
 <3> Somewhat important  
 <5> Not very important  
 <7> Not important at all

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused  
 ==>

```
>cps011<
```

In what country were you born?

<1> Canada  
 <2> British Isles      <13> Yugoslavia/Serbia/Bosnia/Croatia etc.  
 <4> France      <14> Other European  
 <5> Germany      <15> India (incl. Pakistan,  
 <6> Greece      Sri Lanka, Bangladesh)  
 <7> Holland / Netherlands      <16> China / Hong Kong  
 <8> Hungary      <17> Other Asia  
 <9> Italy      <18> Latin America  
 <10> Poland      <19> Caribbean countries  
 <11> Russia / USSR      <20> African countries  
 <12> United States      <21> Oceania (Australia, New Zealand)  
 <22> Czech/Slovakia/Bohemia, etc.

<0> Other (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't Know      <99> Refused  
 ==>

```
>cps012< [if cps011 eq <1>][goto cps013][endif]
```

In what year did you come to live in Canada?

<1900-1991> exact year

<9998> Don't know      <9999> Refused  
 ==>

```
>cps013< [if cpspanel eq <2>][goto cps018][endif] [#skip if in referendum]
```

```
[store <do you belong?> in rc3][store <> in rc1]
[if cps011 eq <1>]
[store <did your ancestors belong> in rc3]
[store <on coming to this continent?> in rc1][endif]
[if cps011 eq <12>]
[store <did your ancestors belong> in rc3]
[store <on coming to this continent?> in rc1][endif]
```

To what ethnic or cultural group

[fill rc3] [fill rc1]

<1> English      <13> Italian  
 <2> Irish      <14> Japanese  
 <3> Scottish      <15> Jewish  
 <4> Welsh      <16> Native Indian/Inuit

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

Respondents born in Canada were not asked this item.

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.

Code at this item stores the appropriate fills in rc3 and rc4 based on the respondent's answer to item cps011.

- <5> Chinese

<6> Czech/Slovak

<7> Finnish/Baltic

<8> French/Québécois

<9> German/Austrian

<10> Greek/Macedonian

<11> Hungarian

<12> Indian/Pakistani/Sikh

Bengali/Sri Lankan

Tamil/Bangladesh

<98> Don't know
- <17> Black

<18> Netherlands (Dutch)

<19> Polish

<20> Portuguese

<21> Russian

<22> Scandinavian

<23> Ukrainian

<24> West Indian

<25> Oceanic (Australian, New Zealander)

<0> Other [specify]

<99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.



>cps013a< [#skip if interviewed in referendum]

Is your ethnic background VERY important to you, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT important AT ALL?

<1> Very important  
<3> Somewhat important  
<5> Not very important  
<7> Not important at all

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.

>cps014< [#skip if interviewed in referendum]

What language do you usually speak at home?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Note order of response categories      [n]

<1> French  
<3> English  
<5> Other (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item as it was asked during the Referendum study.

>cps015< [#skip if interviewed in referendum]

What is the first language you learned and still understand?

<1> French  
<3> English  
<5> Other (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item as it was asked during the Referendum study.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>cps016< [if cps014 eq <1>][goto cps018][endif]  
[if cps015 eq <1>][goto cps018][endif]  
[#skip if interviewed in referendum]

Can you carry on a conversation in French?

<1> Yes  
<5> No

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>[goto cps018]

Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item as it was asked during the Referendum study.

>cps018<

Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1992?

<1-997> Actual income [goto cps019]

<998> Don't know                      <999> Refused

====>

>cps018a<

We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...

- <1>...less than \$20,000
- <2>...between \$20,000 and \$30,000(\$29,999.99)
- <3>...between \$30,000 and \$40,000
- <4>...between \$40,000 and \$50,000
- <5>...between \$50,000 and \$60,000
- <6>...between \$60,000 and \$70,000
- <7>...between \$70,000 and \$80,000
- <8>...between \$80,000 and \$90,000
- <9>...between \$90,000 and \$100,000, or
- <10> ...more than \$100,000

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

>cps019<

How many children under 18 years live in your home?

<0> None

<1-7> Enter number of children please

<8> Don't Know      <9> Refused

====>

>cps020<

How many SEPARATE RESIDENTIAL telephone NUMBERS do you have in this residence?

[r] INTERVIEWER: We do NOT want the number of phones. [n]

<1> ONE

<2-7> Enter number please[goto cps021]

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>[goto cpspcod]

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

>cps021<

How many of these telephone numbers are for children under 18 years of age, for FAX machines, computers etc?

<0-7> Enter number please

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

---



---

### POSTAL CODE ENTRY

- 1) Checks for alpha-num-alpha entry of first three digits
  - 2) Checks for correct province entry eg: m=ontario
  - 3) Forces re-entry if incorrect
- 
- 

>cpspost< [allow 3] [#postal code alpha/numeric mask]

Can you please tell me the first three digits of your postal code?

[r] INTERVIEWER: USE 3 CHARACTERS ONLY [n]

[r] -- LETTER/NUMBER/LETTER -- [n]

[r] "ref" for refusal [n]

====>[goto zip1]

>zip1< [allow 15][store <> in zip1]

>zip2< [allow 15][store <> in zip2]

>zip3< [allow 3][store <> in zip3]

>pcd1<

[if cpspost eq <ref>][goto PCD][endif] [# refusal as lower case]

[if cpspost eq <"re">][goto PCD][endif] [# refusal as lower case]

[if cpspost eq <REF>][goto PCD][endif] [# refusal as upper case]

[if cpspost eq <"RE">][goto PCD][endif] [# refusal as upper case]

[if cpspost eq <///>][goto pcd9][endif] [# trap no text entry]

>pcd2< [allow 1][equiv cpspost position 1]

>pcd3< [allow 1][equiv cpspost position 2]

>pcd4< [allow 1][equiv cpspost position 3]

>pcd5< [if cpsprov eq <>][goto pcd6][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <0>][#and][if pcd2 eq <a>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <1>][#and][if pcd2 eq <c>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <2>][#and][if pcd2 eq <b>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <3>][#and][if pcd2 eq <e>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <6>][#and][if pcd2 eq <r>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <7>][#and][if pcd2 eq <s>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <8>][#and][if pcd2 eq <t>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <9>][#and][if pcd2 eq <v>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <4>][#and][if pcd2 eq <g>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <4>][#and][if pcd2 eq <h>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <4>][#and][if pcd2 eq <j>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <5>][#and][if pcd2 eq <k>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <5>][#and][if pcd2 eq <l>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <5>][#and][if pcd2 eq <m>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <5>][#and][if pcd2 eq <n>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[if cpsprov eq <5>][#and][if pcd2 eq <p>][goto pcd6][endif][endif]

[goto pcd7]

>pcd6<

[if pcd3 eq <>][goto pcd9][endif]

[if pcd3 eq <a>][goto pcd9][endif]

[if pcd3 eq <b>][goto pcd9][endif]

[if pcd3 eq <c>][goto pcd9][endif]

[if pcd3 eq <d>][goto pcd9][endif]

[if pcd3 eq <e>][goto pcd9][endif]

[if pcd3 eq <f>][goto pcd9][endif]

The postal code entry module ensures that postal codes are entered in the correct format, with the appropriate provincial identifier.

```
[if pcd3 eq <g>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <h>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <i>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <j>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <k>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <l>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <m>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <n>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <o>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <p>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <q>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <r>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <s>][goto pcd9][endif]
```

```

[if pcd3 eq <t>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <u>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <v>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <w>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <x>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <y>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd3 eq <z>][goto pcd9][endif]
[if pcd2 ge <0>][#and][if pcd2 le <9>][goto pcd8][endif][endif]
[if pcd4 ge <0>][#and][if pcd4 le <9>][goto pcd8][endif][endif]
[goto PCD]

```

```
>pcd7< [allow 1][equiv zip3 position 4]
```

```

[if cpsprov eq <0>]
  [store <a> in zip1]
  [store <Newfoundland> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <1>]
  [store <c> in zip1]
  [store <PEI> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <2>]
  [store <b> in zip1]
  [store <Nova Scotia> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <3>]
  [store <e> in zip1]
  [store <New Brunswick> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <4>]
  [store <g,h or j> in zip1]
  [store <Quebec> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <5>]
  [store <k,l,m,n or p> in zip1]
  [store <Ontario> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <6>]
  [store <r> in zip1]
  [store <Manitoba> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <7>]
  [store <s> in zip1]
  [store <Saskatchewan> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <8>]
  [store <t> in zip1]
  [store <Alberta> in zip2]
[endif]
[if cpsprov eq <9>]
  [store <v> in zip1]
  [store <B.C.> in zip2]
[endif]

```

[r] INTERVIEWER: Postal Codes in [fill zip2:1] MUST begin with the [n]

[r] letter [fill zip1:1] Please re-enter the postal code. [n]

<g> To continue

====>

```
>pcd8< [store <> in cpspost][goto cpspost]
```

>pcd9< [allow 3][equiv cpspost][store cpspost in zip3][store <> in cpspost]

[blink] POSTAL CODE ENTRY ERROR [n]

[r] INTERVIEWER: An error has been made entering the POSTAL [n]  
[r] CODE. The postal code MUST be entered as a LETTER, NUMBER [n]  
[r] then LETTER. You have entered [fill zip3]. Please correct [n]  
[r] the POSTAL CODE here. Please Use "ref" for refusal [n]  
Enter Postal Code ==>

>cpsPCD< [#exit]

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

>cpsend1< [allow 1][loc 16/1]

Would you say these questions have been VERY interesting,  
SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?

- <1> VERY interesting
- <3> SOMEWHAT interesting
- <5> NOT VERY interesting
- <8> Don't know
- <9> Refused

====>

>cpsend2<

And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?

- <1-97> Record number of minutes
- <98> Don't know
- <99> Refused

====>

---



---

#### GENDER VERIFICATION

- 1) Confirms initial entry of gender
  - 2) Forces re-entry if conflict
  - 3) Forces explicit question if twice don't know
  - 4) records elapsed time on explicit question
- 
- 

The gender double check forces the interviewer to re-enter the respondent's gender. A mismatch with the entry at the beginning of the interview prompts further checking, and if necessary a final explicit question to the respondent.

>cpsgen2<

[r] INTERVIEWER: Please Enter Respondent's GENDER again. [n]

- <1> Male
- <5> Female
- <8> Not Sure

====>

```
>cpsch80< [if cpsgend eq <8>][#and][if cpsgen2 eq <8>]
  [goto cpsgen4]
[endif][endif]
[if cpsgen2 eq cpsgend]
  [goto cpsend]
[endif]
[if cpsgend eq <8>][#and][if cpsgen2 ne <8>]
  [store cpsgen2 in cpsgend]
  [goto cpsend]
[endif][endif]
```



```

>cpsgen3< [store <> in rc3]
  [store <> in rc1]
  [if cpsgend eq <1>][#and][if cpsgen2 eq <5>]
    [store <MALE> in rc3]
    [store <FEMALE> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsgend eq <5>][#and][if cpsgen2 eq <1>]
    [store <FEMALE> in rc3]
    [store <MALE> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsgend eq <1>][#and][if cpsgen2 eq <8>]
    [store <MALE> in rc3]
    [store <DONT KNOW> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]
  [if cpsgend eq <5>][#and][if cpsgen2 eq <8>]
    [store <FEMALE> in rc3]
    [store <DONT KNOW> in rc1]
  [endif][endif]

```

```

[r] [blink] WHOOPS! [n][r] INTERVIEWER: At the beginning of the [n]
[r] interview you said the respondent was a [fill rc3], [n]
[r] and NOW you have indicated the respondent is [fill rc1]!!! [n]
[r] Please enter the respondents gender again! [n]

```

```

  <1> Male
  <5> Female

```

```

====> [goto cpsend]

```

```

>cpsgen4< [start timer]

```

I'm sorry I'm having problems with my headset, could you please tell me are you male or female?

```

  <1> Male
  <5> Female

```

```

  <9> Refused

```

```

====>

```

```

>cpsgtst< [allow 6][stop timer][record timer in cpsgtst]

```

The CASES internal timer was initialized upon display of this item. Elapsed time was recorded in hundredths of a second.

The CASES internal timer was halted upon display of this item. The elapsed time was stored in cpsgtst

```

>cpsend< [if cpsgen3 ne <>][store cpsgen3 in cpsgend][endif]
  [if cpsgen4 ne <>][store cpsgen4 in cpsgend][endif]
  [if cpstm5 eq <>][settime cpstm5][endif]

```

Code at this item stored the response to the gender double check in the initial gender item, and stopped timing the interview.

## 1993 POST ELECTION ENGLISH

## QUESTIONNAIRE

>pesver< [allow 2][loc 0/82][store <v8> in pesver]

>pesgend< [return][open cb][loc 9/2][allow 1]  
[setkey <f3> to <skcb>]

[r] INTERVIEWER: Enter respondent's gender please [n]

<1> Male

<5> Female

<8> Don't know

====>

>pesssss< [if pestim1 is <>][settime pestim1][endif]

## SECTION A: THE VOTE

>pesa1< [allow 2]

In the campaign, what was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY?

<1> Enter respondent's answer verbatim please [specify]

<98> Don't Know    <99> Refused

====>

>pesa2<

Did YOU vote in the election?

<1> Yes [goto pesa4]

<5> No [goto pesa5a]

<8> Don't know [goto pesa5a] <9> Refused [goto pesa6]

====>

>pesa4<

[store <Reform Party> in rc3]

[if pesprov eq <4>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]

Which party did you vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the [fill rc3], or another party?

<1> Conservative [goto pesa4a]

<2> Liberal [goto pesa4a]

<3> N.D.P [goto pesa4a]

Code at pesver stores the questionnaire version number.

Code at pesgend positions the first data item, allows the interviewer to schedule callbacks if necessary, and assigns a run time command to a function key.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used for interviewer instructions throughout the questionnaire.

Code at this item begins timing the substantive portion of the interview.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Québécois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

<4> Reform Party [goto pesa4a]

<5> Bloc Québécois [goto pesa4a]

<0> Other (specify) [specify] [goto pesa4a]

<97> None [goto pesa6]

<98> Don't Know [goto pesa6] <99> Refused [goto pesa6]

====>

>pesa4a<

```
[if pesa4 eq <1>][store <the Conservative Party> in rc3][endif]
[if pesa4 eq <2>][store <the Liberal Party> in rc3][endif]
[if pesa4 eq <3>][store <the N.D.P.> in rc3][endif]
[if pesa4 eq <4>][store <the Reform Party> in rc3][endif]
[if pesa4 eq <5>][store <the Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]
[if pesa4 eq <0>][store <that party> in rc3][endif]
```

Would you say that your preference for [fill rc3] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?

<1> Very strong  
<3> Somewhat strong  
<5> Not very strong

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to pesa4. Respondents who chose a party other than the listed options were asked "that party ...".

>pesa4b<

When did you decide that you were going to vote for [fill rc3]?

Was it before the campaign began, before the debate, during or just after the debate, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?

<1> before the campaign began,  
<2> before the debate,  
<3> during or just after the debate,  
<4> in the last two weeks of the campaign  
<5> election day?

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====> [goto pesa6]

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to pesa4. Respondents who chose a party other than the listed options were asked "that party.".

>pesa5a<

```
[store <Reform Party> in rc3]
[if pesprov eq <4>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]
```

If you HAD voted, which party would you have voted for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the [fill rc3], or another party?

<1> Conservative  
<2> Liberal  
<3> N.D.P  
<4> Reform  
<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other [specify] (specify)  
<7> No party worth voting for

<98> Don't Know      <99> Refused

====>

Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Quebecois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pesa6<

Thinking back to Election Day, which party did you think would win in YOUR RIDING?

[r] INTERVIEWER: IF NEEDED "What was your best guess" [n]

<1> Conservative  
<2> Liberal  
<3> N.D.P  
<4> Reform  
<5> Bloc Québécois  
<6> Other [specify] (specify)

<98> Don't Know/Don't Remember [goto pesa8]  
<99> Refused [goto pesa8]

====>

>pesa7<

Which party did you think would finish second in YOUR RIDING?

<1> Conservative  
<2> Liberal  
<3> N.D.P  
<4> Reform  
<5> Bloc Québécois  
<6> Other [specify] (specify)

<98> Don't Know/Don't Remember <99> Refused

====>

>pesa8<

How close did you think the election would be in your riding:  
VERY CLOSE, FAIRLY CLOSE, NOT VERY CLOSE, or NOT CLOSE  
AT ALL?

<1> Very Close  
<3> Fairly Close  
<5> Not Very Close  
<7> Not Close at All

<8> Don't Know/Don't Remember <9> Refused

====>

>pesa9< [# pesa9-pesa12 added per blais/gidengal 26 Oct.]

And on Election day, which party did you think would win the most seats in  
THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

<1> Conservative  
<2> Liberal  
<3> N.D.P  
<4> Reform  
<5> Bloc Québécois  
<6> Other [specify] (specify)

<98> Don't Know/Don't Remember <99> Refused

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.



>pesa10<

Which party did you think would have the second highest number of seats  
in THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

- <1> Conservative
- <2> Liberal
- <3> N.D.P
- <4> Reform
- <5> Bloc Québécois
- <6> Other [specify] (specify)

<98> Don't Know/Don't Remember    <99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pesa11<

Do you think it is better to have a MAJORITY government, a MINORITY  
government or does it make no difference?

- <1> Majority
- <5> Minority

<7> No difference

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesa12<

Do you think the Bloc Québécois should be allowed to form the official  
opposition?

- <1> Yes
- <5> No

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

## SECTION B: INTEREST AND MEDIA

>pesb1<

Would you say that you were VERY interested, FAIRLY interested,  
NOT very interested, or NOT AT ALL interested in the past federal  
election campaign?

- <1> Very interested
- <3> Fairly interested
- <5> Not very interested
- <7> Not at all interested

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesb2<

How much attention did you pay to the news on TV about the  
federal election campaign?

Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE,  
or NONE?

<1> A great deal

<2> Quite a bit

<3> Some

<4> Very little

<5> None/does not watch TV news

<8> Don't Know

<9> Refused

====>



>pesb3<

How much attention did you pay to articles in the NEWSPAPERS about the election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

<1> A great deal

<2> Quite a bit

<3> Some

<4> Very little

<5> None/does not read newspapers

<8> Don't Know

<9> Refused

====>

>pesb4<

How much attention did you pay to the news on the RADIO about the election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

<1> A great deal

<2> Quite a bit

<3> Some

<4> Very little

<5> None/does not listen to the radio

<8> Don't Know

<9> Refused

====>

>pesb5<

Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?

<1> Yes

<5> No

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused [goto pesb6]

====>

>pesb5a< [store <W> in rc3]

[if pesb5 eq <1>][store <In your opinion, w>in rc3][endif]

[if pesb5 eq <5>][store <From what you have heard, w>in rc3][endif]

[if pesb5 eq <8>][store <From what you have heard, w>in rc3][endif]

[fill rc3]hich leader performed the BEST in that debate?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing [n]

<0> none

<1> Campbell/Conservative

<3> Chretien/Liberal

<5> McLaughlin/NDP

<7> Bouchard/Bloc

<9> Manning/Reform

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to item pesb5.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

==>

>pesb5b<

And which leader performed the WORST?

<0> none

<1> Campbell/Conservative

<3> Chretien/Liberal

<5> McLaughlin/NDP

<7> Bouchard/Bloc

<9> Manning/Reform

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

>pesb6<

Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?

<1> Yes

<5> No

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused [goto pesc1]

====>

>pesb6a<

[store <W> in rc3]

[if pesb6 eq <1>][store <In your opinion, w> in rc3][endif]

[if pesb6 eq <5>][store <From what you have heard, w> in rc3][endif]

[if pesb6 eq <8>][store <From what you have heard, w> in rc3][endif]

[fill rc3]hich leader performed the BEST in that debate?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing      [n]

<0> none

<1> Campbell/Conservative

<3> Chretien/Liberal

<5> McLaughlin/NDP

<7> Bouchard/Bloc

<9> Manning/Reform

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

>pesb6b<

And which leader performed the WORST?

<0> none

<1> Campbell/Conservative

<3> Chretien/Liberal

<5> McLaughlin/NDP

<7> Bouchard/Bloc

<9> Manning/Reform

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to item pesb6.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.



## SECTION C: CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES AND CONTACT

&gt; pesc1 &lt;

DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN, did you discuss politics with other people?

&lt;1&gt; Yes

&lt;5&gt; No

&lt;8&gt; Don't know      &lt;9&gt; Refused

====&gt;

&gt; pesc2 &lt;

DURING THE CAMPAIGN did you help a party, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?

&lt;1&gt; Yes

&lt;5&gt; No      [goto pesc3]

&lt;8&gt; Don't know [goto pesc3]      &lt;9&gt; Refused [goto pesc3]

====&gt;

&gt; pesc2a &lt;

Which party or parties was that?

&lt;1&gt; Conservative

&lt;2&gt; Liberal

&lt;3&gt; N.D.P.

&lt;4&gt; Reform

&lt;5&gt; Bloc Québécois

&lt;6&gt; Other (specify) [specify]

&lt;98&gt; Don't Know      &lt;99&gt; Refused

====&gt;

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

&gt; pesc2b &lt;

Any other party?

&lt;0&gt; NO OTHER MENTIONS

&lt;1&gt; Conservative

&lt;2&gt; Liberal

&lt;3&gt; N.D.P.

&lt;4&gt; Reform

&lt;5&gt; Bloc Québécois

&lt;6&gt; Other (specify) [specify]

&lt;98&gt; Don't Know      &lt;99&gt; Refused

====&gt;

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

&gt; pesc3 &lt;

DURING THE CAMPAIGN, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?

<1> yes in person or telephone

<5> no [goto pesc5]

<7> IF VOLUNTEERED information dropped at door

<8> Don't know [goto pesc5]    <9> Refused [goto pesc5]

==>

>pesc3a<

Which party were they from?

[r] INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY FIRST mention here [n]

<1> Conservative party  
 <2> Liberal party  
 <3> N.D.P.  
 <4> Reform Party  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know [goto pesc5]  
 <99> Refused [goto pesc5]

====>

The version of CASES used for the 1993 Post Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Four separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST through FOURTH mention. Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pesc3b<

Any other party?

(Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)

[r] INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY SECOND mention here [n]

<0> No [goto pesc5]

<1> Conservative party  
 <2> Liberal party  
 <3> N.D.P.  
 <4> Reform Party  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know [goto pesc5]  
 <99> Refused [goto pesc5]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pesc3c<

Any other party?

(Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)

[r] INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY THIRD mention here [n]

<0> No [goto pesc5]  
 <1> Conservative party  
 <2> Liberal party  
 <3> N.D.P.  
 <4> Reform Party  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know [goto pesc5]  
 <99> Refused [goto pesc5]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>psc3d<

Any other party?

(Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)

[r] INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY FOURTH mention here [n]

<0> No

<1> Conservative party

<2> Liberal party

<3> N.D.P.

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other party (specify)[specify]

<98> Don't know <99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>psc5<

Would you say you were VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the issues in the campaign?

<1> Very well informed

<3> Fairly well informed

<5> Not very well informed

<7> Not at all informed

<8> Don't know <9> Refused

====>

#### SECTION D: LEADER, PARTY, CANDIDATE EVALUATION

>pesd1a<

Now I want to ask you how well informed you feel about the party leaders.

First, Kim Campbell.

Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell?

<1> Very Well

<3> Fairly Well

<5> Not Very Well

<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====>

>pesd1b<

And what about Jean Chretien?

Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or



NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien?

- <1> Very Well
  - <3> Fairly Well
  - <5> Not Very Well
  - <7> Not At all
  
  - <8> Don't Know    <9> Refused
- ====>

>pesd1c<

Audrey McLaughlin?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well,  
or NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know   <9> Refused

====>

>pesd1d<

Preston Manning?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or  
NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning?)

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know   <9> Refused

====>

>pesd1e<

Lucien Bouchard?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or  
NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard?)

<1> Very Well  
<3> Fairly Well  
<5> Not Very Well  
<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know   <9> Refused

====>

>pesch31<

[if pesd1a ge <7>] [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip leader ratings]

[if pesd1b ge <7>]   [# goto party ratings]

[if pesd1c ge <7>]

[if pesd1d ge <7>]

[if pesd1e ge <7>]

[goto pesch32]

[endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]

Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader ratings and were asked to rate the political parties.

>pesd2<

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the party leaders. The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesm12. Code at each leader item ensured that respondents who had previously indicated they were not at all informed about the leader, or had answered don't know or refused to that leader item, were not asked to rate that particular leader on the 0 to

Now, I'll ask you to rate each leader on a scale that runs from 0 to 100.

Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that person

UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that person FAVOURABLY. You may use any number from 0 to 100.

Enter <c> to continue=====>

[# start randomized leader section here]

>pesch16< [if pesrn12 eq <01> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <02> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <03> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <04> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <05> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <06> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <07> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <08> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <09> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <10> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <11> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <12> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <13> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <14> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <15> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <16> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <17> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <18> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <19> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <20> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <21> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <22> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <23> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <24> goto pesd2d]

>pesd2a< [if pesd1a ge <7> goto pesch17]

KIM CAMPBELL?

(How would you rate KIM CAMPBELL?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesch17< [if pesrn12 eq <01> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <02> goto pesd2b]

[if pesrn12 eq <03> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <04> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <05> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <06> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <07> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <08> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <09> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <10> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <11> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <12> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <13> goto pesd2b]

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked to rate Kim Campbell.

```
[if pesrn12 eq <14> goto pesd2d]  
[if pesrn12 eq <15> goto pesd2d]  
[if pesrn12 eq <16> goto pesout1]  
[if pesrn12 eq <17> goto pesd2b]  
[if pesrn12 eq <18> goto pesout1]
```

```
[if pesrn12 eq <19> goto pesd2b]  
[if pesrn12 eq <20> goto pesd2c]  
[if pesrn12 eq <21> goto pesd2c]  
[if pesrn12 eq <22> goto pesout1]  
[if pesrn12 eq <23> goto pesd2b]  
[if pesrn12 eq <24> goto pesout1]
```

>pesd2b< [if pesd1b ge <7> goto pesch18]

JEAN CHRETIEN?

(How would you rate JEAN CHRETIEN?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesch18< [if pesrn12 eq <01> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <02> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <03> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <04> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <05> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <06> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <07> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <08> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <09> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <10> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <11> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <12> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <13> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <14> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <15> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <16> goto pesd2d]

[if pesrn12 eq <17> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <18> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <19> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <20> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <21> goto pesd2a]

[if pesrn12 eq <22> goto pesd2c]

[if pesrn12 eq <23> goto pesout1]

[if pesrn12 eq <24> goto pesd2a]

>pesd2c< [if pesd1c ge <7> goto pesch19]

AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?

(How would you rate AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

```
>pesch19< [if pesrn12 eq <01> goto pesd2d]
  [if pesrn12 eq <02> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <03> goto pesd2b]
  [if pesrn12 eq <04> goto pesd2d]
  [if pesrn12 eq <05> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <06> goto pesd2b]
```

```
  [if pesrn12 eq <07> goto pesd2d]
  [if pesrn12 eq <08> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <09> goto pesd2a]
  [if pesrn12 eq <10> goto pesd2d]
  [if pesrn12 eq <11> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <12> goto pesd2a]
```

```
  [if pesrn12 eq <13> goto pesd2a]
  [if pesrn12 eq <14> goto pesd2a]
  [if pesrn12 eq <15> goto pesd2b]
  [if pesrn12 eq <16> goto pesd2b]
  [if pesrn12 eq <17> goto pesd2d]
  [if pesrn12 eq <18> goto pesd2d]
```

```
  [if pesrn12 eq <19> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <20> goto pesd2b]
  [if pesrn12 eq <21> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <22> goto pesd2a]
  [if pesrn12 eq <23> goto pesd2a]
  [if pesrn12 eq <24> goto pesd2b]
```

```
>pesd2d< [if pesd1d ge <7>][goto pesd2e][endif]
```

PRESTON MANNING?

(How would you rate PRESTON MANNING?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

```
>pesd2e< [if pesd1e ge <7>][goto pesch20][endif]
```

LUCIEN BOUCHARD?

(How would you rate LUCIEN BOUCHARD?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

```
>pesch20< [if pesrn12 eq <01> goto pesout1]
  [if pesrn12 eq <02> goto pesd2c]
```

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked the rating item.

```
[if pesrn12 eq <03> goto pesout1]
[if pesrn12 eq <04> goto pesd2b]
[if pesrn12 eq <05> goto pesd2b]
[if pesrn12 eq <06> goto pesd2c]
```

```
[if pesrn12 eq <07> goto pesout1]
[if pesrn12 eq <08> goto pesd2c]
[if pesrn12 eq <09> goto pesout1]
[if pesrn12 eq <10> goto pesd2a]
[if pesrn12 eq <11> goto pesd2a]
[if pesrn12 eq <12> goto pesd2c]
```

```
[if pesrn12 eq <13> goto pesout1]
[if pesrn12 eq <14> goto pesd2b]
[if pesrn12 eq <15> goto pesout1]
[if pesrn12 eq <16> goto pesd2a]
[if pesrn12 eq <17> goto pesd2a]
[if pesrn12 eq <18> goto pesd2b]
```

```
[if pesrn12 eq <19> goto pesd2a]
[if pesrn12 eq <20> goto pesd2a]
[if pesrn12 eq <21> goto pesd2b]
[if pesrn12 eq <22> goto pesd2b]
[if pesrn12 eq <23> goto pesd2c]
[if pesrn12 eq <24> goto pesd2c]
```

```
[#end randomized leader section here]
```

```
>pesout1< [goto pesch32]
```

```
[#start randomized party section here]
```

```
>pesch32<
```

Now, I'll ask you to rate each political party on the same scale that runs from 0 to 100.

You may use any number from 0 to 100.

(Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that party UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that party FAVOURABLY.)

Enter <c> to continue====>

```
>pesch21< [if pesrn13 eq <01> goto pesd2g]
```

```
[if pesrn13 eq <02> goto pesd2g]
[if pesrn13 eq <03> goto pesd2g]
[if pesrn13 eq <04> goto pesd2g]
[if pesrn13 eq <05> goto pesd2g]
[if pesrn13 eq <06> goto pesd2g]
```

```
[if pesrn13 eq <07> goto pesd2h]
[if pesrn13 eq <08> goto pesd2h]
[if pesrn13 eq <09> goto pesd2h]
[if pesrn13 eq <10> goto pesd2h]
[if pesrn13 eq <11> goto pesd2h]
[if pesrn13 eq <12> goto pesd2h]
```

```
[if pesrn13 eq <13> goto pesd2i]
```

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the major political parties. The order of delivery of the parties was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn13.

Respondents in Quebec were not asked to rate the Reform Party, and respondents outside Quebec were not asked to rate the Bloc Quebecois.



```
[if pesrn13 eq <14> goto pesd2i]
[if pesrn13 eq <15> goto pesd2i]
[if pesrn13 eq <16> goto pesd2i]
[if pesrn13 eq <17> goto pesd2i]
[if pesrn13 eq <18> goto pesd2i]
```

```
[if pesrn13 eq <19> goto pesd2j]
[if pesrn13 eq <20> goto pesd2j]
[if pesrn13 eq <21> goto pesd2j]
[if pesrn13 eq <22> goto pesd2j]
[if pesrn13 eq <23> goto pesd2j]
[if pesrn13 eq <24> goto pesd2j]
```

>pesd2g<

The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?

(How would you rate the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesch22< [if pesrn13 eq <01> goto pesd2h]

[if pesrn13 eq <02> goto pesd2h]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <03> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <04> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <05> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <06> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <07> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <08> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <09> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <10> goto pesout]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <11> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <12> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <13> goto pesd2h]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <14> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <15> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <16> goto pesout]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <17> goto pesd2h]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <18> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <19> goto pesd2h]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <20> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <21> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <22> goto pesout]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <23> goto pesd2h]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <24> goto pesout]

>pesd2h<

The FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?

(How would you rate the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and  
 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesch23< [if pesrn13 eq <01> goto pesd2i]

[if pesrn13 eq <02> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <03> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <04> goto pesout]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <05> goto pesout]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <06> goto pesd2i]

[if pesrn13 eq <07> goto pesd2g]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <08> goto pesd2g]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <09> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <10> goto pesd2i]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <11> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <12> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <13> goto pesd2j]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <14> goto pesout]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <15> goto pesd2g]  
 [if pesrn13 eq <16> goto pesd2j]

```
[if pesrn13 eq <17> goto pesout]  
[if pesrn13 eq <18> goto pesd2g]
```

```
[if pesrn13 eq <19> goto pesd2i]  
[if pesrn13 eq <20> goto pesout]  
[if pesrn13 eq <21> goto pesd2g]  
[if pesrn13 eq <22> goto pesd2i]  
[if pesrn13 eq <23> goto pesout]  
[if pesrn13 eq <24> goto pesd2g]
```

>pesd2i<

The FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY?

(How would you rate the FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesch24< [if pesrn13 eq <01> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <02> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <03> goto pesd2h]

[if pesrn13 eq <04> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <05> goto pesd2h]

[if pesrn13 eq <06> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <07> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <08> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <09> goto pesd2g]

[if pesrn13 eq <10> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <11> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <12> goto pesd2g]

[if pesrn13 eq <13> goto pesd2g]

[if pesrn13 eq <14> goto pesd2g]

[if pesrn13 eq <15> goto pesd2h]

[if pesrn13 eq <16> goto pesd2h]

[if pesrn13 eq <17> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <18> goto pesd2j]

[if pesrn13 eq <19> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <20> goto pesd2h]

[if pesrn13 eq <21> goto pesout]

[if pesrn13 eq <22> goto pesd2g]

[if pesrn13 eq <23> goto pesd2g]

[if pesrn13 eq <24> goto pesd2h]

>pesd2j< [if pesprov eq <4>][goto pesd2k][endif]

>pesd2ja<

The REFORM PARTY?

(How would you rate the REFORM PARTY?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesch37< [if pesprov eq <4>][goto pesch25][endif]

Respondents from Quebec were not asked to rate the Reform Party.

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked to rate the Bloc Quebecois.

>pesd2k<

The BLOC Québécois?

(How would you rate the BLOC Québécois?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

```
>pesch36< [if pesprov eq <4>][goto pesd2ja][endif]
```

```
>pesch25< [if pesrn13 eq <01> goto pesout]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <02> goto pesd2i]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <03> goto pesout]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <04> goto pesd2h]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <05> goto pesd2i]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <06> goto pesd2h]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <07> goto pesout]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <08> goto pesd2i]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <09> goto pesout]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <10> goto pesd2g]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <11> goto pesd2g]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <12> goto pesd2i]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <13> goto pesout]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <14> goto pesd2h]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <15> goto pesout]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <16> goto pesd2g]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <17> goto pesd2g]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <18> goto pesd2h]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <19> goto pesd2g]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <20> goto pesd2g]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <21> goto pesd2h]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <22> goto pesd2h]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <23> goto pesd2i]
```

```
  [if pesrn13 eq <24> goto pesd2i]
```

```
>pesout< [# end randomized party section here]
```

```
>pesd4<
```

Now I want to ask you how well informed you feel about the  
CANDIDATES in your riding.

Enter <c> to continue====>

```
>pesd4a<
```

First, the Conservative candidate.

Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT  
ALL informed about the Conservative candidate?

<0> No candidate

<1> Very Well

<3> Fairly Well

<5> Not Very Well

<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know      <9> Refused

====>

```
>pesd4b<
```

The Liberal candidate?

Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Liberal candidate?

- <0> No candidate
  - <1> Very Well
  - <3> Fairly Well
  - <5> Not Very Well
  - <7> Not At all
  - <8> Don't Know
  - <9> Refused
- ==>

>pesd4c<

The NDP candidate?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the NDP candidate?)

<0> No candidate

<1> Very Well

<3> Fairly Well

<5> Not Very Well

<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know

<9> Refused

====>

>pesd4d< [if pesprov eq <4>][goto pesd4e][endif]

The Reform Party candidate?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Reform Party candidate?)

<0> No candidate

<1> Very Well

<3> Fairly Well

<5> Not Very Well

<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know <9> Refused

====> [goto pesch43]

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about the Reform Party candidate.

>pesd4e<

The Bloc Québécois candidate?

(Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about The Bloc Québécois candidate?)

<0> No candidate

<1> Very Well

<3> Fairly Well

<5> Not Very Well

<7> Not At all

<8> Don't Know

<9> Refused

====>

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc Quebecois candidate.

>pesch43<

[if pesprov eq <4>]

[if pesd4a ge <7>] [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip candidate ratings]

[if pesd4b ge <7>] [# goto provincial premier rating]

[if pesd4c ge <7>]

[if pesd4e ge <7>]

[goto pesch44]

Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions, or if there was no candidate in the



```

[endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
[if pesprov ne <4>]
[if pesd4a ge <7>] [# if not at all dk ref to ALL, skip candidate ratings]
  [if pesd4b ge <7>] [# goto provincial premier rating]
  [if pesd4c ge <7>]
    [if pesd4d ge <7>]
      [goto pesch44]
    [endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
  [if pesd4a eq <0>] [# no party has candidates, skip candidate ratings]
  [if pesd4b eq <0>] [# goto provincial premier rating]
  [if pesd4c eq <0>]
    [if pesd4d eq <0>]
      [if pesd4e eq <0>]
        [goto pesch44]
      [endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
    [endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]
  [endif][endif][endif][endif][endif]

```

>pesch33<

Please rate each candidate in your riding on the same scale that runs from 0 to 100.

You may use any number from 0 to 100.

(Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that candidate UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that candidate FAVOURABLY.)

Enter <c> to continue====>

[# start candidate randomization here ]

>pesch38< [if pesrn18 eq <01> goto pesd5a]

[if pesrn18 eq <02> goto pesd5a]

[if pesrn18 eq <03> goto pesd5a]

[if pesrn18 eq <04> goto pesd5a]

[if pesrn18 eq <05> goto pesd5a]

[if pesrn18 eq <06> goto pesd5a]

[if pesrn18 eq <07> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <08> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <09> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <10> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <11> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <12> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <13> goto pesd5c]

[if pesrn18 eq <14> goto pesd5c]

[if pesrn18 eq <15> goto pesd5c]

[if pesrn18 eq <16> goto pesd5c]

[if pesrn18 eq <17> goto pesd5c]

[if pesrn18 eq <18> goto pesd5c]

[if pesrn18 eq <19> goto pesd5d]

[if pesrn18 eq <20> goto pesd5d]

[if pesrn18 eq <21> goto pesd5d]

[if pesrn18 eq <22> goto pesd5d]

[if pesrn18 eq <23> goto pesd5d]

[if pesrn18 eq <24> goto pesd5d]

>pesd5a< [if pesd4a ge <7> goto pesch39]

[if pesd4a eq <0> goto pesch39]

The CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE?

(How would you rate the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know <999> Refused

====>

>pesch39< [if pesrn18 eq <01> goto pesd5b]

[if pesrn18 eq <02> goto pesd5b]

The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the party candidates. The order of delivery of the candidates was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn18.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the Conservative candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the Conservative candidate.

```
[if pesrn18 eq <03> goto pesd5c]  
[if pesrn18 eq <04> goto pesd5c]  
[if pesrn18 eq <05> goto pesd5d]  
[if pesrn18 eq <06> goto pesd5d]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <07> goto pesd5c]  
[if pesrn18 eq <08> goto pesd5d]  
[if pesrn18 eq <09> goto pesd5d]  
[if pesrn18 eq <10> goto pesout3]  
[if pesrn18 eq <11> goto pesd5c]  
[if pesrn18 eq <12> goto pesout3]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <13> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <14> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <15> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <16> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <17> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <18> goto pesout3]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <19> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <20> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <21> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <22> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <23> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <24> goto pesout3]
```

```
>pesd5b< [if pesd4b ge <7> goto pesch40]
[if pesd4b eq <0> goto pesch40]
```

The LIBERAL CANDIDATE?

(How would you rate the LIBERAL CANDIDATE?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

```
>pesch40< [if pesrn18 eq <01> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <02> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <03> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <04> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <05> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <06> goto pesout3]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <07> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <08> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <09> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <10> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <11> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <12> goto pesd5d]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <13> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <14> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <15> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <16> goto pesd5d]
[if pesrn18 eq <17> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <18> goto pesd5a]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <19> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <20> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <21> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <22> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <23> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <24> goto pesd5a]
```

```
>pesd5c< [if pesd4c ge <7> goto pesch41]
[if pesd4c eq <0> goto pesch41]
```

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the Liberal candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the Liberal candidate.

The NDP CANDIDATE?

(How would you rate the NDP CANDIDATE?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the NDP candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the NDP candidate.

```
>pesch41< [if pesrn18 eq <01> goto pesd5d]
  [if pesrn18 eq <02> goto pesout3]
  [if pesrn18 eq <03> goto pesd5b]
  [if pesrn18 eq <04> goto pesd5d]
  [if pesrn18 eq <05> goto pesout3]
```

```
  [if pesrn18 eq <06> goto pesd5b]
  [if pesrn18 eq <07> goto pesd5d]
  [if pesrn18 eq <08> goto pesout3]
  [if pesrn18 eq <09> goto pesd5a]
  [if pesrn18 eq <10> goto pesd5d]
  [if pesrn18 eq <11> goto pesout3]
  [if pesrn18 eq <12> goto pesd5a]
```

```
  [if pesrn18 eq <13> goto pesd5a]
  [if pesrn18 eq <14> goto pesd5a]
  [if pesrn18 eq <15> goto pesd5b]
  [if pesrn18 eq <16> goto pesd5b]
  [if pesrn18 eq <17> goto pesd5d]
  [if pesrn18 eq <18> goto pesd5d]
```

```
  [if pesrn18 eq <19> goto pesout3]
  [if pesrn18 eq <20> goto pesd5b]
  [if pesrn18 eq <21> goto pesout3]
  [if pesrn18 eq <22> goto pesd5a]
  [if pesrn18 eq <23> goto pesd5a]
  [if pesrn18 eq <24> goto pesd5b]
```

```
>pesd5d< [if pesprov eq <4>][goto pesd5e][endif]
  [if pesd4d ge <7> goto pesch42]
  [if pesd4d eq <0> goto pesch42]
```

The REFORM CANDIDATE?

(How would you rate the REFORM CANDIDATE?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>[goto ch42]

```
>pesd5e< [if pesd4e ge <7> goto pesch42]
  [if pesd4e eq <0> goto pesch42]
```

The BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE?

(How would you rate THE BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

```
>pesch42< [if pesrn18 eq <01> goto pesout3]
```

Respondents from Quebec were not asked about the Reform Party candidate.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the Reform candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the Reform candidate.

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about the Bloc candidate.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the BLOC candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the BLOC candidate.

```
[if pesrn18 eq <02> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <03> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <04> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <05> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <06> goto pesd5c]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <07> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <08> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <09> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <10> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <11> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <12> goto pesd5c]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <13> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <14> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <15> goto pesout3]
[if pesrn18 eq <16> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <17> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <18> goto pesd5b]
```

```
[if pesrn18 eq <19> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <20> goto pesd5a]
[if pesrn18 eq <21> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <22> goto pesd5b]
[if pesrn18 eq <23> goto pesd5c]
[if pesrn18 eq <24> goto pesd5c]
```

>pesout3< [goto pesd5f] [#end randomized candidate section here]

>pesch44<

Please rate the following people on the same scale that runs from 0 to 100.

You may use any number from 0 to 100.

(Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that person UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that person FAVOURABLY.)

Enter <c> to continue=====>

>pesd5f<

```
[if pesprov eq <0>][store <CLYDE WELLS> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <1>][store <CATHERINE CALLBECK> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <2>][store <JOHN SAVAGE> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <3>][store <FRANK MCKENNA> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <4>][store <ROBERT BOURASSA> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <5>][store <BOB RAE> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <6>][store <GARY FILMON> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <7>][store <ROY ROMANOW> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <8>][store <RALPH KLEIN> in rc4][endif]
[if pesprov eq <9>][store <MIKE HARCOURT> in rc4][endif]
```

How would you rate [fill rc4]

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

Code at this item customized the question text to read the respondent's provincial premier's name in [rc4] depending upon the province.

<998> Don't Know      <999> Refused

====>

>pesd5g<

How would you rate PIERRE TRUDEAU?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and  
100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know      <999> Refused

====>

>pesd3h<

How would you rate JEAN CHAREST?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and  
100 means very favourable?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know      <999> Refused

====>



## SECTION E: POLICY

>pese1a< [# rc3 used in french to provide translated fill]

In general, has the federal government given MORE, ABOUT THE SAME, or LESS attention to [fill pppp] than to other provinces?

- <1> More attention
- <3> About the same attention
- <5> Less attention

<8> Don't Know      <9> Refused

====>

>pese2b< [if pesprov ne <4>][goto pese4a][endif]

What is your opinion on Quebec sovereignty, that is, Quebec is no longer a part of Canada?

Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.

- <1> Very favourable
- <3> Somewhat favourable
- <5> Somewhat opposed
- <7> Very opposed

<0> Neither favourable or opposed

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>

[# RANDOMIZATION]

>pese4a< [if pesrn2 eq <2>][goto pese4b][endif]

As a general rule, the government should:

One: Reduce unemployment even if it means the deficit stays high

OR

Two: Reduce the deficit even if it means unemployment stays high

[r] INTERVIEWER: If respondent is hesitant say "Well if you had to [n] choose which is CLOSER to your own view?" [n]

- <1> Reduce unemployment
- <2> Reduce the deficit

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

====>[goto pese5a]

>pese4b<

As a general rule, the government should:

One: Reduce the deficit even if it means unemployment stays high

OR

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about Quebec sovereignty.

A random half of the respondents (pesrn2=1) were asked item pese4a, and a random half of the respondents (pesrn2=2) were asked item pese4b in which the order of the options was reversed.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

Two: Reduce unemployment even if it means the deficit stays high

[r] INTERVIEWER: If respondent is hesitant say "Well if you had to [n]  
[r] choose which is CLOSER to your own view?" [n]

<1> Reduce the deficit

<2> Reduce unemployment

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused

==>

[# RANDOMIZATION]

>pese5a< [if pesm3 eq <2>][goto pese5b][endif]

Which comes closer to your own view:

One: We can only be sure the needs of ALL elderly people are met if government gives pensions to all.

the

OR

Two: The government should not provide pensions to elderly people with above average incomes.

[r] INTERVIEWER: The average household income is 43 thousand dollars [n]

[r] IF ASKED: We mean old age security, not Canada Pension [n]

<1> Give pensions to all

<2> No pensions for those above average income

<8> Don't know <9> Refused

=====>[goto pese8]

>pese5b<

Which comes closer to your own view:

One: The government should NOT provide pensions to elderly people with above average incomes.

OR

Two: We can only be sure the needs of ALL elderly people are met if the government gives pensions to all.

[r] INTERVIEWER: The average household income is 43 thousand dollars [n]

[r] IF ASKED: We mean old age security, not Canada Pension [n]

<1> No pensions for those above average income

<2> Give pensions to all

<8> Don't know <9> Refused

=====>

>pese8<

Could you tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:

The ONLY way to create jobs is to ELIMINATE the deficit.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

A random half of the respondents (pesm3=1) were asked item pese5a, and a random half of the respondents (pesm3=2) were asked item pese5b in which the order of the options was reversed.

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

<8> Don't know      <9> Refused  
=>

>pese9<

To maintain our social programmes, we must ELIMINATE the deficit.

Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>pese12<

NDP provincial governments prove that the NDP can't be trusted to govern.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>pese13<

Any government that accepts a high level of unemployment deserves to be defeated.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>pese15<

The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused



>pese15b<

We must crack down on crime, even if that means people lose their rights.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>pese16< [if pesprov ne <4>][goto pese17a][endif]

Jean Chretien betrayed Quebec in the constitutional negotiations.

(Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

<1> Strongly agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<5> Somewhat disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

<8> Don't know

<9> Refused

====>

>pese17a<

Do you happen to know which party promised to DO AWAY with the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) ?

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIRST MENTION HERE [n]

<0> None [goto pese18a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> All parties [goto pese18a]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese18a]

<99> Refused [goto pese18a]

====>

>pese17b<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pese18a]

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked about Jean Chretien.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell  
<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien  
<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin  
<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning  
<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard  
<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese18a]

<99> Refused [goto pese18a]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.



>pese17c<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. [n]  
[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pese18a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese18a]

<99> Refused [goto pese18a]

====>

>pese17d<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. [n]  
[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know

<99> Refused

====>

>pese18a<

Do you happen to know which party promised to ELIMINATE the deficit  
in THREE years?

<0> None [goto pese19a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> All parties [goto pese19a]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese19a]

<99> Refused [goto pese19a]

====>

>e18b<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. [n]  
[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pese19a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese19a]

<99> Refused [goto pese19a]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese18c<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pese19a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese19a]

<99> Refused [goto pese19a]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese18d<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know

<99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese19a<

And do you happen to know which party promised to ELIMINATE the deficit in FIVE years?

<0> None [goto pese20a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> All parties [goto pese20a]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese20a]

<99> Refused [goto pese20a]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese19b<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pese20a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese20a] <99> Refused [goto pese20a]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese19c<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pese20a]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pese20a]

<99> Refused [goto pese20a]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese19d<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know

<99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese20a<

Do you happen to know which party promised to increase spending on public works?

<0> None [goto pesF]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<97> All parties [goto pesF]

<98> Don't Know [goto pesF]

<99> Refused [goto pesF]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese20b<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pesF]

<1> Conservative / Kim Campbell

<2> Liberal / Jean Chretien

<3> N.D.P / Audrey McLaughlin

<4> Reform Party / Preston Manning

<5> Bloc Québécois / Lucien Bouchard

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pesF]

<99> Refused [goto pesF]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese20c< [allow 2]

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION [goto pesF]

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know [goto pesF]

<99> Refused [goto pesF]

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

>pese20d<

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. [n]

[r] DO NOT PROMPT [n]

<0> NO FURTHER MENTION

<1> Conservative

<2> Liberal

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform Party

<5> Bloc Québécois

<6> Other (specify) [specify]

<98> Don't Know

<99> Refused

====>

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text.  
The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

## SECTION F: COLLECTIVITIES

>pesF<

Using the 0 to 100 scale again, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive, I would like you to tell me how you feel about the following groups and places.

You may use any number from 0 to 100.

Enter <c> to continue====>

>pesf1<

How do you feel about CANADA?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know <999> Refused

====>

>pesf2<

How do you feel about QUEBEC?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused  
====>





Type <c> to continue =====>

>pesch26< [if pesrn14 eq <01> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <02> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <03> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <04> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <05> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <06> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <07> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <08> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <09> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <10> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <11> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <12> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <13> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <14> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <15> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <16> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <17> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <18> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <19> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <20> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <21> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <22> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <23> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <24> goto pesg4a]

>pesg1a< [if pesd1a ge <7> goto pesch27]

(Now what about..)

KIM CAMPBELL.

Does "ARROGANT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

=====>

>pesg1b<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>pesg1c<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Kim Campbell:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>pesg1d<

"AGGRESSIVE"

(Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesch27< [if pesrn14 eq <01> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <02> goto pesg2a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <03> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <04> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <05> goto pesg4a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <06> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <07> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <08> goto pesg4a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <09> goto pesg4a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <10> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <11> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <12> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <13> goto pesg2a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <14> goto pesg4a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <15> goto pesg4a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <16> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <17> goto pesg2a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <18> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <19> goto pesg2a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <20> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <21> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <22> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <23> goto pesg2a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <24> goto pesout2]

>pesg2a< [if pesd1b ge <7>][goto pesch28][endif]

(Now what about..)

JEAN CHRETIEN.

Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.

>pesg2b<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg2c<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Jean Chretien:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg2d<

"AGGRESSIVE"

(Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe JEAN CHRETIEN: VERY well,  
FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesch28< [if pesrn14 eq <01> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <02> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <03> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <04> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <05> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <06> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <07> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <08> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <09> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <10> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <11> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <12> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <13> goto pesg4a]

```
[if pesrn14 eq <14> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <15> goto pesg1a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <16> goto pesg4a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <17> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <18> goto pesg1a]
```

```
[if pesrn14 eq <19> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <20> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <21> goto pesg1a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <22> goto pesg3a]  
[if pesrn14 eq <23> goto pesout2]  
[if pesrn14 eq <24> goto pesg1a]
```

>pesg3a< [if pesd1c ge <7>][goto pesch29][endif]

(Now what about..)

AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN.

Does "ARROGANT" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.

>pesg3b<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY WELL, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg3c<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg3d<

"AGGRESSIVE"

(Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesch29< [if pesrn14 eq <01> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <02> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <03> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <04> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <05> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <06> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <07> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <08> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <09> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <10> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <11> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <12> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <13> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <14> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <15> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <16> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <17> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <18> goto pesg4a]

[if pesrn14 eq <19> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <20> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <21> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <22> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <23> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <24> goto pesg2a]

>pesg4a< [if pesprov eq <4>][goto pesg5a][endif]

[if pesd1d ge <7>][goto pesch30][endif]

(Now what about..)

PRESTON MANNING.

Does "ARROGANT" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg4b<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

Respondents from Quebec were not asked questions about Preston Manning.

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.



<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>pesg4c<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Preston Manning:  
VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused  
====>

>pesg4d<

"AGGRESSIVE"

(Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg5a< [if pesprov ne <4>][goto pesch30][endif]  
[if pesd1e ge <7>][goto pesch30][endif]

Respondents from outside Quebec were not asked questions about Lucien Bouchard.

(Now what about..)

LUCIEN BOUCHARD.

Does "ARROGANT" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.

>pesg5b<

"TRUSTWORTHY?"

(Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesg5c<

"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?"

(Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well  
<3> fairly well  
<5> not very well  
<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

==>

>pesg5d<

"AGGRESSIVE"

(Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe LUCIEN BOUCHARD: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

<1> very well

<3> fairly well

<5> not very well

<7> not at all

<8> Don't Know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesch30< [if pesrn14 eq <01> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <02> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <03> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <04> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <05> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <06> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <07> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <08> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <09> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <10> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <11> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <12> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <13> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <14> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <15> goto pesout2]

[if pesrn14 eq <16> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <17> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <18> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <19> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <20> goto pesg1a]

[if pesrn14 eq <21> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <22> goto pesg2a]

[if pesrn14 eq <23> goto pesg3a]

[if pesrn14 eq <24> goto pesg3a]

>pesout2< [# end randomized characteristics section here]

## SECTION H: INTEREST GROUPS

>pesH<

Using the 0 to 100 scale again, please tell me how you feel about each of the following groups.

You may use any number from 0 to 100.

Enter <c> to continue====>

>pesh1<

How do you feel about FARMERS?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesh2<

How do you feel about PEOPLE ON WELFARE?

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesh3<

SMALL BUSINESS?

(How do you feel about small business?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesh4<

LABOUR UNIONS?

(How do you feel about labour unions?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesh5<

OLD PEOPLE?

(How do you feel about old people?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>

>pesh6<

BIG BUSINESS?

(How do you feel about big business?)

(The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

<0-100>

<998> Don't Know    <999> Refused

====>





## SECTION L: PARTY IDENTIFICATION

&gt;pesch54&lt;

[r] INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. [n]  
 [r] You will be asked to read the question, then enter [n]  
 [r] c as you finish reading the last word of the question. [n]  
 [r] [n]  
 [r] When the respondent gives you a substantive response, [n]  
 [r] enter c again. [n]  
 [r] [n]  
 [r] After entering the second c the response categories [n]  
 [r] will be displayed. [n]

&lt;c&gt; To continue

====&gt;

&gt;pesl1&lt;

[store <Reform> in rc3]  
 [if pesprov eq <4>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]

Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as  
 a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P. [fill rc3] or none of these?

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> AS YOU FINISH READING THE [n]  
 [r] LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! [n]

====&gt;

&gt;pesch55&lt; [start timer][no erase]

[r] INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS [n]  
 [r] TO ANSWER [n]

====&gt;

&gt;pesch56&lt; [stop timer][record timer in pesJF2][no erase]

<1> Liberal  
 <2> Conservative  
 <3> N.D.P.  
 <4> Reform  
 <5> Bloc Québécois  
 <6> Other [specify](specify) [goto pesl5]

&lt;97&gt; None of these [goto pesl3]

&lt;98&gt; Don't Know [goto pesl3]

&lt;99&gt; Refused [goto pesl5]

====&gt;

&gt;pesl2&lt;

[if pesch56 eq <1>][store <Liberal> in rc3][endif]  
 [if pesch56 eq <2>][store <Conservative> in rc3][endif]  
 [if pesch56 eq <3>][store <NDP> in rc3][endif]  
 [if pesch56 eq <4>][store <Reform> in rc3][endif]  
 [if pesch56 eq <5>][store <Bloc Québécois> in rc3][endif]

Item pesch56 (variable PESL1) is part of an experiment which measured time elapsed between the end of the question read by the interviewer and the beginning of the respondent's answer. Item pesch54 contained interviewer instructions on the correct procedure for recording the elapsed time. The DOS version of CASES records time in hundredths of a second.

Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Québécois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

The CASES internal timer was initialized upon display of this item. Elapsed time was recorded in hundredths of a second. The [no erase] command keeps the previous item displayed on screen to ensure the interviewer can see all instructions as well as the text of the question during the experiment.

The CASES internal timer was halted upon display of this item. The elapsed time was stored in pesJF2.

The [specify] command allows the interviewer to enter unlimited open-ended text. The interviewer recorded the respondent's answer to the question verbatim.

Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to pesch56.

How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel,  
very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

<1> Very Strongly

<3> Fairly Strongly

<5> Not Very Strongly

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

=====>[goto pesl5]

>pesl3<

Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?

<1> Yes [goto pesl4]

<5> No

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

=====>[goto pesl5]

>pesl4<

Which party is that?

<1> Liberal

<2> Conservative

<3> N.D.P

<4> Reform

<6> Other

<7> None

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

=====>

>pesl5<

On the whole, are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

<1> Very satisfied

<3> Fairly satisfied

<5> Not very satisfied

<7> Not satisfied at all

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

=====>

>pesage<

Please tell me in what year were you born?

<1875-1975> Enter year of birth please

<9997> other

<9998> Don't know    <9999> Refused

=====>

>pesend1<

Would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?

<1> VERY interesting

<3> SOMEWHAT interesting

<5> NOT VERY interesting

<8> Don't know    <9> Refused

====>

>pesend2<

And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?

<1-97> Record number of minutes

<98> Don't know      <99> Refused

====>

---



---

## GENDER VERIFICATION

---



---

- 1) Confirms initial entry of gender
  - 2) Forces re-entry if conflict
  - 3) Forces explicit question if twice don't know
  - 4) records elapsed time on explicit question
- 
- 

>pesgen2<

[r] INTERVIEWER: Please Enter Respondent's GENDER again. [n]

<1> Male

<5> Female

<8> Not Sure

====>

>pesch80< [if pesgend eq <8>][#and][if pesgen2 eq <8>]

[goto pesgen4]

[endif][endif]

[if pesgen2 eq pesgend][goto pesend][endif]

[if pesgend eq <8>][#and][if pesgen2 ne <8>]

[store pesgen2 in pesgend]

[goto pesend]

[endif][endif]

>pesgen3< [store <> in rc3]

[store <> in rc4]

[if pesgend eq <1>][#and][if pesgen2 eq <5>]

[store <MALE> in rc3]

[store <FEMALE> in rc4]

[endif][endif]

[if pesgend eq <5>][#and][if pesgen2 eq <1>]

[store <FEMALE> in rc3]

[store <MALE> in rc4]

[endif][endif]

[if pesgend eq <1>][#and][if pesgen2 eq <8>]

[store <MALE> in rc3]

[store <DON'T KNOW> in rc4]

[endif][endif]

[if pesgend eq <5>][#and][if pesgen2 eq <8>]

[store <FEMALE> in rc3]

[store <DON'T KNOW> in rc4]

[endif][endif]

[r] [blink] WHOOPS! [n][r] INTERVIEWER: At the beginning of [n]

[r] the interview you said the respondent was a [fill rc3], and [n]

[r] NOW you have indicated the respondent is [fill rc4]!!! [n]

[r] Please enter the respondent's gender again!

[n]

<1> Male

<5> Female

====> [goto pesend]

>pesgen4< [start timer]

I'm sorry I'm having problems with my headset, could  
you please tell me are you male or female?

Text between the codes [r] and [n] is in reverse video. Reverse video is used throughout the instrument for interviewer instructions, and is not read to the respondent.

<1> Male  
 <5> Female

<9> Refused

====>

```
>pesend< [if pesgen3 ne <>][store pesgen3 in pesgend][endif]
[if pesgen4 ne <>][store pesgen4 in pesgend][endif]
[if pestm5 eq <>][settime pestm5][endif]
```

**END OF POST ELECTION SURVEY**

# The 1993 Canadian Election Study

This is the third and final component of the 1993 Canadian Election Study. We appreciate the assistance you have provided us in the study and hope you will complete this questionnaire as soon as possible.

All responses to this questionnaire will be kept *strictly confidential*. Please return the questionnaire in the enclosed self-addressed envelope. No postage is required.

It is important that the same person who was interviewed over the telephone complete this questionnaire.

## SECTION A

For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree by writing the number that best represents how you feel in the space at the right of each statement.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
1	2	3	4	8

- We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country..... \_
- Most people who don't get ahead should not blame the system; they have only themselves to blame ..... \_
- One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance..... \_
- If people work hard they almost always get what they want ..... \_
- It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others ..... \_
- If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs..... \_
- In our society today, too much emphasis is placed on individual freedom at the expense of the community's interest ..... \_
- The government must do more to reduce the income gap between rich and poor Canadians ..... \_
- The use of strikes to improve wages and working conditions just ends up hurting the workers..... \_
- Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities..... \_
- Under the private enterprise system, working people do not get their fair share of what they produce ..... \_
- Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs..... \_
- When businesses are allowed to make as much money as they can, everyone profits

in the long run, including the poor..... \_

- The welfare state makes people nowadays less willing to look after themselves \_
- Pornographic films and magazines should be banned ..... \_
- Public money should not be used to support any religious schools ..... \_
- People today don't have enough respect for traditional values ..... \_
- The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word ..... \_
- We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second..... \_

## SECTION B

In this section we are interested in how you feel about the way our economy works. Please circle the number to the right of the answer that completes each sentence in a way that best reflects your opinion.

- The fact that some regions in Canada are poorer than others:  
Should be accepted as the normal result of market forces..... 1  
Means that governments should give incentives for firms to create jobs in the poorer regions..... 2  
Undecided..... 8
- A person's wages should depend on:  
How much he or she needs to live decently ..... 1  
The importance of the job ..... 2  
Undecided..... 8
- If the system of private enterprise were abolished:  
Most people would work hard anyway ..... 1  
Very few people would do their best..... 2  
Undecided..... 8
- The government should:  
See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living..... 1  
Should leave people to get ahead on their own ..... 2  
Undecided..... 8
- The government should:



Do more to protect the environment,  
even if this means higher taxes ..... 1  
Keep taxes from going up, even if this  
means doing less for the environment. .... 2  
Undecided ..... 8

#### 6. Workers and management:

Have conflicting interests and are  
natural enemies ..... 1  
Share the same interests in the long run ..... 2  
Undecided ..... 8

#### 7. Competition:

Leads to excellence ..... 1  
Brings out the worst in human nature ..... 2  
Undecided ..... 8

#### 8. When it comes to job hiring:

Quotas should be used to increase the  
number of women in good jobs ..... 1  
Hiring should be based strictly on merit ..... 2  
Undecided ..... 8

#### 9. Which comes closer to your own view:

People on welfare who are physically  
able should be required to work ..... 1  
Requiring people on welfare to work will  
end up taking jobs away from other people ..... 2  
Undecided ..... 8

#### 10. When it comes to resolving conflicts, which is more important:

Searching for common ground and  
reaching a compromise ..... 1  
Debating the pros and cons and  
deciding who is right ..... 2  
Undecided ..... 8

### SECTION C

We would like to know how much influence you think various groups **actually have** over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they **should have**. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".

Very Little  
Influence

Very  
Influential

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Influence group  
has                      Influence group  
should have

Labour unions ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Farmers ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Big business ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Media ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Very Little                      Very  
Influence                      Influential

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Influence group                      Influence group  
has                      should have

Intellectuals ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Banks ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Consumers ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Feminists ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Aboriginal peoples ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Racial minorities ..... \_\_\_\_\_

People on welfare ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Small business ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Old people ..... \_\_\_\_\_

The police ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Homosexuals ..... \_\_\_\_\_

Anti-abortion groups ..... \_\_\_\_\_

### SECTION D

In this section we are interested in your views about how government works. For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree by writing the number that best represents how you feel in the space at the right of each statement.

Strongly                      Strongly      No  
Agree      Agree      Disagree      Disagree      Opinion  
1      2      3      4      8

1. Generally, those elected to Parliament  
soon lose touch with the people      \_\_\_\_\_

2. I'd rather put my trust in the down-to-earth thinking of ordinary people than in experts..... \_
3. Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on \_
4. The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them ..... \_
5. I don't think the government cares much what people like me think..... \_
6. Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job..... \_
7. The major issues of the day are too complicated for most voters ..... \_
8. People like me don't have any say about what the government does ..... \_
9. We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots..... \_
10. The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed ..... \_
11. All provinces should be treated the same, no matter how big or small they are ..... \_
12. In a democracy, no political decisions should be made in secret. .... \_
13. All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice. .... \_
14. Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities ..... \_
15. People in Quebec keep talking about separation but they don't really mean it ..... \_
16. Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada ..... \_

## SECTION E

In this section we are interested in your views about various aspects of Canadian society. For each question, please circle the number to the right of the answer that best reflects your opinion.

1. Which comes closer to your own view:

- No matter how we treat people, some will turn out to be better than others. .... 1  
 If we really gave everyone an equal chance, almost everyone would turn out to be equally worthwhile..... 2  
 Undecided..... 8

2. The feminist movement:

- Just tries to get equal treatment for women..... 1  
 Puts men down ..... 2  
 Undecided..... 8

3. Which is more important in a democratic society:

- Letting the majority decide? ..... 1  
 Protecting the needs and rights of minorities?..... 2  
 Undecided..... 8

4. Which comes closer to your own view:

- Men and women can only be equal when they have the same responsibilities in government, business, and the family. .... 1  
 Equality can exist even when men and women have very different responsibilities..... 2  
 Undecided..... 8

5. If the courts say that a provincial law conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, who should have the final say?

- The Courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust ..... 1  
 The provincial legislature because they are the representatives of the people..... 2  
 Undecided..... 8

6. Which comes closer to your own view:

- The government must crack down on marital violence even if that means interfering in people's private lives ..... 1  
 Couples should basically be left to sort out their own problems..... 2  
 Undecided..... 8

7. Members of the RCMP:

- Should have the right to wear a turban for religious reasons. .... 1

Should all wear the same hat regardless  
of their religion..... 2  
Undecided..... 8

8. The feminist movement encourages women:  
  
To be independent and speak up for themselves..... 1  
To be selfish and think only of themselves ..... 2  
Undecided..... 8

9. Which comes closer to your own view:  
  
If Aboriginal peoples tried harder, they could  
be as well off as other Canadians..... 1  
Social and economic conditions make it almost  
impossible for most Aboriginal  
Canadians to overcome poverty..... 2  
Undecided..... 8

10. Do you think having federal elections makes politicians pay  
a good deal, some, a little, or very little attention to what  
people think?  
  
A good deal of attention..... 1  
Some attention..... 2  
A little attention..... 3  
  
Very little attention..... 4  
Undecided..... 8

11. If we stopped having elections, do you think that life would  
be:  
  
Much better..... 1  
Better ..... 2  
About the same..... 3  
Worse..... 4  
  
Much worse ..... 5  
Undecided..... 8

## SECTION F

For each of the following institutions, please tell us how much  
confidence you have in them: a great deal, quite a lot, not very  
much, or none at all.

	A great deal	Quite a lot	Not very much	None at all
Organized religion.....	1	2	3	4

The armed forces.....	1	2	3	4
The education system.....	1	2	3	4
The legal system.....	1	2	3	4
The social security system.....	1	2	3	4
The civil service.....	1	2	3	4
Newspapers.....	1	2	3	4
Labour unions.....	1	2	3	4
The police.....	1	2	3	4
The federal government.....	1	2	3	4
Your provincial government.....	1	2	3	4
Big business.....	1	2	3	4
TV news.....	1	2	3	4

## SECTION G

For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree,  
agree, disagree, or strongly disagree by writing the number that  
best represents how you feel in the space at the right of each  
statement.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
1	2	3	4	8

1. Canada should participate in peacekeeping  
operations abroad even if it means putting  
the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.....

2. Society would be better off if we all  
had similar values and ideals.....

3. Respect for authority is one of the most  
important things that children should learn.....

4. Most French Canadians support official  
bilingualism in Canada.....

5. Most English Canadians support official  
bilingualism in Canada.....

6. Too many recent immigrants just don't  
want to fit into Canadian society.....

7. For most questions there is just one right answer,  
once a person is able to get all the facts.....

8. When it comes to caring for babies and  
small children, men by nature are less  
patient and giving than women.....

9. There is something wrong with a woman  
who doesn't want to have children.....

10. Most members of ethnic minorities do not  
want special programmes for their own group.....

## SECTION H

In this section we present different ideas people have about the government in Ottawa. We are interested in how you feel about them.

These opinions don't refer to any political party in particular, but just to the government in general. Please circle the answer that best reflects how you feel.

1. Do you think that:

- Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked..... 1  
Not very many are crooked..... 2  
Hardly any of them are crooked ..... 3  
Don't know ..... 8

2. Do you think that people in the government:

- Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes ..... 1  
Waste some of it..... 2  
Don't waste very much of it ..... 3  
Don't know ..... 8

3. How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Ottawa to do what is right:

- Just about always..... 1  
Most of the time ..... 2  
Only some of the time ..... 3  
Don't know ..... 8

4. Do you feel that:

- Almost all of the people running the government are smart people who usually know what they are doing..... 1  
Quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing ..... 2  
Don't know ..... 8

## SECTION I

Here are some goals which are frequently thought of as being important to society.

1. Here is the first list. Please check the goal that is **most** important to you personally in the first column and check the **second most** important goal to you in the second column. (Please check only **one** goal in each column.)

	First Choice	Second Choice
--	-----------------	------------------

Maintaining a high rate of economic growth .....	_____	_____
--	-------	-------

Making sure this country has strong defence forces .....	_____	_____
--	-------	-------

Seeing that people have more say in how things get decided at work and in their communities .....	_____	_____
---	-------	-------

Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful .....	_____	_____
--	-------	-------

2. Here is the second list. Again, please check the goal that is **most** important to you personally in the first column and check the **second most** important goal to you in the second column. (Please check only **one** goal in each column.)

	First Choice	Second Choice
--	-----------------	------------------

Maintaining order in the nation .....	_____	_____
---------------------------------------	-------	-------

Giving people more say in government decisions .....	_____	_____
--	-------	-------

Fighting rising prices .....	_____	_____
------------------------------	-------	-------

Protecting freedom of speech .....	_____	_____
------------------------------------	-------	-------

3. Here is the final list. Again, please check the goal that is **most** important to you personally in the first column and check the **second most** important goal to you in the second column. (Please check only **one** goal in each column.)

	First Choice	Second Choice
--	-----------------	------------------

Maintaining a stable economy .....	_____	_____
------------------------------------	-------	-------

Progress toward a less impersonal, more humane society .....	_____	_____
--	-------	-------

The fight against crime .....	_____	_____
-------------------------------	-------	-------

Progress towards a society where ideas count more than money .....	_____	_____
--	-------	-------

## SECTION J

Here is a list of groups:

1. Labour unions
2. Farmers
3. Business people
4. Feminists
5. Your ethnic group
6. Young people
7. People of the same race as you
8. Anti-abortion groups
9. Poor people
10. People with the same religion as you
11. Elderly people
12. Born-again Christians

A. Please indicate which group is closest to you in its views and feelings about things by writing the appropriate number in the space provided:

\_\_\_\_\_

B. Is there any other group which is close to you in its views and feelings about things? If so, please indicate the group by writing the appropriate number in the space provided:

\_\_\_\_\_

### DEMOGRAPHICS

1. In what year were you born?

19 \_\_ \_\_

2. What is your gender?

Male ..... 1

Female 2

We are very interested in any further comments  
you may wish to make about these or related issues.

Thank you very much for taking the time to fill out this questionnaire.  
Your contribution to this project is very important. Please return  
the questionnaire in the envelope provided. No postage is required.

Institute for Social Research (ISR)  
York University