

EBNF rule explanation

Got it 👍 You want to modify your **Use Case 3 rule** so that the caller can either provide:

- a real recipientAddressSource or
- the literal string "TEMPLATE" in its place.

Here's the adjusted EBNF:

```
ebnf

mergeMultiDocWithTemplateParams =
          documentsToMerge
          + [ ("TEMPLATE" | recipientAddressSource) ]
          + jobTemplate
          + paymentDetails
          + [ tags ] ;
```

Explanation

- documentsToMerge → always required.
- [("TEMPLATE" | recipientAddressSource)] → optional, but if present, it can be either a recipient address block or the string "TEMPLATE".
- jobTemplate → required.
- paymentDetails → required.
- [tags] → optional.

So the valid shapes are:

- No recipient at all.
- A recipientAddressSource.
- "TEMPLATE" in place of recipientAddressSource.

Would you also like me to make the "TEMPLATE" mutually exclusive with recipientAddressSource (i.e., you can't provide *both*)? Right now, the grammar already implies that (since it's an | choice inside brackets), but I can also expand it out to long-form like we did in your single-doc case if you prefer explicitness.



yes please

Perfect ____ — let's make it **explicit long-form** so there's no doubt that "TEMPLATE" and recipientAddressSource are mutually exclusive.

Here's the revised grammar:

Why this is explicit

- documentsToMerge → always required.
- The (... | ...) means you must choose **either**:
 - [recipientAddressSource] \rightarrow zero or one recipient address, or
 - ["TEMPLATE"] \rightarrow zero or one "TEMPLATE".
- You can't have both at once.
- jobTemplate and paymentDetails remain required.
- tags stays optional.

Allowed examples:

- **No recipient**: just documentsToMerge, jobTemplate, paymentDetails.
- With recipient: documentsToMerge, recipientAddressSource, jobTemplate, paymentDetails.
- With TEMPLATE: documentsToMerge, "TEMPLATE", jobTemplate, paymentDetails.
- X Not allowed: both "TEMPLATE" and recipientAddressSource.

Do you also want me to add a **comment block with these 3 explicit shapes** (like mini-examples under the grammar), so it's crystal clear for developers using the spec?